

<p>1 Friday, 20 November 2020 2 (10.00 am) 3 PRE-INQUEST REVIEW HEARING 4 (Hearing held via video/telephone-link) 5 THE CORONER: I hope everybody can hear me, could you raise 6 a hand if you can't at any stage, please? 7 This is the fifth pre-inquest review in connection 8 with the inquests into the deaths of Anthony Walgate, 9 Gabriel Kovari, Daniel Whitworth and Jack Taylor. This 10 is the final pre-inquest review prior to the 11 commencement of the substantive inquest hearings on 12 7 January next year. 13 As you all know, due to the restrictions in place as 14 a result of the coronavirus epidemic, I considered that 15 this pre-inquest review should take place by way of 16 a partially remote hearing. 17 This is the third such review that I have conducted 18 in this way. I am sitting in court 7 at the Central 19 Criminal Court but Mr O'Connor, Queen's Counsel, counsel 20 to the inquests, interested persons and their legal 21 representatives are participating remotely. Ms Hayward, 22 junior counsel to the inquest, is present in court, as 23 is Mr Carlyon, solicitor to the inquests. 24 As this hearing is being conducted as a partially 25 remote hearing, it is necessary for me formally to state</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p>	<p>1 speaker has finished and there is no speaking over the 2 top of one another, because sometimes we experience 3 a slight delay. 4 If there is any problem with the video-link or with 5 the audio please either raise a hand or you all have 6 Mr Carlyon's email address and you can email him and he 7 can email me and let me know that there is a difficulty. 8 In terms of advocates, I understand that we have 9 present on the TV link: Mr Clark for the families of 10 Mr Walgate, Mr Kovari, Mr Whitworth and Mr Taylor; 11 Mr van Dellen for Mr Waumsley; Mr Skelton, Mr Berry and 12 Mr Barth on behalf of the Metropolitan Police; Mr Davies 13 and Ms Dobbin for the 14 police officers represented by 14 Reynolds Dawson solicitors; Mr Shaw on behalf of 15 Detective Sergeant Sweetman; Mr Atchley on behalf of 16 DI Tony Kirk; and Mr Morley on behalf of John Sweeney. 17 As before, Mr Moloney is here on behalf of the IOPC 18 and Ms Howard Queen's Counsel is also attending remotely 19 to make oral submissions in relation to an application 20 for interested persons status being made by Detective 21 Superintendent Hamer. 22 I will now hand over to Mr O'Connor to go through 23 the agenda for today's hearing. 24 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you madam. 25 Ma'am, as you have indicated, there is an agenda for</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p>
<p>1 at the outset that I give permission for the use of live 2 video to enable participants to access these court 3 proceedings. However, I should emphasise that it is 4 a contempt of court to record, play, dispose of or 5 publish the recording or transcript of these 6 proceedings. This means it is a criminal offence to 7 make or attempt to make, or attempt to record or 8 broadcast these proceedings. 9 In a moment I will hand over to Mr O'Connor. I know 10 that the solicitors to the inquest have provided all 11 interested persons with some guidance as to how this 12 hearing is to be conducted. There are a few things 13 I would like to reiterate to ensure that this partially 14 remote hearing takes place smoothly for us all. 15 Firstly, a transcript will be published on the 16 inquests' website later today. 17 Secondly, please, would all participants ensure that 18 their microphones are muted unless they are speaking, 19 and all those who do not have speaking parts should keep 20 their microphones off throughout the proceedings. 21 Should we experience feedback in court, I will 22 indicate and may ask people to turn off their cameras as 23 well as their microphones. 24 Please would everybody try to pause before they 25 start speaking, in order to ensure that the previous</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p>	<p>1 the hearing that has been circulated. However I wonder 2 if I may suggest that in fact we take the issues in 3 a slightly different way. My suggestion, madam, is that 4 we deal first with the three issues that I think are 5 likely to generate most submissions from interested 6 persons and indeed from parties involved in the 7 application for interested person status. My suggestion 8 is that we in fact deal first with that application on 9 behalf of Detective Superintendent Hamer. 10 Then, secondly, with the question of hearing 11 arrangements, in particular the witness timetable. 12 Thirdly, with the issue of the particular question 13 that might be asked to potential jurors. 14 As I say, those I think are the three issues that 15 are likely to take up more time this morning. 16 I anticipate that we can then deal fourthly and lastly 17 with the remaining procedural issues relating to 18 disclosure, witnesses, expert evidence and the jury 19 bundle. 20 Madam, are you happy if I take us through the 21 hearing in that order? 22 THE CORONER: Yes, I am, thank you. 23 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you. 24 In that case, madam, may we turn first to the 25 renewed application for interested person status to be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p>

<p>1 made on behalf of Detective Superintendent Hamer. 2 As you have indicated, madam, Ms Howard is here to 3 make that application. Before she does so, for context, 4 may I simply outline the procedural background and make 5 one or two very brief introductory points. 6 Madam, as you we know, you know Superintendent Hamer 7 initially made an application for interested person 8 status in July of this year. His application was 9 refused in a decision dated 31 July this year, and you 10 will see, ma'am, the two documents are at tabs 3 and 4 11 of your bundle. 12 There was then a renewed application following 13 service of witness evidence that was dated 7 October 14 (Inaudible). 15 You responded in writing to that application in 16 a document that was dated 28 October and Detective 17 Superintendent Hamer responded in writing in a document 18 dated 5 November. Those two documents are at tabs 6 and 19 7 of the bundle. That is where we are now, madam, and 20 for those who representing Superintendent Hamer, if 21 perhaps they wish to expand on that response that they 22 have given orally at this hearing, then that is what 23 Ms Howard will do in a moment. 24 As I say, madam, very briefly by way of context, as 25 you are aware, in late June 2014, Detective</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 in advance of this hearing. Some short points have been 2 made in written submissions that have been served, which 3 I will summarise as from a position of neutrality. 4 Before Ms Howard addresses you on behalf of 5 Superintendent Hamer, may I simply invite you to ask 6 whether interested persons have anything to add to those 7 written submissions, simply so that everything they have 8 to say can be said before Ms Howard speaks. 9 THE CORONER: Yes, thank you very much. 10 I will just simply go through the list of 11 representatives just to make sure everybody has been 12 given the opportunity of making any submissions on this 13 topic who might want to. 14 Mr Clark, anything from you? 15 MR CLARK: No, thank you, madam. 16 THE CORONER: No, Dr van Dellen. 17 DR VAN DELLEN: Neutral, thank you madam. 18 THE CORONER: Thank you. 19 Mr Skeleton? 20 MR SKELTON: Madam, you have our written submissions on this 21 issue. I don't think there is anything I can add to 22 those. 23 THE CORONER: Thank you, yes I have read those, thank you 24 very much for them. 25 Mr Davies?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>
<p>1 Superintendent Hamer was the deputy borough commander 2 based at Barking police station. And he had 3 a leadership role for the first two weeks of the 4 investigation into the death of Anthony Walgate before 5 he was replaced by Superintendent Wilson, we think at 6 the very end of June 2014. 7 The core question we submit, madam, that arises on 8 this application is whether the evidence as it stands 9 discloses a possible causative link between 10 Superintendent Hamer's conduct during those two weeks 11 and the later deaths. The fact that Superintendent 12 Hamer's conduct might be criticised during the course of 13 the inquests is relevant, we say, but is not 14 determinative of the question of IP status. The 15 question for you is whether on the evidence available, 16 Superintendent Hamer's conduct in those two weeks in 17 late June 2014 may have shaped the Walgate investigation 18 in a way that had a causative effect on the later 19 deaths, that is to say the deaths of Gabriel Kovari, 20 Daniel Whitworth and Jack Taylor. In our submission, 21 the answer to that question is no and that is the basis 22 on which we have argued against the grant of interested 23 person status to Superintendent Hamer. 24 Madam, as you know, we invited any written 25 submissions on this application from interested persons</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>	<p>1 MR DAVIES: Written submissions, madam, nothing to add. 2 THE CORONER: Thank you very much. 3 Mr Atchley? 4 MR ATCHLEY: Nothing to add, thank you, madam. 5 THE CORONER: Thank you. 6 Mr Morley? 7 MR MORLEY: Nothing from me, madam, thank you. Neutral 8 approach. 9 THE CORONER: Thank you. 10 I assume nothing from Mr Moloney. 11 MR MOLONEY: Neutral madam, thank you. 12 THE CORONER: Ms Howard, I will turn to you. 13 MS HOWARD: Thank you very much, madam. 14 Can I just say at the outset that in terms of 15 written submissions by other interested persons, I have 16 not seen those and I think on the occasion when my 17 instructing solicitors asked, there hadn't been any 18 responses and therefore I haven't seen that so I am not 19 aware of what is in those. 20 Dealing with the renewed application for interested 21 person status on behalf of Detective Superintendent 22 Hamer, as my learned friend Mr O'Connor said, the 23 original application was rejected in July, with the 24 caveat that it could be reconsidered once witness 25 statements had been received and considered.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

<p>1 I know, madam, you have the documents which is the 2 renewed application for IP status on behalf of Detective 3 Superintendent Mike Hamer. Counsel to the inquest's 4 response to that, and then our reply to that, which is 5 dated 5 November of this year.</p> <p>6 Can I firstly just deal with why it is that this 7 application was necessary, because it didn't arise, 8 I submit, as a result of Superintendent Hamer's wish to 9 have separate consideration, because he has been told at 10 every juncture that he needs to seek advice elsewhere 11 because others have considered that there is a conflict 12 of interest with him.</p> <p>13 Firstly by the director of legal services on behalf 14 of the Metropolitan Police Service, he was told that 15 there was a real potential for conflict and he needed to 16 get his own legal advice.</p> <p>17 He was then advised in the direction of Reynolds 18 Dawson solicitors, it being suggested that they could 19 have represented him, but it then became apparent, 20 having been in correspondence with the Police 21 Superintendent Association that, in addition to the 22 potential conflict with the Metropolitan Police Service, 23 there was also a likely conflict with the investigating 24 officers that Reynolds Dawson were representing and 25 therefore they couldn't represent him also. The actual</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p>	<p>1 investigation period into Mr Port at the outset and when 2 the enquiries were first being made as to what his role 3 or account was.</p> <p>4 Of course that led subsequently to the conviction 5 for the perverting the course of justice.</p> <p>6 Those investigating officers reported information, 7 actions, decisions to him for his approval or dissent 8 and I will come back to that when I deal in brief with 9 the issue of conflict. His role was part of the senior 10 leadership team, the senior leadership team being 11 responsible for managing the day-to-day allocation of 12 resources and having overall responsibility for the 13 police response as set out by Chief 14 Superintendent Ewing. Chief Superintendent Ewing places 15 the responsibility of leading the police response onto 16 Superintendent Hamer.</p> <p>17 In many regards, madam, the role of superintendent 18 is slightly precarious in the sense that the 19 investigating officers below you all look to you for 20 approval and advice and then those above you all look 21 down to you to have overall responsibility in leading 22 the police response.</p> <p>23 Having highlighted his role in brief terms, because 24 it is all set out in detail in the written application, 25 it is clear in my submission that his involvement was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p>
<p>1 letters concerning that are set out in paragraphs 5 to 2 10 of the renewed application.</p> <p>3 Of course, he hasn't been informed by anyone as to 4 what the actual conflict is with him, but it is simply 5 to say that it is not that interested person status was 6 something that he sought out, he has rather been placed 7 into that position.</p> <p>8 Moving on to deal with his role, which is dealt with 9 at paragraphs 11 to 13 of the renewed application and 10 also at paragraph 6 to 8 in the response from counsel to 11 the inquest. His role of course was for a relatively 12 short duration but it did deal with possibly the most 13 critical period of time. He was the officer in command, 14 of the officers policing Cooke Street on 19 June when 15 Mr Walgate's body was discovered. He was tasked with 16 leading the police response and was the superintendent 17 leading the response in the crucial week following the 18 discovery of the unexplained death of Mr Walgate.</p> <p>19 That role encompassed, I would submit, making 20 recommendation as to how the investigation should be 21 progressed, setting objectives regarding the management 22 of the scene and also setting the path on which the 23 investigation would travel, including the allocation of 24 resources.</p> <p>25 He was the superintendent in charge during the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p>	<p>1 critical and the lead as set out by him was pivotal for 2 a number of reasons. I would submit that it may be 3 considered that they shaped the ambit of the 4 investigation in those critical weeks, and as we know, 5 sadly, that slightly later down the road, the result of 6 that investigation was that Mr Port, whilst on bail for 7 perverting the course of justice, we know now that the 8 murders of Mr Kovari and Mr Whitworth occur.</p> <p>9 Superintendent Hamer's role therefore in the first 10 few weeks following the discovery of Mr Walgate's death, 11 may have set the tone for how the investigation would be 12 conducted by officers such as DC Kirk and McCarthy.</p> <p>13 In fact in terms of the importance of his role, and 14 his interest in the proceedings, I submit that when one 15 looks at the provisional list of issues set out in your 16 order of 15 November 2019, those initial issues 17 concerning Anthony Walgate relate or are instrumental to 18 Superintendent Hamer's role. On that basis the answer 19 to the question posed by my learned friend, the answer 20 should be in the affirmative, and he should be granted 21 interested person status.</p> <p>22 Madam, when one looks at the provisional list of 23 issues, in addition to the matters set out in paragraphs 24 14 to 16 of the renewed application, the issues set out 25 at paragraph 4, I would submit, particularly relate to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p>

<p>1 him, because (Inaudible) sets out at paragraph 4 that 2 one of the provisional issues is the initial 3 investigation of the death between the dates of 19 and 4 23 June 2014. That includes of course the initial 5 investigative steps taken by Barking and Dagenham 6 borough officers, the product of that initial 7 investigation, the assessment by the investigating 8 officers as to whether the death was suspicious or 9 non-suspicious and whether that assessment was 10 appropriate. 11 Those matters were all part of, it may be submitted, 12 Superintendent Hamer's ambit. Number 4(e), the adequacy 13 of the initial investigation in light of what was known 14 at the time is one of the issues that is set out in the 15 scope of the inquest. 16 This was of course whilst Superintendent Hamer was 17 leading the police response and the (Inaudible) in which 18 the investigation should proceed. It may be in my 19 submission that his action or inaction may well be under 20 some considerable scrutiny. 21 Dealing more generally with the generic issues set 22 out in paragraphs 20 to 25 of the provisional issues, 23 I would submit that they also relate to him 24 significantly. The generic issues regarding whether any 25 investigative failures or shortcomings had had causative</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p>	<p>1 a conflict which has been presented to him by both the 2 Metropolitan Police Service and those representing the 3 investigating officers. Counsel to the inquest 4 states -- I paraphrase -- that the criticism really 5 amounts to no more than people asserting a factual 6 position. I would submit, madam, that one has to 7 consider the context in which criticisms are being made 8 and much of the narrative is set out in the application, 9 and I will not rehearse that material again but save to 10 add this, it is that when considering 11 Detective Inspector McCarthy's witness statements, both 12 in July and September, he makes the (Inaudible) comment, 13 as is set out, about referring matters up to 14 Superintendent Hamer, and others for that matter, and he 15 comments that no one disagreed with him, nobody took any 16 issues with the steps he was taking with regards to his 17 actions or the decision-making process. But 18 importantly, in my submission, the final paragraph of 19 his statement at page 7 gives the context of his 20 comments. Again, I paraphrase, what he says essentially 21 is that he strongly denies that at any stage he 22 misconducted himself and he goes on to say that he 23 believes he supervised a diligent investigation given 24 the resources. 25 It is in that context of his denial about</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p>
<p>1 relevance, whether the police investigations were 2 affected by prejudice or lack of understanding, and, at 3 paragraph 23, whether any investigative failures or 4 shortcomings that may be established are attributable to 5 failings by senior officers. That would include 6 Superintendent Hamer. 7 When considering the issues set out there, that 8 surely must leave Superintendent Hamer in the most 9 difficult of positions if he is not granted interested 10 person status in the circumstances. 11 Having dealt with the role and what the issues 12 appear to be, can I deal very briefly with the issue of 13 conflict, which is dealt with again in the written 14 submissions at some length. 15 I of course do not say that in every case where 16 there is conflict the person should be given interested 17 person status. My learned friend is entirely correct in 18 the submissions that he makes in his response. However, 19 if one considered the particular conflict in this case, 20 or the potential for it, and ramifications therefore for 21 the inquest, in my submission in this particular case, 22 in your discretion, he should be given interested person 23 status and I say that for these reasons. 24 The conflict spoken of is not something which is 25 being imagined by Superintendent Hamer. It is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p>	<p>1 misconducting himself that he adds that he sought the 2 advice and assistance to progress the inquiry and his 3 decisions and actions were endorsed by the senior 4 leadership team in Barking and Dagenham. His comments 5 therefore regarding not being asked to do anything for 6 six days following Mr Walgate's death and no issue being 7 taken with his proposals or responses are in the context 8 of a man defending his position regarding decisions and 9 actions/inactions. That is in the circumstances of 10 Superintendent Hamer leading the police response and, 11 importantly, Detective Inspector McCarthy being 12 criticised for what he did or did not do. 13 It is clear that Police Superintendent Andy Ewing 14 places the lead response with Superintendent Hamer and 15 he says in his statement at paragraph 13 that the 16 incident had been declared a critical incident and 17 a gold group had been established. The gold group was 18 being chaired and commanded by the then deputy borough 19 commander Temporary Superintendent Mike Hamer, but when 20 one then goes to look at Superintendent Hamer's 21 statements -- I am sorry it is not paginated, but it is 22 the second paragraph at the top of page 4 -- he states 23 in his statement: 24 "As no foul play was suspected, a gold group meeting 25 did not take place [as far as he can recall] and that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p>

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

<p>1 that would normally have been headed up by the borough 2 commander Andy Ewing."</p> <p>3 These issues, madam, in my submission weigh heavily 4 in favour of granting Superintendent Hamer interested 5 person status under subsection (f) and/or (m).</p> <p>6 To allow other interested parties to question 7 Superintendent Hamer in circumstances where he has no 8 PACE protection and no interested person status may 9 leave a wholly unbalanced picture for the jury. He 10 should, in my submission, be afforded interested person 11 status, in order that he can be represented and 12 questions asked on his behalf. Him giving evidence 13 alone, in my submission, does not redress the potential 14 for others to push responsibility and blame to his door 15 and thus distort the true picture in terms of the 16 evidence.</p> <p>17 Madam, to take a slightly crass example, you will be 18 all too familiar with in a criminal case where you have 19 a defendant that has pleaded guilty or a suspect that 20 has never been apprehended, it is curious to note how 21 quickly that person becomes the mastermind and 22 responsible person for all matters, and for all of those 23 who are still contesting the case. Whereas when a 24 person is present and represented the circumstances that 25 the evidence can be distorted in this way decreases</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 status, it is hoped, that the jury would be provided 2 with a greater balance evidentially and therefore 3 a better truth-finding exercise can be conducted.</p> <p>4 Madam, I don't know whether there is anything else 5 that I can assist you with, but those are my 6 submissions.</p> <p>7 THE CORONER: Thank you, Ms Howard, very clear submissions 8 if I may say so.</p> <p>9 I am however concerned that you have not seen the 10 written submissions prepared on behalf of the officers 11 represented by Reynolds Dawson or the 12 Metropolitan Police and I am going to ask that those 13 make their way to you.</p> <p>14 Mr O'Connor, do you agree that that's a sensible 15 course for me to take?</p> <p>16 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, I do. Yes.</p> <p>17 Madam --</p> <p>18 THE CORONER: Yes, carry on.</p> <p>19 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, I have a few brief points in reply, if 20 I may, and I had in fact intended to start with this 21 point and apologise to Ms Howard that she ought to have 22 seen those written submissions and it was an oversight 23 that she didn't.</p> <p>24 They don't say very much about this interested 25 person application, but I had assumed that she had seen</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>
<p>1 significantly. There is, when a person is represented, 2 the opportunity to rebalance the scales for a balanced 3 picture to place evidentially and perhaps the 4 inclination to shift the blame is tempered.</p> <p>5 Therefore, in my submission, in granting him 6 interested person status, the inquest is then provided 7 with the most comprehensive and uncompromised evidence. 8 There are of course, as I know that you are aware, very 9 important issues to be considered in this case, 10 including the issues of prejudice, which of course he 11 would want his view considered.</p> <p>12 Madam, in concluding, I would simply submit that 13 Superintendent Hamer should be given interested person 14 status, given his relevant and crucial role at a pivotal 15 point in the investigation, where there may be a real 16 likelihood, given the conflict that has been outlined to 17 him by others all the way along, of his action or 18 inaction, particularly during the six-day period 19 following the discovery of Mr Walgate, being examined 20 and him being criticised potentially causatively for the 21 handling of the investigation during that period, 22 particularly in circumstances where there is a tacit 23 agreement as to what others did or did not do and the 24 parameters that are set out in those early weeks.</p> <p>25 In my submission, granting him interested person</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>	<p>1 them and that was the premise that I made at the start.</p> <p>2 As you say, madam, there are steps being taken to 3 make sure Ms Howard and her instructing solicitor get 4 those written submissions by email now. It may be that 5 while we address the other matters on the agenda, 6 Ms Howard can look at those written submissions and if 7 she wants to say any more on this issue, in the light of 8 those, she can do that at the end of the hearing.</p> <p>9 Madam, very briefly on the balance of the issues, 10 you have our written submissions. As far as the 11 question of conflict is concerned, for the reasons we 12 have set out in our written submissions, we submit that 13 conflict simply doesn't assist you one way or the other 14 and it is our (Inaudible) that no conflict of interest 15 may exist in a situation such as this and they don't 16 give you any clear guidance as to whether interested 17 person status is appropriate or not.</p> <p>18 Ms Howard, is of course right that 19 Detective Superintendent Hamer's conduct may be 20 criticised in the course of these inquest hearings. But 21 as we have set out in written submissions to you, the 22 mere fact of criticism does not lead to the granting of 23 interested person status. One only has to take a step 24 back and think of all the inquests that take place up 25 and down this country on a daily basis to appreciate</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

<p>1 that the conduct of many individuals is criticised 2 within the context of those inquests and all those 3 individuals are not granted interested person status. 4 There are many other procedural protections that are 5 afforded to those individuals, including the (Inaudible) 6 evidence(?) and of course your role, madam, in ensuring 7 that the investigation is conducted fairly. 8 We submit, as I indicated at the outset, that the 9 narrow issue for you is whether there is a prospect that 10 Superintendent Hamer's conduct in those two weeks, in 11 fact the very start of the investigation into the death 12 of Anthony Walgate, may be considered to be causative of 13 the deaths that followed Mr Walgate's death, that is the 14 deaths of Mr Kovari, Mr Whitworth and Mr Taylor. That 15 we say is really the issue that you must focus on. For 16 the reasons set out in our written submissions, madam, 17 we submit that, even if there is criticism of 18 Superintendent Hamer's conduct, it doesn't amount to 19 a causative, or even a potentially causative link 20 between that conduct and the later deaths. For that 21 reason, madam, we submit this application should be 22 refused. 23 Madam, unless I can assist you further those are our 24 submissions on this point. 25 THE CORONER: Thank you very much.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 January and February next year. 2 Madam, as you know, Mr Carlyon and all those who 3 work with him in the secretariat of inquests have worked 4 extremely hard to put the necessary arrangements in 5 place. I should also mention, madam, that those 6 representatives of the London borough of Barking and 7 Dagenham, who have provided you and your team with 8 a great degree of assistance, in particular relating to 9 the arrangements at Barking Town Hall. The good news is 10 that all of that hard work is paying off and that it 11 seems that we will be able to go ahead, the practical 12 arrangements have been made and the hearings can be 13 effected in January and February in Barking Town Hall, 14 notwithstanding all of the difficulties in the 15 environment that, as we know, we all face on daily 16 basis. 17 Madam, there has been a note on hearing arrangements 18 drafted by Mr Carlyon that has been circulated to 19 interested persons. I am not proposing to go into the 20 detail of that note, madam. As I say, it is available 21 to interested persons. It addresses matters relating to 22 the venue itself, relating to seating arrangements, both 23 in the court area and in the other areas of the venue. 24 It addresses the position relating to remote attendance 25 and participation at the hearing, madam.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>
<p>1 Ms Howard, just to come back to you, if it is not 2 inconvenient, I will put your submissions, final 3 submissions back while we deal with the other matters on 4 the agenda, in order to give you the opportunity to see 5 those submissions that you have not seen. I will then 6 give you an opportunity to make any further submissions 7 once you have done so. 8 MS HOWARD: Madam, I am very grateful and I would very much 9 welcome the opportunity to do that. 10 Madam, with your permission, may I mute myself and 11 take my camera away, whilst I read the other documents? 12 THE CORONER: Yes, of course. 13 MS HOWARD: Thank you. 14 THE CORONER: Mr O'Connor, I will rely on you to remind me 15 to come back to Ms Howard at the end of the hearing. 16 MR O'CONNOR: I will write myself a note to that effect, 17 madam. 18 Madam, may we then turn to the next of the issues 19 that I suggested we deal with, that is the sort of 20 bundle of issues relating to hearing arrangements and in 21 particular the question of the witness timetable. 22 Madam, may I start this part of the hearing by 23 paying tribute to the considerable amount of preparatory 24 work that has already been undertaken to facilitate the 25 inquest hearing taking place at Barking Town Hall in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>	<p>1 I will say just one word about that, because the 2 position as it stands is that remote attendance, that to 3 say individuals who as it were are dialing in and 4 observing the hearings remotely, those arrangements will 5 be in place. 6 As to remote participation, that is to say, for 7 example, barristers not present at the venue, attending 8 virtually as we are today in order to make submissions 9 and examine witnesses, the position is that does require 10 extra technology but I know that Mr Carlyon and his team 11 are aiming to have that technology in place and so that 12 is the current position as far as that is concerned and 13 we will of course confirm that in due course. 14 Madam, I can also say that a thorough risk 15 assessment relating to all the COVID-related issues that 16 concern the use of the town hall for the inquests has 17 been carried out and I understand that it will be 18 circulated to interested persons within the next few 19 days. 20 Madam, that was all I was going to say on the 21 practical hearing arrangements, other than simply to 22 state that if interested persons or for that matter 23 anyone else has any questions or any issues relating to 24 these arrangements, then please the steps for them to 25 take is to liaise with Mr Carlyon about those matters.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

<p>1 Madam, with that said, I turn to the question of the 2 witness timetable. By that I am referring to annex C to 3 our submissions, which has been circulated to interested 4 persons with those submissions. 5 Madam, it is of course trite to say that efficient 6 case management is necessary in all cases. 7 As we have said in our written submissions, most 8 considerations apply with extra force in these 9 proceedings, given the circumstances in which the 10 hearings in January and February will take place. 11 Madam, whatever the precise position that we will have 12 reached by then, it is certain that some level of COVID 13 restrictions will be in place at that time and it is 14 therefore imperative we submit, first of all that the 15 hearings should not last longer than necessary. 16 Secondly, that they are managed as efficiently as 17 possible so that, for example, the attendance of 18 witnesses can be carefully planned, unnecessary trips to 19 Barking by witnesses can be avoided and for that matter, 20 all those others involved in the inquests, whether it be 21 legal representatives, the jury, members of the public, 22 and indeed the families, inconvenience can be avoided by 23 efficient timetabling. 24 It is for that reason, madam, that a particular 25 detailed timetable has been prepared and circulated well</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p>	<p>1 Madam, we say the starting point in any inquest is 2 that the coroner or, where counsel to the inquest have 3 been appointed as here, counsel to the inquest will 4 conduct the bulk of the questioning of all of the 5 witnesses. Madam, with each witness who is called, we 6 will explore in a non-adversarial way the important 7 causative issues that arise from the evidence and in 8 doing so we will draw particularly on the reports that 9 we have, both from Julie Mackay and the IOPC, we will 10 take the witnesses to the relevant documents and also 11 where appropriate issues of police practice and policy. 12 With that in mind, madam, we do submit that in very 13 broad terms, and of course this is no more than 14 a starting point, the two-thirds/one-third split, as 15 between our examination of the witnesses and the time 16 allowed for interested persons to examine them is 17 an appropriate starting point, as I say. 18 Madam, the families have made the observation that 19 the jury may also have questions and so some time must 20 be factored into that process and we are grateful for 21 that observation and no doubt we can make some allowance 22 for that in the further versions of the timetable. 23 As to the process going forward, madam, as we have 24 said in our submissions, we will prepare and circulate 25 a list of the documents, that is the evidential</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p>
<p>1 in advance of the inquest hearing. Madam we say, 2 perhaps this is obvious, that if the timetable and the 3 timetabling process is to be robust, it is necessary to 4 predict with some accuracy the time that will be spent 5 with witnesses, both by counsel to the inquests but also 6 by interested persons and that is the task that we have 7 tried to undertake. 8 Madam, let me say immediately that what we have 9 prepared was intended to be and is a first draft of this 10 timetable. We had of course anticipated that it would 11 form the basis for discussion with interested persons. 12 We are grateful for the observations that they have made 13 and we are confident that, with discussions in the next 14 few weeks, we will arrive at another version of this 15 timetable, no doubt, that is acceptable to everyone 16 involved. 17 Madam, I don't intend, I submit it would not be 18 appropriate, to debate the fine detail of this timetable 19 in court today. As I have said, we have suggested in 20 our submissions a process over the coming weeks 21 involving discussion between IPs and also between us and 22 the IPs as to time estimates. 23 May I just, before I invite you to hear the other 24 parties, make a few further submissions on the detail of 25 this process.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p>	<p>1 documents that we propose to put to each of the 2 witnesses and we are of course open to discussion with 3 the interested persons, both as to any further documents 4 that they would like to suggest should be put to 5 witnesses and more generally as to the factual issues 6 that may be explored with the witnesses. 7 In our written submissions, we have proposed the 8 date of 4 December to circulate that list of documents 9 to be put to the witnesses. It has occurred to us that 10 it may be helpful if we can try and provide at least 11 those details for the witnesses concerning the Walgate 12 investigation rather earlier than that, and we will 13 endeavour to do just that. 14 Madam, a further observation we make is that 15 experience does show that in the case of most of these 16 witnesses at least, it is likely that they will only be 17 asked supplementary questions by the families and 18 probably one police team. It clearly will not be the 19 case that all of the witnesses will be questioned by all 20 of the interested persons, to put the matter another 21 way. In that context, we do respectfully suggest that 22 the process for the calculation of providing the time 23 that we have suggested be permitted for IPs' questioning 24 equally amongst all of the IPs is not a particularly 25 realistic way of approaching this matter. In fact, as</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p>

<p>1 we submit, the matter needs to be addressed witness by 2 witness with a sensible approach to who are the IPs who 3 are actually likely to want to question those individual 4 witnesses. 5 Madam, we say immediately that if any IPs think that 6 the time allocations should be extended for individual 7 witnesses, we will of course discuss that, but we have 8 to bear in mind madam that these hearings are already 9 listed to last for two months. As we observe in our 10 submissions, there is likely to be at least some 11 slippage, given that we are sitting with a jury and at 12 least some COVID-related problems may be anticipated. 13 That is the context within which this timetable has 14 been drafted, and we must keep an eye to the overall 15 running time of the hearing when considering time to be 16 allowed for individual witnesses. Perhaps the key 17 submission is that repetition in questioning must be 18 avoided and really that is the reason I emphasise the 19 fact that we will endeavour to ask of each of the 20 witnesses the core questions that arise. 21 Madam, just finally, as far as the process going 22 forward is concerned, we suggest that the process of 23 discussion and negotiation between the parties will be 24 more effective if one member from each of the counsel 25 teams acts as a point of contact. There are a few of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p>	<p>1 I can see you now and hear you. 2 MR CLARK: Can you hear, ma'am? 3 THE CORONER: Yes. 4 MR CLARK: Thank you. 5 I don't propose to say a great deal more than is 6 mentioned in our written submissions. 7 I agree with Mr O'Connor entirely that the 8 presumption is that the bulk of the questioning would be 9 carried out by your counsel in respect of each witness. 10 With that in mind, and particularly from the family's 11 point of view, we would respectfully suggest that, in 12 order to provide any meaningful appraisal of how long 13 the families' representatives may be with each witness, 14 we would need to know rather more about the lines of 15 questioning that would be pursued on your behalf that 16 would arise from this document. That is the first 17 observation. 18 The second observation which comes logically after 19 that is we can say that we have real concerns about the 20 amount of time for most of the witnesses, but 21 particularly the (Inaudible) as it stands. 22 Obviously that's heavily couched in that we don't 23 yet have any real idea of the questions which we would 24 not have to pursue, but in respect of the approach that 25 is taken in order to illustrate the situation, in our</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p>
<p>1 teams which have more than one member, and so it may be 2 helpful if at least as far as those teams are concerned, 3 someone is nominated to take the lead in this process. 4 Madam, just finally on this point then, it is at 5 paragraph 4 of our written submissions that we set out 6 the direction that we seek (Inaudible) and ultimately 7 the direction that we seek is that this process of 8 discussion/negotiation should happen and that by 9 11 December, the interested persons as a group should 10 attempt to agree timings for the witnesses who appear on 11 annex C. 12 Madam, of course one needs to bear in mind that at 13 least one of the functions of this timetable is so that 14 the witnesses themselves can be given early and accurate 15 information as to when they are to be called. For that 16 reason we do need to settle on this timetable before 17 Christmas. That is what lies behind the date that we 18 have suggested. 19 Madam, I hope those submissions assist in, as it 20 were, setting the context for this process. That is all 21 I wanted to say about it. And you might now invite 22 submissions from interested parties. 23 THE CORONER: Yes, thank you very much. 24 Mr Clark? 25 Mr Clark, I can't see or hear you at the moment.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p>	<p>1 submission, by providing an amount of time which is 2 divided up into a total number of minutes or hours for 3 the IPs per interested person, in our submission that is 4 useful, not because we suggest that every legal team 5 would be pursuing questioning of every witness, but 6 because by averaging the amount of time such that you 7 have an illustration of what the average amount of time 8 per interested person is, one gets an indication of what 9 the start point is. It is from that starting point, 10 which is quite stark in some cases, that any 11 negotiations around which IPs would not have to ask 12 questions from that position, that any of those 13 considerations proceed. 14 That is very much a secondary position, we would 15 want only to put on record our preliminary concerns at 16 the moment about the small amount of time, particularly 17 for key witnesses on behalf of the families, and we 18 would ask simply to have that noted in order that we can 19 properly address the issue once we have a clearer idea 20 of lines of questioning that would be pursued on your 21 behalf. 22 THE CORONER: All right, thank you. 23 Mr Clark, would it be sensible for me to nominate or 24 for you to nominate Ms Favata to be the spokesperson for 25 the families, so far as discussion with my team are</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p>

<p>1 concerned? 2 MR CLARK: If I may, ma'am, I would like to check with 3 Mr Thomas and Ms Favata who would be the most 4 appropriate person. Certainly we would entirely agree 5 it is appropriate to appoint a person in that way. 6 Perhaps we could contact your team at some convenient 7 time shortly after the hearing? 8 THE CORONER: Yes, certainly. 9 MR CLARK: Thank you. 10 THE CORONER: Thank you. 11 All right, Dr van Dellen on this topic, please. 12 DR VAN DELLEN: As my learned friend Mr Clark has said, 13 madam, obviously my involvement is particularly in 14 relation to Mr Whitworth's death, so it would probably 15 mainly be questioning of DI Schamberger, but apart from 16 that observation, no further submissions. 17 THE CORONER: Thank you. 18 Dr van Dellen, obviously you are the point of 19 contact as far as Mr Waumsley is concerned? 20 DR VAN DELLEN: Yes, indeed, madam, and may I thank the 21 solicitors to the inquest and counsel to the inquest, 22 they have been most assiduous in responding to my 23 queries. 24 THE CORONER: Thank you. 25 Mr Skelton?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p>	<p>1 coherent line of questioning. That requires cooperation 2 by interested persons or core participants in advance, 3 which is why his suggestion here is based on experience 4 and we will promote it. 5 It follows, if that is the approach, that 6 supplementary questions from interested persons in this 7 case will be only as to detail and not raising separate 8 issues outside scope or separate issues that should have 9 been identified in advance and probably covered by 10 counsel to the inquests. 11 Repetition, it is trite to say, is the enemy of 12 progress and it must be minimised and no doubt the 13 inquest will act to do that. 14 We endorse, with all that said, the approach 15 articulated by Mr Clark, it would be helpful, given the 16 promotion of a single coherent line of questioning, if 17 it were possible, for at least headline topics that 18 counsel to the inquiry intend to cover with witnesses to 19 be identified in advance. I am not suggesting the 20 detailed questioning, of course, but headline topics, so 21 that if any interested person wishes to suggest further 22 headline topics, and/or documents associated with those, 23 they too can be prepared and thought about in advance, 24 rather than arising really for the first time in 25 questioning.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p>
<p>1 MR SKELTON: Madam, from MPS's perspective it is very 2 helpful to have a carefully planned timetable. 3 Obviously the more prescriptive it is the more risks 4 there are that times will overrun, but nevertheless MPS 5 will liaise with your counsel and others to ensure that 6 we identify if any of the time on any particular day is 7 likely to be insufficient, so that that can be dealt 8 with. 9 THE CORONER: Yes, thank you. And by discussion to avoid 10 any repetition? 11 MR SKELTON: Indeed. 12 THE CORONER: Is Mr Berry the appropriate point of contact 13 for your team? 14 MR SKELTON: Yes, I think he is, madam. 15 THE CORONER: Thank you. 16 Mr Davies? 17 MR DAVIES: Madam, all of us are under a positive obligation 18 to promote an efficient and thorough investigation. For 19 our part, I have already indicated we will cooperate 20 fully with your team to achieve what is clearly 21 a challenging but achievable timetable. Experience 22 demonstrates, to endorse Mr O'Connor's point, that, 23 whether by way of major inquests or public inquiry, 24 progress is best achieved if counsel to the inquiry or 25 inquests assumes the burden of questioning as a single</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p>	<p>1 We will cooperate, of course, and we will nominate 2 a suitable person. Based on ability, of course, that 3 would be Ms Dobbin. 4 THE CORONER: Thank you Mr Davies, very much. 5 Mr Shaw, do you want to add anything on this topic? 6 We can't hear you Mr Shaw, at the moment. 7 (Pause) 8 I will come back to Mr Shaw. 9 Mr Atchley, anything from you on this topic? 10 Mr Shaw is back. 11 Mr Shaw, I can see you and I can see that you are 12 speaking, but I can't hear you: 13 "Nothing to add." 14 I think is what you are saying, but you are muted. 15 Mr Shaw, can you just repeat that you had nothing to 16 add? 17 MR SHAW: Nothing to add, no, nothing to add, thank you. 18 THE CORONER: Thank you very much. 19 Mr Atchley? 20 We can see you now, Mr Atchley. 21 MR ATCHLEY: Can you hear me now, madam? 22 THE CORONER: Yes, thank you. 23 MR ATCHLEY: I am sorry about that. 24 I adopt everything said by Hugh Davies and have 25 nothing to add to that, thank you very much.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p>

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

<p>1 THE CORONER: Thank you, Mr Atchley. 2 Mr Morley? 3 I can see you, Mr Morley. 4 MR MORLEY: Madam, can you hear me? 5 THE CORONER: Yes, thank you. 6 MR MORLEY: Madam, simply to confirm that I don't have 7 anything to add, thank you very much. 8 THE CORONER: I assume the position is the same for 9 Mr Moloney? 10 Mr O'Connor, do you want to respond to any of the 11 submissions that have been made? 12 MR O'CONNOR: Can I simply do so briefly by welcoming the 13 positive response of all interested persons to our 14 proposals and we are grateful for it. 15 The process we have in mind is intended to be 16 an intensely practical one, which is why we have 17 suggested that individuals should be identified so that 18 they can liaise together and with us over the course of 19 the next few weeks to work on this timetable. 20 We do understand what has been said about the 21 assistance that interested persons would gain from our 22 input, not only as to documents but also to give some 23 idea of the topics that we propose questioning about. 24 In our submission, there is a balance to be struck. We 25 don't want to overformalise this process and end up</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	<p>1 directions Mr O'Connor has sought and 4 and 11 December 2 respectively, so everybody knows they can work towards 3 those dates in this process. 4 Mr O'Connor, we go on to the next topic? 5 MR O'CONNOR: Yes, madam, the next topic was the third of 6 what I described as the major topics at the outset, 7 which is you will recall the issue that was raised prior 8 to our previous pre-inquest review, that of whether 9 a potential juror should be asked a question to 10 ascertain whether they have religious or other beliefs 11 that entail immoral judgments of gay sex or 12 homosexuality. Madam, you have now received extensive 13 written submissions on this issue. 14 Madam, may I say at the outset that we are acutely 15 aware of the significance of the discrimination issues 16 that are to be explored in these nature of the issues to 17 be explored in this inquest, that is one of reasons we 18 asked you not to determine this issue at the last 19 hearing but rather to put it over so that written 20 submissions could be prepared in order that you could 21 take the decision on the most informed basis. 22 Madam, I next wanted to record our gratitude to all 23 the interested persons who have filed submissions on 24 this issue. The legal research has been exhaustive and 25 of great assistance to us.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p>
<p>1 arguing about lengthy documents, after all that is set 2 out in the pleadings, but on the other hand we can see 3 that what I think Mr Davies described as headline 4 topics, would certainly assist all those involved and we 5 would certainly be very happy to prepare headline topics 6 for each of witnesses, which we will endeavour to 7 dispose of at the same time as the list of documents for 8 the witnesses. 9 We hope we will, by that means, be able to play our 10 part in this process, which is intended to allow us to 11 have a workable and robust timetable. 12 Madam, there is a risk that this process becomes 13 overformalised, but with everything that everyone has 14 said we can hopefully leave it and make the direction 15 that we sought, namely that the 11 December is the 16 aiming point for the end of this process, whereby 17 interested persons with our assistance and involvement, 18 propose a further date in this timetable which reflects 19 further on the time needed by each interested person for 20 each of the witnesses. 21 THE CORONER: Yes, thank you. Before we leave that topic, 22 I will say only this, I will obviously produce a written 23 ruling arising out of today's review hearing. But that 24 ruling will include directions without intending to 25 overformalise the process in relation to the two</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>	<p>1 In the end, as we put in our written submissions, we 2 submit that the authorities point to a clear answer to 3 this question and that is that the question that has 4 been proposed should not be asked of potential jurors. 5 Madam, we have set out the basis for that submission in 6 some detail in our written submissions and, as I say, 7 detailed written submissions provided by the other 8 interested persons as well. 9 In very brief summary, we will say first of all the 10 starting point is that, as a matter of law, jurors in 11 this country are randomly selected and their 12 independence and impartiality as a group is going to be 13 not by a process of individual (Inaudible) but rather by 14 their oath that they take, or affirmation, by the 15 directions that they are given in this case by you, and 16 also by the size of the panel acting as a moderating 17 factor. 18 Madam, the next point to make is that jurors may be 19 discharged on the grounds of bias. It is a standard 20 practice in a case such as this, and it has been 21 approved by the higher courts with the authorities that 22 you have seen that have been referred to in relation to 23 this issue. It is a standard practice to ask questions, 24 for example, about the connection that potential jurors 25 may have to the police, and that is why we propose to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

<p>1 ask such questions in this case for everyone 2 (Inaudible). 3 The next point to make, madam, is that that 4 exception to the general position being the random 5 selection of jurors, the exception that jurors may be 6 discharged on the grounds of bias, is a very narrowly 7 drawn exception and it is (Inaudible) that the mere fact 8 of a juror of a particular race or holds a particular 9 religious belief cannot found a challenge or cause on 10 the grounds of bias. We say, madam, that that principle 11 is well established, is in fact a complete answer to 12 this question, because what the question seeks to do is 13 to obtain an answer from potential jurors relating to 14 their relation or other beliefs. 15 As I have indicated, madam, the case law shows that 16 religious or other beliefs, whatever they are, cannot 17 stand a challenge for cause on the case of bias in the 18 case of potential jurors. 19 Madam, drawing it together, since any response that 20 the potential juror might give to a posed question could 21 not amount to a cause for dismissing that juror, it 22 would not be appropriate for you to ask that question in 23 this case. 24 Madam, I hope that assists by way of introduction. 25 As I have said, there are detailed written submissions</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p>	<p>1 seven days of today, which I think is 27 November. 2 Mr O'Connor, I think we can then move on to the less 3 contentious matters, as you have called them. 4 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, yes, they are a series of procedural 5 matters. My intention will be to make some short 6 submissions about each of them together. Then if there 7 are any interested persons who wish to make submissions 8 about any of them, perhaps they can deal with that after 9 I have made these submissions. 10 Madam, there are four brief topics that I want to 11 address. 12 The first is the question of further disclosure from 13 the Metropolitan Police. Madam, as you will recall from 14 the last occasion, the Metropolitan Police have been 15 undertaking a process of disclosure assurance, which 16 they have now completed. Madam, that process has 17 resulted in a further tranche of documents being 18 disclosed to us. The documents in fact were received 19 last Friday, and they have I think been uploaded on to 20 the Relativity system in the last couple of days. We 21 have in fact already reviewed those documents for 22 relevance and there is a process of checking for 23 duplicates underway. But so that interested persons can 24 have a feel for what it involves, there are something 25 less than 300 pages of further disclosure that at the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p>
<p>1 before you and you will need, madam, if you wish, to 2 give a written ruling. I don't know whether any 3 interested persons wish to make further oral submissions 4 to you today beyond the written submissions that they 5 have already filed. 6 THE CORONER: I was going to propose -- those who are not 7 present won't know that I in fact have an ongoing trial 8 in this court and I was going to propose that, if -- 9 I think it is really only the families and Dr van Dellen 10 wanted to respond to Mr O'Connor's final submissions on 11 this topic, they should do so in writing within seven 12 days of today and I will then give my decision as part 13 of the ruling in writing. 14 Mr Clark, do you want to argue against that proposed 15 course of action? 16 MR CLARK: Not at all, madam. Ms Hayward was kind enough to 17 indicate to me in advance that that may be the 18 proposition and I agree with it wholeheartedly, thank 19 you. 20 THE CORONER: Thank you. 21 Dr van Dellen, do you agree also? 22 DR VAN DELLEN: Yes, thank you, madam. 23 THE CORONER: All right, so I will simply say in relation to 24 the jury question, that any further submissions on that 25 topic should be set out in writing and served within</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p>	<p>1 moment we are proposing to make to IPs. That number of 2 pages may decrease, because we may find that some or 3 maybe even many of them are duplicates, but that is some 4 sense of the volume of material to be produced. There 5 are a certain number of documents but it is not, we wish 6 to reassure people, a voluminous amount of material and 7 will be fully disclosed we hope in the near future. 8 Madam, that is the first point. 9 Secondly, I wanted to touch briefly on a few matters 10 relating to witnesses. Madam, of course since the last 11 hearing, you have given your written ruling on the 12 question of which witnesses will be called or read. 13 That was a ruling dated 5 November. Madam, since then, 14 we have received a statement from Mr Ryan Edwards. That 15 was a statement we referred to in our written 16 submissions, and in fact the statement itself was only 17 disclosed to IPs a couple of days ago on Wednesday. 18 Madam, may I simply make clear what our position 19 regarding Mr Edwards is. Our position is that he is 20 simply called to give oral evidence at the inquests. As 21 IPs will see when they review his statement, he does 22 raise issues relating to the extent to which the police 23 in Barking engaged with the LGBT community and there is 24 clearly it seems to us an issue as to whether those 25 officers investigating the Kovari and Whitworth deaths</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p>

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

<p>1 might have engaged more closely with the LGBT community 2 and if they had done so, they might have discovered 3 Stephen Port's connection with Kovari sooner than they 4 did. 5 That is the issue, or at least one of issues that it 6 seems to us arises from Mr Edwards's statement and for 7 that reason we will in due course invite you to call 8 him. We appreciate of course that interested persons 9 have only had a day or two to see that statement, but we 10 do submit that any views that interested persons have 11 about whether Mr Edwards should be called should be 12 filed with the (Inaudible), could I say perhaps within 13 14 days. 14 One further issue on witnesses, madam, is simply to 15 refer to the written submissions that have been filed on 16 behalf of the Reynolds Dawson officers. 17 Madam, they have raised a question relating to 18 Mr Aldwinckle, and there was a some debate as to whether 19 Mr Aldwinckle, should or should not be called, and you 20 have ruled of course that his statement should be read 21 check. Of course, madam, that decision is one that will 22 be kept under review and can I simply say for today's 23 purposes that we have taken note of the points raised in 24 those written submissions. We will consider them and we 25 will discuss them with those representing the Reynolds</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p>	<p>1 MR CLARK: No, thank you, ma'am. 2 THE CORONER: Dr van Dellen? 3 DR VAN DELLEN: No, thank you, madam. 4 THE CORONER: Mr Skeleton? 5 MR SKELTON: No thank you. 6 Mr Davies. 7 MR DAVIES: No thank you, madam. 8 THE CORONER: Mr Shaw? 9 Mr Shaw? (Pause) 10 I can see Mr Atchley, anything from you, Mr Atchley? 11 MR ATCHLEY: No, thank you, madam. 12 THE CORONER: Mr Morley? 13 MR MORLEY: No, thank you, madam. 14 THE CORONER: I assume nothing from Mr Moloney? 15 MR MOLONEY: No thank you, madam. 16 THE CORONER: Can we try Mr Shaw one more time? 17 There is Mr Shaw, anything from you, Mr Shaw? 18 (Pause) 19 I think I can safely say it is very unlikely that 20 Mr Shaw would have anything on any of those topics, so 21 we will move on and back to Mr O'Connor. 22 Are we now at the stage where I should ask Ms Howard 23 if she has any further submissions in relation to 24 Detective Superintendent Hamer? 25 MR O'CONNOR: Yes, madam, that is what I was about to raise.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p>
<p>1 Dawson officers. If necessary of course we can come 2 back to this matter in January. 3 Madam, that is all I was proposing to say about lay 4 witnesses. We refer to the further report that will be 5 served by Ms Mackay, the expert. Again our written 6 submission, as an update that report as I understand it 7 is practically ready for service. It will be served 8 next week, we hope. It is a short report, madam, only 9 a few pages. 10 The final matter, madam, just to flag, again, 11 a matter we raised in written submissions, is that we 12 are in the process of putting together an index for the 13 jury bundle. That is a bundle that we wish for 14 practical reasons to have settled and indeed to produce 15 before the Christmas break. With that in mind we will 16 be circulating draft indexes for that bundle to 17 interested persons in the near future. 18 Madam, that is a series of procedural matters, if 19 there are any submissions anyone wants to make about any 20 or all of those points, that you might invite them to do 21 so. 22 THE CORONER: Yes. 23 Mr Clark, anything from you on any of those matters 24 that Mr O'Connor has just dealt with, any of those four 25 matters?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p>	<p>1 THE CORONER: Ms Howard, can you hear us, are you back with 2 us? 3 MS HOWARD: I am here and I can hear you, thank you madam. 4 Thank you for affording me time to read the 5 submissions made by the Metropolitan Police Service and 6 submissions on behalf of Reynolds Dawson, which I have 7 done. 8 In response to those written submissions, very, very 9 shortly, really. 10 In relation to the Metropolitan Police Service, 11 their position as to whether Superintendent Hamer is 12 granted interested person is neutral, firstly. The 13 observations they then go on to make at paragraph 7 deal 14 primarily with the issue of which body took control of 15 the investigation at what point and should it have moved 16 over earlier. Arguably, my submission would be that his 17 role within that would be to review and monitor and ask 18 for DCI Kirk and McCarthy's decisions -- his 19 responsibility would be to proactively consider whether 20 another request should be made. A hands-off approach 21 might be considered to be detrimental to the steps 22 during that initial part of the investigation into 23 Mr Port, it may be considered. 24 In relation to the submissions made on behalf of 25 Reynolds Dawson solicitors, their submissions are set</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 48</p>

<p>1 out at paragraph 4 and really, they simply reinforce the 2 submissions that I have already made to you, madam, this 3 morning, and really encapsulate what I would submit 4 would be the real difficulties with him not being 5 involved with interested person status. When 6 considering that paragraph, I would submit that, you 7 know, trying to apply some level of common sense is 8 that, if there is to be a blame- or 9 responsibility-shifting exercise for what wasn't done 10 and who should have done it and whose responsibility 11 that was, there is a very real possibility that that 12 will mean that the answer to my learned friend 13 Mr O'Connor's question, which he put to you at the 14 outset, in terms of causative link, may be in the 15 affirmative. 16 There is nothing else that I would wish to add in 17 relation to the submissions made by those other 18 interested persons. Thank you. 19 THE CORONER: Thank you, Ms Howard. 20 Mr O'Connor, just back to you, are there any other 21 matters I need to deal with today? 22 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, as far as I know, that is the end of 23 today's business unless anyone has any other matters 24 they wish to raise? 25 THE CORONER: I will just pause to see if there is anybody</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p>	<p>1 position is resolved as soon as possible. 2 MR ATCHLEY: Thank you. 3 THE CORONER: Thank you. 4 Unless there is anything else, I will conclude this 5 hearing now, thank you. 6 (11.26 am) 7 (The hearing concluded) 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p>
<p>1 who wants to add anything but I assume not. It seems to 2 me everything has been covered. 3 As I indicated earlier, I will provide a written 4 ruling in relation to the matters that have been raised 5 today and I hope to do that early next week. 6 MR ATCHLEY: Madam, may I mention one matter. 7 THE CORONER: Yes, of course. 8 MR ATCHLEY: Only to be slightly wary about our position. 9 The funding situation is not resolved as far as Mr Kirby 10 is concerned, MOPAC have been chased on a number of 11 occasions by Hallinans, my solicitors, the latest we had 12 from them a few days ago was we will get a decision in 13 about two weeks' time. That would be over two months 14 and two weeks since we applied. 15 We have, particularly those who instruct me, have 16 been working pro bono in the hope that at the end of the 17 day the decision will be a positive one. But the fact 18 it is taking so long does concern us and I hope you will 19 appreciate it if we seem to be a little tardy on 20 occasions, but I am running out of all my pro and quite 21 a lot of my bono, and I think the position is that we 22 might be a little slow in coming forward with certain 23 dates and timetables and so forth but we will do our 24 very best. 25 THE CORONER: Thank you very much, Mr Atchley. I hope the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p>	

A				
ability 36:2	affirmation 40:14	anybody 49:25	4:11 22:20	40:21
able 23:11 38:9	affirmative 12:20 49:15	apart 33:15	23:4,9,12,17	available 6:15
acceptable 26:15	afforded 17:10	apologise 19:21	23:22 24:4,21	23:20
access 2:2	21:5	apparent 9:19	24:24	average 32:7
account 11:3	affording 48:4	appear 14:12	arrive 26:14	averaging 32:6
accuracy 26:4	agenda 3:23,25	30:10	articulated 35:15	avoid 34:9
accurate 30:14	20:5 22:4	application 3:19	ascertain 39:10	avoided 25:19
achievable 34:21	ago 44:17 50:12	4:7,8,25 5:3,7	asked 4:13 8:17	25:22 29:18
achieve 34:20	agree 19:14	5:8,12,15 6:8	16:5 17:12	aware 5:25 8:19
achieved 34:24	30:10 31:7	6:25 8:20,23	28:17 39:9,18	18:8 39:15
act 35:13	33:4 42:18,21	9:2,7 10:2,9	40:4	B
acting 40:16	agreement 18:23	11:24 12:24	asserting 15:5	back 11:8 20:24
action 13:19	ahead 23:11	15:8 19:25	assessment 13:7	22:1,3,15 36:8
18:17 42:15	aiming 24:11	21:21	13:9 24:15	36:10 46:2
actions 11:7	38:16	applied 50:14	assiduous 33:22	47:21 48:1
15:17 16:3	Aldwinckle 45:18,19	apply 25:8 49:7	assist 19:5 20:13	49:20
actions/inacti... 16:9	allocation 10:23	appoint 33:5	21:23 30:19	background 5:4
acts 29:25	11:11	appointed 27:3	38:4	bail 12:6
actual 9:25 10:4	allocations 29:6	appraisal 31:12	assistance 16:2	balance 19:2
acutely 39:14	allow 17:6 38:10	appreciate 20:25 45:8	23:8 37:21	20:9 37:24
add 7:6,21 8:1,4	allowance 27:21	50:19	38:17 39:25	balanced 18:2
15:10 36:5,13	allowed 27:16	apprehended 17:20	assists 41:24	Barking 6:2
36:16,17,17,25	29:16	approach 8:8	associated 35:22	13:5 16:4
37:7 49:16	ambit 12:3	29:2 31:24	Association 9:21	22:25 23:6,9
50:1	13:12	35:5,14 48:20	assume 8:10	23:13 25:19
addition 9:21	amount 21:18	approaching 28:25	37:8 47:14	44:23
12:23	22:23 31:20	appropriate 13:10 20:17	50:1	barristers 24:7
address 3:6 20:5	32:1,6,7,16	26:18 27:11,17	assumed 19:25	Barth 3:12
32:19 43:11	41:21 44:6	33:4,5 34:12	assumes 34:25	based 6:2 35:3
addressed 29:1	amounts 15:5	41:22	assurance 43:15	36:2
addresses 7:4	and/or 17:5	approval 11:7	Atchley 3:15 8:3	basis 6:21 12:18
23:21,24	35:22	11:20	8:4 36:9,19,20	20:25 23:16
adds 16:1	Andy 16:13 17:2	approved 40:21	36:21,23 37:1	26:11 39:21
adequacy 13:12	annex 25:2	area 23:23	47:10,10,11	40:5
adopt 36:24	30:11	areas 23:23	50:6,8,25 51:2	bear 29:8 30:12
advance 7:1	answer 6:21	Arguably 48:16	attempt 2:7,7	behalf 3:12,14
26:1 35:2,9,19	12:18,19 40:2	argue 42:14	30:10	3:15,16,17 4:9
35:23 42:17	41:11,13 49:12	argued 6:22	attendance 23:24 24:2	5:1 7:4 8:21
advice 9:10,16	Anthony 1:8 6:4	arguing 38:1	25:17	9:2,13 17:12
11:20 16:2	12:17 21:12	arises 6:7 45:6	attending 3:18	19:10 31:15
advised 9:17	anticipate 4:16	arising 35:24	24:7	32:17,21 45:16
advocates 3:8	anticipated 26:10 29:12	38:23	attributable 14:4	48:6,24
		arrangements	audio 3:5	belief 41:9
			authorities 40:2	beliefs 39:10
				41:14,16
				believes 15:23

<p>Berry 3:11 34:12 best 34:24 50:24 better 19:3 beyond 42:4 bias 40:19 41:6 41:10,17 blame 17:14 18:4 blame- 49:8 body 10:15 48:14 bono 50:16,21 borough 6:1 13:6 16:18 17:1 23:6 break 46:15 brief 5:5 11:8,23 19:19 40:9 43:10 briefly 5:24 14:12 20:9 37:12 44:9 broad 27:13 broadcast 2:8 bulk 27:4 31:8 bundle 4:19 5:11,19 22:20 46:13,13,16 burden 34:25 business 49:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>C 25:2 30:11 calculation 28:22 call 45:7 called 27:5 30:15 43:3 44:12,20 45:11 45:19 camera 22:11 cameras 2:22 carefully 25:18 34:2 Carlyon 1:23 23:2,18 24:10</p>	<p>24:25 Carlyon's 3:6 carried 24:17 31:9 carry 19:18 case 4:24 14:15 14:19,21 17:18 17:23 18:9 25:6 28:15,19 35:7 40:15,20 41:1,15,17,18 41:23 cases 25:6 32:10 causative 6:9,18 13:25 21:12,19 21:19 27:7 49:14 causatively 18:20 cause 41:9,17,21 caveat 8:24 Central 1:18 certain 25:12 44:5 50:22 certainly 33:4,8 38:4,5 chaired 16:18 challenge 41:9 41:17 challenging 34:21 charge 10:25 chased 50:10 check 33:2 45:21 checking 43:22 Chief 11:13,14 Christmas 30:17 46:15 circulate 27:24 28:8 circulated 4:1 23:18 24:18 25:3,25 circulating 46:16 circumstances</p>	<p>14:10 16:9 17:7,24 18:22 25:9 Clark 3:9 7:14 7:15 30:24,25 31:2,4 32:23 33:2,9,12 35:15 42:14,16 46:23 47:1 clear 11:25 16:13 19:7 20:16 40:2 44:18 clearer 32:19 clearly 28:18 34:20 44:24 closely 45:1 coherent 35:1 35:16 come 11:8 22:1 22:15 36:8 46:1 comes 31:18 coming 26:20 50:22 command 10:13 commanded 16:18 commander 6:1 16:19 17:2 commencement 1:11 comment 15:12 comments 15:15 15:20 16:4 common 49:7 community 44:23 45:1 complete 41:11 completed 43:16 comprehensive 18:7 concern 24:16 50:18 concerned 19:9 20:11 24:12 29:22 30:2</p>	<p>33:1,19 50:10 concerning 10:1 12:17 28:11 concerns 31:19 32:15 conclude 51:4 concluded 51:7 concluding 18:12 conduct 6:10,12 6:16 20:19 21:1,10,18,20 27:4 conducted 1:17 1:24 2:12 12:12 19:3 21:7 confident 26:13 confirm 24:13 37:6 conflict 9:11,15 9:22,23 10:4 11:9 14:13,16 14:19,24 15:1 18:16 20:11,13 20:14 connection 1:7 40:24 45:3 consider 15:7 45:24 48:19 considerable 13:20 22:23 consideration 9:9 considerations 25:8 32:13 considered 1:14 8:25 9:11 12:3 14:19 18:9,11 21:12 48:21,23 considering 14:7 15:10 29:15 49:6 contact 29:25 33:6,19 34:12 contempt 2:4 contentious 43:3</p>	<p>contesting 17:23 context 5:3,24 15:7,19,25 16:7 21:2 28:21 29:13 30:20 control 48:14 convenient 33:6 conviction 11:4 Cooke 10:14 cooperate 34:19 36:1 cooperation 35:1 core 6:7 29:20 35:2 coronavirus 1:14 coroner 1:5 4:22 7:9,16,18,23 8:2,5,9,12 19:7 19:18 21:25 22:12,14 27:2 30:23 31:3 32:22 33:8,10 33:17,24 34:9 34:12,15 36:4 36:18,22 37:1 37:5,8 38:21 42:6,20,23 46:22 47:2,4,8 47:12,14,16 48:1 49:19,25 50:7,25 51:3 correct 14:17 correspondence 9:20 couched 31:22 counsel 1:19,19 1:22 3:18 9:3 10:10 15:3 26:5 27:2,3 29:24 31:9 33:21 34:5,24 35:10,18 country 20:25 40:11</p>
--	---	---	---	--

<p>couple 43:20 44:17</p> <p>course 6:12 10:3 10:11 11:4,5 12:7 13:4,16 14:15 18:8,10 19:15 20:18,20 21:6 22:12 24:13,13 25:5 26:10 27:13 28:2 29:7 30:12 35:20 36:1,2 37:18 42:15 44:10 45:7,8,20,21 46:1 50:7</p> <p>court 1:18,19,22 2:2,4,21 23:23 26:19 42:8</p> <p>courts 40:21</p> <p>cover 35:18</p> <p>covered 35:9 50:2</p> <p>COVID 25:12</p> <p>COVID-related 24:15 29:12</p> <p>crass 17:17</p> <p>criminal 1:19 2:6 17:18</p> <p>critical 10:13 12:1,4 16:16</p> <p>criticised 6:12 16:12 18:20 20:20 21:1</p> <p>criticism 15:4 20:22 21:17</p> <p>criticisms 15:7</p> <p>crucial 10:17 18:14</p> <p>curious 17:20</p> <p>current 24:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>Dagenham 13:5 16:4 23:7</p> <p>daily 20:25 23:15</p>	<p>Daniel 1:9 6:20</p> <p>date 28:8 30:17 38:18</p> <p>dated 5:9,13,16 5:18 9:5 44:13</p> <p>dates 13:3 39:3 50:23</p> <p>Davies 3:12 7:25 8:1 34:16,17 36:4,24 38:3 47:6,7</p> <p>Dawson 3:14 9:18,24 19:11 45:16 46:1 48:6,25</p> <p>day 34:6 45:9 50:17</p> <p>day-to-day 11:11</p> <p>days 16:6 24:19 42:12 43:1,20 44:17 45:13 50:12</p> <p>DC 12:12</p> <p>DCI 48:18</p> <p>deal 4:4,8,16 9:6 10:8,12 11:8 14:12 22:3,19 31:5 43:8 48:13 49:21</p> <p>Dealing 8:20 13:21</p> <p>dealt 10:8 14:11 14:13 34:7 46:24</p> <p>death 6:4 10:18 12:10 13:3,8 16:6 21:11,13 33:14</p> <p>deaths 1:8 6:11 6:19,19 21:13 21:14,20 44:25</p> <p>debate 26:18 45:18</p> <p>December 28:8 30:9 38:15 39:1</p>	<p>decision 5:9 39:21 42:12 45:21 50:12,17</p> <p>decision-maki... 15:17</p> <p>decisions 11:7 16:3,8 48:18</p> <p>declared 16:16</p> <p>decrease 44:2</p> <p>decreases 17:25</p> <p>defendant 17:19</p> <p>defending 16:8</p> <p>degree 23:8</p> <p>delay 3:3</p> <p>Dellen 3:11 7:16 7:17 33:11,12 33:18,20 42:9 42:21,22 47:2 47:3</p> <p>demonstrates 34:22</p> <p>denial 15:25</p> <p>denies 15:21</p> <p>deputy 6:1 16:18</p> <p>described 38:3 39:6</p> <p>detail 11:24 23:20 26:18,24 35:7 40:6</p> <p>detailed 25:25 35:20 40:7 41:25</p> <p>details 28:11</p> <p>Detective 3:15 3:20 4:9 5:1,16 5:25 8:21 9:2 15:11 16:11 20:19 47:24</p> <p>determinative 6:14</p> <p>determine 39:18</p> <p>detrimental 48:21</p> <p>DI 3:16 33:15</p> <p>dialing 24:3</p> <p>different 4:3</p>	<p>difficult 14:9</p> <p>difficulties 23:14 49:4</p> <p>difficulty 3:7</p> <p>diligent 15:23</p> <p>direction 9:17 30:6,7 38:14</p> <p>directions 38:24 39:1 40:15</p> <p>director 9:13</p> <p>disagreed 15:15</p> <p>discharged 40:19 41:6</p> <p>disclosed 43:18 44:7,17</p> <p>discloses 6:9</p> <p>disclosure 4:18 43:12,15,25</p> <p>discovered 10:15 45:2</p> <p>discovery 10:18 12:10 18:19</p> <p>discretion 14:22</p> <p>discrimination 39:15</p> <p>discuss 29:7 45:25</p> <p>discussion 26:11 26:21 28:2 29:23 32:25 34:9</p> <p>discussion/neg... 30:8</p> <p>discussions 26:13</p> <p>dismissing 41:21</p> <p>dispose 2:4 38:7</p> <p>dissent 11:7</p> <p>distort 17:15</p> <p>distorted 17:25</p> <p>divided 32:2</p> <p>Dobbin 3:13 36:3</p> <p>document 5:16 5:17 31:16</p> <p>documents 5:10</p>	<p>5:18 9:1 22:11 27:10,25 28:1 28:3,8 35:22 37:22 38:1,7 43:17,18,21 44:5</p> <p>doing 27:8</p> <p>door 17:14</p> <p>doubt 26:15 27:21 35:12</p> <p>Dr 7:16,17 33:11,12,18,20 42:9,21,22 47:2,3</p> <p>draft 26:9 46:16</p> <p>drafted 23:18 29:14</p> <p>draw 27:8</p> <p>drawing 41:19</p> <p>drawn 41:7</p> <p>due 1:13 24:13 45:7</p> <p>duplicates 43:23 44:3</p> <p>duration 10:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>earlier 28:12 48:16 50:3</p> <p>early 18:24 30:14 50:5</p> <p>Edwards 44:14 44:19 45:11</p> <p>Edwards's 45:6</p> <p>effect 6:18 22:16</p> <p>effected 23:13</p> <p>effective 29:24</p> <p>efficient 25:5,23 34:18</p> <p>efficiently 25:16</p> <p>either 3:5</p> <p>email 3:6,6,7 20:4</p> <p>emphasise 2:3 29:18</p> <p>enable 2:2</p> <p>encapsulate</p>
---	--	---	--	--

49:3 encompassed 10:19 endeavour 28:13 29:19 38:6 endorse 34:22 35:14 endorsed 16:3 enemy 35:11 engaged 44:23 45:1 enquiries 11:2 ensure 2:13,17 2:25 34:5 ensuring 21:6 entail 39:11 entirely 14:17 31:7 33:4 environment 23:15 epidemic 1:14 equally 28:24 essentially 15:20 established 14:4 16:17 41:11 estimates 26:22 everybody 1:5 2:24 7:11 39:2 evidence 4:18 5:13 6:8,15 17:12,16,25 18:7 21:6 27:7 44:20 evidential 27:25 evidentially 18:3 19:2 Ewing 11:14,14 16:13 17:2 examination 27:15 examine 24:9 27:16 examined 18:19 example 17:17 24:7 25:17 40:24	exception 41:4,5 41:7 exercise 19:3 49:9 exhaustive 39:24 exist 20:15 expand 5:21 experience 2:21 3:2 28:15 34:21 35:3 expert 4:18 46:5 explore 27:6 explored 28:6 39:16,17 extended 29:6 extensive 39:12 extent 44:22 extra 24:10 25:8 extremely 23:4 eye 29:14	family's 31:10 far 16:25 20:10 24:12 29:21 30:2 32:25 33:19 49:22 50:9 Favata 32:24 33:3 favour 17:4 February 23:1 23:13 25:10 feedback 2:21 feel 43:24 fifth 1:7 filed 39:23 42:5 45:12,15 final 1:10 15:18 22:2 42:10 46:10 finally 29:21 30:4 find 44:2 fine 26:18 finished 3:1 first 4:4,8,24 6:3 11:2 12:9 25:14 26:9 31:16 35:24 40:9 43:12 44:8 firstly 2:15 9:6 9:13 48:12 flag 46:10 focus 21:15 followed 21:13 following 5:12 10:17 12:10 16:6 18:19 follows 35:5 force 25:8 form 26:11 formally 1:25 forth 50:23 forward 27:23 29:22 50:22 foul 16:24 found 41:9	four 43:10 46:24 fourthly 4:16 Friday 1:1 43:19 friend 8:22 12:19 14:17 33:12 49:12 fully 34:20 44:7 functions 30:13 funding 50:9 further 21:23 22:6 26:24 27:22 28:3,14 33:16 35:21 38:18,19 42:3 42:24 43:12,17 43:25 45:14 46:4 47:23 future 44:7 46:17	29:21 40:12 42:6,8 gold 16:17,17,24 good 23:9 grant 6:22 granted 12:20 14:9 21:3 48:12 granting 17:4 18:5,25 20:22 grateful 22:8 26:12 27:20 37:14 gratitude 39:22 great 23:8 31:5 39:25 greater 19:2 grounds 40:19 41:6,10 group 16:17,17 16:24 30:9 40:12 guidance 2:11 20:16 guilty 17:19
	F		G	H
	f 17:5 face 23:15 facilitate 22:24 fact 4:2,8 6:11 12:13 19:20 20:22 21:11 28:25 29:19 41:7,11 42:7 43:18,21 44:16 50:17 factor 40:17 factored 27:20 factual 15:5 28:5 failings 14:5 failures 13:25 14:3 fairly 21:7 familiar 17:18 families 3:9 25:22 27:18 28:17 32:17,25 42:9 families' 31:13		Gabriel 1:9 6:19 gain 37:21 gay 39:11 general 41:4 generally 13:21 28:5 generate 4:5 generic 13:21,24 give 2:1 20:16 22:4,6 37:22 41:20 42:2,12 44:20 given 5:22 7:12 14:16,22 15:23 18:13,14,16 25:9 29:11 30:14 35:15 40:15 44:11 gives 15:19 giving 17:12 go 3:22 7:10 23:11,19 39:4 48:13 goes 15:22 16:20 going 19:12 24:20 27:23	hall 22:25 23:9 23:13 24:16 Hallinans 50:11 Hamer 3:21 4:9 5:1,6,17,20 6:1 6:23 7:5 8:22 9:3 11:16 13:16 14:6,8 14:25 15:14 16:10,14,19 17:4,7 18:13 47:24 48:11 Hamer's 6:10,12 6:16 9:8 12:9 12:18 13:12 16:20 20:19 21:10,18 hand 1:6 2:9 3:5 3:22 38:2 handling 18:21

<p>happen 30:8 happy 4:20 38:5 hard 23:4,10 Hayward 1:21 42:16 headed 17:1 headline 35:17 35:20,22 38:3 38:5 hear 1:5 26:23 30:25 31:1,2 36:6,12,21 37:4 48:1,3 hearing 1:3,4,16 1:24,25 2:12 2:14 3:23 4:1 4:10,21 5:22 7:1 20:8 22:15 22:20,22,25 23:17,25 24:21 26:1 29:15 33:7 38:23 39:19 44:11 51:5,7 hearings 1:11 20:20 23:12 24:4 25:10,15 29:8 heavily 17:3 31:22 held 1:4 helpful 28:10 30:2 34:2 35:15 higher 40:21 highlighted 11:23 holds 41:8 homosexuality 39:12 hope 1:5 30:19 38:9 41:24 44:7 46:8 50:5 50:16,18,25 hoped 19:1 hopefully 38:14 hours 32:2</p>	<p>Howard 3:18 5:2,23 7:4,8 8:12,13 19:7 19:21 20:3,6 20:18 22:1,8 22:13,15 47:22 48:1,3 49:19 Hugh 36:24</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <p>idea 31:23 32:19 37:23 identified 35:9 35:19 37:17 identify 34:6 illustrate 31:25 illustration 32:7 imagined 14:25 immediately 26:8 29:5 immoral 39:11 impartiality 40:12 imperative 25:14 importance 12:13 important 18:9 27:6 importantly 15:18 16:11 inaction 13:19 18:18 Inaudible 5:14 13:1,17 15:12 20:14 21:5 30:6 31:21 40:13 41:2,7 45:12 incident 16:16 16:16 inclination 18:4 include 14:5 38:24 includes 13:4 including 10:23 18:10 21:5</p>	<p>inconvenience 25:22 inconvenient 22:2 independence 40:12 index 46:12 indexes 46:16 indicate 2:22 42:17 indicated 3:25 5:2 21:8 34:19 41:15 50:3 indication 32:8 individual 29:3 29:6,16 40:13 individuals 21:1 21:3,5 24:3 37:17 information 11:6 30:15 informed 10:3 39:21 initial 12:16 13:2,4,6,13 48:22 initially 5:7 input 37:22 inquest 1:11,22 2:10 10:11 13:15 14:21 15:3 18:6 20:20 22:25 26:1 27:1,2,3 33:21,21 35:13 39:17 inquest's 9:3 inquests 1:8,20 1:23 6:13 20:24 21:2 23:3 24:16 25:20 26:5 34:23,25 35:10 44:20 inquests' 2:16 inquiry 16:2 34:23,24 35:18</p>	<p>Inspector 15:11 16:11 instruct 50:15 instructing 8:17 20:3 instrumental 12:17 insufficient 34:7 intend 26:17 35:18 intended 19:20 26:9 37:15 38:10 intending 38:24 intensely 37:16 intention 43:5 interest 9:12 12:14 20:14 interested 1:20 2:11 3:20 4:5,7 4:25 5:7 6:22 6:25 7:6 8:15 8:20 10:5 12:21 14:9,16 14:22 17:4,6,8 17:10 18:6,13 18:25 19:24 20:16,23 21:3 23:19,21 24:18 24:22 25:3 26:6,11 27:16 28:3,20 30:9 30:22 32:3,8 35:2,6,21 37:13,21 38:17 38:19 39:23 40:8 42:3 43:7 43:23 45:8,10 46:17 48:12 49:5,18 introduction 41:24 introductory 5:5 investigating 9:23 11:6,19 13:7 15:3</p>	<p>44:25 investigation 6:4,17 10:20 10:23 11:1 12:4,6,11 13:3 13:7,13,18 15:23 18:15,21 21:7,11 28:12 34:18 48:15,22 investigations 14:1 investigative 13:5,25 14:3 invite 7:5 26:23 30:21 45:7 46:20 invited 6:24 involved 4:6 25:20 26:16 38:4 49:5 involvement 11:25 33:13 38:17 involves 43:24 involving 26:21 IOPC 3:17 27:9 IP 6:14 9:2 IPs 26:21,22 28:24 29:2,5 32:3,11 44:1 44:17,21 IPs' 28:23 issue 4:12 7:21 11:9 14:12 16:6 20:7 21:9 21:15 32:19 39:7,13,18,24 40:23 44:24 45:5,14 48:14 issues 4:2,4,14 4:17 12:15,16 12:23,24 13:2 13:14,21,22,24 14:7,11 15:16 17:3 18:9,10 20:9 22:18,20 24:15,23 27:7</p>
---	--	---	---	---

27:11 28:5 35:8,8 39:15 39:16 44:22 45:5	Kovari 1:9 3:10 6:19 12:8 21:14 44:25 45:3	listed 29:9 little 50:19,22 live 2:1 logically 31:18 London 23:6 long 31:12 50:18 longer 25:15 look 11:19,20 16:20 20:6 looks 12:15,22 lot 50:21	44:8,10,13,18 45:14,17,21 46:3,8,10,18 47:3,7,11,13 47:15,25 48:3 49:2,22 50:6 major 34:23 39:6 making 7:12 10:19 man 16:8 managed 25:16 management 10:21 25:6 managing 11:11 mastermind 17:21 material 15:9 44:4,6 matter 15:14 24:22 25:19 28:20,25 29:1 40:10 46:2,10 46:11 50:6 matters 12:23 13:11 15:13 17:22 20:5 22:3 23:21 24:25 43:3,5 44:9 46:18,23 46:25 49:21,23 50:4 McCarthy 12:12 16:11 McCarthy's 15:11 48:18 mean 49:12 meaningful 31:12 means 2:6 38:9 meeting 16:24 member 29:24 30:1 members 25:21 mention 23:5 50:6 mentioned 31:6	mere 20:22 41:7 Metropolitan 3:12 9:14,22 15:2 19:12 43:13,14 48:5 48:10 microphones 2:18,20,23 Mike 9:3 16:19 mind 27:12 29:8 30:12 31:10 37:15 46:15 minimised 35:12 minutes 32:2 misconducted 15:22 misconducting 16:1 moderating 40:16 Moloney 3:17 8:10,11 37:9 47:14,15 moment 2:9 5:23 30:25 32:16 36:6 44:1 monitor 48:17 months 29:9 50:13 MOPAC 50:10 Morley 3:16 8:6 8:7 37:2,3,4,6 47:12,13 morning 4:15 49:3 move 43:2 47:21 moved 48:15 Moving 10:8 MPS 34:4 MPS's 34:1 murders 12:8 mute 22:10 muted 2:18 36:14
J	L	M		
Jack 1:9 6:20 January 1:12 23:1,13 25:10 46:2 John 3:16 judgments 39:11 Julie 27:9 July 5:8,9 8:23 15:12 junction 9:10 June 5:25 6:6,17 10:14 13:4 junior 1:22 juror 39:9 41:8 41:20,21 jurors 4:13 40:4 40:10,18,24 41:5,5,13,18 jury 4:18 17:9 19:1 25:21 27:19 29:11 42:24 46:13 justice 11:5 12:7	lack 14:2 lastly 4:16 late 5:25 6:17 latest 50:11 law 40:10 41:15 lay 46:3 lead 12:1 16:14 20:22 30:3 leadership 6:3 11:10,10 16:4 leading 10:16,17 11:15,21 13:17 16:10 learned 8:22 12:19 14:17 33:12 49:12 leave 14:8 17:9 38:14,21 led 11:4 legal 1:20 9:13 9:16 25:21 32:4 39:24 length 14:14 lengthy 38:1 letters 10:1 level 25:12 49:7 LGBT 44:23 45:1 liaise 24:25 34:5 37:18 lies 30:17 light 13:13 20:7 likelihood 18:16 line 35:1,16 lines 31:14 32:20 link 3:9 6:9 21:19 49:14 list 7:10 12:15 12:22 27:25 28:8 38:7	m 17:5 ma'am 3:25 5:10 31:2 33:2 47:1 Mackay 27:9 46:5 madam 3:24 4:3 4:20,24 5:2,6 5:19,24 6:7,24 7:15,17,20 8:1 8:4,7,11,13 9:1 11:17 12:22 15:6 17:3,17 18:12 19:4,16 19:17,19 20:2 20:9 21:6,16 21:21,23 22:8 22:10,17,18,22 23:2,5,17,20 23:25 24:14,20 25:1,5,11,24 26:1,8,17 27:1 27:5,12,18,23 28:14 29:5,8 29:21 30:4,12 30:19 33:13,20 34:1,14,17 36:21 37:4,6 38:12 39:5,12 39:14,22 40:5 40:18 41:3,10 41:15,19,24 42:1,16,22 43:4,10,13,16		
K				
keep 2:19 29:14 kept 45:22 key 29:16 32:17 kind 42:16 Kirby 50:9 Kirk 3:16 12:12 48:18 know 1:13 2:9 3:7 5:6,6 6:24 9:1 12:4,7 18:8 19:4 23:2,15 24:10 31:14 42:2,7 49:7,22 known 13:13 knows 39:2				

N	44:1,5 50:10	32:19	13:22	17:5,8,10,21
narrative 15:8	O	ongoing 42:7	parameters	17:22,24 18:1
narrow 21:9	O'Connor 1:19	open 28:2	18:24	18:6,13,25
narrowly 41:6	2:9 3:22,24	opportunity	paraphrase 15:4	19:25 20:17,23
nature 39:16	4:23 8:22	7:12 18:2 22:4	15:20	21:3 32:3,8
near 44:7 46:17	19:14,16,19	22:6,9	part 11:9 13:11	33:4,5 35:21
necessary 1:25	22:14,16 31:7	oral 3:19 42:3	22:22 34:19	36:2 38:19
9:7 23:4 25:6	37:10,12 39:1	44:20	38:10 42:12	48:12 49:5
25:15 26:3	39:4,5 43:2,4	orally 5:22	48:22	persons 1:20
46:1	46:24 47:21,25	order 2:25 4:21	partially 1:16,24	2:11 3:20 4:6
need 30:16	49:20,22	12:16 17:11	2:13	6:25 7:6 8:15
31:14 42:1	O'Connor's	22:4 24:8	participants 2:2	23:19,21 24:18
49:21	34:22 42:10	31:12,25 32:18	2:17 35:2	24:22 25:4
needed 9:15	49:13	39:20	participating	26:6,11 27:16
38:19	oath 40:14	original 8:23	1:21	28:3,20 30:9
needs 9:10 29:1	objectives 10:21	ought 19:21	participation	35:2,6 37:13
30:12	obligation 34:17	outline 5:4	23:25 24:6	37:21 38:17
negotiation	observation	outlined 18:16	particular 4:11	39:23 40:8
29:23	27:18,21 28:14	outset 2:1 8:14	4:12 14:19,21	42:3 43:7,23
negotiations	31:17,18 33:16	11:1 21:8 39:6	22:21 23:8	45:8,10 46:17
32:11	observations	39:14 49:14	25:24 34:6	49:18
neutral 7:17 8:7	26:12 48:13	outside 35:8	41:8,8	perspective 34:1
8:11 48:12	observe 29:9	overall 11:12,21	particularly	perverting 11:5
neutrality 7:3	observing 24:4	29:14	12:25 18:18,22	12:7
never 17:20	obtain 41:13	overformalise	27:8 28:24	picture 17:9,15
nevertheless	obvious 26:2	37:25 38:25	31:10,21 32:16	18:3
34:4	obviously 31:22	overformalised	33:13 50:15	pivotal 12:1
news 23:9	33:13,18 34:3	38:13	parties 4:6 17:6	18:14
nominate 32:23	38:22	overrun 34:4	26:24 29:23	place 1:13,15
32:24 36:1	occasion 8:16	oversight 19:22	30:22	2:14 16:25
nominated 30:3	43:14	P	parts 2:19	18:3 20:24
non-adversarial	occasions 50:11	PACE 17:8	path 10:22	22:25 23:5
27:6	50:20	page 15:19	pause 2:24 36:7	24:5,11 25:10
non-suspicious	occur 12:8	16:22	47:9,18 49:25	25:13
13:9	occurred 28:9	pages 43:25 44:2	paying 22:23	placed 10:6
normally 17:1	October 5:13,16	46:9	23:10	places 11:14
note 17:20 22:16	offence 2:6	paginated 16:21	people 2:22 15:5	16:14
23:17,20 45:23	officer 10:13	panel 40:16	44:6	planned 25:18
noted 32:18	officers 3:13	paragraph	period 10:13	34:2
notwithstandi...	9:24 10:14	10:10 12:25	11:1 18:18,21	play 2:4 16:24
23:14	11:6,19 12:12	13:1 14:3	permission 2:1	38:9
November 1:1	13:6,8 14:5	15:18 16:15,22	22:10	pleaded 17:19
5:18 9:5 12:16	15:3 19:10	30:5 48:13	permitted 28:23	pleadings 38:2
43:1 44:13	44:25 45:16	49:1,6	person 4:7,25	please 1:6 2:17
number 12:2	46:1	paragraphs	5:7 6:23 8:21	2:24 3:5 24:24
13:12 32:2	once 8:24 22:7	10:1,9 12:23	10:5 12:21	33:11
			14:10,16,17,22	point 18:15

<p>19:21 21:24 27:1,14,17 29:25 30:4 31:11 32:9,9 33:18 34:12,22 38:16 40:2,10 40:18 41:3 44:8 48:15 points 5:5 7:1 19:19 45:23 46:20 police 3:12,13 6:2 9:14,20,22 10:16 11:13,15 11:22 13:17 14:1 15:2 16:10,13 19:12 27:11 28:18 40:25 43:13,14 44:22 48:5,10 policing 10:14 policy 27:11 Port 11:1 12:6 48:23 Port's 45:3 posed 12:19 41:20 position 7:3 10:7 15:6 16:8 23:24 24:2,9 24:12 25:11 32:12,14 37:8 41:4 44:18,19 48:11 50:8,21 51:1 positions 14:9 positive 34:17 37:13 50:17 possibility 49:11 possible 6:9 25:17 35:17 51:1 possibly 10:12 potential 4:13 9:15,22 14:20 17:13 39:9 40:4,24 41:13</p>	<p>41:18,20 potentially 18:20 21:19 practical 23:11 24:21 37:16 46:14 practically 46:7 practice 27:11 40:20,23 pre-inquest 1:3 1:7,10,15 39:8 precarious 11:18 precise 25:11 predict 26:4 prejudice 14:2 18:10 preliminary 32:15 premise 20:1 preparatory 22:23 prepare 27:24 38:5 prepared 19:10 25:25 26:9 35:23 39:20 prescriptive 34:3 present 1:22 3:9 17:24 24:7 42:7 presented 15:1 presumption 31:8 previous 2:25 39:8 primarily 48:14 principle 41:10 prior 1:10 39:7 pro 50:16,20 proactively 48:19 probably 28:18 33:14 35:9 problem 3:4 problems 29:12</p>	<p>procedural 4:17 5:4 21:4 43:4 46:18 proceed 13:18 32:13 proceedings 2:3 2:6,8,20 12:14 25:9 process 15:17 26:3,20,25 27:20,23 28:22 29:21,22 30:3 30:7,20 37:15 37:25 38:10,12 38:16,25 39:3 40:13 43:15,16 43:22 46:12 produce 38:22 46:14 produced 44:4 product 13:6 progress 16:2 34:24 35:12 progressed 10:21 promote 34:18 35:4 promotion 35:16 properly 32:19 proposals 16:7 37:14 propose 28:1 31:5 37:23 38:18 40:25 42:6,8 proposed 28:7 40:4 42:14 proposing 23:19 44:1 46:3 proposition 42:18 prospect 21:9 protection 17:8 protections 21:4 provide 28:10 31:12 50:3</p>	<p>provided 2:10 18:6 19:1 23:7 40:7 providing 28:22 32:1 provisional 12:15,22 13:2 13:22 public 25:21 34:23 publish 2:5 published 2:15 purposes 45:23 pursue 31:24 pursued 31:15 32:20 pursuing 32:5 push 17:14 put 22:2 23:4 28:1,4,9,20 32:15 39:19 40:1 49:13 putting 46:12</p>	<p>24:23 27:19 28:17 29:20 31:23 32:12 35:6 40:23 41:1 quickly 17:21 quite 32:10 50:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>race 41:8 raise 1:5 3:5 44:22 47:25 49:24 raised 39:7 45:17,23 46:11 50:4 raising 35:7 ramifications 14:20 random 41:4 randomly 40:11 reached 25:12 read 7:23 22:11 44:12 45:20 48:4 ready 46:7 real 9:15 18:15 31:19,23 49:4 49:11 realistic 28:25 really 15:4 21:15 29:18 35:24 42:9 48:9 49:1,3 reason 21:21 25:24 29:18 30:16 45:7 reasons 12:2 14:23 20:11 21:16 39:17 46:14 reassure 44:6 rebalance 18:2 recall 16:25 39:7 43:13 received 8:25</p>
--	---	--	--	---

<p>39:12 43:18 44:14 recommendat... 10:20 reconsidered 8:24 record 2:4,7 32:15 39:22 recording 2:5 redress 17:13 refer 45:15 46:4 referred 40:22 44:15 referring 15:13 25:2 reflects 38:18 refused 5:9 21:22 regarding 10:21 13:24 16:5,8 44:19 regards 11:17 15:16 rehearse 15:9 reinforce 49:1 reiterate 2:13 rejected 8:23 relate 12:17,25 13:23 relating 4:17 22:20 23:8,21 23:22,24 24:15 24:23 41:13 44:10,22 45:17 relation 3:19 33:14 38:25 40:22 41:14 42:23 47:23 48:10,24 49:17 50:4 relatively 10:11 Relativity 43:20 relevance 14:1 43:22 relevant 6:13 18:14 27:10 religious 39:10</p>	<p>41:9,16 rely 22:14 remaining 4:17 remind 22:14 remote 1:16,25 2:14 23:24 24:2,6 remotely 1:21 3:18 24:4 renewed 4:25 5:12 8:20 9:2 10:2,9 12:24 repeat 36:15 repetition 29:17 34:10 35:11 replaced 6:5 reply 9:4 19:19 report 46:4,6,8 reported 11:6 reports 27:8 represent 9:25 representatives 1:21 7:11 23:6 25:21 31:13 represented 3:13 9:19 17:11,24 18:1 19:11 representing 5:20 9:24 15:2 45:25 request 48:20 require 24:9 requires 35:1 research 39:24 resolved 50:9 51:1 resources 10:24 11:12 15:24 respect 31:9,24 respectfully 28:21 31:11 respectively 39:2 respond 37:10 42:10 responded 5:15</p>	<p>5:17 responding 33:22 response 5:21 9:4 10:10,16 10:17 11:13,15 11:22 13:17 14:18 16:10,14 37:13 41:19 48:8 responses 8:18 16:7 responsibility 11:12,15,21 17:14 48:19 49:10 responsibility--- 49:9 responsible 11:11 17:22 restrictions 1:13 25:13 result 1:14 9:8 12:5 resulted 43:17 review 1:3,7,10 1:15,17 38:23 39:8 44:21 45:22 48:17 reviewed 43:21 Reynolds 3:14 9:17,24 19:11 45:16,25 48:6 48:25 right 20:18 32:22 33:11 42:23 risk 24:14 38:12 risks 34:3 road 12:5 robust 26:3 38:11 role 6:3 10:8,11 10:19 11:2,9 11:17,23 12:9 12:13,18 14:11 18:14 21:6</p>	<p>48:17 ruled 45:20 ruling 38:23,24 42:2,13 44:11 44:13 50:4 running 29:15 50:20 Ryan 44:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>sadly 12:5 safely 47:19 save 15:9 saying 36:14 says 15:20 16:15 scales 18:2 scene 10:22 Schamberger 33:15 scope 13:15 35:8 scrutiny 13:20 seating 23:22 second 16:22 31:18 secondary 32:14 secondly 2:17 4:10 25:16 44:9 secretariat 23:3 see 5:10 22:4 30:25 31:1 36:11,11,20 37:3 38:2 44:21 45:9 47:10 49:25 seek 9:10 30:6,7 seeks 41:12 seen 8:16,18 19:9,22,25 22:5 40:22 selected 40:11 selection 41:5 senior 11:9,10 14:5 16:3 sense 11:18 44:4 49:7 sensible 19:14</p>	<p>29:2 32:23 separate 9:9 35:7,8 September 15:12 Sergeant 3:15 series 43:4 46:18 served 7:2 42:25 46:5,7 service 5:13 9:14,22 15:2 46:7 48:5,10 services 9:13 set 10:1 11:13 11:24 12:1,11 12:15,23,24 13:14,21 14:7 15:8,13 18:24 20:12,21 21:16 30:5 38:1 40:5 42:25 48:25 sets 13:1 setting 10:21,22 30:20 settle 30:16 settled 46:14 seven 42:11 43:1 sex 39:11 shaped 6:17 12:3 Shaw 3:14 36:5 36:6,8,10,11 36:15,17 47:8 47:9,16,17,17 47:20 shift 18:4 short 7:1 10:12 43:5 46:8 shortcomings 13:25 14:4 shortly 33:7 48:9 show 28:15 shows 41:15 significance 39:15 significantly</p>
--	---	--	---	--

<p>13:24 18:1 simply 5:4 7:5,7 7:10 10:4 18:12 20:13 24:21 32:18 37:6,12 42:23 44:18,20 45:14 45:22 49:1 single 34:25 35:16 sitting 1:18 29:11 situation 20:15 31:25 50:9 six 16:6 six-day 18:18 size 40:16 Skeleton 7:19 47:4 Skelton 3:11 7:20 33:25 34:1,11,14 47:5 slight 3:3 slightly 4:3 11:18 12:5 17:17 50:8 slippage 29:11 slow 50:22 small 32:16 smoothly 2:14 solicitor 1:23 20:3 solicitors 2:10 3:14 8:17 9:18 33:21 48:25 50:11 soon 51:1 sooner 45:3 sorry 16:21 36:23 sort 22:19 sought 10:6 16:1 38:15 39:1 speaker 3:1 speaking 2:18 2:19,25 3:1</p>	<p>36:12 speaks 7:8 spent 26:4 split 27:14 spoken 14:24 spokesperson 32:24 stage 1:6 15:21 47:22 stand 41:17 standard 40:19 40:23 stands 6:8 24:2 31:21 stark 32:10 start 2:25 19:20 20:1 21:11 22:22 32:9 starting 27:1,14 27:17 32:9 40:10 state 1:25 24:22 statement 15:19 16:15,23 44:14 44:15,16,21 45:6,9,20 statements 8:25 15:11 16:21 states 15:4 16:22 station 6:2 status 3:20 4:7 4:25 5:8 6:14 6:23 8:21 9:2 10:5 12:21 14:10,17,23 17:5,8,11 18:6 18:14 19:1 20:17,23 21:3 49:5 step 20:23 Stephen 45:3 steps 13:5 15:16 20:2 24:24 48:21 Street 10:14 strongly 15:21 struck 37:24</p>	<p>submission 6:20 11:25 13:19 14:21 15:18 17:3,10,13 18:5,25 29:17 32:1,3 37:24 40:5 46:6 48:16 submissions 3:19 4:5 6:25 7:2,7,12,20 8:1 8:15 14:14,18 19:6,7,10,22 20:4,6,10,12 20:21 21:16,24 22:2,3,5,6 24:8 25:3,4,7 26:20 26:24 27:24 28:7 29:10 30:5,19,22 31:6 33:16 37:11 39:13,20 39:23 40:1,6,7 41:25 42:3,4 42:10,24 43:6 43:7,9 44:16 45:15,24 46:11 46:19 47:23 48:5,6,8,24,25 49:2,17 submit 6:7 9:8 10:19 12:2,14 12:25 13:23 15:6 18:12 20:12 21:8,17 21:21 25:14 26:17 27:12 29:1 40:2 45:10 49:3,6 submitted 13:11 subsection 17:5 subsequently 11:4 substantive 1:11 suggest 4:2 28:4 28:21 29:22 31:11 32:4</p>	<p>35:21 suggested 9:18 22:19 26:19 28:23 30:18 37:17 suggesting 35:19 suggestion 4:3,7 35:3 suitable 36:2 summarise 7:3 summary 40:9 superintendent 3:21 4:9 5:1,6 5:17,20 6:1,5 6:10,11,16,23 7:5 8:21 9:3,8 9:21 10:16,25 11:14,14,16,17 12:9,18 13:12 13:16 14:6,8 14:25 15:14 16:10,13,14,19 16:20 17:4,7 18:13 20:19 21:10,18 47:24 48:11 supervised 15:23 supplementary 28:17 35:6 sure 7:11 20:3 surely 14:8 suspect 17:19 suspected 16:24 suspicious 13:8 Sweeney 3:16 Sweetman 3:15 system 43:20</p>	<p>24:25 25:10 27:10 30:3 39:21 40:14 taken 13:5 16:7 20:2 31:25 45:23 takes 2:14 tardy 50:19 task 26:6 tasked 10:15 Taylor 1:9 3:10 6:20 21:14 team 11:10,10 16:4 23:7 24:10 28:18 32:4,25 33:6 34:13,20 teams 29:25 30:1,2 technology 24:10,11 tempered 18:4 Temporary 16:19 terms 3:8 8:14 11:23 12:13 17:15 27:13 49:14 thank 3:24 4:22 4:23 7:9,15,17 7:18,23,23 8:2 8:4,5,7,9,11,13 19:7 21:25 22:13 30:23 31:4 32:22 33:9,10,17,20 33:24 34:9,15 36:4,17,18,22 36:25 37:1,5,7 38:21 42:18,20 42:22 47:1,3,5 47:7,11,13,15 48:3,4 49:18 49:19 50:25 51:2,3,5 things 2:12 think 4:4,14 6:5</p>
--	---	---	---	--

20:24 29:5 34:14 36:14 38:3 42:9 43:1 43:2,19 47:19 50:21 third 1:17 39:5 Thirdly 4:12 Thomas 33:3 thorough 24:14 34:18 thought 35:23 three 4:4,14 time 4:15 10:13 13:14 25:13 26:4,22 27:15 27:19 28:22 29:6,15,15 31:20 32:1,6,7 32:16 33:7 34:6 35:24 38:7,19 47:16 48:4 50:13 times 34:4 timetable 4:11 22:21 25:2,25 26:2,10,15,18 27:22 29:13 30:13,16 34:2 34:21 37:19 38:11,18 timetables 50:23 timetabling 25:23 26:3 timings 30:10 today 2:16 24:8 26:19 42:4,12 43:1 49:21 50:5 today's 3:23 38:23 45:22 49:23 told 9:9,14 tone 12:11 Tony 3:16 top 3:2 16:22 topic 7:13 33:11 36:5,9 38:21	39:4,5 42:11 42:25 topics 35:17,20 35:22 37:23 38:4,5 39:6 43:10 47:20 total 32:2 touch 44:9 town 22:25 23:9 23:13 24:16 tranche 43:17 transcript 2:5 2:15 travel 10:23 trial 42:7 tribute 22:23 tried 26:7 trips 25:18 trite 25:5 35:11 true 17:15 truth-finding 19:3 try 2:24 28:10 47:16 trying 49:7 turn 2:22 4:24 8:12 22:18 25:1 TV 3:9 two 5:5,10,18 6:3,10,16 21:10 29:9 38:25 45:9 50:13,13,14 two-thirds/on... 27:14	14:2 undertake 26:7 undertaken 22:24 undertaking 43:15 underway 43:23 unexplained 10:18 unnecessary 25:18 update 46:6 uploaded 43:19 use 2:1 24:16 useful 32:4	29:3 32:15 36:5 37:10,25 42:14 43:10 wanted 30:21 39:22 42:10 44:9 wants 20:7 46:19 50:1 wary 50:8 wasn't 49:9 Waumsley 3:11 33:19 way 1:15,18 4:3 5:24 6:18 17:25 18:17 19:13 20:13 27:6 28:21,25 33:5 34:23 41:24 website 2:16 Wednesday 44:17 week 10:17 46:8 50:5 weeks 6:3,10,16 12:4,10 18:24 21:10 26:14,20 37:19 50:14 weeks' 50:13 weigh 17:3 welcome 22:9 welcoming 37:12 whilst 12:6 13:16 22:11 Whitworth 1:9 3:10 6:20 12:8 21:14 44:25 Whitworth's 33:14 wholeheartedly 42:18 wholly 17:9 Wilson 6:5 wish 5:21 9:8 42:1,3 43:7 44:5 46:13	49:16,24 wishes 35:21 witness 4:11 5:13 8:24 15:11 22:21 25:2 27:5 29:1 29:2 31:9,13 32:5 witnesses 4:18 24:9 25:18,19 26:5 27:5,10 27:15 28:2,5,6 28:9,11,16,19 29:4,7,16,20 30:10,14 31:20 32:17 35:18 38:6,8,20 44:10,12 45:14 46:4 wonder 4:1 word 24:1 work 22:24 23:3 23:10 37:19 39:2 workable 38:11 worked 23:3 working 50:16 write 22:16 writing 5:15,17 42:11,13,25 written 6:24 7:2 7:7,20 8:1,15 11:24 14:13 19:10,22 20:4 20:6,10,12,21 21:16 25:7 28:7 30:5 31:6 38:22 39:13,19 40:1,6,7 41:25 42:2,4 44:11 44:15 45:15,24 46:5,11 48:8 50:3	
	U		W		
	ultimately 30:6 unbalanced 17:9 uncompromised 18:7 understand 3:8 24:17 37:20 46:6 understanding		Walgate 1:8 3:10 6:4,17 10:18 12:17 18:19 21:12 28:11 Walgate's 10:15 12:10 16:6 21:13 want 7:13 18:11		X
					Y

year 1:12 5:8,9 9:5 23:1	6 5:18 10:10			
	<hr/> 7 <hr/>			
Z	7 1:12,18 5:13 5:19 15:19 48:13			
0				
1	<hr/> 8 <hr/>			
10 10:2	8 10:10			
10.00 1:2				
11 10:9 30:9 38:15 39:1				
11.26 51:6				
13 10:9 16:15				
14 3:13 12:24 45:13				
15 12:16				
16 12:24				
19 10:14 13:3				
<hr/> 2 <hr/>				
20 1:1 13:22				
2014 5:25 6:6,17 13:4				
2019 12:16				
2020 1:1				
23 13:4 14:3				
25 13:22				
27 43:1				
28 5:16				
<hr/> 3 <hr/>				
3 5:10				
300 43:25				
31 5:9				
<hr/> 4 <hr/>				
4 5:10 12:25 13:1 16:22 28:8 30:5 39:1 49:1				
4(e) 13:12				
<hr/> 5 <hr/>				
5 5:18 9:5 10:1 44:13				
<hr/> 6 <hr/>				