

1 Thursday, 4 November 2021
 2 MR ADAM WHITWORTH (affirmed)2
 3 Questions from MR O'CONNOR2
 4 Questions from DR VAN DELLEN41
 5 Questions from MR DAVIES42
 6 Questions from THE CORONER47
 7 MS AMANDA WHITWORTH (affirmed)48
 8 Questions from MR O'CONNOR48
 9 Questions from MR DAVIES81
 10 MR PAUL SLAYMAKER (sworn)86
 11 Questions from MR O'CONNOR86
 12 Questions from MS HILL169
 13 Questions from DR VAN DELLEN205
 14 Questions from MR SKELTON209
 15 Questions from MR DAVIES219
 16 Questions from THE JURY230
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

Page 1

1 (10.00 am)
 2 (In the presence of the jury)
 3 THE CORONER: Good morning, members of the jury.
 4 Yes.
 5 MR O'CONNOR: Could we please call Adam Whitworth.
 6 MR ADAM WHITWORTH (affirmed)
 7 Questions from MR O'CONNOR
 8 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Mr Whitworth. Do please sit down.
 9 **A. Thank you, sir.**
 10 Q. Could you give us your full name, please?
 11 **A. Adam Peter Whitworth.**
 12 Q. Mr Whitworth, it is some weeks now since Amanda read out
 13 the pen portrait from you and her containing your
 14 memories of Daniel. I am sure we remember some of those
 15 details about Daniel growing up with you in Kent. It
 16 was the case, wasn't it, that you looked after Daniel as
 17 he was growing up because his mother was unwell for much
 18 of that time?
 19 **A. Yes.**
 20 Q. In the pen portrait that we heard, there was reference
 21 to the fact that you actually home schooled Daniel
 22 during that time?
 23 **A. For some years, yes.**
 24 Q. For some years, and the two of you cycling along the
 25 river Thames?

Page 2

1 **A. That's right.**
 2 Q. Going up into the museums in London during that time?
 3 **A. Yes.**
 4 Q. We also of course heard the pen portrait that was
 5 written by Daniel's grandmother, and it was obvious from
 6 that that she and Daniel had a very close bond?
 7 **A. That's right, yes.**
 8 Q. I am obviously going to be asking you questions, today,
 9 Mr Whitworth, that focus on the time after Daniel died
 10 in 2014. But just before we get to that, tell us some
 11 of your memories about the months before then, the
 12 summer of 2014. Daniel and Ricky were living in
 13 Gravesend, quite close to where you lived?
 14 **A. About five miles away.**
 15 Q. I think Daniel had started a new job over that time?
 16 **A. He had a new job coming in the pipeline, yeah, which**
 17 **would have been a step up for him, yes, and he was quite**
 18 **excited about that, yes.**
 19 Q. Where was that job going to be?
 20 **A. That was at a place called Reynolds Retreat, which they**
 21 **were still preparing and building at the time, but that**
 22 **was where it would be, a sort of spa and resort thing.**
 23 Q. At the time he was still working in docklands?
 24 **A. That's right, yes.**
 25 Q. I think we have seen from the evidence that he was

Page 3

1 working long shifts or at least he used to leave very
 2 early in the morning to go to work?
 3 **A. Yes.**
 4 Q. Did that mean that you saw less of him over that time
 5 because he was busy at work?
 6 **A. It did, actually, because all of us Mandy and Ricky, we**
 7 **were all working shifts, I think I was on night shifts**
 8 **at the time, so it was difficult to get together, yes.**
 9 Q. But nonetheless there were sometimes over that summer --
 10 **A. Yes.**
 11 Q. I think we might hear in the evidence there was a family
 12 get together during the August of that summer -- perhaps
 13 you cannot remember?
 14 **A. Yes, I think I do know what you mean, yes.**
 15 Q. There is a reference in one of your witness statements
 16 to in fact the last time that you saw Daniel, and it was
 17 just by chance that you met him on a train?
 18 **A. That's right, yes.**
 19 Q. What is your memory of that?
 20 **A. Yes -- I don't know what we were doing in London, but we**
 21 **were coming back and he was leaving work and we got on**
 22 **at London Bridge I think it was, a packed train, all**
 23 **standing like sardines, but I just happened to see him**
 24 **over there and clocked(?) him and we had a nice little**
 25 **trip on the train, he had to get off before we did, so**

Page 4

<p>1 it was short, but we had a nice little catch up then.</p> <p>2 Q. That was a few weeks before he died --</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. -- but you were in touch with him by phone or social</p> <p>5 media?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, a lot of texts and things, yes, on Facebook, yes,</p> <p>7 as well. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Moving forward then, in the chronology, we know that, in</p> <p>9 fact, the news when you received it of Daniel dying</p> <p>10 wasn't in fact the first sort of worrying thing that</p> <p>11 happened, because there had been a day or so before</p> <p>12 that, when Daniel had, as it were, gone missing?</p> <p>13 A. Gone missing, that's right. From Ricky's, he lived with</p> <p>14 Ricky, not with us, but yes.</p> <p>15 Q. So that 24 hours or so must have been a concerning time</p> <p>16 for you?</p> <p>17 A. It was very worrying, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. That was the context when on the Saturday, when as we</p> <p>19 have heard, Daniel's body was discovered in Barking?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Some police officers came to your house?</p> <p>22 A. They did, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. You were there with Mandy at the time?</p> <p>24 A. We were both there, yes.</p> <p>25 Q. What do you remember of that?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Did they give you any more detail at that stage?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. I am going to come on then to ask you some questions,</p> <p>5 Mr Whitworth, about that note and you know that there</p> <p>6 are some questions I want to ask you about the</p> <p>7 handwriting on the note.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. It is right, I think, that there were two occasions in</p> <p>10 the days after Daniel died that you looked at some of</p> <p>11 that note, or all of that note?</p> <p>12 A. That's right, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. There was a first time, when you were emailed a section</p> <p>14 of the note?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. And then a week or so later, perhaps 10 days or so</p> <p>17 later, you were given the note -- I don't know if it was</p> <p>18 a copy or the real note but anyway you saw the whole</p> <p>19 thing?</p> <p>20 A. We did, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. In fact we will come on to ask you about this -- but in</p> <p>22 fact I think in this very building that took place?</p> <p>23 A. I think it was, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. I am going to ask you about both those occasions, but</p> <p>25 before I do, let me just ask you this. It was a long</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>
<p>1 A. I was getting ready to go to work at the time, but you</p> <p>2 kind of get the idea what they are there for anyway.</p> <p>3 I don't know what my attitude was at the time, I just</p> <p>4 tried to carry on as if nothing had happened, saying</p> <p>5 well I've got to get my jacket on, I am going and</p> <p>6 whatever it is, and when it transpired what they</p> <p>7 actually said, he has been found with a suicide note,</p> <p>8 apparently, you know, I said, "Well, you could have the</p> <p>9 wrong person", you know, "Let me identify him", but they</p> <p>10 said, "No, no, we have got documents, he is identified</p> <p>11 and ..."</p> <p>12 Yes, that was very difficult. I think they stayed</p> <p>13 about 20 minutes/half an hour and said, you know,</p> <p>14 whatever we can do and all that, they were very good</p> <p>15 but -- that is the news we had. We didn't know what to</p> <p>16 do after that. I can't remember if it was immediately</p> <p>17 after that or the next day or whatever that we went</p> <p>18 round to my mums, because we had to tell her, which was</p> <p>19 very, very, very hard.</p> <p>20 Q. That is, of course, Daniel's grandmother who you have</p> <p>21 mentioned?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. You say that those police officers told you not just</p> <p>24 that Daniel had been found dead but that he had been</p> <p>25 found with what seemed to be a suicide note?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>	<p>1 time ago, seven years or so and it was of course the</p> <p>2 most terrible time for you and Mandy.</p> <p>3 Help us with this, do you actually have, sitting</p> <p>4 here now, much or any memory of what was happening on</p> <p>5 those days, or not?</p> <p>6 A. More so on the seeing the note in this building, the</p> <p>7 full note with Slaymaker and Jackie Baxter, it was.</p> <p>8 I remember that in, well, a lot of detail actually, but</p> <p>9 then first ... when she sent us the snippet by email,</p> <p>10 I don't remember that quite so much, no, but I remember</p> <p>11 it, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Let me ask you then, I am going to ask you about those</p> <p>13 two, first of all about the email.</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. In one of the statements you gave, after these events,</p> <p>16 some time after these events, to the IPCC, so this is in</p> <p>17 April 2017, so two and a half plus years after these</p> <p>18 events, you said the email was received a good while</p> <p>19 later, after you were told of Daniel's death.</p> <p>20 I think what we are going to see, because we</p> <p>21 actually have the documents showing, that it was in fact</p> <p>22 the day after?</p> <p>23 A. The next day after, I have heard that, yes, that is what</p> <p>24 I am saying I don't remember in great detail, but it was</p> <p>25 the day after then, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

<p>1 Q. Yes.</p> <p>2 Let's just have a look then. I am going to ask the</p> <p>3 jury to look in the jury bundle at tab 30 and,</p> <p>4 Mr Whitworth, you have a bundle there, but why don't we</p> <p>5 try it on the screen first and see.</p> <p>6 For the screen, it is IPC629, please.</p> <p>7 What we are looking at is an email from one of the</p> <p>8 Barking borough detective constables,</p> <p>9 Yinka Adeyemo-Phillips, that she sent to your email, on</p> <p>10 the Sunday, so the day after you had been told about</p> <p>11 Daniel's death.</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you remember getting this email at all?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. Yes, of course.</p> <p>15 Q. We can see that the email starts by referring to</p> <p>16 a conversation between you and her --</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. -- and certainly that was her evidence, that she had</p> <p>19 spoken to you to, as it were, tell you this email was</p> <p>20 coming.</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you remember that?</p> <p>23 A. I don't remember the details. I don't remember these</p> <p>24 words in this email, but I can recall the event, there</p> <p>25 was a few phone calls, there was this email and there</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p>	<p>1 that that is Daniel's handwriting, we are not sure that</p> <p>2 it is genuine.</p> <p>3 And you know, so the first thing we did, I am saying</p> <p>4 I don't know if this was before she phoned back or not</p> <p>5 but somewhere around this time, we sent this straight</p> <p>6 off to -- across to Ricky, in Gravesend, for him to have</p> <p>7 a little look at it and see what he thinks and he</p> <p>8 wouldn't confirm it was Daniel's either. So when she</p> <p>9 phoned back, I am not sure if we had Ricky's input at</p> <p>10 the time or if it was just after, as I say. But either</p> <p>11 way she said: is this Daniel's handwriting? And I said</p> <p>12 no. No, I didn't say no, sorry, I said I cannot confirm</p> <p>13 it is his handwriting, I don't know.</p> <p>14 Q. You said you cannot confirm, you don't know?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. I am going to come back to that in a minute, but since</p> <p>17 you mentioned Ricky, let's just look for ourselves at</p> <p>18 the timing. So for the screen, if we go back to the</p> <p>19 first page of this document, we can remind ourselves</p> <p>20 that this email was sent at 10.52.</p> <p>21 A. Right, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Then if we go to a different document, please, INQ47, if</p> <p>23 we ignore the top bit and look down underneath it, there</p> <p>24 is a forwarded message there, same day, Sunday, 21st at</p> <p>25 11.14, so 20 minutes or so after you received the email</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p>
<p>1 was the snippet of the actual note.</p> <p>2 Q. Yes.</p> <p>3 A. That all came through, yes, at that time, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Well, let's just look, and then I will ask you about it,</p> <p>5 so if we go over -- in fact for the jury it is just</p> <p>6 turning over the page. For the screen, we need to go on</p> <p>7 to page 3 of this document.</p> <p>8 That is what was attached to the email, we are all</p> <p>9 familiar with it now, it is the last line or two of the</p> <p>10 note. It seems that is what you were sent on that day.</p> <p>11 Does that seem --</p> <p>12 A. It seems right, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. What Detective Adeyemo-Phillips said about this, as</p> <p>14 I imagine you know, and I am talking about the evidence</p> <p>15 she gave to the jury about this, is that having spoken</p> <p>16 to you, as it were to warn you this email was come, she</p> <p>17 then the sent the email, as we can see, and she then</p> <p>18 telephoned you and asked you whether that excerpt that</p> <p>19 we are looking at on the screen was Daniel's</p> <p>20 handwriting --</p> <p>21 A. Hmm.</p> <p>22 Q. -- and you said that it was. What is your memory?</p> <p>23 A. I definitely didn't say it was, no. I don't know how</p> <p>24 quick she phoned me back after this, because the first</p> <p>25 thing we did, me and Mandy looked at it, cannot confirm</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p>	<p>1 from Detective Adeyemo-Phillips?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. I think what you have just said is there is the evidence</p> <p>4 that you did forward it on to Ricky?</p> <p>5 A. That's right, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Why did you forward it on?</p> <p>7 A. Because I weren't sure -- let's see what Ricky says</p> <p>8 about it.</p> <p>9 Q. As far as the precise sequence, I think you just said</p> <p>10 you were not sure?</p> <p>11 A. I am not sure if she had phoned back in the gap between</p> <p>12 Ricky seeing it or not.</p> <p>13 Q. All right. Well, thank you, we can take that down.</p> <p>14 Just coming back then to what you said to</p> <p>15 Ms Adeyemo-Phillips, I think what you have just told us</p> <p>16 is words to the effect of you are not sure, you cannot</p> <p>17 confirm.</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. I just want to have a look at another witness statement</p> <p>20 that you made, or seemed to have made rather closer to</p> <p>21 these events. Can we have on screen, please, IPC84.</p> <p>22 I am sure you have had a look at that document,</p> <p>23 Mr Whitworth. The first thing to say is -- well, the</p> <p>24 first thing to say is it looks to us like a statement</p> <p>25 that was taken by the police, because of the way in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p>

<p>1 which it was set up, but it is not dated or signed. Do</p> <p>2 you have any memory of talking to police officers,</p> <p>3 giving a statement like this, that you were being asked</p> <p>4 to sign it or not?</p> <p>5 A. I don't remember it in detail, this particular document,</p> <p>6 but yeah, we had spoken to the police ...</p> <p>7 Q. If it helps, just because of what it said, the content</p> <p>8 of it, it looks as though it may well have been taken by</p> <p>9 Operation Lilford, so for example towards the end of</p> <p>10 2015, something around there. We have seen other</p> <p>11 statements that they took at around at that time. Do</p> <p>12 you think that is possible?</p> <p>13 A. That is probable, yeah.</p> <p>14 Q. Let's just have a look, please, at page 3 of that</p> <p>15 statement. If we can zoom in, it is the penultimate</p> <p>16 paragraph, if we can zoom in on that, please.</p> <p>17 Let me say straight away that this passage may</p> <p>18 actually refer to that second occasion when you came to</p> <p>19 this building and looked at the note?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. But I just want to ask you about it, because if you look</p> <p>22 four lines up from the bottom of that paragraph, what</p> <p>23 you say is:</p> <p>24 "We did admit that the writing looked like Daniel's</p> <p>25 but I had assumed wrongly that police had compared the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p>	<p>1 A. That not the immediate way I would put it if I was</p> <p>2 speaking from my heart myself, no, but this is</p> <p>3 responding to their questions.</p> <p>4 Q. I see. Let's come back to it then, I was asking you</p> <p>5 questions about what you remember saying to</p> <p>6 Ms Adeyemo-Phillips. Do we go back to what you said the</p> <p>7 first time then, which is that you think that you, what?</p> <p>8 A. That I can't confirm it is Daniel's, that is why I sent</p> <p>9 it over to Ricky to see what he thinks.</p> <p>10 Q. Let's move on then.</p> <p>11 Thanks, we can take that down.</p> <p>12 I want to come on to ask you some questions about</p> <p>13 your dealings with a different police officer, and that</p> <p>14 is a man called Mr Slaymaker.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. He was your family liaison officer?</p> <p>17 A. He was, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. It looks from the document as though you first met</p> <p>19 Mr Slaymaker, in fact, the day after that email from</p> <p>20 Ms Adeyemo-Phillips?</p> <p>21 A. Yeah, I can't remember all the details, but ...</p> <p>22 Q. We will see some documents that show that two officers,</p> <p>23 Mr Slaymaker and also another detective called Mr Berry,</p> <p>24 came to not actually your house in Gravesend but Ricky</p> <p>25 and Daniel's place in Gravesend and that you and Mandy</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p>
<p>1 handwriting sample they got from Ricky ..."</p> <p>2 We will hear that is something that they did later,</p> <p>3 but it is just those first few words, "We did admit that</p> <p>4 the writing looks like Daniel's ..." That is not really</p> <p>5 the same as what you have said to us this morning about</p> <p>6 that conversation with Ms Adeyemo-Phillips. How does</p> <p>7 that help us, if at all?</p> <p>8 A. I don't see how it is different from what I have said to</p> <p>9 Adeyemo-Phillips.</p> <p>10 Q. Sorry, did you say is different or isn't?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. When you were talking about the conversation with</p> <p>13 Ms Adeyemo-Phillips, you said that you couldn't confirm</p> <p>14 that it was?</p> <p>15 A. That's right, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. But here it seems you were saying you admit that it did</p> <p>17 look like Daniel's?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, while not confirming it. That is why you say the</p> <p>19 word "admit", you are not confirming that it is.</p> <p>20 Q. All right, that perhaps helps give us an idea of what</p> <p>21 you may have said to Ms Adeyemo-Phillips.</p> <p>22 A. I mean this is a leaded conversation, the interviewer</p> <p>23 leads me along what they want me to say and --</p> <p>24 Q. That is why I wanted to ask you. Would those have been</p> <p>25 words that you would choose to use?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p>	<p>1 were there, on that Monday?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Is that something you remember or not?</p> <p>4 A. I don't remember it in great detail, but I think I do</p> <p>5 remember some occasion, yeah, where there was some</p> <p>6 police officers standing about and some sort of trying</p> <p>7 to gather some sort of evidence like of handwriting or</p> <p>8 computers or whatever of Daniel's, yeah.</p> <p>9 Q. That probably was then the first time that you met</p> <p>10 Mr Slaymaker, and we will hear that --</p> <p>11 A. It probably was, but I don't remember specifically in</p> <p>12 that much detail.</p> <p>13 Q. No, well I won't then ask you anything in detail about</p> <p>14 what happened that day, but you did then have some</p> <p>15 dealings with Mr Slaymaker going forward for several</p> <p>16 months afterwards?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. I would like to ask you about a paragraph in a different</p> <p>19 witness statement, so if we could have on screen,</p> <p>20 please, IPC414, page 5. I am just going to read out</p> <p>21 a paragraph of your statement and then ask you about it.</p> <p>22 It's the one towards the bottom of the page, so perhaps</p> <p>23 we could enlarge the bottom half of the page.</p> <p>24 This is statement you made to the IPCC, which you</p> <p>25 did sign in April 2017. You have written this:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p>

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

<p>1 "I felt DC Slaymaker was a decent person doing his 2 job. He seemed in control of the situation and I did 3 trust him. I didn't have a choice. One of the police 4 officers said to us that they had more resources than we 5 did and that they would deal with the investigation and 6 we didn't have to. This was following some reading we 7 had done on the Barking and Dagenham Post and 8 information Ricky had found out about other similar 9 deaths in the area. They told us to leave them to it 10 and on some level we had to take that on board and trust 11 they were doing their jobs properly. Knowing what 12 I know now, nobody is happy with what they did to 13 investigate the deaths but that is with hindsight." 14 That is what was in your statement back in 2017. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. In general terms, does that capture your impressions of 17 Mr Slaymaker and the police officers he was working 18 with? 19 A. Yes, I think it does, yeah. I didn't have so much 20 dealings with Slaymaker because, when he phoned, our 21 house, he had Mandy's mobile phone number, they would 22 have quite long conversations and ... 23 So he dealt with her -- everything went through her, 24 mainly. 25 Q. I see.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 Q. What was your view about how your concerns were 2 received? 3 A. The police in general -- Slaymaker was the one we dealt 4 with most, but he would push aside our concerns and say, 5 "Look, we know what we are doing, leave it to us, you 6 don't know, you are in a strange state of grief", you 7 know, leave it to them and, you know, we can't have any 8 input. 9 We raised various issues and he would always have 10 an answer for it, like about that day on the note, in 11 this building here when we saw the whole thing. 12 Whatever we said about it, like there is nothing, no 13 names here, no facts only Daniel would know, it doesn't 14 look like him, he doesn't mention nobody, he says 15 nothing, he doesn't use phrases he would use, all this 16 type of stuff. He would just push it aside and say, 17 "You are in a strange state of grief, you don't know 18 what you think, Daniel was in a strange state at the 19 time, he didn't know what he was writing". 20 You know, he had answers for everything and he 21 pushed it aside. Maybe when he had to he said, "Look, 22 you know, we are the experts, just leave it to us, do 23 what you need to do and stay calm and that, you know". 24 Q. You mention that day, let me come on to ask you some 25 questions about it.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>
<p>1 A. So I had no reason to complain, personally, about 2 Slaymaker as a police officer. 3 Q. Right. 4 One of the things you mention in that paragraph is 5 a reference to other similar deaths in the area, and 6 talking to Mr Slaymaker about that. Is that something 7 you were involved with or was that something that really 8 Mandy and Mr Slaymaker -- 9 A. Yes, I saw on my computer, I did go to the Barking and 10 Dagenham Post, I think it was, and, obviously you try to 11 investigate whatever you can. 12 Q. Did you see then an article about other deaths? 13 A. I think I did, yes. 14 Q. Can you remember anymore than that about it, I mean we 15 have seen -- 16 A. No, but I can remember it on numerous occasions, yes, we 17 was going to Barking and Dagenham Post and other outlets 18 if we could get any information about this type of 19 stuff, yes. 20 Q. Were these things you raised, you or Mandy -- 21 A. Yes, with Slaymaker, yes, constantly, yes. 22 Q. Were you, shall we say, concerned that there was more to 23 this? 24 A. Oh, definitely, yes. And that was made known to 25 Slaymaker constantly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>	<p>1 Again, I think we can see from the documents that it 2 was on 30 September, so that is 10 days after Daniel's 3 body was found -- 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. -- that you and Mandy and Ricky, I think, came here to 6 Barking. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Do you have a memory of that day? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. You met up with some police officers, one of whom was 11 Mr Slaymaker? 12 A. Yes, Slaymaker, Jackie Baxter and it might have been 13 Paul Berry as well. 14 Q. Yes, so three of the detectives from Barking. 15 Do you remember much about how that meeting was 16 arranged or who arranged it or what your understanding 17 was of what was going to happen? 18 A. No, I don't remember any of that. 19 Yes, we were coming here to see the note, yes. 20 Q. There was a reference in one of your statements to you 21 being asked, you and Mandy being asked to bring with you 22 a sample of Daniel's handwriting. Is that something you 23 remember? 24 A. Again, I don't remember the exact details but yes, we -- 25 at some stage, whether it was that day or not, we gave</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

<p>1 them samples of Daniel's handwriting, which were not</p> <p>2 particularly representative, because all I could have</p> <p>3 was father's day cards, with big scrawls, big curves of</p> <p>4 writing, it's not really like handwriting, is it?</p> <p>5 Q. Can you remember now whether that was on that day or on</p> <p>6 a different day?</p> <p>7 A. I think we did bring them with us that day, but ...</p> <p>8 Q. Let's go through then -- I think really that meeting,</p> <p>9 that day, there were perhaps sort of two phases to it.</p> <p>10 Do you remember, first of all, you went to the Abbey</p> <p>11 grounds?</p> <p>12 A. We did, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Then, as I say, you came back to this building?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. As far as the first part of it was concerned, what are</p> <p>16 your memories there -- the jury have been there. Did</p> <p>17 you all three of you, so you, Mandy and Ricky, and the</p> <p>18 three police officers you have mentioned, did you all go</p> <p>19 together?</p> <p>20 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Yes.</p> <p>22 Did you, at this point, know about the full contents</p> <p>23 of the note or about Gabriel Kovari?</p> <p>24 A. No, we were here to see the letter. We hadn't seen it</p> <p>25 before then.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 you about the content, but you said that 10 days earlier</p> <p>2 you have told us what you said to DC Adeyemo-Phillips</p> <p>3 about the handwriting.</p> <p>4 A. Hmm.</p> <p>5 Q. Obviously now you were looking at the full note, it is</p> <p>6 not a long document, but you were seeing quite a lot</p> <p>7 more than you were seeing previously. Did you think</p> <p>8 again about whether this was Daniel's hand relying or</p> <p>9 not?</p> <p>10 A. I've got to say I wasn't there myself as a handwriting</p> <p>11 expert. I saw this note for about five or ten minutes,</p> <p>12 you know, I have been told this is my son's suicide note</p> <p>13 and I was desperate to see that note, obviously. So</p> <p>14 when I got the opportunity, I was there to read through</p> <p>15 it and see what it is all about, but -- checking the</p> <p>16 handwriting was not my top priority, so I didn't take</p> <p>17 a lot of notice of it.</p> <p>18 Q. All right.</p> <p>19 I mentioned the samples, can you remember -- tell us</p> <p>20 if you can't -- at that point giving them the card or</p> <p>21 whatever it was?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, they had the handwriting samples, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Did they look at the two next to each other and --</p> <p>24 A. No, no, they didn't do any comparing there and then, no.</p> <p>25 Q. Right. Was there any discussion at this point around</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>
<p>1 Q. That must have been a very sad time, going to the</p> <p>2 graveyard?</p> <p>3 A. It was, of course.</p> <p>4 Q. Perhaps it didn't take very long for you to go there and</p> <p>5 perhaps just spend a few minutes there?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Then, after that, did you come back to this building and</p> <p>8 sit down?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. With Mr Slaymaker and I think --</p> <p>11 A. And Jackie Baxter, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. What happened with Ricky while you were doing that?</p> <p>13 A. He stayed with the other police officers, which I think</p> <p>14 was Paul Berry. I don't know if they went for a coffee</p> <p>15 or whatever they did.</p> <p>16 Q. They didn't come here?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. You came to this building and was it at that time that</p> <p>19 you were actually shown the full note?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. You were sitting down in a room or --</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. I want to ask you a few questions about your reaction to</p> <p>24 seeing the note and, first of all, just as far as the</p> <p>25 handwriting is concerned, I am going to come on and ask</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>	<p>1 whether the handwriting question was going to be looked</p> <p>2 at by an expert?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, there was, yes. For a start, I told them that</p> <p>4 Ricky would know better, he has lived with him for more</p> <p>5 years in recent times, he would know the handwriting</p> <p>6 better than I would. I also told them Ricky would have</p> <p>7 better example of handwriting than my father's day card.</p> <p>8 Q. That is Ricky. Who, as you have said -- well, you tell</p> <p>9 us he would have known Daniel's writing better. Was</p> <p>10 there any talk about a sort of professional handwriting</p> <p>11 person?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, coming on to that, there was, yes, of course, that</p> <p>13 is why I was happy to leave the room on the</p> <p>14 understanding that they had handwriting experts who</p> <p>15 would look at it and, to use Paul Slaymaker's phrase,</p> <p>16 will know one way or the other.</p> <p>17 Q. All right.</p> <p>18 A. If that is the case, then okay, go ahead, thank you.</p> <p>19 Q. I think -- perhaps it is clear from what you have said,</p> <p>20 but was that something you just assumed was going to</p> <p>21 happen or did --</p> <p>22 A. That is what he said was going to happen. I made him</p> <p>23 say it several times, you have handwriting experts and</p> <p>24 we will know one way or the other. Okay, that is good.</p> <p>25 Q. Right, so that is --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

<p>1 A. I mean, can I say also, talking about the handwriting, 2 there was pressure to confirm that it is genuine, and, 3 as I say, both the content and the handwriting, we were 4 not confirming and there was pressure, and they said -- 5 it was not that they said, "Can you just help us out and 6 do us a favour", but it was that kind of attitude from 7 them, they did say, you know, can you -- are you sure 8 you cannot just confirm it. It took some strength from 9 me to say, "Sorry, I can't, no".</p> <p>10 Q. You have mentioned that you were concerned about the 11 content of the note.</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Tell us more about that. This was obviously the first 14 time you had had a chance to read it all.</p> <p>15 A. That's right. The first thing I said to him, there was 16 no thought of serial killers in the back of your mind or 17 any suspicions about it, but the first thing I said when 18 I saw the note is there is nothing in here to identify 19 Daniel, no names of any of us, no special words or 20 phrases he would tend to use, nothing that only he would 21 know.</p> <p>22 As far as the handwriting is concerned, as I have 23 said a thousand times, however, I told them he was left 24 handed, gave them all the information I had to help them 25 with their handwriting experts, who were supposed to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p>	<p>1 Q. Then it says: 2 "And I didn't tell my family I went out." 3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. That is one of the parts of the note you have mentioned 5 in one of your witness statements. Was that something 6 that struck you as particularly --</p> <p>7 A. Not me, no, I think Mandy noted that in her statement.</p> <p>8 Q. Well, we will ask her about that then. 9 In any event, you have described, shall I say 10 feeling unhappy about the note, what did you say to the 11 police about that?</p> <p>12 A. I gave them as much information as I could for them to 13 check it out, as he said he would, with the handwriting 14 experts, and as I say, mentioning all the content, 15 I mean like there is an end off sign off here, "love 16 always", is that the way he signed off his letters? No, 17 it isn't. I asked Ricky: is that what he would write at 18 the end of a letter? And no, it isn't.</p> <p>19 Q. One of your statements, I am not going to call it up, 20 you have written this, you said: 21 "I voiced my suspicions to the officers and was told 22 that I had to imagine Daniel's state of mind at the 23 time, as he had taken lots of drugs. They also said my 24 own state of mind was not fully functioning." 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p>
<p>1 look at it and then we would know one way or the other. 2 Q. Let's just focus now on the contents. 3 A. Okay, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. You have told us about it being impersonal, nothing to 5 tell you that it came from Daniel, names?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. There wasn't your name, for example, or Ricky's name. 8 A. No, none.</p> <p>9 Q. Is that something that you --</p> <p>10 A. Of course, you pick up on that immediately. You think 11 that police officers are supposed to have suspicions and 12 this is their job, you would think they would be hot on 13 this kind of thing but no, he said just: 14 "No, Daniel was in a strange state of mind when he 15 wrote it, you're in a state of grief now, you cannot see 16 it properly."</p> <p>17 Q. Can we have the note up on screen, please, it is IPC14. 18 If the jury want to look at it, it's tab 20. 19 I just want to ask you about one line, Mr Whitworth. 20 Do you see about eight or nine lines down; there is 21 a line that reads: 22 "I blame myself [then the line starts] for what 23 happened ..." 24 Can you see that? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p>	<p>1 Q. Did you think that you were able, at that point, to help 2 them with your reaction to the note? 3 A. It was a very difficult occasion, yes, but no, I helped 4 them as much as I possibly could.</p> <p>5 Q. We have all seen in the note there is a reference to 6 taking G. 7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Did you know what G was? 9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Did the police tell you that perhaps it stood for GHB, 11 which is a drug? 12 A. I don't believe they did. I believe, and I have not got 13 a particular memory of it, but Mandy is quite upset 14 about it, that she asked Jackie Baxter I think it was 15 what is this G and she said, "Ask Ricky, he will know".</p> <p>16 Q. What do you think she meant by that? 17 A. Because Ricky and Daniel were young gay men.</p> <p>18 Q. Did the police ask you when you were here on that day, 19 and looking at the note, about -- well, perhaps let me 20 ask you an earlier question. When you read the note, 21 you will have seen for the first time reference to 22 Gabriel Klein? 23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Was that actually the first time that you realised this 25 idea that Daniel had killed someone else?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p>

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

<p>1 A. Yes. They had -- I think the police, before then, had 2 said does Daniel know any Gabriel? Have you heard of 3 any Gabriel? And we said no. 4 Q. That must have been another great shock for you? 5 A. Yes. Oh yeah, yes. 6 Q. Did the police ask you questions around that idea of 7 whether it was possible or likely that Daniel had in 8 fact done that. Did they ask you, for example, about 9 events that had happened in August, around the time, as 10 we know, Gabriel Kovari's body had been found? 11 A. Not that I remember. They did ask us, as I say: does 12 Daniel know any Gabriel? I don't think there was 13 a great A questions about it. Like the actual date 14 when, as we know now, that Gabriel was found or 15 anything, I am not sure there was that much detail in 16 it, in their questions. 17 Q. Let me just ask you about a passage in that IPCC witness 18 statement we have looked at before. If we can have it 19 on the screen, please, it is IPC14, page 4. 20 Sorry, I have the wrong reference. It is IPC414. 21 That is it. Page 4, please. 22 You tell us, Mr Whitworth, but do you see the 23 paragraph, three paragraphs down. This appears to be 24 part of your description of this meeting at Barking Town 25 Hall. You say:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p>	<p>1 one point, there were discussions between you and the 2 police about this issue. What Daniel was doing 3 in August, whether it seemed to you likely -- 4 A. That is another reason I mentioned it, because at that 5 time I am not sure what the police thought -- they might 6 have been thinking of Gabriel Klein or whatever. So the 7 question of whether there was a gap where Daniel could 8 have done something with Gabriel Kovari, yes, that did 9 come up. But I am saying here I am not sure whether 10 this -- going on about it or whether this was after -- 11 Q. Let's not worry about when it happened. 12 A. Okay. 13 Q. Let's not worry whether it happened once or more than 14 once. 15 A. Lots of times, yeah, because we was racking out brains 16 out, me and Mandy and Ricky a lot about where was this 17 gap of where Daniel could have done what he was supposed 18 to be doing with Gabriel a couple of weeks before Daniel 19 died himself. And there just wasn't one. 20 Q. It is quite striking what you say there, Mr Whitworth, 21 about being quite fierce in telling the police. What do 22 you mean by that? 23 A. Yes, because there wasn't any gap. If they had done the 24 phone investigations they would have probably seen it, 25 I don't know. There just was no gap for Daniel to have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p>
<p>1 "The note made mention of a Gabriel. We were not 2 told anything about why this name was mentioned in the 3 note. We had never heard of a Gabriel before. We were 4 told the date Gabriel had been found dead [which we know 5 is 28 August] and we just couldn't place a gap where 6 Daniel could have been missing in that month without it 7 going unnoticed. We were quite fierce in telling the 8 police that." 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Does that seem to have been a conversation that you had 11 with the police -- 12 A. Yeah, all of this is conversations with the police, but 13 I am just looking at it, I don't think that is one 14 occasion. This is -- this is a statement made years 15 later and if it is saying there we were not told 16 anything about Gabriel before we actually saw that note, 17 I am not sure whether that is true or not, because they 18 did say: does Daniel know any Gabriel? And they might 19 not have said that so much after the note, so they 20 definitely did say that. 21 Q. Let's not worry too much, Mr Whitworth, about exact 22 times or dates or exactly when this or that happened, 23 because everyone understands that it was a long time ago 24 now. The more important thing is whether over that 25 period of time, at some point and perhaps at more than</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p>	<p>1 done these things, so it is annoying. Just the other 2 day we heard a police officer saying Daniel obviously -- 3 obviously -- led a double life or something. He didn't 4 have time for that. All his minutes were accounted for. 5 Q. Let's focus on what you were thinking back in 2014. It 6 seems from what you were saying that you were, as it 7 were, replaying the last month or so of Daniel's life 8 and trying to see if you could think of a time -- 9 A. Yes, one phrase Paul Slaymaker did say, he said he has 10 done it one way or another, because it has happened, he 11 must have done it, but we just can't place a gap where 12 Daniel had the time to do that. 13 Q. You mentioned talking to Ricky about this, over time -- 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. -- of course we -- you told us that after you, on that 16 day that you were in Barking, after you had been to the 17 graveyard, Ricky didn't come with you to the Town Hall. 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Were you asked whether you would mind if Ricky came and 20 looked at the note? 21 A. I don't know if we were asked, but I am pretty sure we 22 told them, because this was the case, we don't mind if 23 Ricky sees the note, and I probably told them that he 24 would know better than I would about the handwriting 25 stuff, you know. And, as far as I understand it, their</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p>

<p>1 reasoning for not letting Ricky see the note was that it</p> <p>2 was a bit upsetting for him, well the big upset has</p> <p>3 already happened, you can't be more upset.</p> <p>4 Q. If it was suggested that they didn't think it was</p> <p>5 appropriate to show him without your permission, because</p> <p>6 you were, as it were, next of kin --</p> <p>7 A. Well I gave them my permission, definitely.</p> <p>8 Q. Did they in fact ask you?</p> <p>9 A. I don't know if they asked me, but I advised them that</p> <p>10 it would be better for Ricky, that I've got no problem</p> <p>11 with Ricky seeing it, yeah.</p> <p>12 Q. Let's just imagine that time you were here in this</p> <p>13 building, do you think you said to them then, "Ricky</p> <p>14 would know better about this"?</p> <p>15 A. Probably, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. If they had said well we can always give him a call and</p> <p>17 get him to come over now?</p> <p>18 A. That's fine, I wish they had have done.</p> <p>19 Q. You wouldn't have had any objection?</p> <p>20 A. No objection at all, no. No, that would be my</p> <p>21 suggestion.</p> <p>22 Q. I want to move forward in time, Mr Whitworth, we are</p> <p>23 still at the moment talking about events that took place</p> <p>24 just a week or so after Daniel's body was found in</p> <p>25 September 2014, I want to move forward now to ask you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p>	<p>1 If we could have the top half of the page, please.</p> <p>2 I wanted to ask you, Mr Whitworth, about the sentence,</p> <p>3 line 2 and 3, you talk about meeting up with</p> <p>4 DC Slaymaker, the other Paul, who would that have been?</p> <p>5 A. Paul Berry, I think, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Then you say this:</p> <p>7 "We wouldn't find out any new information at the</p> <p>8 inquest, it was just to tie up loose ends and for the</p> <p>9 coroner to give a verdict."</p> <p>10 Perhaps not those exact words, but was that the</p> <p>11 effect, do you remember, of what they said to you?</p> <p>12 A. I think so, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. We can take that down from the screen, thank you, but we</p> <p>14 see from at that statement that in fact there were some</p> <p>15 new things that were discovered at the inquest?</p> <p>16 A. There were, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. What in particular did you discover?</p> <p>18 A. The bedsheet, that we didn't know the existence of, that</p> <p>19 there could have been DNA tested, and that wasn't, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Was that a surprise to you when you heard the evidence</p> <p>21 about that?</p> <p>22 A. I think it was, yes.</p> <p>23 Q. It is right also, isn't it, that the question of the</p> <p>24 handwriting and the note came up at the inquest?</p> <p>25 A. It did, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p>
<p>1 some questions about the inquest which the jury have</p> <p>2 heard happened the next year, June 2015.</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. You were obviously told that the inquest was going to</p> <p>5 happen. Was that conversations that you or Mandy had</p> <p>6 with Mr Slaymaker?</p> <p>7 A. I don't remember the details of that.</p> <p>8 Q. Was it people from the police that told you about the</p> <p>9 inquest?</p> <p>10 A. Probably. It could have been a letter, I have no idea.</p> <p>11 Q. What was your understanding about the inquest process</p> <p>12 and what was likely to happen at the inquest?</p> <p>13 A. I don't know. I didn't have much preconceived ideas of</p> <p>14 it.</p> <p>15 Q. You went along and did you meet up with police officers</p> <p>16 before you --</p> <p>17 A. We did, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. -- actually went into the court building?</p> <p>19 A. That's right, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you remember having any conversations with them then</p> <p>21 about what was to come?</p> <p>22 A. We did, yes, we stopped in a bit of a coffee shop and</p> <p>23 sat there for a few minutes, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Let's just have a look -- go back to that same</p> <p>25 statement, please, it is IPC414, and this time page 5.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p>	<p>1 Q. I would like to just take you to a few -- a couple of</p> <p>2 references in the inquest transcript and ask you about</p> <p>3 that.</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. If we could have on screen, please, for the jury it is</p> <p>6 tab 55 in the bundle, for the screen it is IPC1041.</p> <p>7 If we can start at page 2, going over to page 3,</p> <p>8 please, this is just to show that you were there,</p> <p>9 Mr Whitworth. You see there is a long section from the</p> <p>10 coroner and we see just towards the end of that the</p> <p>11 coroner saying:</p> <p>12 "We have members of Daniel's family in court today.</p> <p>13 Do we have his dad? Is it Alan?"</p> <p>14 And you correct her and say, "No, it is Adam".</p> <p>15 A. Hmm.</p> <p>16 Q. She asks if there is anyone else from the family and no</p> <p>17 doubt that Mandy saying she was there, even though it</p> <p>18 was not caught on the audio?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Then we see the coroner saying:</p> <p>21 "As family members you will be regarded as</p> <p>22 interested persons, so when the detective inspector</p> <p>23 gives evidence you will be able to ask questions, if you</p> <p>24 have any questions, after I have had the opportunity to</p> <p>25 ask my questions."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p>

<p>1 That is what she is recorded as saying.</p> <p>2 A. Okay.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you remember hearing that?</p> <p>4 A. No, I don't, no.</p> <p>5 Q. Had you been to an inquest before?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Was it all feeling perhaps rather strange and formal?</p> <p>8 A. It was, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Let's go on then to page 13, please. We see, picking it</p> <p>10 up, the first full entry, the coroner asking questions</p> <p>11 of DI Schamberger at this point.</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. She is asking him about the note and she says:</p> <p>14 "Sorry, taking you back to note, was this note</p> <p>15 provided to family members?"</p> <p>16 And he said, "Yes, it was".</p> <p>17 She said:</p> <p>18 "Did they recognise the writing as being Daniel's?"</p> <p>19 He said:</p> <p>20 "Yes, they did, it was done by the family liaison</p> <p>21 officer."</p> <p>22 The coroner says:</p> <p>23 "Did you also check yourself against examples of</p> <p>24 Daniel's writing?"</p> <p>25 He said:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	<p>1 process. But we did all, me, Mandy and Ricky and my mum</p> <p>2 sort of looked at each other to say, "This is not true",</p> <p>3 but you didn't think you could interfere with the</p> <p>4 process.</p> <p>5 Q. You looked at each other, because at least what we have</p> <p>6 read there is not the same as what you have told us?</p> <p>7 A. He is saying it is confirmed and it never was, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. You have referred in your witness statement -- thank</p> <p>9 you, we can take the transcript down -- to discussions,</p> <p>10 to use a neutral word, that took place between you and</p> <p>11 other family members and the police officers after the</p> <p>12 inquest, I mean immediately after. Do you remember</p> <p>13 about that?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. What, in general terms, was discussed?</p> <p>16 A. Ricky swore at them, in his sentence to, say if you had</p> <p>17 have done your job properly this wouldn't have happened.</p> <p>18 Mandy went off in tears, my mum said, "There is foul</p> <p>19 play here, can't an open verdict be relooked at, seeing</p> <p>20 as it is open?" And they said, "No, it is the end of</p> <p>21 it".</p> <p>22 And as far as they were concerned, this was end of</p> <p>23 it. I think he has wrote that in his sort of FLO log,</p> <p>24 this is finished here and he has no further part in it.</p> <p>25 Q. Let's just finally look at a passage from that IPCC</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p>
<p>1 "I didn't check it myself, but police colleagues</p> <p>2 checked the writing against a diary."</p> <p>3 The coroner asked where the diary was found and</p> <p>4 DI Schamberger said, "At Daniel's home address".</p> <p>5 And the coroner said:</p> <p>6 "And again the police who checked that were</p> <p>7 satisfied that it was a similar match between the note</p> <p>8 and the diary?"</p> <p>9 If we can scroll down, DI Schamberger said, "Yes".</p> <p>10 I don't know what memory you have of that hearing,</p> <p>11 Mr Whitworth, do you remember that exchange between the</p> <p>12 coroner --</p> <p>13 A. Not in that much detail but, yes, I remember.</p> <p>14 Q. We don't see any reference in the transcript to you or</p> <p>15 Mandy objecting or saying that was not right.</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. You didn't say that. Did it occur to you to say that at</p> <p>18 the time?</p> <p>19 A. No, it didn't.</p> <p>20 Q. Why not?</p> <p>21 A. Because we were distant spectators and the action, if</p> <p>22 you like, of the inquest there, was taking place and we</p> <p>23 were an audience to it. It didn't feel to me at least</p> <p>24 that I was allowed to sort of start pointing and</p> <p>25 shouting across the room and interfering with the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>	<p>1 witness statement where you describe those discussions.</p> <p>2 It is IPC414, please, page 5. I am just looking</p> <p>3 about 10 lines down, what you have written is:</p> <p>4 "I don't feel the coroner was entirely happy with</p> <p>5 the work the police had done, because she was saying the</p> <p>6 sheet could have been tested. Following the open</p> <p>7 verdict, the police said to us that that was that and my</p> <p>8 mum [that is Ricky's grandmother] said there must be</p> <p>9 foul play or more to it. We thought there was a third</p> <p>10 party involved somehow, perhaps a drug dealer, but we</p> <p>11 felt there was more to it than just suicide. My mum</p> <p>12 asked the police if they could reopen a case with</p> <p>13 an open verdict and the police said they couldn't.</p> <p>14 Ricky was very angry with the police and told them in</p> <p>15 a raised voice that they had not done their job</p> <p>16 properly. They asked Ricky if he wanted to run through</p> <p>17 what happened until he felt happy with it, which he</p> <p>18 declined."</p> <p>19 Is that what happened?</p> <p>20 A. Roughly, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you have a particular memory of Daniel's grandmother</p> <p>22 asking the police if they could reopen the case?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Can you remember the words she used or roughly what she</p> <p>25 said?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

<p>1 A. No, I can't remember the actual phrase, no.</p> <p>2 Q. But in any event, what was the reply she received?</p> <p>3 A. That I understand it -- again, I can't remember the</p> <p>4 exact words, but, "It is an open verdict, that is the</p> <p>5 coroner's verdict, and it cannot be restarted again,</p> <p>6 that is the end of it".</p> <p>7 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Mr Whitworth. Those are</p> <p>8 all the questions I want to ask you.</p> <p>9 A. Okay, thanks.</p> <p>10 Questions from DR VAN DELLEN</p> <p>11 DR VAN DELLEN: Madam, I am not sure of the sequence, I only</p> <p>12 have one brief question, if it assists.</p> <p>13 Mr Whitworth, as you know very well, I represent</p> <p>14 Ricky. I only have one question for you.</p> <p>15 You were asked questions by my learned friend,</p> <p>16 counsel to the inquest, Ms O'Connor, Queen's Counsel,</p> <p>17 about the inquest before Her Majesty's Senior Coroner</p> <p>18 for East London Nadia Persaud, when you, Mandy, Daniel's</p> <p>19 grandmother and Ricky were in court.</p> <p>20 Is it right that none of you were legally</p> <p>21 represented at that inquest?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 DR VAN DELLEN: Thank you madam, no further questions.</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p>	<p>1 Mr Whitworth. The previous paragraph is, "The next time</p> <p>2 I heard from the police was when I had contact with</p> <p>3 DC Slaymaker".</p> <p>4 You say:</p> <p>5 "Most of the time DC Slaymaker contacted us he would</p> <p>6 speak with Mandy, rather than with me."</p> <p>7 That is right, isn't it?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. You then say this:</p> <p>10 "A good while later we received an email from the</p> <p>11 police, I am unsure of the department, saying they had</p> <p>12 a note."</p> <p>13 I am just trying to be clear as to when in your</p> <p>14 mind, when you gave this statement in 2017, when it was,</p> <p>15 what "a good while later" meant?</p> <p>16 A. I don't recall, but obviously we were sent the email the</p> <p>17 day after.</p> <p>18 Q. Yes. If we can pull up IPC243, please, briefly, now,</p> <p>19 this is an email that DC Slaymaker sent to</p> <p>20 A/DI Schamberger on 25 September 2014. The wider</p> <p>21 context is whether Daniel's body should be released for</p> <p>22 burial. The rest of the email chain."</p> <p>23 He appears to be saying, amongst other things, as to</p> <p>24 the toxicology and the delays of 15 weeks:</p> <p>25 "How do you want me to play this with the family?"</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p>
<p>1 Questions from MR DAVIES</p> <p>2 MR DAVIES: Mr Whitworth, I think you know by now</p> <p>3 I represent the various Barking officers.</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. I have a very limited number of questions for you.</p> <p>6 Before I ask them, can I make quite clear that the</p> <p>7 officers I represent absolutely accept responsibility</p> <p>8 for the failure to identify Port's handwriting before it</p> <p>9 was identified. No responsibility lies with your family</p> <p>10 whatsoever for that.</p> <p>11 May I ask, can you remember speaking to Trainee</p> <p>12 Detective Constable Adeyemo-Phillips on the day after</p> <p>13 you were told of Daniel's death?</p> <p>14 A. Very, very vaguely, I don't remember it specifically,</p> <p>15 no.</p> <p>16 Q. Can you remember receiving the email with that extract?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Can you recall the words you used and everyone</p> <p>19 understands you must have been traumatised, but can you</p> <p>20 remember the words you used to her?</p> <p>21 A. Not the exact words, no.</p> <p>22 Q. No.</p> <p>23 In IPC414, and you have been taken to this -- could</p> <p>24 we have that up, please -- and it is at page 2, please.</p> <p>25 The bottom paragraph, you -- get your bearings,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p>	<p>1 At present they know it is unexplained and likely to be</p> <p>2 suicide. The following points could be tricky with</p> <p>3 regards to the family. Dad Adam has seen part of the</p> <p>4 suicide note to verify Daniel's writing. He has already</p> <p>5 asked to see the full note. I believe he suspects there</p> <p>6 is more detail of what happened and feels we are</p> <p>7 withholding information."</p> <p>8 Does that resonate with conversations you had with</p> <p>9 DC Slaymaker on or around 25 September?</p> <p>10 A. Not especially, no. I would obviously want to see the</p> <p>11 full note.</p> <p>12 Q. Of course you would. In obvious human terms you would</p> <p>13 want to see it, we all understand that.</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. He goes on:</p> <p>16 "Deceased partner Ricky appears to know nothing</p> <p>17 about Daniel's recent movements/actions with other men.</p> <p>18 This could be very tricky if he finds out."</p> <p>19 Can you see from that that DC Slaymaker was thinking</p> <p>20 at least about the sensitivities, potential</p> <p>21 sensitivities, from the full note?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Could we go back, please, to IPC84, page 3. This is the</p> <p>24 undated statement. It is the same principal paragraph</p> <p>25 there, please, if we could expand that. This is when</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p>

<p>1 you did get to see the full note, Mr Whitworth, to get 2 our bearings again. You have no reason to have done, 3 but did you or Mandy or anyone else make notes of any of 4 these meetings at the time? 5 A. I don't think so. 6 Q. No. I emphasise there is no reason why you should have 7 done, but you are going from memory as to this. 8 You say, halfway through that paragraph: 9 "After being shown the suicide note, myself and 10 Amanda said that Daniel wouldn't have written a suicide 11 note in that way. It would have been more personal. 12 I also said he didn't sign off notes in the way he did 13 and there was a section where he didn't tell his family 14 he went out. He wouldn't need to tell us he went out as 15 he didn't live with us. This all seemed very odd to us. 16 We were told by the police we were overthinking it 17 though." 18 That was for context, so there is no risk of 19 misleading you: 20 "We did admit that the writing looked like Daniel's 21 but I had assumed wrongly that the police had compared 22 the handwriting sample they got from Ricky to the note 23 and that it was Daniel's. They had the handwriting 24 sample for a while prior to me looking at the note. 25 Whilst I looked at the note from the police, I didn't</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p>	<p>1 MR STOATE: Thank you, ma'am, sorry. 2 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, I don't think Ms Hill and Mr Stoate 3 have any questions for Mr Whitworth and I don't have any 4 more questions. 5 Questions from THE CORONER 6 THE CORONER: Just one question from me, Mr Whitworth. 7 Obviously there are two different things. One is 8 the question of the police comparing the handwriting 9 sample with the note, that is one topic. The other 10 possibility is them getting handwriting expert evidence. 11 Can I just clarify with you what you are saying about 12 each of those. 13 Did you understand they were going to compare the 14 note with the sample that Ricky had provided? 15 A. Yes. 16 THE CORONER: Did you know whether in fact they had done 17 that or not? You thought they were going to, but -- 18 A. By saying that, I mean like Slaymaker and Jackie Baxter 19 in the room could have looked at the sample that I had 20 brought. That is not handwriting analysis. 21 THE CORONER: No, did they do that though? 22 A. They might have had a cursory look, I don't know, or 23 when they went back to the station, but that is not 24 analysis. 25 THE CORONER: That is what I wanted to be clear about. When</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p>
<p>1 have the sample of Daniel's writing to compare it with, 2 which would have helped." 3 So I put the whole passage there. 4 Looking at that statement, was it an assumption on 5 your part that they conducted the handwriting 6 comparison, or something they actually told you? 7 A. I don't know. No, they hadn't told me that they have 8 done handwriting analysis. They said they would. And 9 I don't believe they ever did. 10 Q. Yes. 11 What this document seems to say, and it is obviously 12 closer to the event than we are now, is that you had 13 assumed they had done it, rather than being told they 14 were going to do it, but what do you say to that? 15 A. I don't know what time period you are talking about, 16 when I am supposed to have assumed that. 17 MR DAVIES: All right, well I won't take that further, you 18 have the document. 19 As to other conversations, DC Slaymaker's 20 recollections may differ, Mr Whitworth, but I am not 21 going to take you through those for today's purposes. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CORONER: Ms Hill, I think we are ready for you when you 24 are ready. 25 Sorry, I wanted to check you knew that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p>	<p>1 you say "analysis", you mean expert handwriting? 2 A. That is right, and that was explicitly talked about by 3 me and Slaymaker, several times on that actual day. He 4 suggested that the police have got handwriting experts, 5 not just people, handwriting experts who will know one 6 way or the other, that was his phrase, and I was very 7 happy to leave it at that, to say, "Okay, then we will 8 know one way or the other, good". 9 THE CORONER: It was in that context I think that you told 10 the police that Daniel was left handed? 11 A. That's right, yes, to help the experts, yes. 12 THE CORONER: Thank you. 13 Yes, thank you, Mr Whitworth. 14 We will take a break there. 15 15 minutes, members of the jury. Thank you. 16 (11.01 am) 17 (A short adjournment) 18 (11.16 am) 19 (In the presence of the jury) 20 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, madam, may we please call 21 Amanda Whitworth. 22 MS AMANDA WHITWORTH (affirmed) 23 Questions from MR O'CONNOR 24 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Ms Whitworth, do please take 25 a seat.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 48</p>

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

<p>1 Can you give us your full name, please?</p> <p>2 A. My name is Amanda Jane Whitworth.</p> <p>3 Q. Ms Whitworth, you were Daniel's stepmother?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. You describe in one of the statements you have made</p> <p>6 entering Daniel's life in 2011?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, or thereabouts, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. That was around the time I think that Daniel and Ricky</p> <p>9 moved in together in their place in Gravesend?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, they moved in a little bit after that, I remember</p> <p>11 them moving in.</p> <p>12 Q. We have heard from Adam that they lived not far away</p> <p>13 from you and Adam?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Give us a flavour then of your memories of Daniel over</p> <p>16 those few years, between 2011 and the time that he died?</p> <p>17 A. He is very much like his dad. I really can't -- you</p> <p>18 know, quiet, but he could be -- he could be a partygoer</p> <p>19 if he felt like it. Cheeky at times, I mean that is</p> <p>20 really where the stepmother part comes in, because</p> <p>21 I said you better be careful, because one day I am going</p> <p>22 to be your wicked stepmother. So that is really where</p> <p>23 that comes in, but his mother was still alive and</p> <p>24 I wasn't trying to be his mother.</p> <p>25 He was just a lovely lad, a really lovely lad and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p>	<p>1 worried, yes, extremely.</p> <p>2 Q. That, perhaps, would have been the Friday then, and</p> <p>3 overnight into the Saturday?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Again, as we have heard from Adam, during that day on</p> <p>6 the Saturday, police officers came to your house and</p> <p>7 informed you that Daniel's body had been found?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. You described them in a statement that you gave as</p> <p>10 having been extremely sensitive, compassionate, and gave</p> <p>11 us the news in the best way they could have?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, they had removed their hats and they were extremely</p> <p>13 polite.</p> <p>14 Q. I think, from reading your statement, that one of the</p> <p>15 thoughts of course you had at that stage was to think</p> <p>16 about Ricky and --</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. -- what to do about him?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, it was -- immediately I thought about Adam, because</p> <p>20 he was in the kitchen getting ready for work and I know,</p> <p>21 you know, there are not going to be two policeman at the</p> <p>22 door removing their hats for no good reason at all and</p> <p>23 that is where my head was immediately.</p> <p>24 Q. Yes, so you then no doubt called him and sat with the</p> <p>25 officers while they broke the news?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p>
<p>1 a hard worker.</p> <p>2 Q. It is clear that you were close to him over those few</p> <p>3 years?</p> <p>4 A. I would have liked to have had the opportunity to get</p> <p>5 closer, I do feel robbed but, yes, it was a good start.</p> <p>6 Yes, definitely.</p> <p>7 Q. As I asked Adam, you and Adam saw something of Daniel at</p> <p>8 least over the summer of 2014, in those few months</p> <p>9 before he died?</p> <p>10 A. Yes. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. As he said, Daniel was working long shifts, you were all</p> <p>12 working shifts so perhaps it was difficult to find time</p> <p>13 to get together frequently, but there were times that</p> <p>14 met together as a family over that summer?</p> <p>15 A. Yes, we did have some time.</p> <p>16 Q. Again, as we said with Adam, a day or so before you</p> <p>17 received the news of Daniel's death you had been aware</p> <p>18 for at that time that Daniel was missing and that Ricky</p> <p>19 had reported him missing to the police?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. I imagine you were keeping in close touch with Ricky</p> <p>22 over that time, it must have been a worrying time?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, it was. I was doing night shifts and at the time</p> <p>24 I worked with my best friend and I must have bent her</p> <p>25 ears about it all night, to be honest. We were really</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p>	<p>1 A. I think, yes, I think I let the policemen in and I went</p> <p>2 into the kitchen and said, "You have got to stop</p> <p>3 whatever you are doing, you have got to come in here</p> <p>4 now", and he tried to just carry on, which was quite --</p> <p>5 I was expecting anything, to be honest.</p> <p>6 Q. Once the police had told you what they had to say, did</p> <p>7 there come a time when you were anxious that Ricky</p> <p>8 should be told or at least should be looked after and</p> <p>9 that you wanted to make arrangements for that?</p> <p>10 A. Yes. I can't remember exactly how I knew, I must have</p> <p>11 had a conversation with him earlier, because we were in</p> <p>12 constant touch while he was missing. But I knew he had</p> <p>13 taken himself off to Sittingbourne to be with a friend,</p> <p>14 and the police that were with me were talking about</p> <p>15 going to Gravesend, and I knew Ricky was in</p> <p>16 Sittingbourne, so I remember having to make a call to</p> <p>17 Ricky and say whatever you are doing, stay where you</p> <p>18 are, I needed an address from him, that was the reason</p> <p>19 for the call.</p> <p>20 Q. Was that so the police could go to that address?</p> <p>21 A. So they could go to the Sittingbourne address, instead</p> <p>22 of the Gravesend one.</p> <p>23 Q. That was that day.</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. I want to move on to the day after -- you have been in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p>

<p>1 court, you saw me ask some questions of Adam about the</p> <p>2 events of that next day.</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. In particular the email from DC Adeyemo-Phillips, the</p> <p>5 discussion about the handwriting. I am not going to</p> <p>6 bring them back up on screen, unless you want me to, but</p> <p>7 we have all seen them, we have seen the email that you</p> <p>8 received, or rather that Adam received, at about 11.00</p> <p>9 that morning.</p> <p>10 Were you at home with him on that day?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. In one of the statements you made closer to these events</p> <p>13 than now you described this event, the email and so on,</p> <p>14 as being about two weeks after hearing the news.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Obviously that is not right, we can see from the email</p> <p>17 it was just the next day.</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you actually have a memory of those events now? I</p> <p>20 mean, it must have been a terrible time.</p> <p>21 A. No. I mean we had been to break the news to Adam's</p> <p>22 mother the night before. And that next day was very,</p> <p>23 very surreal, so no, I know when emails came through</p> <p>24 they came to Adam's desktop, so Adam was the one opening</p> <p>25 them.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p>	<p>1 she rang up. Do you think that is right? What she said</p> <p>2 is --</p> <p>3 A. I know there was an exchange between her and Adam of</p> <p>4 emails, phone calls, and that was going back and forth.</p> <p>5 Because he said to me, "They are going to send this part</p> <p>6 of the suicide note, and we have got to check the</p> <p>7 handwriting", but I -- I don't really remember much</p> <p>8 about what I thought of it. I can see it as clear as</p> <p>9 day, this tiny little piece of scrawly stuff and</p> <p>10 I didn't know what to think.</p> <p>11 Q. What DC Adeyemo-Phillips said is that she rang, spoke to</p> <p>12 Adam, and Adam said that that was Daniel's handwriting?</p> <p>13 A. Absolutely not. Absolutely not. He did not say that.</p> <p>14 Q. Is that something that you are remembering or that you</p> <p>15 are --</p> <p>16 A. No, no, honestly, I can remember what he didn't say.</p> <p>17 There was a lot that Adam didn't say. Adam as stunned</p> <p>18 into silence. He is a man of few words anyway, he</p> <p>19 expresses himself through his poetry, and he did not say</p> <p>20 that.</p> <p>21 Q. What did he say?</p> <p>22 A. He said he couldn't be sure, or words to that -- you</p> <p>23 know, I can't remember his exact words, but he said,</p> <p>24 "I can't be sure", which is why it went over to Rick.</p> <p>25 You know, Rick and I were quite close and, yeah, I mean</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 55</p>
<p>1 But, other than that, it is vague.</p> <p>2 Q. I am going to ask you what memory you have of receiving</p> <p>3 the email and the handwriting and so on, but do you</p> <p>4 think you really can remember what happened after all</p> <p>5 this time and given what a difficult day it was?</p> <p>6 A. I remember Adam saying to me they are going to send --</p> <p>7 somebody is going to send us a piece of the handwriting,</p> <p>8 and I remember that, I remember that flashing up on</p> <p>9 screen and thinking, "Crikey, that is not much".</p> <p>10 Q. So you were together when the email arrived?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you think that you both looked at it?</p> <p>13 A. Hmm.</p> <p>14 Q. Can you remember what discussion you had about that,</p> <p>15 those few words that you received?</p> <p>16 A. I remember thinking, well, that there wasn't very much</p> <p>17 of it. And, you know, we had just been told that he had</p> <p>18 committed suicide, we had no reason not to believe that.</p> <p>19 We had no reason not to question that, or even question</p> <p>20 that that was his suicide note. What could -- you know,</p> <p>21 it was just a whole income of news, but I honestly</p> <p>22 didn't think anything of that tiny piece. I couldn't</p> <p>23 say certainly if it was his. I knew it wasn't -- I kind</p> <p>24 of knew -- it is hard, it is hard to explain.</p> <p>25 Q. DC Adeyemo-Phillips said that, after sending the email,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 54</p>	<p>1 in fact Rick and I had spent many an evening afterwards</p> <p>2 brainstorming, trying to work out what had happened,</p> <p>3 basically.</p> <p>4 Q. We will come on, perhaps in a few minutes, to talk about</p> <p>5 the days after, but just before we leave this, we have</p> <p>6 seen that that email that was sent on to Ricky about</p> <p>7 20 minutes later. Do you remember whether you or Adam</p> <p>8 spoke to Ricky after having sent him that email?</p> <p>9 A. I don't remember exactly, no.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you remember at some point Ricky offering a view on</p> <p>11 that handwriting, what he thought about?</p> <p>12 A. Yes. Yes, Ricky couldn't be sure either.</p> <p>13 Q. Right.</p> <p>14 That was the Sunday.</p> <p>15 As I mentioned to Adam, I think as we will see from</p> <p>16 the documents, the next day, the Monday, DC Slaymaker</p> <p>17 and also DC Berry came not to your place but to Ricky</p> <p>18 and Daniel's place in Gravesend and that you and Adam</p> <p>19 were there, as well as Ricky. That is at least what the</p> <p>20 documents suggest. Do you remember that at all?</p> <p>21 A. I tend to remember, or at least in my mind, that we did</p> <p>22 have a meeting over at Ricky's house.</p> <p>23 Q. Yes.</p> <p>24 A. But I thought that we had met the two Pauls in my flat.</p> <p>25 Q. When you say the two Pauls, you mean Slaymaker and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 56</p>

<p>1 Berry?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, I just remember it as being in my own front room.</p> <p>3 But, you know --</p> <p>4 Q. We can ask them but let's not worry too much about it.</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Perhaps more important, what do you remember about that</p> <p>7 first meeting with the two Pauls?</p> <p>8 A. Business as usual, you know I expected to hear or see</p> <p>9 some further police activity, meet more people and they</p> <p>10 were what I expected to be, you know, we had two police</p> <p>11 officers in the house and ...</p> <p>12 Q. In one of your statements you say about that meeting,</p> <p>13 and you did say in the same statement that you</p> <p>14 recollected it happened in your home, but you said that</p> <p>15 you felt the officers acted compassionately enough</p> <p>16 during this meeting and they expressed their</p> <p>17 condolences?</p> <p>18 A. Yes, they were okay at that point, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you think there was a discussion about wanting to see</p> <p>20 more of the note on that occasion?</p> <p>21 A. They -- I mean I don't know if it is on that occasion,</p> <p>22 that is the problem I have, you know, it is a bit</p> <p>23 chronological. But they said they would go to Rick's</p> <p>24 and retrieve some samples of handwriting. I think they</p> <p>25 did that on a different day, I honestly don't remember</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 57</p>	<p>1 of that visit was, or --</p> <p>2 A. I can't quite remember -- I can't quite remember how we</p> <p>3 got here. I think it gets muddled with another day when</p> <p>4 we came to put flowers down. I don't know if that was</p> <p>5 one day or two days, but we were definitely here to put</p> <p>6 flowers where he was found and we were of the</p> <p>7 understanding that only next of kin could see this whole</p> <p>8 note and that put me into an alarmed state, because Adam</p> <p>9 wasn't communicating with anyone, that is why it came</p> <p>10 through me. Everything came through me. He was in</p> <p>11 a very, as you can imagine, dark place and wasn't up for</p> <p>12 talking. He said very little at home even. So it came</p> <p>13 through me.</p> <p>14 So I was quite alarmed and I said, "Look, can I be</p> <p>15 there too, because of the content? You can't expect him</p> <p>16 to read this on his own". You know your head is working</p> <p>17 overtime. I didn't know if there was blame in there or</p> <p>18 what, and I didn't want him to read that by himself.</p> <p>19 Q. On this issue, the next of kin business was something</p> <p>20 that came from the police?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. I think I have understood from what you have said that</p> <p>23 initially at least they were saying it would only be</p> <p>24 Adam --</p> <p>25 A. It would only be Adam, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p>
<p>1 being at Rick's while they went through his stuff. That</p> <p>2 is just not the way I remember it. But they said they</p> <p>3 were going to pick up some samples.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you remember any discussion about Gabriel or any</p> <p>5 questions about whether you had heard of someone called</p> <p>6 Gabriel?</p> <p>7 A. We were asked if we knew anyone called Gabriel. And</p> <p>8 I know that was in the early days. It was very early on</p> <p>9 and we didn't know why we were being asked it. They</p> <p>10 didn't elaborate on it.</p> <p>11 Q. Were you even asked, for example, "Do you know a friend</p> <p>12 of Daniel's called Gabriel?" Or was it simply do you</p> <p>13 know --</p> <p>14 A. Yes, they just asked if we knew of anyone called</p> <p>15 Gabriel. That is how it came across I think. And</p> <p>16 I said no, we had not heard of anyone called Gabriel,</p> <p>17 but then he wasn't living with us and maybe it was</p> <p>18 somebody that he and Rick had befriended and maybe to</p> <p>19 ask Rick. You know, we didn't personally know</p> <p>20 a Gabriel, no.</p> <p>21 Q. Let me come on and ask you, as I did with Adam, about</p> <p>22 that day when you came to Barking, you came to this</p> <p>23 building, as we have heard.</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you remember how it was arranged or what the purpose</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 58</p>	<p>1 Q. You have said that you wanted to see it as well and is</p> <p>2 that what happened?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, they allowed me to be with him, because of the</p> <p>4 concerns I had about his mental health.</p> <p>5 Q. All right. Well, I am going to come back to that, but</p> <p>6 I think it does appear that you say you can't quite</p> <p>7 remember whether it was one visit or two, but it does</p> <p>8 look as though, at least on that day, the group of you</p> <p>9 went first to the Abbey grounds, the churchyard, and</p> <p>10 then you and Adam came back here?</p> <p>11 A. And then carried on, yes, okay.</p> <p>12 Q. I just wanted to ask you first of all about going to the</p> <p>13 graveyard and being shown that was where Daniel's body</p> <p>14 had been found.</p> <p>15 Did that spark any questions in your mind, just</p> <p>16 going to that place?</p> <p>17 A. The thing in my mind was that he wasn't a religious boy,</p> <p>18 and I couldn't work out why he was in Barking.</p> <p>19 I couldn't work out why he would choose a spot like that</p> <p>20 and not anywhere near home.</p> <p>21 Yeah, it was pretty confusing.</p> <p>22 Q. That was something that was in your mind. Did you talk</p> <p>23 to the police officers who were there about that?</p> <p>24 A. I remember having a conversation with Paul Slaymaker.</p> <p>25 I touched a number of things like: how did he get here?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 60</p>

<p>1 Where has he been? I also asked about -- because by --</p> <p>2 I don't know, I was just, you know, those are the only</p> <p>3 two things I can recall. You know, it was all very</p> <p>4 "why" at the time.</p> <p>5 Q. Yes, had you ever been to Barking before?</p> <p>6 A. I had never been to Barking in my life, no, never.</p> <p>7 Q. Well, as we have heard, I think, having been to the</p> <p>8 churchyard, you and Adam then came back to this building</p> <p>9 in order to sit down --</p> <p>10 A. Yes, I remember walking up here, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Presumably then, by that stage, had the question about</p> <p>12 you seeing the note been resolved or was it still</p> <p>13 something to be discussed when you got here, whether you</p> <p>14 would be able to see it or not? Perhaps you cannot</p> <p>15 remember.</p> <p>16 A. I didn't know what to expect. I was just -- in the</p> <p>17 forefront of my mind was the content, the content,</p> <p>18 because I just couldn't understand why it had happened.</p> <p>19 Q. You hadn't seen the content while you were walking to</p> <p>20 this building, but you knew you were going to or --</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Just to jump ahead a little bit, sticking with this</p> <p>23 topic, when you did see the note here, that day, did it</p> <p>24 occur to you that Ricky would be well placed to help the</p> <p>25 police by looking at it as well and giving his views on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, she took it from her handbag.</p> <p>2 Q. Was it, do you remember, actually the note itself or</p> <p>3 a copy or can you not remember?</p> <p>4 A. It was the real note, it was inside a plastic sleeve.</p> <p>5 Q. As we have seen, there must have been a lot to take in,</p> <p>6 but one of the points that is mentioned in the note is</p> <p>7 this reference to "G", a drug.</p> <p>8 A. That was one of the first thing that stuck out to me.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you know what G was?</p> <p>10 A. No. I asked Jackie.</p> <p>11 Q. What did you say?</p> <p>12 A. I said "What's G?" As simple as that. I think she gave</p> <p>13 me a rough description, not even that, it was like,</p> <p>14 "Well, it is a recreational drug, used in gay circles,</p> <p>15 ask Ricky, he will know, he will be able to help you</p> <p>16 with that".</p> <p>17 Q. I want to just bring up on screen the passage of your</p> <p>18 witness statement that deals with this. It is IPC413,</p> <p>19 page 4, please.</p> <p>20 That is 412. Can we have 413, please.</p> <p>21 That's it, thank you.</p> <p>22 Just picking up about 10 lines down, there is</p> <p>23 a sentence that starts right at the end of the line:</p> <p>24 "I asked the police [do you see that] what G was</p> <p>25 [I am going to read this out] as it had been referred to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p>
<p>1 it?</p> <p>2 A. I wish. I wish he was with us. But we had left him in</p> <p>3 the churchyard with Paul Berry and I think they had</p> <p>4 a coffee or something.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you think that that was something that was discussed</p> <p>6 between you and the officers you were with in this</p> <p>7 building, that it would help for Ricky to see the note</p> <p>8 or can you not remember?</p> <p>9 A. I think at some point we had agreed, I was led to</p> <p>10 believe that it was a sensitivity issue, and, again,</p> <p>11 Adam wasn't very forthcoming and I -- they didn't say,</p> <p>12 "Oh, could you tell Ricky", I think between us we had</p> <p>13 come to an agreement that I would pass it on to Ricky,</p> <p>14 whatever was in it, but that was easier said than done,</p> <p>15 as it turned out.</p> <p>16 Q. Did, for example, the police say, look, if you are --</p> <p>17 I mean perhaps more to Adam than you but in your</p> <p>18 hearing, did the police say, "If you are happy, we can</p> <p>19 show the note to Ricky today"?</p> <p>20 A. No, there was nothing like that.</p> <p>21 Q. In any event, you came here, you and Adam, with</p> <p>22 Ms Baxter and Mr Slaymaker?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And you were shown the full note, and that was the first</p> <p>25 time you had seen it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 62</p>	<p>1 in the note. They explained what it was and what it was</p> <p>2 originally used. Jackie also added that if we weren't</p> <p>3 sure, we should ask Rick as he would know what G is.</p> <p>4 She said they know about this stuff. Jackie did not</p> <p>5 clarify what she meant by this comment and stupidly</p> <p>6 I didn't go into it, I just thought I would check with</p> <p>7 Rick because maybe we didn't know Daniel as well as we</p> <p>8 thought we did, now he was an adult. My perception of</p> <p>9 what Jackie said was that she meant GHB was used in the</p> <p>10 gay community, rather than because Rick was Daniel's</p> <p>11 partner and that is why he might know. This is because</p> <p>12 Jackie added that it was well known to the gay community</p> <p>13 and that Rick would know because of this. I spoke to</p> <p>14 Rick that same day about it and relayed the content of</p> <p>15 the note to him. I did this with great difficulty as</p> <p>16 I hadn't been allowed to take the note with me or make a</p> <p>17 copy. I asked if I could copy it on to a piece of paper</p> <p>18 and was told by the officers that I couldn't do that.</p> <p>19 I made rough notes and waited until Rick had driven us</p> <p>20 home to give him the details."</p> <p>21 Is that an account about that conversation about</p> <p>22 what G was and what Jackie Baxter said?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, she did say to ask Ricky and I didn't see anything</p> <p>24 wrong about it at that moment.</p> <p>25 But I did think, well -- I had the opinion that --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 64</p>

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

<p>1 I didn't voice it, but I felt that he probably wouldn't 2 know, I mean I said maybe we didn't know them as well as 3 we thought, but in the back of my mind I thought he is 4 not going to know. 5 Q. Did you speak to Ricky about that? 6 A. I did, I waited for him to drive home, because he was 7 nervous, a nervous wreck and we were all a wreck, and 8 I thought I will wait -- we went back to our flat and in 9 fact got the brandy out and asked him. He was totally 10 confused, he didn't know what it was. 11 Q. Thank you, we can take that down now. 12 Let me ask you about the handwriting on the note. 13 You have told us about your memory of a week or so 14 before getting the email. Now you had the whole note to 15 look at and of course there was a lot to take in, and 16 I am going to ask you in a minute about what the note 17 did or didn't say, the content of it, but just about the 18 handwriting. Do you remember, in fact, thinking about 19 the handwriting that day? 20 A. I was trying to take it in as a whole, you know, I mean 21 the words were jumping about on the page and I -- it 22 wasn't the easiest thing to read. And I did think it 23 was a bit scruffy, I am not saying Daniel's handwriting 24 was great, but the only examples we had of that were 25 birthday cards where he had tried to get a little bit</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p>	<p>1 going to show this to an expert or is it possible that 2 it is something you assumed but they didn't actually say 3 in terms? 4 A. Well, they said they would check it out, and to me, 5 maybe I watch too much Columbo, I don't know, I thought 6 they would check it out properly. I didn't expect it to 7 be anything less than that. I thought it was going to 8 go through some kind of proper examination. 9 Q. Yes. Let's move away from the handwriting. What about 10 actually the content of the note when you read it on 11 that day for the first time, what struck you about what 12 note actually said? 13 A. I couldn't believe it, really. I couldn't believe that 14 he had taken the life of somebody else accidentally and 15 it hadn't shown. I mean, like we said earlier, we 16 didn't see each other as much as we would have liked to, 17 but my late brother-in-law and his long-term partner, 18 their birthdays are two days apart in August, I think 19 they are the 23rd and the 25th, and we were at The Bull 20 pub in Stone, celebrating that birthday. And there 21 wasn't a sign of any distress and I remember when his 22 mother died and he came to tell us about that, he was as 23 white as a sheet. 24 Q. I think that was earlier in -- 25 A. That was earlier, that was in the March.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p>
<p>1 creative and do fancy letters and, bless him, it was 2 just a bit spidery, so I don't -- you know, I remember 3 thinking it was a bit messy. I don't know if I voiced 4 that, I can't remember if I voiced it. 5 Q. Do you remember talking about the handwriting in 6 particular, in fact at all that day? 7 A. I really couldn't say with any certainty. 8 Q. Do you remember any discussion about whether the hand 9 writing would be looked at by an expert -- something 10 that Adam -- 11 A. Personally, I know that was something that we wanted, 12 because of our unhappiness with it, it was just 13 horrible, we couldn't be sure of anything. So, yes, 14 I thought from some of the conversations we had had, 15 which I don't remember in any great detail but I thought 16 they would check it out properly. 17 Q. Perhaps we are not necessarily talking just about 18 conversations that happened on that day then? 19 A. No, it was probably included in that day, but it was 20 on -- at other times too. 21 Q. We might be really trying to go further than your memory 22 can take us -- 23 A. Yeah, yes. 24 Q. -- but just let me ask, do you think it was something 25 the police actually said, they actually said we are</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p>	<p>1 Q. Yes. 2 A. I am not saying it sprung to mind at that particular 3 time, but there was no sign that something could have 4 happened. I don't know, I honestly, there was no -- 5 like Adam said, there were no real gaps. 6 Q. What the note was suggesting was that there had been 7 a time, using the dates that were added, in August -- 8 A. On the 28th or thereabouts. 9 Q. -- when Daniel had met up with this man and gone to 10 a party, or met him, had sex, used drugs and actually 11 killed him and then left his body in Barking. And then 12 had been so distressed about that that he took his own 13 life. 14 Did you try and reconcile that with your memories of 15 Daniel over those few weeks? 16 A. No, Daniel was in a pretty good place. He was looking 17 forward to starting a new job. He didn't know exactly 18 when it was but he was very excited about it. It would 19 take him closer to his grandma, so he could have his 20 lunch with her again, like he always used to when he 21 worked at Brands Hatch. And to me he was in a really 22 good place. I honestly -- the only thing was because he 23 had had troubled teens, that did enter my head, 24 I thought, you know, maybe there was a bit of a relapse 25 or something, but it certainly didn't show.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p>

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

<p>1 Q. Let's have a look at another part of that same statement 2 you made for the IOPC. Can we have, please, on screen, 3 IPC413, page 5. 4 I am going to read out this passage, Ms Whitworth, 5 can you tell us if this was something that related to 6 that meeting in Barking or another time, it is middle 7 paragraph. It starts: 8 "The police were still treating Daniel's death as 9 suicide and were linking it back to the death of Gabriel 10 ..." 11 But going on, you say this: 12 "The police were gaining information from me about 13 Daniel's actions in the three weeks between when Gabriel 14 was found dead and when Daniel died. They asked how he 15 had been, if he was depressed, upset, or distressed 16 during that time. We couldn't understand how Daniel 17 could have been involved in Gabriel. We had had a small 18 family gathering at the end of August." 19 Just pausing there, was that, did you say, the 20 birthdays of your brother-in-law -- 21 A. They were the birthday celebrations, we did have that on 22 a weekend. I don't know when their birthdays landed, 23 but we did manage to get together for that. 24 Q. Reading on, you say: 25 "I remember saying to DC Slaymaker that I didn't see</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p>	<p>1 it included grandma, so grandma was -- would have been 2 driving probably by then, driving her son around or 3 whatever, so, you know, it all had to come together. 4 Q. Do you think then -- perhaps you gave some quite 5 detailed thought to this at the time. Were you thinking 6 back in 2014 that that gathering had happened after the 7 time that Gabriel's body was found? 8 A. Yes, I was thinking that, yes. 9 Q. The words that Adam used in relation to this issue was 10 that he and you were quite fierce in telling the police 11 that you just couldn't find a gap where this might have 12 happened? 13 A. Yes. Adam's communication has never been -- he 14 communicates, he approaches things, differently, let's 15 just say. If we have words at home, which isn't very 16 often, but if we have a slight tiff, he will say, "Oh 17 don't be fierce with me", you know, so he uses his words 18 in a way and in areas where we probably wouldn't even 19 think of that word, you know, but we were very adamant, 20 most definitely. I mean at no point was I in their 21 face, and I have regretted that over the years, you 22 know, we are not the kind of people who shout and carry 23 on. I don't want you to think I can't be pushed to that 24 point, but we were very adamant that this just wasn't 25 making any sense. He was a -- he was a lovely guy with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p>
<p>1 how Daniel could have kept such a thing to himself. He 2 didn't show any signs of distress. Had sat and eaten 3 his meal. I don't think Daniel could have hidden it if 4 he had accidentally caused someone's death, he didn't 5 have that sort of uncaring character. I stressed this 6 to DC Slaymaker. I cannot remember his words exactly, 7 but it was the general idea of who knows what anyone can 8 do in certain situations and that Daniel may have been 9 able to hide this. I was still very doubtful. Daniel 10 lived with Rick and I think he would have cracked at 11 home, but we never experienced this." 12 Is that a summary of your thoughts and what you said 13 to DC Slaymaker at that time? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Was that something you think you raised on that day when 16 you first read the note or later or both or -- 17 A. It may have been later. It may have been later, but we 18 couldn't -- 19 Q. Of course one possibility might have been that that 20 meeting, the family gathering you mentioned, had been 21 slightly before 27/28 August? 22 A. No, I think we had to choose a day when we could all be 23 together, and that was quite a difficult thing. 24 Q. Yes. 25 A. We were all on different shift patterns and, you know,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 70</p>	<p>1 all his priorities in the right place and I really -- 2 I don't know what sort of -- I don't know what he would 3 had to have been made of to hide an accidental death. 4 I can imagine Daniel being all over the place, 5 absolutely delirious with a situation like that. 6 I can't imagine him any other way. 7 Q. It is obvious that you were, as a family, grieving 8 terribly. 9 A. Terrible, yes, there was only a few of us, we are not 10 very big. 11 Q. That no doubt will have been apparent to the police as 12 well. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. You were trying to come to terms with what you had been 15 told, that Daniel had committed suicide? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. But this point we are discussing is perhaps slightly to 18 one side, in that you were saying that you don't think 19 he could have killed this person a few weeks before he 20 died. 21 A. No. 22 Q. Do you think it is possible that that all got mixed up 23 in the police's understanding of your grief or do you 24 think that you were actually making a separate point to 25 them?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 72</p>

<p>1 A. Well, I am not a psychologist. I can't -- we were all 2 in a dark place, dealing with it in our own way. Adam, 3 I was very, very worried about, and there was always 4 an answer, you know ... 5 I stressed that I couldn't see any -- I couldn't see 6 how he could have killed anyone and kept it to himself. 7 I just couldn't see how that could be. 8 Q. Let me move on. 9 We have already mentioned that this meeting at 10 Barking wasn't the only time you spoke to DC Slaymaker 11 and in fact you had conversations with him going on over 12 the following weeks and months. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. One of your statements refers to the fact that you 15 raised with him a concern that you had that there may be 16 third party involvement in Daniel's death. Is that 17 something -- 18 A. Yes, and that came directly from the note and he knew 19 that was one of my concerns from the off. 20 Q. Tell us a bit more about that, what was your concern? 21 A. Because, you know, as old as I am, I was a bit naive. 22 I wouldn't let my brain venture toward anything other 23 than that he had had help, that if he did commit 24 suicide, which they were quite convinced of, then he 25 would had to have had help. You know, he didn't take</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p>	<p>1 "Well, we don't know, we don't know". 2 I just remember it being the same theme, you know, 3 we don't know, we may never know and that they had got 4 no leads. 5 Q. Let me show you another paragraph, this time from 6 a different statement you made and ask you about it. 7 Can we have on screen, please, FAM9, page 4, 8 paragraph 12, please. What you say here, and this is in 9 a statement you have made much more recently, 10 Ms Whitworth, you say: 11 "I feel that DC Slaymaker's failure to listen and 12 address our concerns was extremely disrespectful. He 13 would ask us questions regarding Daniel and when we 14 responded with a firm no, instead of taking on board 15 what we had said, he would come up with an idea about 16 why we were wrong, so that he could dismiss our answers 17 and do nothing with them. This often involved him 18 telling us that we did not know Daniel as well as we 19 thought. It seemed to us that he had already decided 20 that Daniel was responsible for taking his own life and 21 the life of another and that he had already decided that 22 he was not open to any other possibility." 23 A. Yes. 24 DC Slaymaker -- we were polite to him and he was 25 polite to us but he could turn that mood on a sixpence</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p>
<p>1 drugs as far as I knew. He couldn't have done with his 2 work pattern and I knew there was -- I knew there was 3 someone else because of that, there would have had to 4 have been somebody else, but I didn't know who that 5 person was or what he was capable of or -- it could have 6 been a drug pusher, it could have been anybody. It was 7 someone unsavoury in my head that had helped him. 8 Q. Were these concerns that you expressed to DC Slaymaker? 9 A. Yes, we did discuss that with PC Slaymaker and he knew 10 that I was after finding out who that person was, as 11 soon as we could, really. 12 Q. How did he react when you raised these matters with him? 13 A. Well, I seem to remember when we were walking up towards 14 the Town Hall here, and we were still chatting in 15 general about things, and he was, you know, going along 16 the lines of: 17 "You will have some of the answers, you won't have 18 all of the answers, and there are some things you may 19 never know ..." 20 Because, obviously, we wanted to know where he had 21 been and what have you. But, you know, that was before 22 I had seen the note I was asking him all sorts of 23 questions. 24 And when this suggestion sort of turned up in the 25 letter, I just said, "Well, who is that?" And he said,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 74</p>	<p>1 if we tried to enter any other kind of, you know, Rick 2 and I were sitting up late into the evening thinking 3 about -- just trying to look at things. He couldn't 4 place him at the death of Gabriel, finding proof of that 5 was hard, because Rick couldn't get into Daniel's 6 Facebook, but he couldn't place him there, and so, you 7 know, I made my mind up one evening, like I said 8 chronologically I can't tell you when that was, but we 9 had had, Rick and I had been up half the night and 10 I said to myself, right, next time I see him, you know, 11 there is going to be what about this, this, and this? 12 I was told very firmly, and I felt actually I was 13 put back in my place, that, you know, "We have got more 14 resources than you will ever have [that came up in that 15 discussion], you are overthinking, you are overthinking 16 it". That was another word that rings in my head. And 17 that, you know, basically, "Leave us to do our job. We 18 have got more resources than you will ever have". 19 Q. Thank you, we can take that down. 20 I have almost finished my questions, Ms Whitworth. 21 You were here when I asked Adam about the inquest. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. You came along as well. I think we saw on the 24 transcript the audio didn't quite pick up you mentioning 25 your name, but we know you were there.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 76</p>

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. You heard what I asked Adam, and the passage in the</p> <p>3 transcript we went through.</p> <p>4 A. Hmm.</p> <p>5 Q. Where the coroner -- not this coroner, of course, but</p> <p>6 the coroner who was dealing with the case then -- asked</p> <p>7 DI Schamberger about the handwriting and in response to</p> <p>8 her questions he said, "Yes, it has been checked, the</p> <p>9 family have confirmed it".</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. You would have heard him say that. Did you think of</p> <p>12 raising the matter or not?</p> <p>13 A. I believed I couldn't. I wasn't told that I could just</p> <p>14 stand up like any other person at the back of the room</p> <p>15 and -- I had no idea that we could speak in that</p> <p>16 inquest, none whatsoever. I didn't think -- I didn't</p> <p>17 want to be in contempt of court, but I wasn't happy. We</p> <p>18 were looking at each other disbelievingly. I wasn't</p> <p>19 happy at all.</p> <p>20 Q. We have heard that at the end of the inquest there were</p> <p>21 words exchanged between the family and police officers?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Did you speak to the police officers, did you talk to</p> <p>24 them about starting a new investigation?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p>	<p>1 off to the loo, locked myself in and sobbed like a baby,</p> <p>2 because to me that was it, we knew there was somebody</p> <p>3 out there and I said that to Slaymaker, "You know there</p> <p>4 is somebody out there, there is somebody out there who</p> <p>5 is less than decent", putting it mildly, but no, we</p> <p>6 couldn't investigate it any further, that was the very</p> <p>7 definite message we got. Rick had lost his temper and</p> <p>8 swore at him, shouted at him actually, which I suppose</p> <p>9 most of us wanted to do that and I am glad he did, but,</p> <p>10 yeah, it was very final.</p> <p>11 Q. Finally, I want to go to one more passage of your IOPC</p> <p>12 statement, Ms Whitworth. For the screen, it is IPC413,</p> <p>13 page 9, please. Not quite the final but the penultimate</p> <p>14 paragraph of this statement, you said:</p> <p>15 "Except for the comment Jackie made about Rick</p> <p>16 knowing what GHB is, I did not feel there was anything</p> <p>17 at the time that made me feel the police were acting in</p> <p>18 a discriminatory manner and thought they were</p> <p>19 investigating Daniel's death. I didn't even put</p> <p>20 Jackie's comment into that context at the time because</p> <p>21 I was in another zone in my head. Any thoughts I do</p> <p>22 have about this now have all happened in retrospect.</p> <p>23 Knowing what I know now, I do think what she said was</p> <p>24 discriminatory. Since the inquest, I have felt the</p> <p>25 police were casual and couldn't care less. What bothers</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p>
<p>1 I remember saying, you know, we didn't know half of</p> <p>2 this stuff. Again, I actually used the word "murder"</p> <p>3 but I said you have got to find out who this person was,</p> <p>4 not as murder, probably but as an assistant in some way.</p> <p>5 My head wouldn't go there. Daniel didn't have any</p> <p>6 enemies, that was a really naive outlook for me. You</p> <p>7 know, I don't know much but I tend not to pay a lot of</p> <p>8 attention to all the nasty stuff in the world and</p> <p>9 I couldn't believe that somebody would knock him</p> <p>10 unconscious, rape him, kill him and leave him somewhere,</p> <p>11 you know, so I still couldn't bear to go down that</p> <p>12 route.</p> <p>13 But I knew there was definitely foul play, my</p> <p>14 mother in law was saying in my ear during the inquest,</p> <p>15 "This is foul play".</p> <p>16 Because, again, we heard about the bruises, which we</p> <p>17 knew nothing about and we hadn't seen them because even</p> <p>18 at the mortuary he was covered up to the neck. So we</p> <p>19 hadn't seen any marks or anything, and it was beginning</p> <p>20 to look very sinister and I was holding my breath and</p> <p>21 I really couldn't believe it.</p> <p>22 And I did -- I asked if we could carry on with lines</p> <p>23 of investigation and they said, "No, even though it is</p> <p>24 an open verdict, that doesn't mean you can carry on with</p> <p>25 it". It was just as final as that. And I took myself</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 78</p>	<p>1 me most is that they came to us as our liaison officers</p> <p>2 and showed compassion but they can't have actually felt</p> <p>3 that compassion. I feel they would have gone home at</p> <p>4 night knowing there was more they could have done and</p> <p>5 didn't. I feel the victims were treated the way they</p> <p>6 were because of the circles they moved in, the police</p> <p>7 knowledge of chemsex parties and that section of the</p> <p>8 community. I believe the police made an assumption</p> <p>9 about the gay community."</p> <p>10 Is that what you felt about the police --</p> <p>11 A. In retrospect. I mean at the time I wasn't thinking</p> <p>12 that. At the time I was thinking, "Oh my God, I am here</p> <p>13 looking at a letter", I was probably still thinking</p> <p>14 about the letter when she said that. You know, your</p> <p>15 brain is trying to catch up with all the information</p> <p>16 that is going in and some of it isn't, and so at the</p> <p>17 time, no, I am not thinking, "Oh my God, she is a gay</p> <p>18 hater", but as time has moved on, it is like waking up,</p> <p>19 and waking up out of a deep sleep and I just feel, that</p> <p>20 without anybody else's, I don't know, influence,</p> <p>21 whatever it is you want to call it, this was just shoddy</p> <p>22 and I just feel that they took advantage of our nice</p> <p>23 personalities. I feel we were taken advantage of. We</p> <p>24 were easy. We were easy to deal with. I think too</p> <p>25 easy.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 80</p>

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

<p>1 And as time has gone on, yes, I am actually quite</p> <p>2 bitter about that.</p> <p>3 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Ms Whitworth, those are</p> <p>4 all the questions I want to ask.</p> <p>5 A. No problem.</p> <p>6 Questions from MR DAVIES</p> <p>7 MR DAVIES: That is obviously your genuinely held view now.</p> <p>8 A. Hmm, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. But in terms of how -- I represent for these purposes</p> <p>10 most directly DC Slaymaker --</p> <p>11 A. Hmm.</p> <p>12 Q. I think you have said in terms of his manner with you at</p> <p>13 the time, he was polite?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. As were we.</p> <p>15 Q. Yes. And compassionate, words you have used?</p> <p>16 A. In the beginning. In the beginning, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Yes. Of course the grief at Daniel's death at such</p> <p>18 a young age --</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. -- at the time coupled with the additional factor that</p> <p>21 it was presented to you as a suicide?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Which must have been incomprehensible?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And to have that turned round, based on failures in the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p>	<p>1 be sitting where he is and where Rick is. Because these</p> <p>2 are words. And that is all you are going to hear from</p> <p>3 me: words. You are not going to feel what he feels,</p> <p>4 what I feel and what he feels.</p> <p>5 That is where my anger comes in. And hearing what</p> <p>6 we have heard here for the last three weeks, and the</p> <p>7 fact that in one of the IOPC statements, nine days after</p> <p>8 Daniel died, Slaymaker is mentioning all three of those</p> <p>9 men in one sentence, and it was on the 9th, nine days</p> <p>10 after Daniel died, the word "Port" appeared.</p> <p>11 So much for them not having any leads.</p> <p>12 If they didn't connect the dots, they looked pretty</p> <p>13 connected nine days later to me.</p> <p>14 Q. Well there is obviously nothing I can say to that, and</p> <p>15 DC Slaymaker is the next witness --</p> <p>16 A. Yes, I know.</p> <p>17 Q. -- and will give his account.</p> <p>18 Can I just concentrate on one issue --</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. -- out of a number, because you will appreciate his</p> <p>21 recollection --</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. -- of certain things differs from yours and he can only</p> <p>24 give his recollection.</p> <p>25 A. Of course, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 83</p>
<p>1 police investigation to the facts as we now know them,</p> <p>2 has further compounded no doubt your anger at what has</p> <p>3 happened from the police perspective here. Is that</p> <p>4 fair? You must feel angry at the investigation?</p> <p>5 A. Sometimes I feel angry. Most of time I feel, you know,</p> <p>6 what can we do? There is no turning the clock back. We</p> <p>7 have got to get on with our lives and we have got to</p> <p>8 make room for this. And it is not going to go away.</p> <p>9 Q. No.</p> <p>10 A. And if I am angry, it is because we went through all</p> <p>11 those channels of trying to deal with a suicide. We</p> <p>12 went to our GP, we went to certain organisations that</p> <p>13 dealt with dealing with suicide, and that is the thing,</p> <p>14 there are so many different types of grief and there are</p> <p>15 organisations that can help you with certain ones and we</p> <p>16 went through that for a whole year. A whole year.</p> <p>17 And then it was Slaymaker himself who phoned me</p> <p>18 in October and his first words were, "Don't build up</p> <p>19 your hopes, but we have found this third person".</p> <p>20 Yes, that -- you know, it looks like murder, really,</p> <p>21 so now we have got to -- the only little comfort we have</p> <p>22 was that he really wasn't in that dark place. So now we</p> <p>23 have got to emotionally take ourselves from a suicide to</p> <p>24 a murder. Nobody knows what that feels like, nobody,</p> <p>25 you have got to be sitting where I am, you have got to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 82</p>	<p>1 Q. Could we just go back, please, to IPC413, page 3. It</p> <p>2 is -- in asking this question, I repeat the point I made</p> <p>3 to Mr Whitworth, the whole responsibility for the</p> <p>4 handling of handwriting is on the police.</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. It is entirely the police's responsibility.</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. But in terms of the memory you have of that process on</p> <p>9 the 22nd, just to have your statement up. As</p> <p>10 Mr O'Connor has taken you to, your memory in this</p> <p>11 statement in 2017 was that this fragment of the letter</p> <p>12 was sent about two weeks after Daniel had died?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, it was the way I had recalled it at that time.</p> <p>14 Q. Yes, and you go on to say in the fourth line:</p> <p>15 "I did not forward part of this note on to anyone</p> <p>16 else, and we were told that only Adam would be able to</p> <p>17 look at the whole note."</p> <p>18 I am not trying to score points, Ms Whitworth --</p> <p>19 A. No, of course not.</p> <p>20 Q. -- I am just trying to see where the memory is here.</p> <p>21 A. Of course not. In places my memory would be fragmented.</p> <p>22 We were under a lot of stress, a lot of strain, we were</p> <p>23 trying to live in a parallel universe, if you like, we</p> <p>24 were still trying to go to work, pay our bills. It is</p> <p>25 a bit fragmented in places and I clearly, it wasn't</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 84</p>

<p>1 a couple of weeks after. More like a couple of weeks 2 after when we viewed the body.</p> <p>3 Q. Yes, I mean --</p> <p>4 A. And that is probably where the confusion comes in.</p> <p>5 Q. One of the legitimate criticisms that can be made of the 6 process followed was even asking Mr Whitworth on the 7 22nd to do this exercise, because of the level of grief 8 you must be experiencing. Could you agree with that?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, and no. I mean I don't wish to disclose too much 10 about Adam's personal life. I respect his wishes, even 11 as his wife. But Adam's communication problems, it 12 wouldn't have even mattered whether you had taken it 13 then or taken it now. His communication -- they are not 14 even problems, he does things differently and if you 15 have got a grasp of that, which I don't expect you to 16 have, then -- I understand him.</p> <p>17 Q. I entirely accept that, Ms Whitworth.</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. It goes on to say, "I think it was me who said this", 20 that is to say you couldn't make a judgment to 21 DC Slaymaker over the phone.</p> <p>22 The point I am making, and it isn't a clever one, is 23 that bearing in mind, to use your word, that you were 24 wrecks at the time, there is a risk for this period at 25 least of conflating different events and conversations,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 85</p>	<p>1 Q. At that point you were posted to Barking and Dagenham 2 borough?</p> <p>3 A. I was, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. You remained there then for several years as a uniformed 5 constable?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. You became a temporary detective constable, I think in 8 your statement you said that you joined a scheme or you 9 applied perhaps to become a detective constable in 10 January 2013.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. You were still then a temporary detective constable, and 13 I think we infer in training, at the time we are talking 14 about in 2014?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct.</p> <p>16 Q. I think also in your statement you say that you had 17 spent the first year or so of your time as a temporary 18 trainee detective constable in the community safety 19 unit. What sort of work would you have been involved 20 with there?</p> <p>21 A. That was predominantly crimes to do with domestic 22 violence.</p> <p>23 Q. Domestic violence?</p> <p>24 A. Domestic violence, yes.</p> <p>25 Q. You moved to the main CID office, which we have heard</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 87</p>
<p>1 isn't there?</p> <p>2 A. Yes. Yes, there could be.</p> <p>3 MR DAVIES: All right. We will hear DC Slaymaker's 4 evidence, but, Mrs Whitworth, thank you?</p> <p>5 A. Thank you.</p> <p>6 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, I don't have any more questions to ask 7 Mrs Whitworth.</p> <p>8 THE CORONER: No, I don't have any questions either. 9 Thank you very much, Mrs Whitworth.</p> <p>10 A. Thank you.</p> <p>11 THE CORONER: Thank you.</p> <p>12 MR O'CONNOR: May we then call DC Slaymaker.</p> <p>13 MR PAUL SLAYMAKER (sworn) 14 Questions from MR O'CONNOR</p> <p>15 MR O'CONNOR: Can you give us your full name, please?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, it is Paul Slaymaker.</p> <p>17 Q. Mr Slaymaker, I think it is right to say that you are 18 currently a detective constable?</p> <p>19 A. I am a detective sergeant.</p> <p>20 Q. You are a detective sergeant?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. You joined the Metropolitan Police in June 2009?</p> <p>23 A. I did, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. I assume as a uniformed constable?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 86</p>	<p>1 something about, in April 2014, and it was while you 2 were posted there then, you had been there a few months, 3 that you became involved in the investigations that we 4 are here to investigate?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. You said you are now a detective sergeant, when were you 7 promoted to detective sergeant?</p> <p>8 A. It was around last April, 2020, so 18 months ago.</p> <p>9 Q. All right. Now, of course you know, and the jury have 10 heard, that you had an involvement in both the 11 investigation into Anthony Walgate's death and also the 12 investigation that covered the deaths of Gabriel Kovari 13 and Daniel Whitworth. We know you had a particular role 14 relating to the Whitworth family in that investigation?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. I am going to ask you first of all about the 17 investigation into Anthony's death and then we will come 18 on to talk about the other investigation, all right?</p> <p>19 A. Okay.</p> <p>20 Q. Before we do either of those, though, I would like to 21 ask you some questions about your role as what we have 22 heard a family liaison officer. It is a term we have 23 heard a few times now, but you are the first person who 24 is actually a family liaison officer who has come to 25 give evidence.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 88</p>

<p>1 First of all, I have been through your career within 2 the police, including your move to the main CID office 3 in Barking and Dagenham in April 2014. I think it is 4 right to say that it was at about that time that you in 5 fact became a family liaison officer, you went on 6 a training course? 7 A. That's correct, yes. 8 Q. Was that a long course, did you have to go away to do 9 it, was it something you did in the evenings? Tell us 10 first of all about that course. 11 A. It is an MPS, Metropolitan Police, course, it is 12 a five-day course, from memory, and it is at Hendon, so 13 it is presence at Hendon for the whole week. And it is 14 a training course to teach you sort of how to be a FLO, 15 the paperwork, there are some sort of speakers there 16 that sort of talk to you about their role and experience 17 as a FLO. 18 Q. I think we will hear that when you were appointed, first 19 of all as the -- is it F-L-O or FLO that people say? 20 A. FLO. 21 Q. When you were pointed as the FLO for the Walgate family, 22 that was in fact the first time that you had been a FLO 23 in a real case? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Then later the Whitworth family, your second?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 89</p>	<p>1 with the family at an early stage. And we will provide 2 information from the SIO or the IO, so the investigating 3 officer or the senior investigating officer, any 4 information they want providing to the family. At the 5 same time, any information that is provided from the 6 family back into the investigation. 7 Q. So we have seen your logs, we have heard something about 8 your role then as a liaison point. You say going in 9 both directions, passing information from the SIO or the 10 investigators to the family, sometimes that works well, 11 sometimes it doesn't work so well but then, and also 12 back in the other direction? 13 A. And to provide support to the family as well, via sort 14 of bereavement packs and obviously referrals, et cetera, 15 as well. 16 Q. Yes. 17 What about this issue, DC Slaymaker, I know you have 18 addressed it in your statement, on the one hand you have 19 used the word "support", you are there to support the 20 family and provide information to them. As we have 21 heard today, people going through terrible grief. Yet 22 on the other hand, you are still a detective and these 23 are people who have valuable information, who might be 24 able to assist the investigation. 25 What is the balance between, on the one hand,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 91</p>
<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Tell us though, taking a step back, what is a FLO, what 3 is their role, what are they supposed to do in 4 an investigation, let's say, into an unexplained death? 5 A. In summary, they -- a FLO is generally deployed in 6 a murder/manslaughter case, they become the single point 7 of contact for the family in the investigation. So 8 generally we were a resource for the SIO, to murder, or 9 an IO, if it is on the borough. We speak to the family, 10 provide updates as per what the investigation wants to 11 tell the family -- 12 Q. Just let me interrupt you a moment. 13 A. Sorry. 14 Q. Don't worry. Of course you are nervous, we can all 15 understand that. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. But if you can just try and speak a little bit more 18 slowly, I say that partly for those of us who are 19 listening, partly for the transcribers who are sitting 20 next door. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. It is not easy, don't worry, we have got plenty of time. 23 Tell us what you have to say? 24 A. Okay, so a FLO is a single point of contact for the 25 family in the investigation. We tend to make contact</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 90</p>	<p>1 supporting the family and on the other hand being 2 an investigator in the case? 3 A. I think in the FLO role, it is a slightly different 4 role. As investigator of a case, of a crime, that you 5 are taking responsibility for, general crime, you tend 6 to look at the whole investigation, whereas a FLO is 7 more focused on what the IO and the SIO want to provide 8 information to the family, and also if they want me to 9 do anything, anything action wise with the family, such 10 as statements, providing other information of lifestyle. 11 The other side of it is whatever the family talk 12 about, if it is important, it goes into my FLO log and 13 the investigation will see that as well. 14 Q. I mean there might be times, I am not suggesting for 15 a moment -- well, no, let me put it this way. 16 In the course of supporting a family, it is not just 17 the time when you formally take a statement from 18 a family member or a boyfriend or whatever it is, there 19 might be times when they say something which you are 20 aware is of value to the investigation, and you would 21 pass that back? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. In order to understand what might or might not be 24 important, you would need to have an understanding of 25 what the investigation was about, what the investigators</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 92</p>

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

<p>1 were looking into, is that fair?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. We may see, when we come to, particularly to look at the</p> <p>4 Whitworth investigation, that you were in fact, whilst</p> <p>5 you were the Whitworth FLO, you were being tasked on</p> <p>6 undertaking just some rather separate, discrete --</p> <p>7 I mean separate, investigative things, like, for</p> <p>8 example, go and do this phone work, or go and take</p> <p>9 a statement from Daniel's employer. Nothing really to</p> <p>10 do with the family, something that another officer in</p> <p>11 the CID might have been tasked with but you were, is</p> <p>12 that fair?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, I think there are two points to it.</p> <p>14 I was doing some work on the investigation prior to</p> <p>15 becoming a FLO, being appointed to the FLO and after</p> <p>16 becoming the FLO I was trying to help my team as best</p> <p>17 I could with enquiries. It was very busy at the time.</p> <p>18 Q. We have heard about, yes, the pressure on time. I am</p> <p>19 sure you were trying to help. You were actually just</p> <p>20 tasked by your supervisor, "DC Slaymaker, go and do</p> <p>21 this"?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. It was something you were told to do, wasn't it?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. We will come to all of that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 93</p>	<p>1 Before I do that, perhaps, do you have any sort of</p> <p>2 general evidence to give, any general response to make</p> <p>3 to some of the criticisms they raised?</p> <p>4 A. Nothing, other than I do -- I do disagree with certain</p> <p>5 points of what was raised.</p> <p>6 Q. All right. Let's go through some of them, at least in</p> <p>7 turn then.</p> <p>8 First of all, the jury may remember that Sarah Sak</p> <p>9 gave some evidence about the very first conversation she</p> <p>10 had with you on 23 June 2014, the jury will remember</p> <p>11 that she was in Turkey at the time that Anthony's body</p> <p>12 was found. There was some evidence she gave about</p> <p>13 coming back and then she spoke to you on that day.</p> <p>14 Let's have up on the screen, please, this is one of</p> <p>15 your logs, Mr Slaymaker, it is in the Walgate jury</p> <p>16 bundle. I am not going to go there. Let's just look at</p> <p>17 it on the screen, it is IPC79, please. We just see the</p> <p>18 front page of one of your logs and if we could go to</p> <p>19 internal page 18, please, that then is the start of</p> <p>20 a long entry you made about that first phone call on</p> <p>21 23 June. Do you see that?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. The passage we want to look at is actually on page 20,</p> <p>24 please.</p> <p>25 We see about four lines down, do you see that, where</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. With all that in mind, let's turn to the Walgate</p> <p>3 investigation. As we have said, it was your first job,</p> <p>4 your very first job as a FLO?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you still act as a FLO now you are a detective</p> <p>7 sergeant or is that behind you now?</p> <p>8 A. I am still qualified as a FLO and I have recently</p> <p>9 qualified as an FLC as well, which is a family liaison</p> <p>10 coordinator.</p> <p>11 Q. In the time between 2014, these events, and now, roughly</p> <p>12 speaking, how many families have you been appointed to?</p> <p>13 A. Since this investigation?</p> <p>14 Q. Yes.</p> <p>15 A. Very roughly, 9, 10?</p> <p>16 Q. Yes.</p> <p>17 I am sure you are aware of the evidence that was</p> <p>18 given a week or two ago by Sarah Sak and Thomas Walgate?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. I don't know whether you were able to witness/watch</p> <p>21 their evidence or whether you have read the transcripts,</p> <p>22 but you will have seen what they have said?</p> <p>23 A. I have read what they have said, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. What I am going to do is take you through some of the</p> <p>25 points that they raised and ask you about them.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 94</p>	<p>1 you have written -- perhaps I should just ask you but we</p> <p>2 have assumed it was you that wrote out these logs, is</p> <p>3 that right?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, that's correct, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. You have written:</p> <p>6 "Anthony liked to drink and she knows he would</p> <p>7 dabble in drugs, she thinks cocaine."</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Sarah Sak was quite adamant in saying that that was, to</p> <p>10 use her words, an absolute lie. She said she never said</p> <p>11 to you on that day that Anthony took cocaine. What do</p> <p>12 you say to that?</p> <p>13 A. I don't know why I would have put that in my log if that</p> <p>14 was not said. This is an update from the same day. It</p> <p>15 is quite a long update, I have had a long conversation</p> <p>16 with her and I have put what was said into that log.</p> <p>17 I have no reason to -- I don't know why I would have put</p> <p>18 that in, if it wasn't said.</p> <p>19 Q. We can see, the entry, the jury may recall, goes over</p> <p>20 several pages, it was a long conversation. Did you</p> <p>21 write this log while you were speaking, probably not,</p> <p>22 you wrote it after the call?</p> <p>23 A. I think at the bottom it says what time I wrote it,</p> <p>24 I think it was probably within an hour after the call</p> <p>25 I believe, maybe slightly longer.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 96</p>

<p>1 Q. So you had a fairly lengthy conversation and then 2 an hour later you wrote it up?</p> <p>3 A. Yes. Well, I would have been writing that -- not at the 4 time, but just after the conversation I would have 5 started writing that and then dated it the time 6 I finished writing it.</p> <p>7 Q. Is it possible you made a mistake?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. Why not?</p> <p>10 A. Obviously it is possible, but I don't see -- the other 11 information that has been put in there seems to have 12 been correct. I don't see why I would have put 13 something like that in there if it wasn't said to me.</p> <p>14 Q. At the moment I am not suggesting you did it 15 deliberately, I am just suggesting that you might have 16 made a mistake.</p> <p>17 A. It is possible.</p> <p>18 Q. Moving on, another matter that Sarah Sak mentioned, it's 19 not in this log, but she said that during that 20 conversation she said for the first time, that she said 21 she told you that Anthony was murdered and her words, 22 that she gave to us were she said she told you, "I am 23 never going to shut up, I am never going to go away, 24 Anthony was murdered". As I say, we don't see that 25 written down in the log. Now, no one is suggesting that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p>	<p>1 A. I don't believe so. It was a long time ago and I've got 2 the FLO logs are what I rely upon now and have done for 3 a few years, a good few years of conversations.</p> <p>4 Q. We will come back to some more of the entries but just 5 in general terms, the tone, the sense of Sarah Sak's 6 evidence was that maybe not all the way through your 7 conversations but a lot of them, the feature of them was 8 that she was telling you that there was something wrong 9 here, that Anthony had been murdered, that she wasn't 10 happy, that more things needed to be investigated. All 11 of that, which doesn't appear in your logs.</p> <p>12 What is your memory, not so much about individual 13 entries, but just that general tone that she told us 14 about?</p> <p>15 A. As I said, I can only rely on my logs. From that 16 long -- length of time ago. There was third party 17 involvement, wasn't there, with regards to 18 Anthony Walgate, and she was aware of that as well, at 19 an early stage. But --</p> <p>20 Q. You said there was --</p> <p>21 A. With regards to Stephen Port being arrested for 22 perverting the course of justice, there was third party 23 involvement, wasn't there?</p> <p>24 Q. Well, he was arrested for lying about the circumstances 25 in which he had met Anthony.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p>
<p>1 you should have written absolutely everything down that 2 was passed between you, but one might think that those 3 were quite important words. Why didn't you write them 4 down?</p> <p>5 A. I don't recall that being said.</p> <p>6 Q. It is obviously a long time ago --</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. -- but do you think she might have said it and that you 9 just didn't write it down?</p> <p>10 A. What I try to do with my FLO logs is put as much detail 11 in as I can as to what was said. I would like to think 12 if that was said I would have written it down.</p> <p>13 Q. I am sure we would all like to think that we would 14 include important information --</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. -- sometimes we all fall short. Do you think perhaps 17 that you may have not written down that Sarah Sak told 18 you that she thought that Anthony was murdered?</p> <p>19 A. There is always a possibility, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. I mean we will come to see that this is a recurring 21 theme, that Sarah Sak said to you many times, on her 22 evidence, that Anthony was murdered. Is it something 23 you remember her talking about?</p> <p>24 A. Not that I recall, no.</p> <p>25 Q. Never?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 98</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. That was all he was arrested for?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. So in that sense there was another person involved in 5 the investigation, is that what you mean?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, yes, that is what I mean.</p> <p>7 Q. Perhaps I should have asked you this before, 8 Mr Slaymaker -- I have asked it of most other 9 witnesses -- but can you actually remember much about 10 these conversations now or are you really just reliant 11 on the documents and your logs that were created at the 12 time?</p> <p>13 A. I am very reliant on the documents and the FLO logs that 14 I created at the time.</p> <p>15 Q. Let's move on to another reference within the same 16 document, please. It's page 27. This is an entry on 17 28 June, so a few days later, towards the end of the 18 week, you mentioned Stephen Port, this entry relates 19 to -- it relates to the fact he had been arrested, as 20 the jury know, and you recall Sarah Sak's evidence is 21 that she discovered about this on the internet.</p> <p>22 If we scroll through to the end, it means going over 23 to the next page, the record explains, tells us what she 24 said and what you said to her and it ends, "... she was 25 satisfied with this".</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p>

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

<p>1 The jury may recall Sarah Sak taking some exception 2 to those words. She said she wasn't satisfied, she was 3 furious. 4 Do you have a memory of this particular 5 conversation? 6 A. I have a memory of the conversation and obviously with 7 the help of my FLO notes. I was disappointed that 8 I wasn't the one to be able to tell her, rather than her 9 make a phone call to me, it is something really 10 important as a FLO that you are aware of important 11 updates so you can provide to the family before they 12 find out through other means, it was more upsetting for 13 them. 14 Q. The jury saw some internal documents around these couple 15 of days where you had said just that, that you were not 16 happy that the thing had worked out that Stephen Port 17 had been arrested and that the media had been told about 18 it before you were able to share it with the family. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Going back to this log, you have recorded here that she 21 was satisfied, whereas, as I say, Sarah Sak's evidence 22 was that she most certainly was not satisfied and that 23 was not the way in which the conversation ended. 24 A. I think what I mean by "she was satisfied" was that 25 I provided her with an update. That was me saying she</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p>	<p>1 "We get more deaths in a week here than you do in 2 a year in Hull." 3 Not recorded. Do you think you might have said that 4 or words to that effect? 5 A. No. 6 Q. How can you be sure? 7 A. I am not that type of person to say things like that, it 8 is insensitive to say things like that to a family 9 member. And it wouldn't even be -- I wouldn't even know 10 how many deaths there was in London compared to Hull or 11 any other part of the country, I am a T/DC at the time, 12 I still don't know that now. 13 Q. It is not perhaps -- one might have thought that just to 14 say that there are more deaths in London than in Hull 15 wouldn't be a particularly controversial thing to say. 16 It is the sort of thing that a London police officer 17 might say? 18 A. No. No. 19 Q. Going on in the story, this entry is at the end of July, 20 we know that -- I am sure you remember this, Sarah Sak 21 contacted her MP up in Hull, and having contacted him, 22 I think it was a him, she then made touch with 23 Humberside Police, do you recall that? 24 A. Yes, I do. 25 Q. I think there was an officer called Chief Inspector</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p>
<p>1 was satisfied with the update I did provide. 2 Q. Do you think it is possible that on this occasion, and 3 perhaps on others, you glossed over some of the 4 difficult aspects of these conversations in that you 5 wrote up in your logs something of a more positive 6 relationship than actually was developing? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Let's move on, please, and look -- we need to go to 9 a different document, it is a continuation of your logs, 10 Mr Slaymaker, but it is just a different document for 11 the screen. It is IPC78, page 3. So we are now -- 12 I think it is quite difficult to see here, but it is 13 obviously July and I think it is towards the end of 14 July, from memory. 15 Do you see, about five or six lines down, that your 16 record, and Sarah Sak recalled saying words to this 17 effect, was that she feels Anthony is becoming just 18 another number in London. 19 Do you see that? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. What Sarah Sak's evidence was that there was more, 22 again, to this conversation than is recorded here. She 23 said, well, the investigation would be a lot quicker if 24 it was happening in Hull, rather than London, and you 25 said -- she said these were your exact words:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 102</p>	<p>1 Cunningham who she spoke to about her concerns and he 2 then contacted you, I think that was the way it worked 3 out, is that right? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. It appears that you then contacted her to speak about 6 the fact that Chief Inspector Cunningham had contacted 7 you. Sarah Sak's evidence was that on that occasion, 8 you told her, "How many times do I need to tell you that 9 Anthony was not murdered?" Do you remember that? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Might you have said that? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Might this have been another occasion where she raised 14 the question of murder? 15 A. No. 16 Q. I think we do see an entry, don't we, where you had to 17 explain to Chief Inspector Cunningham that this was not 18 a murder investigation. That is right, isn't it? 19 A. Yes, as it was not being looked at as a murder, 20 currently, yes. 21 Q. That would be consistent with Sarah Sak having told 22 Chief Inspector Cunningham that it was a murder? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. But you don't remember her telling you that it should be 25 a murder investigation?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 104</p>

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

<p>1 A. Not in those words, no. I think she had -- from the 2 conversations from in my FLO log, it is not up, is it, 3 my -- 4 Q. No, we don't have that reference -- let's just go on 5 a page. 6 A. Because I think what Sarah had mentioned to the chief 7 inspector was that this was a murder investigation. 8 I think I was clarifying that it wasn't currently 9 a murder investigation. 10 Q. Yes. Yes, all right, we will leave that but we have, in 11 any event, the words I wanted to put to you were that 12 you had said to her, "How many times do I need to tell 13 you, Anthony was not murdered?" 14 A. I wouldn't say that. 15 Q. You don't think you would have said that? 16 A. That what I would like to add there is the conversation 17 with the chief inspector -- or the MP and the chief 18 inspector would be concerning to me, because it would 19 make me think that maybe I have not explained myself to 20 Sarah, the roles and the FLO, et cetera, so I would want 21 to have tried to explain that to Sarah and help with 22 that. 23 Q. Thank you, we can take that down now. 24 There was another occasion that Sarah Sak spoke 25 about in evidence. Later on, in 2014, which again we</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 105</p>	<p>1 article, certain things around it, but I wouldn't have 2 snapped. 3 Q. Might you have said, "One of them didn't even live in 4 the area and the other was homeless?" 5 A. This is my FLO log and that is an error on my behalf. 6 This is only from my recall and it might not be complete 7 accurate, but I would have liked to have thought at the 8 time that I would have spoken to the two families around 9 the article and just explained the brief circumstances 10 that there was an article are -- sorry, am I speaking 11 too fast? There is an article coming out and it will 12 mention Anthony, Daniel and others. 13 Q. Might you have said that one didn't even live in the 14 area and the other was homeless? 15 A. I don't know. I mean that is -- I doesn't think I would 16 have known that information. I would have known 17 possibly the area but not the homeless side of things. 18 I don't think so. 19 Q. There wasn't anyone who was homeless, was there? 20 A. No, and the conversation is not 100 per cent clear in my 21 mind either, it is just ... 22 Q. Then we heard evidence from Sarah Sak and also from 23 Tom Walgate about the visit that you made to them in 24 Hull? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p>
<p>1 don't see in the logs, but she said that she had asked 2 you about the deaths of Gabriel Kovari and 3 Daniel Whitworth, which she had seen the reference to 4 online. 5 Do you remember a conversation like that? 6 A. The only conversation I remember is the -- when the 7 email chain with Mr Kirk, around the three/four deaths 8 that have been mentioned on that email chain. I don't 9 recall the conversation, but I believe I would have had 10 a conversation with all of the families just to let them 11 know that there was possibly a press article coming out. 12 Q. We have seen the press article, we looked at it 13 yesterday, I think it was, about the three deaths and 14 you are right, there was some emailing around that, 15 wasn't there? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. But Sarah Sak's evidence was that she in fact saw the 18 Barking and Dagenham Post, saw perhaps the very article 19 that we were looking at and what she said was that you 20 snapped at her -- that was the word she used -- and you 21 said that they are nothing to do with each other, one 22 didn't even live in the area and the other was homeless. 23 Might you have said that to her? 24 A. I wouldn't have snapped. I may have explained with the 25 conversation, I might have explained about the press</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 106</p>	<p>1 Q. You didn't go alone, did you, you went with 2 DS O'Donnell? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. I think it was on a Friday, wasn't it? 5 A. Yes, I believe so. 6 Q. The evidence we heard was that the meeting had been 7 arranged a week or two before, by you I think? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Do you remember that? Do you remember why the meeting 10 was arranged, what was the purpose of it? 11 A. I think the purpose was that we hadn't seen them face to 12 face and met them face to face, and there was a -- there 13 was a sentencing of Stephen Port for perverting the 14 course of justice. So I think Martin wanted to go up 15 and explain the circumstances around that and me being 16 the FLO, would have gone with him. 17 Q. Yes, we know that is right, that the hearing involving 18 Stephen Port, where he was sentenced, took place on the 19 Monday of the following week. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. No doubt that hearing had been arranged for a little 22 while, in the way that court hearings are, is that 23 right? 24 A. I don't know the dates, I'm sorry. 25 Q. I think you just said that that was one of the -- that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 108</p>

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

<p>1 you had that in mind when the meeting was arranged?</p> <p>2 A. Yes. To go and explain to them, yes, yes, the</p> <p>3 investigation. I don't know when the court date was set</p> <p>4 though. How long we knew, et cetera.</p> <p>5 Q. You see the point, the point was, or at least one of</p> <p>6 points about this meeting is that the first time that</p> <p>7 Sarah Sak and Tom Walgate knew about that hearing was</p> <p>8 when you told them on the Friday.</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. As you will have seen, when you read the transcript,</p> <p>11 what that meant, because of their work commitments, was</p> <p>12 that they couldn't attend that hearing, certainly</p> <p>13 Sarah Sak said she would have wanted to. Tom Walgate</p> <p>14 said he might have done, but in any event that was not</p> <p>15 very good handling, was it?</p> <p>16 A. No, it wasn't.</p> <p>17 Q. Maybe you don't know exactly, but it is reasonable to</p> <p>18 assume, isn't it, that you would have known about that</p> <p>19 hearing before the Friday?</p> <p>20 A. I think I was arranging to meet them -- it may have been</p> <p>21 three or four days, over a week, making arrangements to</p> <p>22 go and see them. From what I recall, I didn't know</p> <p>23 about it until I started arranging the meeting with the</p> <p>24 family, which was, again, frustrating because I could</p> <p>25 have let the family know a lot earlier.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 109</p>	<p>1 Q. Sarah Sak said that she recalled a time during that</p> <p>2 meeting when you said words to the effect, "There were</p> <p>3 two people there, one is dead, so we will probably never</p> <p>4 know". Did you say that?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. We have heard that phrase, or one very like it,</p> <p>7 attributed to DS O'Donnell, in fact at the Old Bailey</p> <p>8 the next week -- sorry, not the Old Bailey, at</p> <p>9 Snaresbrook, when he said it to China Dunning, or at</p> <p>10 least that was China Dunning's evidence.</p> <p>11 Do you think maybe that was a phrase that you used</p> <p>12 around the office, and that in fact you might have said</p> <p>13 that to Sarah Sak on that day?</p> <p>14 A. It would never be a phrase I would use to a family</p> <p>15 member, or even to an officer.</p> <p>16 Q. Have you heard other people in your team use it?</p> <p>17 A. No.</p> <p>18 Q. Thomas Walgate recalled asking you, or perhaps asking</p> <p>19 you both, at that meeting whether you were going to</p> <p>20 download Stephen Port's laptop. His memory was you said</p> <p>21 words to the effect that it was too expensive, it wasn't</p> <p>22 a suspicious death. Do you remember that?</p> <p>23 A. No, and, like I said again, it was Martin O'Donnell that</p> <p>24 was doing the talking. I don't recall that though.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you remember him saying it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 111</p>
<p>1 Q. You could have done, in fact you could, even if you had</p> <p>2 known about it three or four days beforehand, you could</p> <p>3 have told them immediately?</p> <p>4 A. I could have done, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And that might have enabled them to alter their work</p> <p>6 commitments and attend the hearing?</p> <p>7 A. Yes. I am sorry about that.</p> <p>8 Q. One of the things they both remember about that meeting</p> <p>9 is you saying that Stephen Port was 28 or 29, whereas</p> <p>10 actually we know he was 39. It may seem a small thing</p> <p>11 but it stuck in both their minds, as, well, surprising</p> <p>12 that you didn't even know how old this man was?</p> <p>13 A. I don't recall that. What I would like to give is that</p> <p>14 Martin did the majority of talking in that meeting. It</p> <p>15 wasn't myself, he was the investigating officer. I was</p> <p>16 there as a family liaison officer. He would have been</p> <p>17 in the best position to update the family as to the</p> <p>18 circumstances of what was happening. I don't recall the</p> <p>19 age coming up, or getting it wrong.</p> <p>20 Q. I may have misremembered this, Mr Slaymaker, but my</p> <p>21 memory of the evidence was that actually it was the</p> <p>22 other way round and that you did the speaking and</p> <p>23 Sergeant O'Donnell hardly said anything at that meeting.</p> <p>24 A. That was not the case, I don't think I have ever said</p> <p>25 that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 110</p>	<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. What do you remember about the meeting?</p> <p>3 A. I remember Martin updating the family with regards to</p> <p>4 the sentencing on Monday. Some bits about the</p> <p>5 investigation, but it is very vague and I have to</p> <p>6 apologise that I didn't write and update my FLO log, so</p> <p>7 I haven't got an accurate memory of that.</p> <p>8 Q. No.</p> <p>9 A. But it was to do mainly with what was going on in the</p> <p>10 investigation and the sentencing for perverting the</p> <p>11 course of justice.</p> <p>12 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, I am about to move on to another topic.</p> <p>13 We have been going for a little while. Perhaps this</p> <p>14 might be a convenient moment?</p> <p>15 THE CORONER: Yes, we will break off there for lunch,</p> <p>16 members of the jury, until 1.45, please.</p> <p>17 (12.53 pm)</p> <p>18 (The Luncheon Adjournment)</p> <p>19 (1.45 pm)</p> <p>20 (In the presence of the jury)</p> <p>21 THE CORONER: Yes.</p> <p>22 MR O'CONNOR: DS Slaymaker, I was asking you questions</p> <p>23 before lunch about the Anthony Walgate investigation.</p> <p>24 I am now going to move on to ask you some questions</p> <p>25 about the investigation into Daniel Whitworth's death.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 112</p>

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

<p>1 Do you understand?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. We will come to see that you were appointed the FLO for</p> <p>4 the Whitworth family on Monday, 22 September, so two</p> <p>5 days after Daniel's body was found?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. But you mentioned, when I was asking you questions</p> <p>8 earlier, that you had conducted some investigations,</p> <p>9 some enquiries, prior to that time. That's right,</p> <p>10 isn't it?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. In fact, Daniel's body having been discovered on</p> <p>13 Saturday, the 20th with the note in his hand, I think it</p> <p>14 is right to say that you were on duty that night, the</p> <p>15 night from Saturday through to Sunday, and you were</p> <p>16 involved in conducting some investigations in the case,</p> <p>17 in particular trying to identify who it was, who the</p> <p>18 other person who was referred to as "Gabriel Klein" in</p> <p>19 the note was, is that right?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I was late turn, so I was not night duty, yes, late</p> <p>21 duty in the afternoon.</p> <p>22 Q. The jury have heard all about that and that is my</p> <p>23 mistake. Just remind us, we have heard a lot around</p> <p>24 early turn, you would have started then on late turn at</p> <p>25 what time?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 113</p>	<p>1 that you commissioned, trying to understand the identity</p> <p>2 of the other man referred to in the note.</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. If we enlarge the bottom half of the page, we see there</p> <p>5 is a reference there to PC Brown bringing up the</p> <p>6 documents, and are you here recording reading the</p> <p>7 statement that officers had written and looking at the</p> <p>8 details of what is described as the suicide note and</p> <p>9 referring to the fact that the note seemed to talk about</p> <p>10 Daniel having taken the life of someone called</p> <p>11 Gabriel Klein?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. What did you do to try and find out who Gabriel Klein</p> <p>14 was?</p> <p>15 A. I think the initial inquiry around Gabriel Klein,</p> <p>16 obviously Gabriel Kovari now, came through Inspector</p> <p>17 O'Donohue, I had a conversation with him, he was the</p> <p>18 duty officer at the time, I think we both had</p> <p>19 a conversation and shared concerns that we didn't know</p> <p>20 who Gabriel was and he asked me if I could make some</p> <p>21 enquiries into establishing that.</p> <p>22 Q. Did he actually tell you exactly what to do or just say</p> <p>23 make some enquiries?</p> <p>24 A. I think make some enquiries and he may -- again, it is</p> <p>25 a long time ago but I think probably we would have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 115</p>
<p>1 A. I believe around 2.00 on to 11.00.</p> <p>2 Q. Then worked through to 11.00 in the evening?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, when night duty take over.</p> <p>4 Q. Working into the night but not overnight?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Let's look, please, it is in the jury bundle at tab 24,</p> <p>7 and for the screen, it is IPC471.</p> <p>8 This may be the source of that mistake I made,</p> <p>9 because this is the night duty occurrence book. We will</p> <p>10 see then that it records some of investigations you made</p> <p>11 on late turn.</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. If we go over then to page 3, please, there is a lengthy</p> <p>14 entry you make here, Mr Slaymaker, I am not going to go</p> <p>15 through it all, but we can see here that here is the</p> <p>16 start of it. You have entered an update and over the</p> <p>17 next two or three pages you go into some detail, don't</p> <p>18 you, about the work that you undertook on the case</p> <p>19 during that time?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. I want to ask you about a couple of parts of this</p> <p>22 document. The first one is over the page again, please</p> <p>23 on page 4.</p> <p>24 This is in fact a passage that the jury looked at</p> <p>25 some weeks ago now, and it relates to the PND checks</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 114</p>	<p>1 discussed potential phone work, intel checks, as well.</p> <p>2 Q. Intel checks, and we see in the next paragraph, that</p> <p>3 what that meant to you or that you seemed to have</p> <p>4 thought one way of doing that would be to undertake some</p> <p>5 checks on the Police National Database?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. We see I think that you didn't do it yourself but you</p> <p>8 say you tasked MIB.</p> <p>9 Can you just talk us through that process, please?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, so the MIB is a central intel department. At the</p> <p>11 time they dealt with urgent enquiries, intel enquiries,</p> <p>12 various other things.</p> <p>13 Q. Let me interrupt you a moment. You say it is central,</p> <p>14 is it central to Barking or central to the Met Police?</p> <p>15 A. No, central to the Met. So I don't know where they were</p> <p>16 based at the time, but it would have been a central</p> <p>17 location and it would have been used by the MPS, so the</p> <p>18 Metropolitan Police as a whole. They tended to only</p> <p>19 deal with urgent fast-time enquiries, so I was aware</p> <p>20 that PND checks are quite difficult on a borough at the</p> <p>21 time to get done, as there is very limited people</p> <p>22 trained in PND.</p> <p>23 So I knew with the MIB that we could probably get</p> <p>24 those checks done quicker. It was an urgent enquiry as</p> <p>25 well.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 116</p>

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

<p>1 Q. Yes.</p> <p>2 Just looking at the next paragraph, it seems that</p> <p>3 you were indeed able to undertake those checks. In fact</p> <p>4 you did it twice by the look of it?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Both checks came back within the course of that shift.</p> <p>7 Give us an idea of how quickly, minutes, hours?</p> <p>8 A. I don't know. Very quickly I would have thought, within</p> <p>9 an hour.</p> <p>10 Q. Yes.</p> <p>11 A. I don't know 100 per cent, but I think within an hour,</p> <p>12 I would say.</p> <p>13 Q. In any event, they were not successful in working out</p> <p>14 who Gabriel Klein was?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. We know, don't we, that in fact it was under a different</p> <p>17 type of investigation that the dots were joined later in</p> <p>18 the night and it was established who Gabriel Klein</p> <p>19 really was, Gabriel Kovari?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. I want then to ask you about another part of this</p> <p>22 document. That involves us going to page 5, please.</p> <p>23 If we look -- that's right -- just at the bottom</p> <p>24 paragraph which starts "Kent Police", you say here that</p> <p>25 Kent Police provided you with the deceased ... that's</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 117</p>	<p>1 Q. What was the purpose of making those checks that night?</p> <p>2 A. I believe we were still trying to identify who Gabriel</p> <p>3 was at the time and one of those enquiries, it may have</p> <p>4 come from Inspector O'Donohue or a discussion between</p> <p>5 both of us, but one of those enquiries would have been</p> <p>6 to try and establish whether there was any phone calls</p> <p>7 made between him, Daniel Whitworth, and Gabriel at the</p> <p>8 time. So that is an urgent request to a superintendent.</p> <p>9 Q. Of course the note didn't tell you when this person,</p> <p>10 Gabriel, had died?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. You didn't know that the person was Gabriel Kovari at</p> <p>13 that stage?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. So you had no -- obviously we will see in due course the</p> <p>16 date of 28 August became very important, because that</p> <p>17 was the date on which Gabriel's body was found?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. But at this stage, that date wasn't in play because you</p> <p>20 didn't know it was Gabriel Kovari?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Sorry, there was concerns between me and the</p> <p>23 inspector, I think more the inspector, that Gabriel</p> <p>24 could have still been alive or in need of medical</p> <p>25 attention. It was very unclear what was going on, so</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 119</p>
<p>1 Daniel's phone number, is that right?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. You say it appears to be switched off. Did you ring it?</p> <p>4 A. Yes. I would have thought so, if I put that, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Then you say you spoke to a superintendent Harrington</p> <p>6 who authorised urgent CIU checks. I will ask you about</p> <p>7 that let's just finish reading then I will ask you. You</p> <p>8 then say CIU have spoken to Kev Roper, he is going to</p> <p>9 email you the information. Then you say you received</p> <p>10 the information back and they are call inbound/outbound</p> <p>11 but it appears to be between Daniel and current partner,</p> <p>12 Ricky.</p> <p>13 The jury have heard something about phone work and</p> <p>14 about telephone call data. Was this the checks that you</p> <p>15 were making on Daniel's phone?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Perhaps it is obvious, but we can see here a good</p> <p>18 example that one doesn't need the handset in order to</p> <p>19 make these checks, one needs the number in order to go</p> <p>20 to the phone company and find out the details, not of</p> <p>21 the content of the calls that may have been made but one</p> <p>22 can get, as it were, the billing information, the time,</p> <p>23 and details of to whom calls were made and from whom</p> <p>24 they were received?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 118</p>	<p>1 that was line of the enquiry there.</p> <p>2 Q. Understood.</p> <p>3 I think we will see it doesn't actually say it, but</p> <p>4 if you look at the penultimate line here, it talks about</p> <p>5 the last call being made -- that is 18 September, so</p> <p>6 just a couple of days beforehand.</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. I think we will see in due course that the data you</p> <p>9 obtained on that occasion went back a week from</p> <p>10 20 September?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Presumably because you were trying to find out recent</p> <p>13 telephone activity?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. You have to give a realistic timeframe to get</p> <p>15 data, it is obviously sensitive data.</p> <p>16 Q. There are control measures in place, you can't just say,</p> <p>17 "I will have a year's worth, please"?</p> <p>18 A. No, unless you can justify it.</p> <p>19 Q. Unless you can justify it, but what you asked for, for</p> <p>20 understand reasons on that occasion, was a week back?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. But it didn't help you, because it didn't show</p> <p>23 communication between Daniel and anyone who might be</p> <p>24 Gabriel, it just showed communication between Daniel and</p> <p>25 Ricky?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 120</p>

<p>1 A. Not as far as I could see, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. That was that. That was the work did you that night.</p> <p>3 Let's move on in the story, please. For the jury</p> <p>4 bundle, it is tab 34. For the screen, it is IPC213.</p> <p>5 This is an email sent on the morning of the Monday,</p> <p>6 Monday, 22nd, we see it is 11.13 in the morning, it's</p> <p>7 sent by Debbie Turrell to a group of officers, including</p> <p>8 yourself?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. We have heard about Debbie Turrell, she will come and</p> <p>11 give evidence next week. We have heard that she was</p> <p>12 a sergeant in the CID. What was her sort of</p> <p>13 professional relationship to you?</p> <p>14 A. She was my supervisor, direct supervisor, at the time.</p> <p>15 Q. What we see is an email in which she is giving a group</p> <p>16 of you a series of actions.</p> <p>17 There is at least one other email like this in the</p> <p>18 bundle. Was she in fact in the habit of sending emails</p> <p>19 like this, tasking her team with things to do?</p> <p>20 A. I think this was quite unusual, because we tended to get</p> <p>21 allocated a CRIS report, a crime report, to deal with</p> <p>22 and we solely would deal with that ourselves and Debbie</p> <p>23 would supervise it, or DS Turrell would supervise it.</p> <p>24 This was my first sort of understanding of a protracted</p> <p>25 enquiry as such, where there is more actions being given</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 121</p>	<p>1 FLO for the Kovari family and you are being appointed as</p> <p>2 the FLO for the Whitworth family?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Did that come as a surprise to you or had you spoken to</p> <p>5 Debbie Turrell about it before?</p> <p>6 A. We would have had a conversation around it, and she was</p> <p>7 the FLC, the family liaison coordinator at the time on</p> <p>8 the borough, it wouldn't have been a surprise, as I was</p> <p>9 a FLO, to be allocated to that.</p> <p>10 Q. Then in the next paragraph, we see a reference to</p> <p>11 a voluntary search of the Whitworth home address in</p> <p>12 Gravesend to be arranged as soon as possible by you,</p> <p>13 Paul Slaymaker?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And an instruction to seize computer equipment, phones,</p> <p>16 drug-related substances, diaries, papers and so on?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Thank you, we can take that down.</p> <p>19 Did you in fact go to Ricky and Daniel's house that</p> <p>20 day?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. On your own or with someone else?</p> <p>23 A. With a DC Berry, or T/DC Berry at the time.</p> <p>24 Q. Who was at the property when you got there?</p> <p>25 A. When we got there, it was Ricky, Amanda and Adam.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 123</p>
<p>1 to the various parts of the team.</p> <p>2 Q. As we know, there wasn't a CRIS report at this stage in</p> <p>3 the Whitworth case, do you know why that was?</p> <p>4 A. I don't know why that was, no. The only thing I can say</p> <p>5 about that is that I think at the time unexplained</p> <p>6 deaths, it was unclear whether a CRIS was needed or not.</p> <p>7 That is the only thing I can think of at the time.</p> <p>8 I know now it is a CRIS report regardless, but I don't</p> <p>9 know --</p> <p>10 Q. We can ask others about it, but was it your job at the</p> <p>11 time to think about whether a CRIS should be opened or</p> <p>12 even to open one?</p> <p>13 A. I don't believe so, no. I could have opened one, but</p> <p>14 I don't believe I would have done on this occasion.</p> <p>15 Q. Would you have assumed that if one was going to be</p> <p>16 opened, it would be opened by Debbie Turrell or was that</p> <p>17 not her job?</p> <p>18 A. Possibly, or she could task someone to do that</p> <p>19 eventually. I think that is what happened eventually,</p> <p>20 wasn't it, someone was tasked to do it -- Paul Berry was</p> <p>21 tasked to do it.</p> <p>22 Q. Just looking then at this email, there will be a few</p> <p>23 things we need to look at, but for these purposes, do</p> <p>24 see in the first couple of lines an instruction that</p> <p>25 Jackie, that is Jackie Baxter, is being appointed as the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 122</p>	<p>1 Q. Did you make a note about any discussions you had with</p> <p>2 them on that day?</p> <p>3 A. I did, yes, in my FLO log.</p> <p>4 Q. Can you recall now what the substance of those</p> <p>5 discussions was?</p> <p>6 A. I briefly recall --</p> <p>7 Q. Just give us an idea.</p> <p>8 A. It was to introduce myself as the FLO, to explain the</p> <p>9 FLO role, to offer some support, bereavement packs,</p> <p>10 et cetera, and then the action that was given around</p> <p>11 searching or speaking to Ricky and searching the address</p> <p>12 and getting a bit more detail from the family around</p> <p>13 Daniel's lifestyle or life in general.</p> <p>14 Q. As you say, at least one of reasons you had gone there</p> <p>15 was to conduct a search?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. There is a search record we can look at, it is at tab 35</p> <p>18 in the jury bundle. For the screen, it is MPS146.</p> <p>19 We will need to go on to the second page of the</p> <p>20 document, please. That's it.</p> <p>21 Did you complete this form Mr Slaymaker or did</p> <p>22 Mr Berry complete it?</p> <p>23 A. Mr Berry.</p> <p>24 Q. In fact I think we see at the bottom in the middle that</p> <p>25 he has signed it and it is his name there?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 124</p>

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. I imagine you have had a chance to see this document</p> <p>3 between then and now?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. We see in the top right-hand corner, as you say:</p> <p>6 "Persons on the premise includes Adam Whitford,</p> <p>7 Amanda Pearson ..."</p> <p>8 As she was then, Amanda Whitworth as she is now.</p> <p>9 There is a reference over on the left-hand column to</p> <p>10 Ricky Waumsley as having given permission for the search</p> <p>11 to take place?</p> <p>12 A. I can see that, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. If we look back onto the right-hand column, perhaps if</p> <p>14 we look at the bottom of the middle column first, next</p> <p>15 to "Extent of search", it says "Bedroom of</p> <p>16 Ricky Waumsley and Daniel Whitford", is that right?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Does that mean that is the only room in the property</p> <p>19 that you searched?</p> <p>20 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Then if we look -- over on the right-hand column --</p> <p>22 there is a brief list of articles seized. It is</p> <p>23 an address book, a mobile phone and a piece of paper,</p> <p>24 which has an indication "Handwriting sample"?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 125</p>	<p>1 I could see it was a letter with handwriting. I don't</p> <p>2 know whether Paul was tasked to seize that or not, but</p> <p>3 I only recall him telling me that he had seized it, so</p> <p>4 that why I put it in my statement. So I don't know what</p> <p>5 was on it.</p> <p>6 Q. I see, the piece of paper that is referred to, if we</p> <p>7 look in the jury bundle at tab 36, and, for the screen,</p> <p>8 IPC72. You have told us it wasn't you who seized this</p> <p>9 and you didn't realise it was going to be seized, but</p> <p>10 are you able to help us, is that in fact the piece of</p> <p>11 paper that was seized?</p> <p>12 A. I don't know.</p> <p>13 Q. You remember no discussion about this at all with</p> <p>14 Mr Berry?</p> <p>15 A. No, I remember Paul telling me at the end of the search</p> <p>16 what he had seized and I told him what I had seized and</p> <p>17 it was normal practice to put into your statement what</p> <p>18 had happened and I think in my statement I have put that</p> <p>19 DC Berry seized what he had seized. That was as much of</p> <p>20 my involvement with that.</p> <p>21 Q. Did he say why he had seized it?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. Did you ask him?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. Was it normal in your experience for people to seize</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 127</p>
<p>1 Q. Were there no computers?</p> <p>2 A. There was I believe a laptop or an iPad that was Ricky's</p> <p>3 and I think we spoke about it briefly and I decided</p> <p>4 that, as it was Ricky's, that it would stay in the</p> <p>5 house. I think I asked Ricky to make sure he didn't</p> <p>6 delete anything just in case we did need it at some</p> <p>7 point.</p> <p>8 Q. I see.</p> <p>9 Tell us about the handwriting sample. We will call</p> <p>10 it up on screen in a moment, but tell us how you came to</p> <p>11 seize it, why you seized it and so on?</p> <p>12 A. I didn't seize the paper with the handwriting, it was</p> <p>13 Paul Berry that seized that, or DC Berry that seized</p> <p>14 that. I think I have put it in my statement, as we</p> <p>15 would normally do when we are doing a search, of the</p> <p>16 items that were seized. I do not have any recollection</p> <p>17 of it being seized at the address, other than I was</p> <p>18 aware that Paul had seized it.</p> <p>19 Q. The jury have heard that by this time, we are now on the</p> <p>20 Monday, later on in the day, that phone call between</p> <p>21 DC Adeyemo-Phillips and Adam Whitworth had already taken</p> <p>22 place. Can you remember whether there was any question</p> <p>23 in your mind about whether the note was in fact Daniel's</p> <p>24 handwriting or not?</p> <p>25 A. I didn't know anything about what was seized. As far as</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 126</p>	<p>1 bits of paper?</p> <p>2 A. Possibly. Not knowing what was on the bit of paper,</p> <p>3 I don't know what information it had on the bit of paper</p> <p>4 that could have been important to the investigation or</p> <p>5 not but, no, I hadn't asked him.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you leave from Fresh Wharf base in Barking, the two</p> <p>7 of you --</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. -- to go to Gravesend?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. You drove?</p> <p>12 A. I don't know who drove but, yes, we drove there, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. How long did that take, an hour, or more?</p> <p>14 A. Around an hour, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. And then you drove back?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Did you not talk about the case on the way there or on</p> <p>18 the way back?</p> <p>19 A. Possibly, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Did the conversation get round to why Mr Berry had</p> <p>21 seized this bit of paper?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. In these early stages of the case, knowing that you had</p> <p>24 been trying to understand who Gabriel was, looking at</p> <p>25 the note, thinking about who Gabriel was, finding out no</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 128</p>

<p>1 doubt that it had now been established it was</p> <p>2 Gabriel Kovari, didn't you talk with DC Berry about the</p> <p>3 theories about the case, how the evidence was</p> <p>4 developing, what you were going to do next?</p> <p>5 A. Quite possibly, but we also had a lot of other different</p> <p>6 cases that we may have spoken about as well. I can't</p> <p>7 recall the conversation in the car now, seven years</p> <p>8 later.</p> <p>9 Q. I see.</p> <p>10 Let's move on then. Thank you, we can take that</p> <p>11 down.</p> <p>12 It was a week or so later, as we have heard, that</p> <p>13 Mandy and Adam Whitworth came to Barking.</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. You were there then with Jackie Baxter and Mr Berry</p> <p>16 again?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Was it you who had been involved in arranging that</p> <p>19 meeting or was it someone else?</p> <p>20 A. Inasmuch as speaking to Mandy, Ricky and Adam --</p> <p>21 Q. I meant arranging, who was it spoke to the Whitworth</p> <p>22 family to say come on this day at this time --</p> <p>23 A. Yes, me.</p> <p>24 Q. What was the purpose of that trip?</p> <p>25 A. The purpose of it was for the family to see where Daniel</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 129</p>	<p>1 Q. Which, Adam or Adam and Amanda?</p> <p>2 A. Adam and Amanda present. I think initially it was Adam</p> <p>3 and then Amanda raised some concerns around Adam doing</p> <p>4 it on his own, so it was whether Amanda could as well</p> <p>5 with him.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you need to take further steps to get permission to</p> <p>7 show it to Amanda or did you take that decision</p> <p>8 yourself?</p> <p>9 A. I think I probably spoke to Debbie or Rolf -- sorry,</p> <p>10 DS Turrell or DI Schamberger around whether I could or</p> <p>11 not.</p> <p>12 Q. While you were in Barking?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. I see.</p> <p>15 A. It wasn't a decision made at the scene while we were out</p> <p>16 with the family, it was already agreed.</p> <p>17 Q. I see, so we heard Amanda refer to her concerns about</p> <p>18 Adam seeing it on his own. In your memory, was that</p> <p>19 something that was discussed before you all went to</p> <p>20 Barking?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. I see.</p> <p>23 We have heard from Adam and Amanda today something</p> <p>24 of their memories of that day and I know you were</p> <p>25 listening while they gave evidence.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 131</p>
<p>1 had been found, they wanted to see the scene at the time</p> <p>2 and also Adam wanted to see the suicide note. So we had</p> <p>3 arranged for that -- so I got authority for that to</p> <p>4 happen.</p> <p>5 Q. We know from looking at the documents that there had</p> <p>6 been some discussion behind the scenes about who should</p> <p>7 see that note.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. That was at least in part because you were aware of the</p> <p>10 sensitivities about what it contained, what it</p> <p>11 revealed -- or appeared to reveal in any event -- in</p> <p>12 terms of Daniel's lifestyle?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. As you understood it, on that day, what were your</p> <p>15 instruction about who could see the note?</p> <p>16 A. I was instructed that Adam could see the note as a next</p> <p>17 of kin and I think it was agreed eventually that Amanda</p> <p>18 could be with him as well.</p> <p>19 Q. Was it also the case on your understanding that, for</p> <p>20 example, Ricky could see the note if Adam was happy for</p> <p>21 him to?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. As far as you were concerned, you didn't have permission</p> <p>24 to show it to anyone other than --</p> <p>25 A. Other than Adam, with Amanda present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 130</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. One of the things they raised was their understanding</p> <p>3 that a handwriting expert would be instructed to look at</p> <p>4 the note to reach a view as to whether in fact it was</p> <p>5 Daniel's or not. The words were you said that that</p> <p>6 person, the expert, will know one way or the other</p> <p>7 whether the handwriting was Daniel's or not. Did that</p> <p>8 happen?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Are you sure about that?</p> <p>11 A. Certain.</p> <p>12 Q. How can you be so certain after this many years?</p> <p>13 A. Because I was aware of how the handwriting was viewed --</p> <p>14 my instructions were around that over the weekend was</p> <p>15 that DC Adeyemo-Phillips had sent a sample of the</p> <p>16 handwriting to Adam Whitworth and it had been confirmed</p> <p>17 as Daniel's handwriting. So I was given no instruction</p> <p>18 at any point in this investigation to deal with any kind</p> <p>19 of handwriting. Samples or analysis around it, so it</p> <p>20 was my interpretation that that had been dealt with</p> <p>21 already.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you remember either Adam, Amanda or both of them</p> <p>23 expressing concerns during that meeting?</p> <p>24 A. I think the only thing I remember, which I put on my</p> <p>25 later statement response to the IOPC investigation, was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 132</p>

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

<p>1 that it wasn't very personal. I remember that. But it</p> <p>2 was a very -- there wasn't much said at all, from what</p> <p>3 I can recall in that meeting. It was a very, obviously,</p> <p>4 upsetting day for all.</p> <p>5 Q. Let me ask you a question which covers perhaps that</p> <p>6 meeting but also, if relevant, conversations which</p> <p>7 happened later. Let's not focus precisely on this</p> <p>8 meeting, because both Adam and Amanda said this morning</p> <p>9 that they had expressed the view to you that the note</p> <p>10 didn't look as though it had been written by Daniel,</p> <p>11 there was nothing personal in there, and, moreover, they</p> <p>12 just couldn't think of a time or a way in which the idea</p> <p>13 that he had killed Gabriel Kovari in August could be</p> <p>14 reconciled with their memories of him during that time.</p> <p>15 Do you remember them saying that to you?</p> <p>16 A. No. I think the personal bit, yes, I do remember that.</p> <p>17 Q. Well, all right, which bit do you remember them talking</p> <p>18 about?</p> <p>19 A. That the note wasn't very personal. That is all</p> <p>20 I remember -- there was very little said in that</p> <p>21 meeting, it was a very upsetting time and we had been to</p> <p>22 the scene of where Daniel had been found. We had then</p> <p>23 come to this building here and we were showing a suicide</p> <p>24 note to a father that was obviously still very much</p> <p>25 grieving.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 133</p>	<p>1 weren't you?</p> <p>2 A. To a degree, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. You didn't just close that part of your professional</p> <p>4 training off, just because you had been appointed as</p> <p>5 a FLO?</p> <p>6 A. No, but I also knew there was other people dealing with</p> <p>7 different parts of the investigation, such as CCTV,</p> <p>8 et cetera, different things like that. So I knew there</p> <p>9 was ongoing enquiries and it was very early stages at</p> <p>10 this time as to what we were dealing with and the</p> <p>11 enquiries that were ongoing.</p> <p>12 Q. The early stages of an enquiry, detective, are the time,</p> <p>13 surely, when you are thinking most about what might have</p> <p>14 happened, testing theories in your mind, investigating,</p> <p>15 yes?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Having met, for example, Ricky Waumsley, been to the</p> <p>18 house that he and Daniel shared, no doubt you pondered</p> <p>19 the fact that this note didn't mention his name at all,</p> <p>20 is that right?</p> <p>21 A. I don't know if I -- I don't think I did, no.</p> <p>22 Q. Did you think about the fact that the note referred to</p> <p>23 the fact that the writer had not told his family about</p> <p>24 what he was doing, or hadn't told his family he was</p> <p>25 going out, whereas Daniel had lived with Ricky, did you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 135</p>
<p>1 Q. Had you had the same thought as them that the note was</p> <p>2 not very personal?</p> <p>3 A. I think so, yes, I don't think the note was very</p> <p>4 personal.</p> <p>5 Q. As a detective, that must have struck you as something</p> <p>6 that was odd?</p> <p>7 A. I think that the -- we know in hindsight now the</p> <p>8 differences, but at the time, if someone was suicidal,</p> <p>9 I think you -- you don't necessarily know what they were</p> <p>10 feeling, thinking, so it wasn't very personal but, yes,</p> <p>11 I don't know what I thought really, more --</p> <p>12 Q. As a detective I am sure you would have wanted to try</p> <p>13 and sort of think the thing through a bit further than</p> <p>14 just saying to yourself, "If he was suicidal, we have</p> <p>15 got no idea what he was thinking", you would have wanted</p> <p>16 to press it a bit further, wouldn't you?</p> <p>17 A. Possibly, but I was also performing a FLO role by this</p> <p>18 point as well.</p> <p>19 Q. Well, you were at the time a police officer of five</p> <p>20 years' standing, weren't you?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And you were a trainee detective?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. So whatever role you were performing with the family,</p> <p>25 you were there to try and work out what had happened,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 134</p>	<p>1 think about that?</p> <p>2 A. I don't think I was looking at the suicide note in that</p> <p>3 much depth at the time, I was trying to deal with the</p> <p>4 family and trying look after them, make sure they were</p> <p>5 okay.</p> <p>6 Q. You were trying to deal with them, but I am sure as</p> <p>7 well -- because you were a police officer,</p> <p>8 a detective -- you were trying to think about what had</p> <p>9 happened, wouldn't you have been?</p> <p>10 A. Possibly, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you not remember either on this occasion or another</p> <p>12 occasion around the same time, Amanda saying to you,</p> <p>13 Adam saying to you, "It just doesn't seem right, how</p> <p>14 could Daniel have killed someone in August, we remember</p> <p>15 him in that time, he wasn't behaving in a way that would</p> <p>16 suggest he killed someone" or words to that effect, do</p> <p>17 you remember that?</p> <p>18 A. I don't recall any conversations around the specifics of</p> <p>19 that. The only thing I can go to with regards to that</p> <p>20 was maybe when I took the statement from Ricky, the</p> <p>21 victimology statement from Ricky --</p> <p>22 Q. No, I am not talking about Ricky, I am talking about</p> <p>23 Amanda and Adam. We heard, for example, Adam's evidence</p> <p>24 saying that he was quite fierce with you about that.</p> <p>25 A. I think I had very little contact with Adam, it was more</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 136</p>

<p>1 Amanda I was talking to from a very early stage.</p> <p>2 I think that is part of my FLO log, I think there is</p> <p>3 an update in there with regards to me making Amanda the</p> <p>4 point of contact and Adam agreeing to that.</p> <p>5 Q. You said a moment ago that you would have thought,</p> <p>6 amongst other things, that because this person had</p> <p>7 committed suicide, you didn't really have any way of</p> <p>8 knowing what they were thinking and they could have been</p> <p>9 thinking anything and that obviously chimes with</p> <p>10 something that others have said they heard from you. Is</p> <p>11 that actually as far as you really thought about this</p> <p>12 matter at the time?</p> <p>13 A. I think I was trying to concentrate as much as I could</p> <p>14 on the family liaison role, the FLO role, it was very</p> <p>15 new to me, the FLO role, it was my second deployment, so</p> <p>16 I was trying to concentrate on making sure I got that</p> <p>17 right and the family was being looked after.</p> <p>18 I was going to say, it was an incredibly busy time</p> <p>19 as well, so there was a lot going on around that time.</p> <p>20 Q. The note referred to G. Did you know what that was, do</p> <p>21 you know what GHB was?</p> <p>22 A. I didn't -- I had almost no knowledge of GHB around that</p> <p>23 time.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you have any training or understanding of chemsex?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 137</p>	<p>1 Q. Let's move on to another subject, detective.</p> <p>2 To do that, I want to look back at -- well, look at</p> <p>3 one email and look back at another. Let's start,</p> <p>4 please, by looking at an email from Debbie Turrell, at</p> <p>5 tab 38 of the bundle.</p> <p>6 This is similar to the email we have just looked at</p> <p>7 and we are going to look back at the one we looked at in</p> <p>8 a minute but -- sorry, for the screen, it is IPC224.</p> <p>9 What I want to do, detective, is look at three</p> <p>10 actions you were tasked with around this time and then</p> <p>11 look further at each of them.</p> <p>12 First of all, for these purposes, this is a similar</p> <p>13 email that Debbie Turrell sent the next day, on Tuesday,</p> <p>14 again to the team, again setting some actions.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. We see about four little paragraphs down, do we see:</p> <p>17 "T/DC Slaymaker [that is you] to obtain a statement</p> <p>18 from Whitworth employer to cover when he commenced</p> <p>19 employment with them, hours, sickness, leave and confirm</p> <p>20 if he was at work on 28 August 2014. Also, when he was</p> <p>21 last at work, if there was any change in him between</p> <p>22 28 August and when he was last seen."</p> <p>23 Do you see that?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Here now, of course the date of 28 August is featuring.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p>
<p>1 Q. Did you try and educate yourself, try and ask people,</p> <p>2 Google it, try and find out more about it as part of</p> <p>3 your conduct of this case?</p> <p>4 A. I think with the GHB, I was reliant upon the toxicology</p> <p>5 and that coming back potentially and -- no, I didn't.</p> <p>6 Q. I am not talking about the toxicology report, which was</p> <p>7 received weeks later, I am talking about your actions in</p> <p>8 September, around this time, when you looked at the</p> <p>9 note, you must have thought about what it contained.</p> <p>10 Did you try and find out more to understand what the</p> <p>11 note might have meant?</p> <p>12 A. I don't think so, no.</p> <p>13 Q. Did you in fact just assume that the events must have</p> <p>14 taken place as described in the note?</p> <p>15 A. No, I think that, as I said, that the investigation was</p> <p>16 very -- at the very early stages and there was various</p> <p>17 enquiries being done in the investigation. So it was --</p> <p>18 it is hard to come to some kind of conclusion on</p> <p>19 a suicide note without all of the information.</p> <p>20 Q. Of course, if people who are starting an enquiry start</p> <p>21 with assumptions, then there is a real risk that the</p> <p>22 enquiry will not get very far, isn't there?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you just start with assumptions?</p> <p>25 A. I don't think I did, no.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 138</p>	<p>1 Why was that?</p> <p>2 A. That was the day that Gabriel was found, I believe.</p> <p>3 Q. His body was found on the morning of the 28th, so</p> <p>4 presumably, you must have realised this at the time, no</p> <p>5 doubt perhaps Sergeant Turrell explained, but you were</p> <p>6 being asked to confirm if he was at work on 28 August,</p> <p>7 because if he had in fact been in Barking, and had</p> <p>8 killed Gabriel the day before, and had left his body in</p> <p>9 the churchyard, then perhaps he wouldn't have been at</p> <p>10 work. Is that right?</p> <p>11 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. If he was at work on 28 August, well that would raise at</p> <p>13 least a question mark as to whether he had been in</p> <p>14 Barking the night before?</p> <p>15 A. Depending on what time he was at work, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Yes, but that certainly would be something that would be</p> <p>17 investigated?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. That was one action: go and speak to Daniel Whitworth's</p> <p>20 employer.</p> <p>21 The paragraph below, we see a second action:</p> <p>22 "Go and obtain a statement from Ricky, re lifestyle</p> <p>23 et cetera."</p> <p>24 Yes?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 140</p>

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

<p>1 Q. The third action was in fact one you had been set in 2 that email we looked at just a moment ago. For the jury 3 it is back to tab 34, please, and for the screen it is 4 IPC213. 5 If we look towards the bottom of this email, about 6 three paragraphs up from the bottom, do you see: 7 "Paul Slaymaker has already conducted some phone 8 work on Whitworth's phone." 9 We have looked at that, haven't we? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. "Please continue with this for incoming/outcoming calls, 12 going back to at least [here is that date again] 13 28 August for any possible connections with Kovari and 14 cell site as well." 15 The jury have heard about cell siting. It is right, 16 isn't it, that that is another piece of data that the 17 telephone company can give you which tells you where the 18 phone is at any particular time? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Again, without labouring the point, no doubt the purpose 21 of these enquiries would have included not just to see 22 whether Daniel had been in contact with Gabriel Kovari, 23 although that would have been important, but to see 24 where he was during this period? 25 A. Yes, but I am not sure if that action was set for Yinka,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p>	<p>1 Q. Yes, you certainly took some responsibility for this 2 action. Whatever the textual analysis of this email 3 might be, it was something you took forward, wasn't it? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Let's look at those three in turn, please. 6 First of all the work enquiries. You did obtain 7 a statement from someone at Daniel Whitworth's work, 8 didn't you? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Did you actually go there or speak to them on the phone? 11 A. No, we went there. 12 Q. If we can have on the screen, please, IPC335, it is 13 manuscript, it's not particularly easy to read, but if 14 we just cast our eye down it, it is a statement by a man 15 called Daniel O'Connell, who is obviously a work 16 colleague of Daniel Whitworth. He describes his work 17 and he says, looking about five lines down: 18 "I worked at ISS for about nine days with Daniel, 19 who was on the same team as him." 20 Do you see that? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. He says on the Thursday, 18 September -- I'm now looking 23 about five lines down. Thursday 18 September was the 24 last day that Daniel was at work, wasn't it? 25 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 143</p>
<p>1 DC Adeyemo-Phillips, or myself, it is a bit unclear with 2 regards to that. 3 Q. We will come to that. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. But not to put too fine a point on it, that cell site 6 information would have shown whether Daniel Whitworth 7 was in Barking on 27 August? 8 A. If his phone was, yes. 9 Q. His phone was and that would be a way of inferring 10 whether he was there or not? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. There is also an instruction here to obtain phone data 13 for Kovari, is that right -- last sentence: 14 "Please also establish if this can be done for 15 Kovari phone, if details known." 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You have mentioned the fact that that might have been -- 18 did you say that might have been something that Yinka 19 was supposed to do? 20 A. I don't know. From looking at this now, seven years 21 later -- I have obviously looked at it prior to today -- 22 I think it is unclear whether it was directed at Yinka 23 or to me. However, I know I have made some enquiries, 24 I sent an email some time after this trying to obtain 25 some further data.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 142</p>	<p>1 Q. It was well established that he was reported missing and 2 didn't go into work on the Friday. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. On Thursday, 18 September, we were both in work as 5 normal. Then he describes the afternoon, 3.00 in the 6 afternoon, leaving and if we can go over the page, 7 please, he carries on describing his conversation with 8 Daniel at that time, getting on the DLR, Heron Quay, 9 what he was wearing. You obtained a statement from 10 Daniel O'Connell which gave you some information about 11 Daniel Whitworth at work on Thursday, 18 September and 12 when he left? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. You may or may not be aware of this. But much of that 15 information had already been obtained by the Kent Police 16 and was sitting on the Merlin file but, more 17 importantly, there is nothing here about whether Daniel 18 was at work on 28 August. That is what you had been 19 instructed to do, wasn't it? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Why didn't you do it? 22 A. I did. Not in the statement, but I obtained details 23 from the employer around his rota, when he was working, 24 when he wasn't working. 25 Q. Well, let's have a look at that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 144</p>

<p>1 In order to look at that, we can go to tab 47 of the 2 jury bundle. IPC263. This is an email from you -- if 3 we look at the bottom half of the page -- dated 4 13 October. Can you see that? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. About halfway down that email, there is a little dash 7 and it says, "We are in possession of Whitworth's work 8 rota, sickness record and holidays". 9 Did you obtain those from Daniel O'Connell? 10 A. No, that was -- I can't remember the lady's name, but 11 there was a lady, a PA or a manager there. 12 Q. You are going to have to help us with this, 13 Mr Slaymaker, because all the searches that have been 14 conducted, through all of the documents that are held by 15 the police in this case, these documents that you say 16 you had back in October 2014 haven't been found. 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. What did they say? 19 A. I can't recall now what they said, but I believe -- 20 I can't recall. I don't want to speculate to what 21 I believed. 22 Q. You had been tasked with obtaining a statement from 23 Daniel's employers dealing with whether he was at work 24 on the 28th. You didn't do that. You did obtain, you 25 say, some documents, which you have referred to here.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 145</p>	<p>1 you think, "Right, well that is important, I had better 2 go back and get a statement from the employers saying 3 [as I, in fact, was asked to, first time] that yes, he 4 was at work on the 28th". 5 Did you think that? 6 A. I think the confirmation would have been from the 7 information, the evidence that was provided, the rota 8 would have provided whether he was in work or not but 9 yes, maybe I should have gone back and got a statement 10 or it should have been tasked to go back and get 11 a statement. 12 Q. You were tasked to get a statement, weren't you? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And you didn't? 15 A. No. 16 Q. In any event, there were three lines of enquiry designed 17 to establish this question of where Daniel was on the 18 28th, so let's move onto the next one, which is the 19 enquiries with Ricky Waumsley. 20 Let's go straight to the statement you took from 21 him, because you did take a statement from him, did you, 22 I think on 7 October? 23 A. I believe so, around that time, yes. 24 Q. Can we have on screen, please, MPS1173. 25 There we see at the top, "R Waumsley,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 147</p>
<p>1 Did the documents show that Daniel was at work on 2 28 August? 3 A. I can't 100 per cent confirm, I am afraid. I believe 4 they did, but I can't confirm 100 per cent without 5 seeing them again. 6 Q. You must have looked at them, given the importance of 7 the issue? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. You must have looked at them, having been tasked to make 10 enquiries about 28 August. You must have looked at them 11 to see whether he was at work on the 28th? 12 A. But as there is no evidence of this, I can't recall 13 seven years ago what was on them. 14 Q. If he was at work on the 28th, that was quite important, 15 wasn't it? 16 A. Yes, that is why I obtained them. 17 Q. Did you draw that to the attention of DI Schamberger or 18 DS Turrell? 19 A. When I obtained them, that would have gone into the 20 investigation teams, yes, DS Turrell and DI Schamberger. 21 Q. It is not in this email, is it? 22 A. No. That is a summary of what has happened, isn't it, 23 that email? 24 Q. When you read those reports and saw, if this is what 25 they showed, that Daniel was at work on the 28th, did</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 146</p>	<p>1 7 October 2014", yes? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. There is some detail on the first page about how Ricky 4 and Daniel met in August 2010, we see, casting our eyes 5 down, them moving in together in September 2011. 6 If we go over the page, please, we see some 7 information about their life together, how Ricky got on 8 with Daniel's family. Then let's go over to the last 9 page, please. 10 Start reading four lines down: 11 "During July and August I went out several times 12 with work and on a couple of occasions I stayed with 13 a colleague. The last time I went out [this is Ricky] 14 was 22 August. This is one of the nights I stayed away. 15 Daniel told me he was getting some beers that night. 16 "On 27 August, Daniel had left for work as normal 17 and we were texting about until about 6 30 in the 18 morning." 19 The evidence showed that Daniel used to leave very 20 early for work, didn't he? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So he was on his way to work and they were texting until 23 6.30 in the morning: 24 "I do not get signal at work so we were Facebooking 25 each other until around 7.30. From my texts it seems</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 148</p>

<p>1 I had a busy day. I do not have any more messages from 2 him that day, so I am pretty certain he would have been 3 at home when I got home at around 1645 hours." 4 You presumably, did you sit with Ricky and write 5 this statement out? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Then did he sign it there and then or did you send it to 8 him? 9 A. I believe he signed it there and then. 10 Q. That must have struck you as a very important moment in 11 the investigation, DC Slaymaker, when Ricky Waumsley 12 said that he was, to use his words, "pretty certain" 13 that Daniel would have been at home at 1645 hours on 14 Wednesday, 27 August, which was the day he was supposed 15 to have been in Barking, apparently killing 16 Gabriel Kovari. 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. What did you do about it? 19 A. This was an action that was given to me to do, to get 20 the information. I think it was even told what was 21 needed to go into it. I fed that back into the 22 investigation for people to review and make decisions 23 around things. 24 Q. Presumably what you did was you got straight on the 25 phone to Debbie Turrell, or Mr Schamberger, or you sent</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 149</p>	<p>1 your superior, you must have realised that this was very 2 important? 3 A. There is possibly -- there is quite a lot in that 4 statement that is quite important, isn't there, or 5 important, and I took that back into the investigation. 6 I was tasked to do an action. I sent it back into the 7 investigation, emailed the DS and the DI. And there was 8 other various actions going on at the time as well, so 9 this needed to be brought together eventually, doesn't 10 it? 11 Q. Mr Slaymaker, there was nothing in that statement that 12 was nearly as important as that piece of information, 13 was there? 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Did you in fact press Ricky Waumsley and ask him whether 16 there was any more he could say about where Daniel was 17 that night? 18 A. No. What I have put in this statement is what we spoke 19 about. 20 Q. Do you think that perhaps you ought to have asked him if 21 he could provide any more detail about where Daniel was 22 that night? To firm up the "pretty certain"? 23 A. Possibly, yes. 24 Q. Are you aware that when Ricky Waumsley was asked a year 25 later by Operation Lilford about these matters, he was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 151</p>
<p>1 an email saying, "Hang on a minute, I have just taken 2 a statement from Ricky Waumsley saying that Daniel was 3 at home on the night of 27 August". 4 A. I think it is pretty certain -- I don't think it is 5 100 per cent certain, but I emailed this to Deborah and 6 to Rolf, or to DI Schamberger and I would expect them to 7 read it as well. This is -- it is quite -- 8 Q. Mr Slaymaker, you were a police officer of five years' 9 standing? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. You were not someone who just was tasked to do something 12 and you did it, tasked to do something else and you did 13 it. 14 You were someone who was well used to investigating 15 cases, weren't you? 16 A. Not to this degree, no. Not ... 17 Q. There is nothing complicated here. You knew the 18 allegation or the suggestion that Daniel Whitworth had 19 killed Gabriel Kovari on the night of 27 August, yes? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Here you were taking a statement from his partner saying 22 that he, Ricky, was pretty certain that Daniel was at 23 home on that night? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. It is not just a question of sending the email off to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 150</p>	<p>1 able to show them some texts which were on his phone, at 2 the time, saying, well, here are some texts that Daniel 3 sent me when he was on his way into work the next 4 morning, on the morning of the 28th? 5 A. I was not aware that, no. 6 Q. He said: 7 "There were some texts that Daniel was saying he was 8 going to bring some cakes home from work on the morning 9 of Thursday, 28 August." 10 A. No, I wasn't aware of any of that. I should have asked. 11 Q. In any event, there was another strand, and possibly the 12 most reliable source of evidence of all, which was the 13 phone work, because that would have shown whether Daniel 14 was in Barking on 27/28 August, wouldn't it? 15 A. Yes. 16 Or the phone, yes. 17 Q. We have seen the instruction, you said you were not sure 18 whether it was directed at you or not, but we have seen 19 the instruction for you to obtain that further 20 backward-looking phone data, haven't we? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Were you aware that in fact that particular source of 23 evidence had been referred to at the gold group that was 24 held on I think the 22nd, so it would have been the day 25 after you were given that email -- it was referred to,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 152</p>

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

<p>1 Chief Inspector Kirk mentioned it and said it was going 2 to be done. Were you aware of that? 3 A. No, I wasn't aware of that. 4 Q. Well, we have seen the email tasking you, or you say 5 perhaps someone else, to obtain that material. 6 Let's have a look at tab 47 in the jury bundle, 7 please. For the screen, it is IPC263. It is the same 8 emails we were looking at in relation to those documents 9 that you obtained from Mr Whitworth's work. If we look 10 at the very bottom line here, or the bottom section of 11 the bottom email, you are saying to Mr Schamberger, this 12 is 13 October, so maybe two or three weeks after you 13 were tasked to get that further phone data. You say: 14 "Phone work was completed on Whitworth's phone for 15 up to a week prior to his death and we have that 16 information." 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. We know that, don't we, you had done that on the night 19 of 20 September? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. It seems to have taken you, well only a few hours, 22 because it was obtained that night as you were waiting 23 for it, wasn't it? 24 A. There are different authorities around urgent phone work 25 and less urgent phone work.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 153</p>	<p>1 go to page 54, do we see here an entry on 11 October, so 2 just a day or two before you sent that email to 3 Mr Schamberger. You are saying here -- this is an entry 4 you make: 5 "From 20 September, I have inbound and outbound call 6 data from Whitworth's phone for a the week prior to him 7 being found by police [again, the material you obtained 8 that night]. I have emailed CIU Kevin Roper to see if 9 we can obtain information back to 1 August as requested 10 by the SIO. I will await his reply." 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. As far as we can see, that is where the trail ends. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Are we still awaiting his reply? 15 A. I believe so, yes. 16 Q. But this was a task that you had been told to undertake, 17 wasn't it? 18 A. I think what I was trying to do at the time, like I was 19 trying to explain a bit earlier, is I don't think I was 20 necessarily tasked to obtain this -- I think there is 21 a bit of confusion around that email. What I was trying 22 to do was help out the team with various different 23 things, I think there are other things I have done that 24 were not tasked to me. What I am trying to do is try 25 and help out the team to get things done. So, yes, this</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 155</p>
<p>1 Q. I see. 2 You say: 3 "I have requested ..." 4 So you have clearly taken on responsibility for this 5 task, whatever the ambiguity about whether it was 6 actually Yinka who was asked to do it. 7 You have said: 8 "I have requested that we go back a bit further ..." 9 What do you mean by "a bit further"? 10 A. I think this is my inexperience at the time as a T/DC, 11 this is probably, I can't guarantee, it is probably one 12 of the first times I had ever tried to obtain phone 13 work. 14 Now, when I obtained the seven days prior, it was 15 urgent phone work, so it was a phone call to 16 a superintendent and they then -- the paperwork is done 17 four. I have then been emailed, it looks like I have 18 emailed to Kevin Roper, who was the original person that 19 dealt with the seven days, thinking I could get more 20 phone work but actually knowing what I know now, or even 21 a few years later, it is a new application to obtain 22 phone work. 23 Q. Well can we can also look, just to finish off this 24 little part of the story, at tab 46 in the jury bundle. 25 It is the CRIS. For the screen, it is IPC33, and if we</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 154</p>	<p>1 is one of the things, and I can only apologise that 2 I have not followed this up, but I did put it on the 3 CRIS and it was noted that that email had been sent. 4 Q. I am sorry, Mr Slaymaker, but you were not helping out 5 the team, you were doing something that you had been 6 told to do, weren't you? 7 A. I think what I am trying to say is there is some other 8 actions around this time that I was also trying to help 9 out with, so I don't know if I was specifically tasked 10 to do this or not. 11 Q. So is this the position then, that when you received 12 an email like we have seen from Debbie Turrell, and as 13 you are reading it you think: 14 "Well, I am being told to do this, so I've got to do 15 this, but here is one which, well, maybe it is me or 16 maybe it is Yinka, so I will help out and do it if it is 17 not too much trouble, but if I don't get round to it, it 18 doesn't really matter." 19 Is that how you read it? 20 A. No, not at all. This is seven years ago and I have read 21 this email more recently. And I don't know what I was 22 thinking at the time, whether that was an action for me 23 or an action for Yinka. It seems -- it is unclear, but 24 what I am trying to say is what I did for this 25 investigation was there was various things, which</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 156</p>

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

<p>1 I don't know if you will talk about or not, that I was 2 not tasked to do, that when I was on things like night 3 duty and it was quiet I went and got different things, 4 done different things to try and help out the 5 investigation. It was an incredibly busy time and we 6 were all trying to help each other out on the team, the 7 four of us.</p> <p>8 Q. This action, this action, was a critical action in the 9 investigation: to try and find out whether 10 Daniel Whitworth was in Barking on the night of 11 27 August.</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Whatever that first email said, you took on the 14 responsibility for doing it, didn't you?</p> <p>15 A. I emailed Kevin Roper who I initially got -- to find out 16 about trying to get the information. I haven't received 17 one back and that is my -- I apologise for that, I have 18 not followed that up. But I did add it to the CRIS and 19 it was still a line of enquiry in there.</p> <p>20 I should have followed the email up, I should have 21 got the response.</p> <p>22 Q. What it comes to, if one looks at those three lines of 23 enquiry, all of which were designed in part to get 24 clarity on this critical issue, which is whether Daniel 25 was in Barking on the night of the 27th.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 157</p>	<p>1 I don't know where it has gone now, but that was 2 definitely obtained. I recall getting that information 3 and -- without that being put in front of me seven years 4 later, I cannot tell you whether it said he was in work 5 on the 28th. I believe it did, but I can't tell you for 6 certain.</p> <p>7 But I feel that I have done my best to get this 8 information and feed it back into the investigation.</p> <p>9 Q. You didn't do your best, did you, to get the call data?</p> <p>10 A. No, and I have accepted that I should have followed that 11 email up. That is mistake of mine. I did put it on to 12 the CRIS as well.</p> <p>13 Q. I am going to move on a moment, DC Slaymaker, just let 14 me press you though, because you have used this term 15 time and time again, that you fed this material into the 16 investigation.</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. You make it sound like you are just a sort of cog in 19 a machine, but actually you were a police officer of 20 five years' standing, investigating this set of 21 circumstances. You should have known, shouldn't you, 22 that that information you had obtained from 23 Ricky Waumsley was vitally important? It is not just 24 a question of feeding it into the investigation, you 25 should have drawn people's attention to what you had</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 159</p>
<p>1 Is that you obtained a statement from the employer, 2 which didn't mention whether he was at work on the 28th 3 or not.</p> <p>4 You obtained some documents, which we now can't 5 find. You say you think they did show he was at work on 6 the 28th but you didn't take a statement about it.</p> <p>7 You had a statement from Ricky Waumsley saying he 8 was pretty certain that he was home on the night of the 9 27th, but you emailed that on, into the investigation 10 team, didn't do any more about it.</p> <p>11 And you didn't end up with the call data.</p> <p>12 Is that right?</p> <p>13 A. With regards to the -- I accept I have not followed up 14 on the email with regards to the phone work. With 15 regards to the statement from Ricky, it was fed back 16 into the investigation, it was an action set by me, it 17 was fed back into the investigation who are reviewing 18 the actions and then new lines of enquiry potentially. 19 It was clear they wanted me to get that information, 20 which I got.</p> <p>21 With regards to the employer, no, I didn't put it in 22 the statement, but Mr O'Connell was an employee, he 23 wouldn't have been privy to the information around 24 Daniel's rota and work as such. But I did obtain that 25 information, that was fed into the investigation,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 158</p>	<p>1 found?</p> <p>2 A. By providing the statement, it does draw the 3 attention -- that whole statement should have been read. 4 It was all information they wanted. They asked me to 5 get. So it should have been read.</p> <p>6 The problem with -- if you take on the investigation 7 in general as an OIC, you are responsible for the whole 8 investigation, so, yes, if I am dealing with a GBH or 9 an ABH I am responsible for the actions, et cetera. 10 This was different, this was run by a DI and a DS and 11 four other -- maybe some more as well, other members of 12 the team doing different actions at different times. 13 That then gets fed into the investigation and then 14 decisions are made on the back of that. It is a bit 15 similar to a MIT investigation, but obviously not with 16 the resources that they have got. So I wouldn't 17 necessarily have been privy to all of the information 18 that people were doing. So I am doing my actions and 19 putting it back into the investigation for people to 20 review and set further actions.</p> <p>21 Q. All the way -- I am going to move on now -- 22 Mr Slaymaker, you are getting more and more information, 23 aren't you, yourself, about this investigation. Part of 24 your role as a FLO is to feed back to the families what 25 is happening. What investigations are taking place, in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 160</p>

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

<p>1 the case of the Walgate investigation, who has been 2 arrested, the court hearings coming up. So you are 3 necessarily getting a level of understanding about the 4 investigation, the evidence, so that you can feed it 5 back. That is fair, isn't it?</p> <p>6 A. I don't make decisions on what information to give to 7 the family, that is the investigating officer or the 8 SIO, depending on who is looking at the case.</p> <p>9 Q. But you cannot feed information back to the family 10 without being told it and then passing it back?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 I am asked -- I am told what to tell the family.</p> <p>13 Q. Yes.</p> <p>14 No, the point I want to drive at is a slightly 15 different one, which is that we have now heard from 16 quite a few officers in Barking and Dagenham, and we are 17 going to hear from some more, and several of them were 18 involved in more than one of these investigations.</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. But most of the time when that has happened, what we 21 have found is that there has been one officer who has 22 been an officer rather who has been really quite heavily 23 involved in one of the investigations and they may just 24 have had a sort of walk-on part in one of the others, 25 they may have attended the scene or taken a statement or</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 161</p>	<p>1 didn't they? And certainly in the case of Anthony and 2 Daniel, that was something you were very aware of, 3 because you were speaking to their families?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. They had come to Barking, they had been found dead in 6 Barking.</p> <p>7 You knew, of course, that Anthony had come to 8 Barking in order to see Stephen Port. That was the 9 arrangement. Did it ever cross your mind that Daniel 10 might have come to Barking for the same reason?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. Of course, as we have seen, the police investigation, 13 the first police investigation, never got to the bottom 14 of how Gabriel and Daniel had met or what had happened 15 between them, or whether they had met at all, but did it 16 cross your mind that the Anthony Walgate case might have 17 been part of the answer to that?</p> <p>18 A. Not at the time, no. I think there were similarities 19 between the cases --</p> <p>20 Q. Did --</p> <p>21 A. -- but no actual --</p> <p>22 Q. Were there moments when you were driving to work or 23 something, were you not passing that over in your mind, 24 and did it never occur to you over all those months that 25 you were involved that actually those three cases had</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 163</p>
<p>1 something of that nature.</p> <p>2 What I want to suggest is that your position was 3 a bit different from that, because you actually had 4 a long-term central involvement, first of all in the 5 Anthony Walgate case, and then in the Whitworth/Kovari 6 investigation. It wasn't just a day here or taking 7 a statement there, you were involved as the FLO, both 8 cases, for weeks, months on end, weren't you?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. It must have become apparent to you, over that time, 11 starting with the Walgate investigation in June, going 12 through into the autumn, becoming involved in the 13 Whitworth investigation and so on, that these three 14 cases had a lot in common with each other.</p> <p>15 First of all, there was the involvement of GHB, 16 wasn't there?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. We have seen that the confirmation that GHB was involved 19 in the Walgate case came in September with the 20 toxicology results, just only a few days before the 21 discovery of Daniel's body, his note referring to G, and 22 so that link was made, we spoke to DCI Kirk about that 23 yesterday, all right?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. All three of those young men came from out of the area,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 162</p>	<p>1 quite a lot in common?</p> <p>2 A. I think it occurred to me there was similarities between 3 them, but I never -- I knew it was being looked at at 4 DCI level, and Mr Kirk knew of the three deaths. 5 I believe I knew about the gold group, I didn't know 6 what the circumstances around the gold group were, but 7 there were certainly gold groups. I would assume then 8 that superintendents are involved as well. 9 So I never knew of a substantial link. 10 Similarities, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you actually think about it in those terms, 12 Mr Slaymaker, or going back to our conversation earlier, 13 do you think it is possible that you, yourself, thought 14 to yourself, "Well, we are never going to find out what 15 happened here, we are never going to get to the bottom 16 of this"?</p> <p>17 A. I don't think I ever thought that.</p> <p>18 Q. Let's just have a look at another document, please. Can 19 we have up on screen IPC756.</p> <p>20 This is a bit of a strange document, Mr Slaymaker.</p> <p>21 First of all, if we look at the top, we see it is 22 dated November 2014, so around the time we are talking 23 about, a couple months after Daniel's body had been 24 discovered.</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 164</p>

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

<p>1 Q. We see it is an email you sent to yourself.</p> <p>2 A. Yes, from my personal email, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. When we look at the text, it looks like it is some sort</p> <p>4 of appraisal for you. It is written, if we look at the</p> <p>5 top paragraph there, it says:</p> <p>6 "I was Paul's line manager for around six months</p> <p>7 whilst working in CID main office."</p> <p>8 Presumably that is Debbie Turrell?</p> <p>9 A. This is not an appraisal.</p> <p>10 Q. Tell us what it is?</p> <p>11 A. This is my -- as a T/DC, you have to complete</p> <p>12 a workbook, and it is an incredibly long workbook, but</p> <p>13 it is evidencing different points of investigation.</p> <p>14 Q. Sorry to correct you, but this is written by</p> <p>15 Debbie Turrell.</p> <p>16 A. This is a discussion I would have had with Debbie around</p> <p>17 what I had done and there is a certain point -- I don't</p> <p>18 want to go into too much detail maybe, but there is</p> <p>19 a certain point where you can have witness testimonies,</p> <p>20 with regards to evidence that you have got throughout</p> <p>21 an investigation, or different investigations, so</p> <p>22 I would have had a discussion with Deborah around this</p> <p>23 and then I would have written something up that she</p> <p>24 would have either agreed to or amended and changed.</p> <p>25 Q. So it is something that is written in the voice of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 165</p>	<p>1 also tasked to act as FLO for these.</p> <p>2 "There were some very difficult and diverse</p> <p>3 circumstances surrounding these deaths."</p> <p>4 Then this:</p> <p>5 "Firstly, all three men were gay and they were using</p> <p>6 dating websites to meet men and also attend parties that</p> <p>7 had been organised where it appeared there were drugs</p> <p>8 actively being used and sexual activity happening."</p> <p>9 You had been involved in Anthony Walgate's case in</p> <p>10 November 2014 for about five months, hadn't you,</p> <p>11 Mr Slaymaker?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. There was never any suggestion that Anthony had attended</p> <p>14 parties that had been organised where drugs were being</p> <p>15 used and sexual activity happening, was there?</p> <p>16 A. I don't believe so, no.</p> <p>17 Q. Well, there wasn't, was there?</p> <p>18 A. No.</p> <p>19 Q. Did you write this because you think that all young gay</p> <p>20 men go to chemsex parties?</p> <p>21 A. I think I took some of this from the emails that Yinka</p> <p>22 forwarded on to me around -- I can't remember the names</p> <p>23 of the people, Tony Fairy and Jon Luck, I think there</p> <p>24 was mention of parties et cetera, there, so I think</p> <p>25 I have taken some of that from there.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 167</p>
<p>1 Debbie Turrell for her to look at, but you wrote it?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, after discussion with her around it.</p> <p>3 Q. Yes, and I am sure you would have sent it to her to see</p> <p>4 if she was happy with it?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, she would have had to have signed it, I think,</p> <p>6 so ...</p> <p>7 Q. There is a lot that might be asked about this document,</p> <p>8 Mr Slaymaker, but I am just going to ask you about one</p> <p>9 passage in it. Let's just look at the second main</p> <p>10 paragraph, please. In fact, let's just read from the</p> <p>11 top, it says:</p> <p>12 "I was Paul's line manager for around six months</p> <p>13 whilst working in the CID main office. I am the FLC for</p> <p>14 the borough of Barking and Dagenham. I am aware that</p> <p>15 Paul is FLO. There was a sudden unexplained death on</p> <p>16 the borough with possible suspicious circumstances</p> <p>17 surrounding it."</p> <p>18 That was the Anthony Walgate case, wasn't it?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. "I tasked Paul to act as the FLO for the family. A few</p> <p>21 months later there was a further two unexplained deaths</p> <p>22 ..."</p> <p>23 Gabriel and Daniel, yes?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. "... with similar circumstances to the first. Paul was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 166</p>	<p>1 Q. Well, emails in the Whitworth investigation, yes?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Emails which had at least in part to do with Jon Luck,</p> <p>4 which we now know of course was Stephen Port, lies that</p> <p>5 he was feeding to the investigation, which the</p> <p>6 investigation never understood.</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. But --</p> <p>9 A. I didn't know at the time.</p> <p>10 Q. That is not the question I am asking you, Mr Slaymaker.</p> <p>11 The question I am asking you is after all time you</p> <p>12 had been involved in the Anthony Walgate case, why did</p> <p>13 you suggest here that not only Gabriel and Daniel but</p> <p>14 also Anthony had been going to gay sex parties?</p> <p>15 A. I think that is an error on my behalf, writing that.</p> <p>16 I am trying to summarise that.</p> <p>17 Q. As someone who had been investigating that case for</p> <p>18 weeks and weeks and weeks, how did you make that</p> <p>19 mistake?</p> <p>20 A. I don't think I can answer, I am trying to summarise for</p> <p>21 evidence and I have made a mistake in that line.</p> <p>22 Q. Is this an example of the care and attention to detail</p> <p>23 that you adopted generally in this investigation,</p> <p>24 Mr Slaymaker?</p> <p>25 A. I don't think so, no.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 168</p>

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

<p>1 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you. Those are all my questions.</p> <p>2 Questions from MS HILL</p> <p>3 MS HILL: Mr Slaymaker, as I think you know, I ask questions</p> <p>4 on behalf of all of the families of those murdered by</p> <p>5 Stephen Port, save for Daniel Whitworth's partner.</p> <p>6 Just a few matters of background, please, if I may.</p> <p>7 You have explained in your evidence already that you did</p> <p>8 the FLO course I think in April 2014 and that, as it</p> <p>9 happened, the Walgate family were your first family and</p> <p>10 the Whitworth family were your second family. Is that</p> <p>11 right?</p> <p>12 A. That's correct, yes, ma'am.</p> <p>13 Q. I think you have explained in your witness evidence</p> <p>14 that, prior to June 2014, you had had no training in</p> <p>15 relation to suspicious or unexplained deaths. Is that</p> <p>16 right?</p> <p>17 A. That's correct.</p> <p>18 Q. That you yourself, I think you say in your witness</p> <p>19 evidence, had not investigated anything more serious</p> <p>20 than a GBH?</p> <p>21 A. That's correct.</p> <p>22 Q. The way in which you have described the FLO role in your</p> <p>23 evidence to the jury seems to give it two different</p> <p>24 elements, if I can summarise it in that way.</p> <p>25 One is providing information to families.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 169</p>	<p>1 I am sure you would agree with that?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. "A FLO has to balance the needs of the family with the</p> <p>4 requirement to gather material and preserve the</p> <p>5 integrity of the investigation. There are many cases</p> <p>6 where the lifestyle, family, friends and associates of</p> <p>7 the victim may hold the key to identifying witnesses,</p> <p>8 suspects or other vital information. The family liaison</p> <p>9 role is therefore pivotal to the success of the</p> <p>10 investigation."</p> <p>11 That is right, isn't it?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. You have explained, I think, in your witness evidence,</p> <p>14 perhaps just bring up briefly IPC332, internal page 2,</p> <p>15 third paragraph down of substance:</p> <p>16 "A FLO log [you say in your witness evidence] is not</p> <p>17 a complete record of all the contact that you have."</p> <p>18 Quite often you will have conversations that don't</p> <p>19 appear on the logs, as we have established, is that</p> <p>20 right?</p> <p>21 A. I would try my best to put as much as I can into a FLO</p> <p>22 log, so I can recall it later if need be.</p> <p>23 Q. I think you explained in your evidence earlier, before</p> <p>24 the lunch break, that the FLO logs are shared with the</p> <p>25 investigators?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 171</p>
<p>1 One is obtaining information from families.</p> <p>2 Is that right?</p> <p>3 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Is it right that in fact the primary role of the FLO is</p> <p>5 an investigative one?</p> <p>6 A. It is, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. If we need anything to support that, can I just bring up</p> <p>8 very briefly, please, IPC130, it is a document we have</p> <p>9 not looked at before. It is IPC130, which I think is</p> <p>10 a very long document -- I don't ask you to go through</p> <p>11 all of it -- about what the role of the FLO is.</p> <p>12 Internal page 33, please, the top of that page, if we</p> <p>13 just scroll in at the very top:</p> <p>14 "The primary role of a FLO is that of</p> <p>15 an investigator, to assist the SIO or SIM to achieve</p> <p>16 their aims and objectives."</p> <p>17 Is that right?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. "SIM" the jury don't need to be troubled with, that is</p> <p>20 a different kind of investigative role, isn't it?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Going just finally within that document, please, back up</p> <p>23 to internal 23. Is this also right, look at the top</p> <p>24 paragraph, please, on internal 23:</p> <p>25 "The role of a FLO is complex ..."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 170</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Presumably what you try and do is distil from</p> <p>3 a particular conversation what you think they need to</p> <p>4 see?</p> <p>5 A. I think summarise more, because if you have</p> <p>6 a conversation for 30 minutes there is a lot of --</p> <p>7 information, so I would summarise --</p> <p>8 Q. That is what I meant by "distil". Sorry if that was not</p> <p>9 clear, summarise.</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. You have already explained in addition to your FLO role,</p> <p>12 you did have other work. I think just to be complete on</p> <p>13 this, IPC301, internal page 2. You were dealing with at</p> <p>14 that time around 15 to 25 other cases; is that right?</p> <p>15 A. I would say so, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Which you were presumably just investigating -- I don't</p> <p>17 mean just investigating, but you were the investigating</p> <p>18 officer on rather than the FLO?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. We must establish that I think.</p> <p>21 You were also dealing with, as we see here,</p> <p>22 prisoners in custody, trial preparation, attending</p> <p>23 court, monitoring the radio, assisting the response team</p> <p>24 on a daily basis. You explained that your resources on</p> <p>25 the borough were very limited in terms of time; is that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 172</p>

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

<p>1 right?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. I think you have been in court this morning,</p> <p>4 Mr Slaymaker, and seen the evidence that has come from</p> <p>5 Adam and Mandy Whitworth, is that right?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. I think you have read the evidence from Sarah Sak and</p> <p>8 Tom Walgate; is that correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. I think know that, broadly, they are all, are they not,</p> <p>11 disappointed with the service they received from you in</p> <p>12 different ways?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Just to try and summarise the key themes, if I may, they</p> <p>15 all say in different ways something about not being</p> <p>16 given information, and about information they provided</p> <p>17 not being listened to.</p> <p>18 They all say, in different ways, that things that</p> <p>19 they were suggesting in terms of lines of enquiry were</p> <p>20 not followed up. Do you agree those are two key themes</p> <p>21 that these families have expressed?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. It is the case I think, isn't it, that those families,</p> <p>24 the Walgate family and the Whitworth family, have just</p> <p>25 been brought together by these tragic events and not</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 173</p>	<p>1 all wrong?</p> <p>2 A. I don't think I am that type of person. I would like to</p> <p>3 think I am not and I would never dismiss and brush away</p> <p>4 anyone, especially families that have lost loved ones</p> <p>5 that I am trying to support and look after.</p> <p>6 Q. Just trying to reflect now, we have heard your evidence</p> <p>7 that these were the first two families that you had</p> <p>8 looked after as the FLO. You have seen and heard me put</p> <p>9 to you four very similar statements that they have all</p> <p>10 made about how they felt they were treated back in 2014</p> <p>11 and into 2015. Do you want to reflect again on whether</p> <p>12 they are all wrong?</p> <p>13 A. All I can say is that I know the type of person I am,</p> <p>14 and I would always try my best, particularly with</p> <p>15 families that have lost loved ones and going through</p> <p>16 a real tragedy, and continue to now. If I have in any</p> <p>17 way come across that way, then I apologise to the</p> <p>18 families but it was never my intention and I tried my</p> <p>19 hardest to look after them and support them in the best</p> <p>20 way I could.</p> <p>21 Q. In relation to Sarah Sak and Tom Walgate, you had most</p> <p>22 contact I think with Sarah Sak?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. She was firmly of the view from the get go that Anthony</p> <p>25 had been murdered, wasn't she?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 175</p>
<p>1 otherwise known to each other. Is that right?</p> <p>2 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Is it your position that they are all wrong in what they</p> <p>4 have said?</p> <p>5 A. I don't think they are all wrong in what they have said.</p> <p>6 Q. Well just looking, please, if we may at some of what has</p> <p>7 been said.</p> <p>8 When Sarah Sak gave her evidence, she described</p> <p>9 being -- I have the note here and I can perhaps just</p> <p>10 give the reference if need be, it is from 22 October,</p> <p>11 Day 15, page 45, she describes being "dismissed,</p> <p>12 belittled and ignored", at page 52, that she felt like</p> <p>13 she was a nuisance:</p> <p>14 "Every day I felt like I was interrupting his day,</p> <p>15 I constantly felt like that."</p> <p>16 Then today we have heard evidence from the</p> <p>17 Whitworths in a very similar way, Mr Whitworth saying,</p> <p>18 "I felt I was brushed aside/pushed aside", and then</p> <p>19 Mrs Whitworth saying:</p> <p>20 "Every time I said something he would come up with</p> <p>21 an idea about why we were wrong and dismiss it without</p> <p>22 doing anything with it. I felt they took advantage of</p> <p>23 our nice personalities."</p> <p>24 They all say something very similar about being</p> <p>25 brushed aside by you or dismissed, don't they? Are they</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 174</p>	<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Didn't she repeatedly challenge you and test you and say</p> <p>3 to you: what about this? What about that? Wasn't that</p> <p>4 the dynamic of your conversation?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Can I just read to you what she said about your first</p> <p>7 substantive exchange, it's page 14 from 22 October.</p> <p>8 She said in the very first exchange she had with</p> <p>9 you:</p> <p>10 "Well, how come it is not suspicious? People don't</p> <p>11 just drop dead in the street. Was he shot? Was he</p> <p>12 stabbed? Was he beaten up? You don't just drop dead in</p> <p>13 the street."</p> <p>14 And that she said similar things in a further part</p> <p>15 of her evidence about that. You had said in response to</p> <p>16 it, she says:</p> <p>17 "There wasn't a mark on him, not a mark on Anthony,</p> <p>18 and it was probably drugs."</p> <p>19 Wasn't that sort of testing of you typical of what</p> <p>20 Sarah was doing? That she was saying things to you like</p> <p>21 what is it? What has happened? Was he stabbed? Was he</p> <p>22 shot? That sort of thing. She was asking you difficult</p> <p>23 questions from the very beginning?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. Again, when she has given evidence in front of this jury</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 176</p>

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

<p>1 that she repeatedly said to you, "I'm telling you 2 something is not right", or something of that nature, is 3 she wrong about that?</p> <p>4 A. I can only go on my FLO logs of what I have written as 5 summaries, but I don't believe that there were 6 conversations like that.</p> <p>7 Q. Was she not furious when she found out about information 8 she had read in the media about the arrest of 9 Stephen Port?</p> <p>10 A. I don't recall her being furious. I mean that is 11 upsetting for her, I think I have said that and that was 12 frustrating for me as well.</p> <p>13 Q. That was the word she has used to describe how she felt 14 and how she behaved. Can you reflect on that call when 15 she rang up, and I know there is a background to why you 16 didn't know about the arrest --</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. -- but wasn't she furious that she had had to read about 19 it in the paper?</p> <p>20 A. I don't believe she was furious, no. I think we had 21 a conversation around it.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you remember the discussion with her about the 23 information that then came to light about the ambulance 24 being called by Port, and the link between Port and 25 Joe Dean and Anthony. Her evidence on this, it's</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 177</p>	<p>1 explained myself, yes, it was my first FLO role, I was 2 inexperienced and, yes, I was concerned that I hadn't 3 explained myself over the conversations I had with 4 Sarah. So I was more concerned about making sure that 5 she understood the information.</p> <p>6 Q. Isn't she right in saying that you were pretty cross 7 about that --</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. -- and you had a difficult call after that?</p> <p>10 A. I wouldn't be cross with a family, or a victim, it is 11 not my nature.</p> <p>12 Q. Are you aware of her evidence that the discussion you 13 had at that time made her cry?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. She has said in evidence that when you made a comment to 16 the effect that we get more deaths in a week here than 17 you do in a year in Hull, she replied by saying: 18 "You have got a bigger army than we have in Hull. 19 That was the thing that made me cry and I just knew then 20 that it didn't matter what I did, what I said, they were 21 not going to do anything." 22 That is substandard FLO work, isn't it?</p> <p>23 A. I didn't make those comments to Sarah.</p> <p>24 Q. So she is wrong about that?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 179</p>
<p>1 page 24 of that day: 2 "Every time Slaymaker said about him going there, it 3 was, 'He went to have sex', and I was like, 'Yes, okay' 4 what he said then, that Anthony had gone and taken 5 drugs, and I was straight away, 'Whoa, stop there, that 6 didn't happen!'"</p> <p>7 Isn't that what she was saying to you, that Anthony 8 was isn't someone to take drugs, don't go there, he was 9 highly safety conscious. Did she give you all that 10 information?</p> <p>11 A. In the initial conversation I had with her she was 12 talking about Anthony, wasn't she, around his lifestyle, 13 but other than that, no.</p> <p>14 Q. That she was saying to you that it didn't make sense?</p> <p>15 A. Not that I recall, no.</p> <p>16 Q. She was suggesting to you, wasn't she, when you had the 17 discussion, after she had gone to see her MP, that there 18 was an issue about this being a murder. Because the 19 context of your discussion, just to try and pull this 20 back in the chronology, you must have been pretty 21 embarrassed on your first FLO case someone had been so 22 aggrieved that they had gone to their MP and then you 23 had had to explain yourself. You must have been pretty 24 embarrassed, if not angry, about that?</p> <p>25 A. I think I have mentioned I was concerned that I had not</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 178</p>	<p>1 Q. She suggested to you, didn't she, that the phone was 2 an important line of enquiry for Anthony?</p> <p>3 A. Sorry, can you repeat that?</p> <p>4 Q. She suggested to you that the phone was a significant 5 issue, she said it was massive that he was missing his 6 phone, didn't she make that clear to you?</p> <p>7 A. I don't believe so, no.</p> <p>8 Q. You did have discussions, I think, with her and Tom 9 about the laptop, isn't that right?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. The laptop had not in fact been submitted, had it?</p> <p>12 A. No, and I wasn't aware of that until much later on.</p> <p>13 Q. But, again, we know from the evidence we have already 14 heard that there was a significant delay in submitting 15 that laptop, isn't the reality that there was no 16 enthusiasm for submitting it and you gave them 17 an explanation about resources and what will it show, or 18 something of that nature?</p> <p>19 A. No. Not me.</p> <p>20 Q. Did she not press you on the theory that Port had simply 21 gone off to work and left Anthony in his bed?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. So she is wrong about that as well, is she, as well?</p> <p>24 A. I didn't have a conversation with her about that.</p> <p>25 Q. Well, again, page 40 of her evidence, on 22 October, she</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 180</p>

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

<p>1 says that she spoke to you about this in the meeting in 2 person. Just to pause there to orientate you, you had 3 one meeting with her in person I think in Hull, is that 4 right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. I think she has made the point that that was nine months 7 after Anthony had died, it was just before the hearing 8 for Mr Port. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. She says, in that meeting, she was asking you questions, 11 was the phone ever traced? Did you see any sick? Any 12 vomit? Well, and this is her evidence on page 41: 13 "He is saying that Anthony drugged himself, they had 14 sex, he was ill and went to bed. So was he dressed when 15 he went into bed?" 16 You say, or your colleague says: 17 "We don't know." 18 "Haven't you asked all these questions? If he 19 wasn't dressed when he laid in bed, who dressed him?" 20 She says: 21 "The one thing that strikes me more than anything is 22 why would you leave a complete stranger in your 23 apartment and go to work for an eight-hour shift, you 24 just wouldn't do it. He could have literally emptied 25 the flat and gone."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 181</p>	<p>1 police by this point was that he had not been murdered? 2 A. I think there was enquiries ongoing – it depends what 3 point you are talking about, but there was ongoing 4 enquiries and investigation around this by the 5 investigation team, so -- 6 Q. There came a point, forgive me, didn't there, when 7 Stephen Port was charged with a different criminal 8 offence and then essentially matters proceeded to the 9 inquest, isn't that what happened? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. When Sarah Sak has given evidence that she kept saying 12 she thought Anthony had been murdered, and you replied 13 by giving her the indication that that was not right, 14 that is in fact what happened, isn't it because that is 15 what the police believed? 16 A. Are we talking about the conversation with the chief 17 inspector? 18 Q. She has said that repeatedly throughout her time of 19 engaging with you she kept saying, and she described it 20 as banging her head against a brick wall, words to the 21 effect of, "Anthony has been murdered". You will 22 remember her evidence that she has said that from the 23 very first meeting she had with you, she said: 24 "Anthony was murdered, I will never shut up, I will 25 never go away' and he said the same thing, he said it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 183</p>
<p>1 What she said in evidence before this jury is the 2 response she received was: 3 "Well, that is just what Port said." 4 Isn't that the conversation you had with her? 5 A. I don't recall that conversation. 6 Q. So she is wrong about that as well? 7 The information that you got from her, broadly, was, 8 I would suggest to you, to the effect that she thought 9 Anthony had been murdered but just taking it the other 10 way, is this not the case that you didn't my think he 11 had been murdered, because you were not carrying out 12 a homicide investigation, the police, were they? 13 A. I was the family liaison officer, I don't think I would 14 have been thinking about that as such. That was for the 15 investigation. 16 Q. Really, you didn't know what kind of investigation it 17 was? 18 A. It was an unexplained death that had potential 19 suspicious circumstances around it. 20 Q. Wasn't the broad dynamic of what was happening here that 21 you, the police, and you as well, did or should have 22 known the nature of the investigation, you must have 23 known that this was not a homicide investigation? 24 A. It wasn't -- yes, I did know that, yes, sorry. 25 Q. You must have known that the prevailing view within the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 182</p>	<p>1 all the time, 'He was not murdered, it is unexplained'." 2 Isn't that what you actually said to her? 3 A. No. 4 Q. So she is wrong about that as well? 5 A. I think -- it is an unexplained death, was the case -- 6 but she wasn't telling me all the time that he was 7 murdered. 8 Q. I see. So -- 9 A. I would have described it as an unexplained death, 10 because that is what it was at the time and obviously 11 the investigation perverting the course of justice, 12 keeping them updated around that, so -- but ... 13 Q. If you accept then that you had said repeatedly to her 14 that the death was unexplained, isn't the reason why you 15 were saying that because she kept saying something like, 16 "This is not right, this doesn't make sense, I think he 17 has been murdered" and your reply was, "It is 18 unexplained"? 19 A. It wasn't repeated, there were a couple of occasions 20 where I might have mentioned that it was an unexplained 21 death, including the time with the chef inspector. It 22 wasn't repeated, her saying that or me saying that. 23 Q. Do you remember the discussion with her when the 24 toxicology results came in, with the GHB? 25 A. Sorry?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 184</p>

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

<p>1 Q. Do you remember the discussion with her when the 2 toxicology results came in, with the GHB? 3 A. Briefly, from -- from my FLO log notes. 4 Q. Do you remember that her reaction to it on the phone 5 was, I'm quoting page 37 of her evidence: 6 "That proves something is wrong, because Anthony 7 would not have taken GHB with a stranger." 8 A. I don't recall that conversation, no. 9 Q. She asked you, didn't she, in the meeting I think you 10 had in Hull, I'm sorry to jump around a little bit, it 11 is a different scene, she asked you about the £800, 12 didn't she? She said: 13 "What did he do for a living? Did he have £800, 14 Mr Port?" 15 She asked you about whether that was a viable 16 explanation, didn't she? 17 A. I don't recall that in the meeting. But -- as I said, 18 Martin was the one that was conducting that meeting. 19 Q. Do you recollect though that she was of the view that 20 Anthony would not have taken GHB? 21 A. I don't think there was ever discussion around GHB, 22 other than the results coming out around he would have 23 taken it, but I believe China had mentioned that to me. 24 Q. Just trying to pull together the themes between the two 25 families now, if I may. Do you remember being told by</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 185</p>	<p>1 Those particular points can be summarised, can't 2 they, as there was nothing personal in the note, do you 3 think that is what he said? 4 A. No, I think the only conversation I remember having was 5 the note was not very personal, the comment was the note 6 was not very personal. There was no -- nothing else 7 mentioned in that meeting. 8 Q. Wasn't the theme here quite similar, that the Walgate 9 family were saying, "This theory doesn't make sense", 10 and the Whitworth family, with Ricky as well, were also 11 saying, "This doesn't make sense". Wasn't that the 12 theme that you were getting from these two families? 13 A. No. 14 Q. You know that Sarah Sak has given evidence, as has 15 Mr Walgate, about the comment that is said to have been 16 made about two people being there and so on. You have 17 also heard the evidence this morning from 18 Mandy Whitworth, who said something was said along the 19 lines of, "We may never know what happened to Daniel". 20 Those are quite similar things, aren't they? 21 A. The two different -- 22 Q. These are two families who are saying that the 23 impression they had been given by officers, and 24 certainly the comment this morning from Mrs Whitworth is 25 that this was you saying words to this effect, are that,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 187</p>
<p>1 the Whitworths that they did not believe Daniel took 2 drugs? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Wasn't it also the case that, rather like Mr Walgate and 5 Ms Sak, Sarah Sak, the Whitworths didn't seem to think 6 that the police theory set out in that note made sense? 7 A. Sorry, when? 8 Q. You have explained, I think, that you took a statement 9 from Ricky Waumsley, or you knew about the evidence from 10 Ricky Waumsley, that he was saying it didn't seem to 11 make sense, but wasn't the impression you took from the 12 Whitworths that they also felt this didn't make sense, 13 because the note didn't seem very personal and generally 14 they didn't seem to understand this? 15 A. I think I have mentioned around the meeting at the Town 16 Hall, the response back was, or the conversation was had 17 around it not being personal. I don't remember anything 18 else really around that. 19 Q. The detail of that that they have given evidence on 20 before this jury today is that the reason -- you might 21 have summarised it as there was nothing personal in the 22 note, but they have been -- Mr Whitworth has said this 23 morning the note didn't mention anyone, didn't mention 24 any phrases Daniel would use and there was nothing in it 25 that only he would know.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 186</p>	<p>1 "We just may never know what happened", there are two 2 people in the room, in relation to Anthony, and we may 3 never know what happened in relation to Daniel. Again, 4 they are quite similar impressions these families have 5 got, aren't they? 6 A. Yes, and I think in my FLO log I have mentioned about we 7 may never know what happened, or the whole thing what 8 happened. I think that is put in my log, isn't it, for 9 the Whitworth family. 10 Q. Isn't it correct that the comment was made similarly in 11 the Hull meeting about two people being in the room and 12 the Walgate family may never know either? 13 A. No, not that I recall. 14 Q. You know from evidence that Sarah Sak and Tom Walgate 15 have given that they were given, on their evidence, the 16 impression that it was resourcing issues that meant that 17 the laptop was not going to be examined. Do you 18 remember that evidence? 19 A. I remember the evidence, yes. 20 Q. The impression that Sarah Sak and Tom Walgate also got, 21 certainly Sarah Sak has described, hasn't she, 22 a suggestion made by you that there were more murders in 23 London than there were in Hull, you know that is her 24 evidence? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 188</p>

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

<p>1 Q. The evidence from this morning has been from 2 Mrs Whitworth that she was told, "We have more resources 3 than you will ever have", that is what she was told and 4 Mr Whitworth has said the impression he was given is, 5 "We are experts, leave it to us". Those are again quite 6 similar things, aren't they? 7 A. Similar, yes. 8 Q. Yes, so that is two families saying we were given the 9 impression that the police should just get on with this, 10 be allowed to get on with this, and because they are 11 London police, they know particularly what they are 12 doing. They have plenty of resources, although it 13 didn't explain the laptop, and they should just be 14 allowed to get on with it. 15 Isn't that quite a similar theme across these 16 families? 17 A. It is a similar theme, but it wasn't anything I said. 18 Q. The note that you looked at with the Whitworth family, 19 you have accepted today I think was in the context of 20 an undoubtedly difficult meeting, that must be the case, 21 mustn't it, the meeting here? 22 A. I think the whole day, not just the meeting. The whole 23 day with the family was tough for them. 24 Q. The meeting must have been difficult, for two reasons. 25 One is because they had first of all been to the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 189</p>	<p>1 "It was probably quite difficult for them to absorb 2 the content of it upon a first reading." 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. That must be right, mustn't it? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. We can take that down now, please. 7 Even from that first reading, they did make points 8 to you about it not seeming very personal? 9 A. They -- yes, there was a comment about it not being very 10 personal, yes. 11 Q. You knew from Ricky's evidence later, I think, didn't 12 you, that his position was that this just didn't really 13 make sense? 14 A. Where was that from? 15 Q. You knew from Ricky's statement that this idea of what 16 was being suggested Daniel had done just didn't seem to 17 make sense? 18 A. I think, yes, more around what, 28 August, are we 19 talking about that part of the statement of Ricky's? 20 Q. So you could have at any point have talked further to 21 the Whitworth family about what they are view was, 22 couldn't you? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Finally, you have been asked some questions by learned 25 coroner's counsel about the issue of any potential links</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 191</p>
<p>1 area nearby? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And then they had come here to read the note for the 4 first time? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Therefore, I think on the evidence you heard today, to 7 understand for the first time, broadly, the full content 8 of the note? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Your evidence about this has been that it must have been 11 quite difficult for them to absorb the content of it on 12 a first reading, that must be right, mustn't it? 13 A. Did I say that? 14 Q. You did -- 15 A. It was a difficult day. 16 Q. I will just bring up your statement about this, if you 17 wish, but it is IPC322, internal page 3. 18 You say, it's about 15 lines up from the bottom, "It 19 was probably quite difficult for them to absorb the 20 content of it upon a first reading". 21 Do you see that? 22 A. From the bottom? 23 Q. About 15 lines up, it's the paragraph that begins, "In 24 regards to the address book" and then talks about the 25 note and the last sentence says:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 190</p>	<p>1 between these deaths. I would just like to see if I can 2 understand your position a little bit more. 3 Can we bring up please the temporary FLO log, 4 I think it is in the jury's bundle at tab 57. I think 5 if we bring up IPC13, internal page 1, we have this 6 document in several places, but I am hoping that tab 57 7 is the correct location of IPC13, is that right? I am 8 looking for someone to help me, but I think we have it 9 in different places. 10 If we look towards the bottom, this log reflects, if 11 I have understood it correctly, the briefing you were 12 given before you began your FLO role. Is that right? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. You were briefed by Sergeant Turrell: 15 "Go and meet Adam Whitworth and Ricky, explain my 16 role as their FLO. Explain Daniel's death is being 17 treated as unexplained but not suspicious, we believe it 18 to be a suicide involving an overdose." 19 Then this, just pausing there: 20 "Explain that there has been a similar death on our 21 borough in the last few months and although we are not 22 linking them at this time, we need to carry out some 23 investigation into this." 24 Pausing there, by the time you were appointed as the 25 FLO, Daniel had passed away and the note had been looked</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 192</p>

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

<p>1 at, correct?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. And inevitably --</p> <p>4 A. Sorry, the note had been looked at by who?</p> <p>5 Q. The note had been found at the scene of Daniel's</p> <p>6 passing?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Or certainly where he was found, forgive me.</p> <p>9 The note itself linked Daniel and Gabriel, didn't</p> <p>10 it?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. The understanding that the police reached pretty quickly</p> <p>13 was that Gabriel had died a few weeks before Daniel, not</p> <p>14 a few months?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. It is Anthony who had died a few months before, isn't</p> <p>17 it?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. So here, in the briefing that you summarise, was the</p> <p>20 discussion in the briefing around a potential link with</p> <p>21 Anthony?</p> <p>22 A. No, it was about Gabriel Kovari.</p> <p>23 Q. So why have you written "in the last few months",</p> <p>24 because that is not right for Gabriel, is it?</p> <p>25 A. It must have been an error.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 193</p>	<p>1 Just pausing there, there was some discussion, was</p> <p>2 there not, between you and DCI Kirk, I think through</p> <p>3 email, about the press conference?</p> <p>4 A. I think it was more Debbie and DCI Kirk, but I was</p> <p>5 involved in the chain.</p> <p>6 Q. We can see you copied in on some of these emails, if we</p> <p>7 need to look at them.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Your understanding was that, as at 29 September, the</p> <p>10 press conference was going to be about Anthony, Gabriel</p> <p>11 and Daniel?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Because that is what you have written here?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Did you know anything about the case of Mr Ahmed or not?</p> <p>16 A. Very little.</p> <p>17 Q. All right.</p> <p>18 We heard evidence from Mr Kirk yesterday that in</p> <p>19 fact the news reporting was about three different</p> <p>20 deaths, it was about Gabriel, Daniel and Mr Ahmed, all</p> <p>21 right. Mr Walgate wasn't spoken about. But your log</p> <p>22 records the fact, doesn't it, that there was at least</p> <p>23 a potential link between these three cases by</p> <p>24 29 September?</p> <p>25 A. I think from the email chain -- I don't know if you want</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 195</p>
<p>1 Q. All right, so can I look, please, within the document to</p> <p>2 internal page 5 of IPC13. We look at the entry for</p> <p>3 29 September, 3.50 pm, DCI Kirk, now, just pausing</p> <p>4 there, is what you do here record the time and date and</p> <p>5 person you speak to?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. This is a call to or from DCI Kirk?</p> <p>8 A. This was --</p> <p>9 Q. 3.50?</p> <p>10 A. This is to do with the emails, I believe.</p> <p>11 Q. Sorry, this is your FLO log then, so you are summarising</p> <p>12 any communication, perhaps not a phone call but</p> <p>13 an email, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. You said here:</p> <p>16 "I was made aware by DCI Kirk there will be a press</p> <p>17 conference, basically putting the names of Whitworth,</p> <p>18 Kovari and Walgate out for similar unexplained deaths</p> <p>19 ..."</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. "... and that police are investigating them, but</p> <p>22 treating them at this time as non-suspicious.</p> <p>23 I mentioned to Mr Kirk that the family wanted to see the</p> <p>24 suicide note ..."</p> <p>25 You go on to describe that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 194</p>	<p>1 to bring that up, but Mr Kirk mentions that he wants</p> <p>2 some of the details of Walgate as well.</p> <p>3 Q. Yes.</p> <p>4 A. So that in my mind would be that there is similar</p> <p>5 circumstances in the deaths, to do with GHB, and some</p> <p>6 other areas as well, so I believe he was linking them at</p> <p>7 that time.</p> <p>8 Q. So if we can bring up, please, IPC252, and scroll down,</p> <p>9 within the email chains. If we go to the second page,</p> <p>10 please. I think you are quite right on this point, that</p> <p>11 Mr Kirk, in the 12.44 email says:</p> <p>12 "Can you stick Walgate's details on there as well,</p> <p>13 please? I am going to be asked about it."</p> <p>14 Do you see that?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. In fact, it doesn't seem to feature in the press</p> <p>17 reporting but you are quite right that he asked for</p> <p>18 those details.</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. For completeness on this chain, please, go up to</p> <p>21 internal page 1.</p> <p>22 You say that you are Paul, the FLO officer, do you</p> <p>23 see that, you are concerned about the names going out in</p> <p>24 the press, the families have already indicated they</p> <p>25 don't want details going out in the press, it is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 196</p>

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

<p>1 sensitive to the family, re the gay connections in these 2 matters. Well at least Walgate and Whitworth and Kovari 3 are concerned. This is a message from Debbie that is 4 reflecting your view.</p> <p>5 There was obviously some discussion, perhaps we 6 don't need to have the detail of it, but is this right, 7 Mr Slaymaker, there was some discussion about how you 8 were going to manage this with the families?</p> <p>9 A. I believe so, yes, I think there is an entry in my FLO 10 log where I had a conversation with Ricky concerned 11 about Daniel's name being put in the press. I believe.</p> <p>12 Q. This certainly illustrates one of the connections 13 between these three cases, that all three of those young 14 men were gay?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. You have taken to the document by learned counsel just 17 before I began questioning you, IPC756, please, some 18 kind of, as you said, performance review that you were 19 working on with your supervisor, and we have seen 20 similar references to this case in other appraisals, 21 I think, from officers.</p> <p>22 In this document, it has been put to you that you 23 were inaccurate in describing the parties element, as 24 far as Anthony as concerned, do you remember that part 25 of the questioning?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 197</p>	<p>1 about GHB, isn't there?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Then, just taking that down, you must in the course of 4 your discussions with these families have got to 5 understand much more about the cases than those key 6 features, mustn't you?</p> <p>7 A. Sorry, like?</p> <p>8 Q. You must have understood a fair amount about these cases 9 because of the time you spent with these families?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. So you must have known a little bit, for example, that 12 each of these young men was found outside?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Each of these young men was found without their phones?</p> <p>15 A. I believe, certainly Whitworth and Walgate, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Well, I would suggest to you that Sarah Sak made that 17 very clear as far as Anthony was concerned, that he 18 didn't have his phone and that was a big issue?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, so both of them I would. I am not sure with 20 Kovari.</p> <p>21 Q. All right, did you know they were all found with some 22 other personal things on them, like wallets and 23 bankcards and things like that?</p> <p>24 A. I am not sure about that.</p> <p>25 Q. Did you know about the suggestion that all three of them</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 199</p>
<p>1 A. Yes, I think I have taken that line with the emails that 2 I mentioned around that Yinka had sent me.</p> <p>3 Q. So that part of it, the parties bit of evidence, is in 4 relation to the evidence from Thierry Amodio and orgies 5 and so on and that is about Daniel and Gabriel 6 potentially, isn't it?</p> <p>7 A. I believe so, yes, I think that is where I've got that 8 from, but obviously it is badly worded.</p> <p>9 Q. I just have a few more questions for you.</p> <p>10 Madam, I am very conscious of the time but I have 11 a few more questions. In relation to this document, 12 that makes clear that you are describing these cases as 13 having very difficult and diverse circumstances, but in 14 terms of what is not diverse, the three young men were 15 all gay, your understanding was that somehow the 16 internet was involved, is that right?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. You may not have been entirely right about that, but you 19 also did know that there was some suggestion of sex 20 between Gabriel and Daniel from the note?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. And some suggestion of sex between Port and Anthony, 23 because of what Port said in interview, correct?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. So there is something about sex, there is something</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 198</p>	<p>1 had a small bottle of GHB or GBL with them?</p> <p>2 A. I would have known, again, Walgate and Whitworth, not 3 Kovari.</p> <p>4 Q. You knew I think that none of them were local to 5 Barking?</p> <p>6 A. Other than -- yes. Whitworth and Walgate again -- 7 I didn't know a great deal about Kovari.</p> <p>8 Q. You did know that there were real questions about how 9 Gabriel had come to be in the graveyard and it remained 10 entirely unclear how Daniel had got there?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Isn't it right as well that both of the families, both 13 the Walgate family and the Whitworth family, talked to 14 you about potential links between these deaths?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Are you sure about that?</p> <p>17 A. Not 100 per cent sure, but I don't -- I don't recall 18 conversations about linking the three deaths. Obviously 19 Whitworth and Kovari.</p> <p>20 Q. All right, well Sarah Sak gave her evidence and again it 21 is page 36:</p> <p>22 "I said to him I have seen it online, you know, how 23 close they are, these two other young men and 24 everything."</p> <p>25 So she is saying she has seen online about Gabriel</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 200</p>

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

<p>1 and Daniel, and she is putting to you something about 2 Anthony, "They are nothing to do with each other", is 3 what she says you said? 4 A. This is maybe the press conference again, with the 5 deaths being linked. That is the conversation 6 I potentially had with Sarah then, yes. 7 Q. So there was a conversation with her after the press 8 conference, correct? 9 A. Yes, to talk about the press conference, yes. 10 Q. What I am suggesting to you is in that call she was 11 saying to you, "These do look similar", because she was 12 saying to you on her evidence how close they were, these 13 two other young men and everything, and her evidence has 14 been that she said they are literally next to each 15 other, not even a mile apart. 16 Isn't that what she said? 17 A. No. 18 Q. Her evidence to the jury, pages 36 to 37, has been that 19 you said: 20 "They are nothing to do with each other. One didn't 21 even live in the area and the other one was homeless. 22 That is irrelevant, nothing to do with each other." 23 Isn't that what you said? 24 A. No. 25 Q. We have heard some other witnesses had the impression,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 201</p>	<p>1 A. With regards to the Whitworth family, Kovari and 2 Whitworth, potentially. 3 Q. No. The link with Anthony is what I am asking you 4 about. 5 A. No. 6 Q. All those witnesses are wrong in saying they did discuss 7 the potential link with Anthony with you, is that right? 8 A. I don't recall any conversations with them to do with 9 that. It may have been someone else if they are saying 10 that, but it wasn't me. 11 Q. You were well placed, I would suggest, to identify these 12 links yourself, even if the witnesses that we have heard 13 from didn't raise it. You knew enough about these cases 14 to pull together those obvious links, but you took no 15 steps to raise this and feel and behave in a way that is 16 professionally curious, did you? 17 A. As I said before, there is -- it is a chain of command 18 in the police. I think it has been mentioned a few 19 times in the evidence as well. DS Turrell knew of the 20 three deaths. DCI Kirk knew of the three deaths. 21 I believe that if there was a link to be made, it would 22 have been made, and, yes, I take an element of 23 responsibility for that, I didn't make a link, there was 24 some similarities but I didn't make the link. 25 Q. I suggest to you that you were well placed to make that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 203</p>
<p>1 perhaps, who knows why, some other suggestion of Gabriel 2 being homeless. That might be because his bag was with 3 him and he had lots of possessions with him. Is that 4 something that you had picked up? 5 A. No. 6 Q. That description that she has given, one of them being 7 homeless, and one of them not living in the area. Not 8 living in the area could either be Anthony, but in this 9 context it is likely to be Daniel, isn't it? 10 A. I don't know, but I don't recall this conversation. 11 Q. Tom Walgate has also given evidence before the jury 12 that, again, they talked at the meeting about the 13 potential links between these cases and his notes are 14 written down -- in his handwritten notes the jury have 15 in their bundle, I don't need to bring it back up, 16 IPC725, internal page 2, in his notes he had written, 17 "Are you kidding?" About there not being a link. 18 Didn't he say something about this as well? 19 A. No. 20 Q. The jury have also heard evidence this morning that the 21 Whitworth family talked to you about potential links 22 between these cases; isn't that what happened? 23 A. No. 24 Q. These families are all wrong, are they, in saying to the 25 jury that they raised the potential links with you?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 202</p>	<p>1 link and your failure to do that, along with failure of 2 others, has had significant consequences because if 3 those deaths had been linked, as they should have been, 4 then lives might have been saved. 5 A. I wish I had linked them, I do. 6 Q. I suggest to you on behalf of all of the families 7 I represent, that you dismissed their concerns and you 8 didn't listen to them, and, again, if you hadn't done 9 that the outcome might have been different. 10 A. I didn't do that. 11 Q. Just bear with me a second. 12 THE CORONER: Yes, we will take a short break at that stage, 13 members of the jury. 14 Thank you. 15 (3.33 pm) 16 (A short adjournment) 17 (3.45 pm) 18 (In the presence of the jury) 19 MS HILL: Madam, just one point of correction, I put to 20 Mr Slaymaker before the break that there were a raft of 21 similarities between Anthony's case, Gabriel's case and 22 Daniel's case. I think in the course of doing that 23 I misspoke and put that all three of them had a small 24 bottle found on them. In fact it is not all three, it's 25 only two.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 204</p>

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

<p>1 But it doesn't change the main point I put, but 2 thank you. 3 THE CORONER: Thank you. 4 Questions from DR VAN DELLEN 5 DR VAN DELLEN: Detective Sergeant Slaymaker, I ask 6 questions on behalf of Ricky Waumsley, the partner of 7 Daniel Whitworth. Ricky had been in a relationship with 8 Daniel for four years, since 2010, and had lived with 9 him for three years, from 2011. During your interaction 10 with Ricky he felt that he was being treated like Daniel 11 was not his partner. Do you think it was right that he 12 was left with that impression? 13 A. I have thought long and hard about this before, I've got 14 a lot more experience now as a FLO, as a family liaison 15 coordinator, I think there is an element of that, yes, 16 I think we concentrated on the next of kin being his 17 father, when Ricky was in a relationship for four years 18 or living together for four years and I do feel that 19 I would now with my experience make a different decision 20 and Ricky would be the main next of kin. 21 Q. If I could ask for IPC000014 to come up on the screen, 22 the jury has repeatedly seen this note. Ricky wasn't 23 shown this note by you, despite him repeatedly asking 24 for it. That wasn't the right thing to do, was it? 25 A. I don't think Ricky repeatedly asked me for it, no.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 205</p>	<p>1 Q. The first time Ricky actually saw this note was at the 2 inquest into Daniel's death in June 2015, some nine 3 months later, isn't that right? 4 A. If you say so, yes. 5 Q. Thank you. The note can come down from the screen now. 6 The jury has heard evidence from Kiera Brennan, 7 Anthony's friend, that between her and China Dunning 8 they contacted the police over 30 times. 9 Madam, the reference for your note for that evidence 10 is the transcript of 13 October, page 119, line 19. 11 Detective Sergeant Slaymaker, Ricky called you about 12 12 times without managing to get hold of you, didn't he? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Are you saying that is something else on instructions 15 that I am saying to you that Ricky has wrong? 16 A. I don't recall ever having 12 missed phone calls from 17 Ricky that I hadn't replied to. 18 Q. Ricky's position is that you never really told him 19 anything and he had to get his information from Mandy. 20 That wasn't appropriate, was it? 21 A. As I have said, with my experience now, I would make 22 a different decision. However, it wasn't me making the 23 decisions at the time around next of kin. 24 Q. Ricky always felt that you were withholding information 25 from him, but when you needed something from Ricky, you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 207</p>
<p>1 Q. You are saying that Ricky is wrong when my instructions 2 about that is that he repeatedly asked you for that 3 note? 4 A. I don't recall Ricky asking me to see the note, I am 5 afraid, sorry. 6 Q. Instead Ricky had to hear about the contents of this 7 note from Daniel's father's partner, Mandy, who gave 8 evidence this morning. It wasn't right that Ricky had 9 to hear that very important information secondhand, was 10 it? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Ricky had two queries arising from the contents of this 13 note, which were. 14 (1) where was this mate's place where Gabriel 15 supposedly died? 16 (2) who was "this guy I was with last night" 17 referred to in the note? 18 You didn't discuss either of those matters with 19 Ricky, did you? 20 A. No. 21 Q. If you had spoken to Ricky about those two references in 22 the note, and those two references had been properly 23 investigated, that would probably have led the police to 24 Port, wouldn't it? 25 A. I don't know whether it would or not.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 206</p>	<p>1 came straight round. That wasn't right, was it? 2 A. I don't know if I can comment on that. I was tasked to 3 take a statement from Ricky. Originally I went to 4 Ricky's address and explained my role and searched the 5 premises. I don't -- I don't really know how to answer 6 that. 7 Is there times when Ricky is saying I haven't done 8 something for him then? 9 Q. Ricky feels that he was treated badly by you due to him 10 being in a gay unmarried relationship with Daniel, and 11 that he would have been treated differently if he was in 12 a straight unmarried relationship, as were 13 Adam Whitworth and Mandy at the time. 14 Do you have any comment to make about that? 15 A. Only that I was following instructions from the IO and 16 the SIO, as to who was the next of kin and what 17 information to provide, it wasn't my decisions. As 18 I said though at the beginning, I would do things 19 differently now as an FLC. 20 Q. The jury has seen parts of the transcript from the 21 inquest into Daniel's death in June 2015, where 22 Her Majesty's Senior Coroner for East London, 23 Nadia Persaud, was asking about testing of the bedsheet 24 on which Daniel was found. 25 At the end of the inquest, outside the courtroom,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 208</p>

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

<p>1 but still inside the court building, Ricky approached</p> <p>2 Acting Detective Inspector Schamberger and you and Ricky</p> <p>3 said to both of you, "You didn't do your fucking job</p> <p>4 properly".</p> <p>5 Do you remember him saying that?</p> <p>6 A. I don't, no. I remember him being very upset and</p> <p>7 I think Amanda was very upset as well, quite</p> <p>8 understandably, and it was a hard -- DI Schamberger was</p> <p>9 doing the talking, or talking to the family, and</p> <p>10 I wasn't too sure what to do really, I was trying to</p> <p>11 make sure people were okay, to a certain degree.</p> <p>12 Q. Do you consider that you did your job properly with</p> <p>13 Ricky?</p> <p>14 A. I feel I tried my best. I feel that what I was</p> <p>15 allocated to do, the decisions made around</p> <p>16 communication, et cetera, I felt I tried my hardest but,</p> <p>17 like I have said again, and apologise for repeating</p> <p>18 myself, but I would do things very different now, as</p> <p>19 an FLC.</p> <p>20 DR VAN DELLEN: Madam, no further questions, thank you.</p> <p>21 Questions from MR SKELTON</p> <p>22 MR SKELTON: Mr Slaymaker, I ask question on behalf of the</p> <p>23 Metropolitan Police. I just want to tie off the</p> <p>24 understanding of the phone work, which you and your team</p> <p>25 did, and how it came to be completed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 209</p>	<p>1 also cell site data, which can give approximate location</p> <p>2 of the handset when it is switched on and registering</p> <p>3 with the masts around and about the location?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Mr O'Connor took you to an email you received from your</p> <p>6 sergeant, DS Turrell, on 22 September, which went to all</p> <p>7 of the four DCs in your team, so you and the other</p> <p>8 three.</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Effectively she asked for phone work to be done on the</p> <p>11 two phone numbers. Do you remember discussing that with</p> <p>12 your colleagues as to who was actually going to action</p> <p>13 that?</p> <p>14 A. I don't recall discussing that, there may well have been</p> <p>15 a discussion, but I don't recall it.</p> <p>16 Q. Did you just take it on yourself to go with that task</p> <p>17 and action it yourself?</p> <p>18 A. I think what I was trying to do at the time, as I was</p> <p>19 trying to explain to Mr O'Connor, is that there was</p> <p>20 actions set for various people, but there was times in</p> <p>21 my night shifts or days where I may have had time to do</p> <p>22 certain actions and I would try and do on behalf of the</p> <p>23 team, but at the same time I was partly involved in the</p> <p>24 phone work as well.</p> <p>25 Q. You were not so pressed that you couldn't action</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 211</p>
<p>1 You, I think, read the HAT return on 21 September</p> <p>2 which DS Denley completed, do you remember that?</p> <p>3 A. I don't recall reading it, no. I may have done.</p> <p>4 Q. You said in a statement that you gave prior to this</p> <p>5 inquest that you had read it, do you want me to remind</p> <p>6 you of that statement?</p> <p>7 A. If I have said I had, then I had. Yes, it is a long</p> <p>8 time ago. I don't ...</p> <p>9 Q. Just to get it up on screen, please, IPC11, in the</p> <p>10 bundle, tab 26. Does this jog your memory, it has the</p> <p>11 offence/offences of possible manslaughter/suicide</p> <p>12 written on there?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you remember it being discussed that it is possible</p> <p>15 that you were dealing with a manslaughter/suicide</p> <p>16 scenario with Daniel and Gabriel?</p> <p>17 A. I think if you are taking the note at face value, then</p> <p>18 it is a murder/suicide.</p> <p>19 Q. The advice from the HAT team, which I think was picked</p> <p>20 up by your own borough CID team, was that phone data was</p> <p>21 important to establish any connections between two men?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Put simply, there are two types of phone data, there is</p> <p>24 call data, for the phone number in question, incoming</p> <p>25 and outgoing calls and duration of calls, and there is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 210</p>	<p>1 a request for phone data?</p> <p>2 A. I think I was very, very busy, but when there was a bit</p> <p>3 of down time I would always try and help as best I can.</p> <p>4 I think I have sent the email and I have not followed</p> <p>5 that up.</p> <p>6 Q. The initial tasking I think had been to get a week's</p> <p>7 worth of data in respect of Daniel's phone, is that</p> <p>8 correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. That had in fact been completed and you did get that</p> <p>11 data, which included the calls and the cell site data?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, that was in regards to trying to locate who Gabriel</p> <p>13 was, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. It only went back to the week before Daniel died, and so</p> <p>15 a decision was made to go back further and I think</p> <p>16 Debbie Turrell's email, you can have it on screen, it</p> <p>17 might be easier, at IPC213.</p> <p>18 I think she refers, you can see the third paragraph</p> <p>19 up from the bottom, she says that you have already done</p> <p>20 some phone work on Whitworth's phone and, presumably,</p> <p>21 was that to get that one week's worth of data?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. To start that process going.</p> <p>24 She wants to go back further, understandably, to</p> <p>25 28 August, which is when Gabriel's body was found, to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 212</p>

<p>1 presumably establish any connections?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Did you, having been sort of name checked here as having</p> <p>4 started the work, feel that this was a task that you</p> <p>5 were going to continue with?</p> <p>6 A. I think, as I have said, I think it is unclear who was</p> <p>7 going to take that task on, but I think in the end I did</p> <p>8 take that on.</p> <p>9 Q. Then I think the task expanded a little bit further,</p> <p>10 because we have seen that there was a note from</p> <p>11 DI Schamberger on the CRIS, to which you all had access,</p> <p>12 I think?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. On 25 September, and that is at IPC33, page 90.</p> <p>15 Again, you were asked about this before, but just to</p> <p>16 be clear, the task is now expanded further back in time,</p> <p>17 to 1 August. Correct?</p> <p>18 A. The action 5 you are talking about?</p> <p>19 Q. Yes, so it is action 5 of 8. It is entered by</p> <p>20 Rolf Schamberger, it is actually to DC Berry --</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. -- in fact, did he in fact do anything on this?</p> <p>23 A. This is the same action as has been set on an email,</p> <p>24 isn't it, to get phone work, from what I can see?</p> <p>25 Q. Yes, but just to understand how the CRIS works, the DI</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 213</p>	<p>1 Q. I don't think they did, did they?</p> <p>2 A. I don't know, sorry.</p> <p>3 Q. Wouldn't we all have expected to see it in respect of</p> <p>4 this action, in relation to the status and completion,</p> <p>5 had it been done?</p> <p>6 A. I think, yeah, a result on there, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. We can infer it wasn't?</p> <p>8 A. We can infer it wasn't, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. So far as the application goes, if you didn't get the</p> <p>10 number for Gabriel's phone then you cannot have made</p> <p>11 an application, but did you make an application in</p> <p>12 respect of Daniel's phone for these dates, ever?</p> <p>13 A. I think I mentioned earlier I was quite inexperienced</p> <p>14 with phone work back at that time, and what I have done</p> <p>15 is I have gone through the urgent -- the superintendent</p> <p>16 authorised urgent phone work, which is very different to</p> <p>17 getting it slow time. I didn't know that at that time.</p> <p>18 So I have emailed the officer I dealt with for the</p> <p>19 urgent phone work and I have not followed up a reply,</p> <p>20 and I apologise for that, like I have done earlier.</p> <p>21 Q. This is officer Roper, is it?</p> <p>22 A. Yes. I probably wasn't aware at the time around having</p> <p>23 to make a new application to get that phone data. And</p> <p>24 that was inexperience on my part.</p> <p>25 Q. What you do get is the other data, and I think you make</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 215</p>
<p>1 has entered it and then it is to a particular person,</p> <p>2 Mr Berry, but were you actually responsible for</p> <p>3 actioning this still?</p> <p>4 A. Like I said, I think it is unclear who was responsible</p> <p>5 for actioning it, but I have taken it upon myself to try</p> <p>6 get the information. It has been resulted there,</p> <p>7 obviously I have updated the CRIS report and Paul Berry,</p> <p>8 on a result of that, has noted that as well.</p> <p>9 Q. I will come on to the updates, because I just wanted to</p> <p>10 understand what actually happens but it is clear from</p> <p>11 this that it is phone work in respect of two phone</p> <p>12 numbers, correct?</p> <p>13 A. Looks like it, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Kovari and Whitworth's phone. Did you get Gabriel's</p> <p>15 phone number?</p> <p>16 A. No, I don't believe so.</p> <p>17 Q. Why not?</p> <p>18 A. Like I said, I am not sure if I was tasked. I have gone</p> <p>19 to try and get the phone -- the information from</p> <p>20 Daniel's phone. I have sent the email to the same</p> <p>21 person that was dealing with Daniel's phone initially,</p> <p>22 so I have tried doing part of that action.</p> <p>23 Q. Did anyone ever get Gabriel's phone number to start the</p> <p>24 process of checking data from his phone?</p> <p>25 A. I don't know.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 214</p>	<p>1 an entry on the CRIS at page 54 of the same document,</p> <p>2 please.</p> <p>3 A. This is about the email, isn't it, that I sent?</p> <p>4 Q. Yes, I just want to understand, this is on 11 October,</p> <p>5 so a couple of weeks after the request. So you have</p> <p>6 inbound and outbound call data from Whitworth's phone</p> <p>7 for the week prior to him being found by the police.</p> <p>8 Then you mention the CIU -- is that the communications</p> <p>9 intelligence unit?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Kevin Roper, and you have a Facebook screen shot. At</p> <p>12 that stage you were in communications, but you don't</p> <p>13 remember making an actual application?</p> <p>14 A. I think I have emailed -- this is seven years ago, but</p> <p>15 I emailed Kevin Roper to try and see if I could get the</p> <p>16 further data, probably not knowing that I had to make</p> <p>17 an application to get further data.</p> <p>18 And I haven't chased that email up. I don't recall</p> <p>19 getting a reply from that email.</p> <p>20 Q. Then, just to go back, sorry, to page 90, just to</p> <p>21 understand how this is, as it were, signed off. You can</p> <p>22 see there is a section with the title in bold "Results"?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. There is Mr Berry, who makes an entry, and then he says:</p> <p>25 "This has been updated on the CRIS report by</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 216</p>

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

<p>1 T/DC Slaymaker."</p> <p>2 Do you think that that references back to what we</p> <p>3 have just seen, which is the results you got for that</p> <p>4 one week?</p> <p>5 A. I think so, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. That is on 16 October, so a few days after you make your</p> <p>7 entry about the results for the one week, there is</p> <p>8 an entry by Mr Berry, that it has been updated.</p> <p>9 Then after that, can I just understand what happens</p> <p>10 under "Status". There is an acknowledgment by Mr Berry</p> <p>11 which is on the same date, at around about the same</p> <p>12 time, it is a few seconds before the results section.</p> <p>13 So he has done an entry there. What are the other two,</p> <p>14 "Ready for completion" and "completed"?</p> <p>15 A. So I am not an expert on CRIS with regards to actions</p> <p>16 completed, but what I would suggest there is it has been</p> <p>17 acknowledged by Paul Berry on 16/10, to acknowledge the</p> <p>18 action and then he has completed it on 16/10, and then</p> <p>19 on 4/11 DI Schamberger has confirmed that is a completed</p> <p>20 action.</p> <p>21 Q. Does it look from this that DC Berry has look at your</p> <p>22 entry and decided for some reason that that is the end</p> <p>23 of the matter so far as phone research is concerned,</p> <p>24 even though it is clear from the entry above on the very</p> <p>25 same page that there is a lot more work still to be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 217</p>	<p>1 completed by DI Schamberger, that is the end of it?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, once it is completed to that stage, for the OIC and</p> <p>3 the SIO. It is completed, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Even though it hadn't in fact been done?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. I think you have accepted today that that was</p> <p>7 a significant failing of the team because, had it been</p> <p>8 apparent from the cell site data or indeed the call</p> <p>9 data, that Gabriel and Daniel had not been in contact</p> <p>10 with each other around the time of Gabriel's death, that</p> <p>11 would have been a very significant piece of information?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 MR SKELTON: Thank you.</p> <p>14 Questions from MR DAVIES</p> <p>15 MR DAVIES: Mr Slaymaker, I am asking questions on your</p> <p>16 behalf, as you know.</p> <p>17 Could we start with just one point, and it is in no</p> <p>18 particular order. Questions asked on behalf of</p> <p>19 Mr Waumsley. Could we have IPC243 up, please.</p> <p>20 Detective sergeant, you have accepted that, with</p> <p>21 greater experience, the information provided to</p> <p>22 Mr Waumsley in the currency of this investigation, you</p> <p>23 would handle differently next time?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, I think -- I think I wasn't making the decisions</p> <p>25 around next of kin, it was an FLC/SIO decision. I think</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 219</p>
<p>1 done?</p> <p>2 A. It would appear so, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. For some reason, again, just over two weeks later,</p> <p>4 A/DI Schamberger himself has gone on to the CRIS and</p> <p>5 marked this as being completed. Again, even though his</p> <p>6 own request, on the same page, has not in fact been</p> <p>7 completed?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, it would seem that way.</p> <p>9 Q. Just as far as you are concerned, just if you are using</p> <p>10 the CRIS to keep track of actions and their completion,</p> <p>11 did that mean that, from that time, you were not going</p> <p>12 to be getting any more alerts to complete that action?</p> <p>13 A. I wouldn't have been getting any alert to complete that</p> <p>14 action anyway. If it was in Paul Berry's name,</p> <p>15 DC Berry's name, so I wouldn't have had that alert</p> <p>16 actioning for me.</p> <p>17 Q. The CRIS, once you have an action, actually alerts the</p> <p>18 officer in question to get the action completed and</p> <p>19 until it is completed you still get alerted?</p> <p>20 A. I don't know that 100 per cent, I believe you initially</p> <p>21 when you get set an action you get an acknowledgment</p> <p>22 there is an action. Once you acknowledge it I am not</p> <p>23 too sure if it sits on your screen or not still. That</p> <p>24 is for someone else to answer, I don't know.</p> <p>25 Q. Is it right that in effect once it is signed off as</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 218</p>	<p>1 if I was making it now with my extra experience as an</p> <p>2 FLC, Ricky would be the main next of kin.</p> <p>3 Q. FLC, family liaison coordinator?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. So one up from an FLO?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. But the line of questioning, Mr Waumsley's impression is</p> <p>8 that he was treated differently and less well because of</p> <p>9 the nature of his relationship with Daniel Whitworth.</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. I just want to look at this email to see where your</p> <p>12 reserve in providing him with information may have</p> <p>13 started. All right?</p> <p>14 We can see on 25 September, the jury have seen this</p> <p>15 document before, you are emailing A/DI Schamberger, and</p> <p>16 the relevant parties:</p> <p>17 "... deceased partner Ricky, appears to know nothing</p> <p>18 about Daniel's recent movements/actions with other men.</p> <p>19 This could be very tricky if he finds out."</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. That is taking the purported suicide note at face value,</p> <p>22 of course. What was your point in this email to</p> <p>23 A/DI Schamberger, what was your concern?</p> <p>24 A. I just think that there was some sensitive information</p> <p>25 that was upsetting for potential family members,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 220</p>

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

<p>1 partners and I was concerned that I wanted to make sure</p> <p>2 they were okay.</p> <p>3 Q. Yes. What did you think the potential effect was of</p> <p>4 simply giving this note to Mr Waumsley, Ricky as you</p> <p>5 refer to him?</p> <p>6 A. I think it could have been extremely upsetting for him.</p> <p>7 Q. Right. That can come down, thank you.</p> <p>8 A second line of questioning, perfectly legitimate,</p> <p>9 was as to whether, in some way, you had avoided</p> <p>10 including in your FLO logs or otherwise information that</p> <p>11 may have questioned whether Mr Walgate's death was as it</p> <p>12 appeared to be, or being treated by the police, all</p> <p>13 right?</p> <p>14 A. Sorry?</p> <p>15 Q. The questions by Ms Hill Queen's Counsel --</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. -- as to that point.</p> <p>18 I am going to take this quite shortly, but you had</p> <p>19 taken the statement at an early stage from</p> <p>20 China Dunning, hadn't you?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. In that statement the jury have heard from her, seen the</p> <p>23 statement, is direct reference that has been included to</p> <p>24 the fact that Anthony Walgate was careful, safety first,</p> <p>25 insofar as he was dealing with clients?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 221</p>	<p>1 reflect the detail of your conversation with Sarah Sak</p> <p>2 on 23 June?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. In other respects, in the FLO logs -- that can come</p> <p>5 down, thank you -- did you include or attempt to include</p> <p>6 feedback, even when negative, from the families as to</p> <p>7 your own performance of duties?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. For example, if we look at IPC78, please, can we see at</p> <p>10 page 3, again the jury has seen it, so I need not read</p> <p>11 it out, this reference that Sarah thought Anthony was</p> <p>12 becoming a number because of the delay?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. She was worried about his missing property, and I think</p> <p>15 one of the things in the logs so the jury can read it is</p> <p>16 at different times you tried to speed things up in terms</p> <p>17 of toxicology, returning the bodies of the deceased,</p> <p>18 matters of that kind, behind the scenes sometimes, to</p> <p>19 speed things up?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. In terms of the dealings in Hull, can we look at page 5</p> <p>22 of the same document, please. This starts in the lower</p> <p>23 half of the page, with your conversation with Chief</p> <p>24 Inspector Cunningham --</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 223</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. So all that is in there.</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. In the FLO log, IPC78, page 33, please. Again, you have</p> <p>5 reflected in this document, haven't you, that she</p> <p>6 doubted -- I am summarising -- that Anthony would have</p> <p>7 taken GHB?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Again, you have included these contra-indicators to it</p> <p>10 being a straightforward overdose, yes?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. That can come down, thank you.</p> <p>13 If you had obtained information of that type during</p> <p>14 your dealings with the families, did you include it,</p> <p>15 detective sergeant, or not, in your FLO logs?</p> <p>16 A. I tried to, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Taking things as far as I can chronologically, IPC79,</p> <p>18 page 2, please.</p> <p>19 Is this you emailing out to DS O'Donnell on 23 June</p> <p>20 at 2259 hours, firstly on this page, "I have spoken to</p> <p>21 Thomas Walgate ..."</p> <p>22 On the next page, please, your record on that date</p> <p>23 of the first conversation with Sarah Sak.</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Again, as far as you can, does this record on the date</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 222</p>	<p>1 Q. -- where you are explaining it is not a murder</p> <p>2 investigation, it is an unexplained death. You set out</p> <p>3 the substance of your conversation with him?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Because you were effectively being criticised?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. At page 10 of the same document, do we see that there is</p> <p>8 a continuation of this point?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And how it was resolved, and all the time, through these</p> <p>11 logs, you are trying to speed things up, in terms of</p> <p>12 resolution?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. You contact the toxicologist directly to speed up that</p> <p>15 return, don't you?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Page 11 of this document. Have you reflected the fact</p> <p>18 that, "Sarah explained that she felt I was not in</p> <p>19 contact enough, and she would like me to call her at</p> <p>20 least once a week, even if I didn't have updates ..."</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Again, a criticism by her at the time that you have</p> <p>23 included?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. You have heard the other lines of criticism family</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 224</p>

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

<p>1 members have made of you. Following the inquest, 2 following the criminal trial. But, as far as you 3 remember, were those criticisms directed at you at the 4 time? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Would you have reflected those criticisms at the time in 7 the FLO logs if made? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. IPC79, please, page 20. 10 You said to Mr O'Connor that it was possible, 11 a possible mistake, the reference to cocaine that you 12 have recorded in your conversation with Sarah Sak. But 13 do you think that is possible? 14 A. I think anything is possible, but I believe that that is 15 an accurate note of the conversation I had. I don't see 16 any reason why that would have been put in there by me 17 if it hadn't been said. 18 Q. The limit of what you have said is, five lines down: 19 "She knows he would dabble in drugs, she thinks 20 cocaine." 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So it isn't even a definite? 23 A. No. 24 Q. That can come down, thank you. 25 Of course we have the evidence from China Dunning as</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 225</p>	<p>1 correct. 2 Q. That process was a cultural norm at the time, was it? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Thank you. 5 The next question is this. Obviously now the links 6 between Anthony's death and those of Mr Kovari and 7 Mr Whitworth appear obvious. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. What can you see as to why they did not appear as 10 obvious to you at the time, or obvious at all to you at 11 the time? 12 A. I noted the similarities. There was nothing to suggest 13 to me that there was links, direct links, but, like 14 I said, it was a very busy time, I was trying to do my 15 best with the FLO roles, and obviously now, yes, it 16 looks obvious, but at the time it wasn't. 17 Q. You were five years into policing, you were new to the 18 FLO role. We have all that. You were working at high, 19 if not full capacity? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did any of the more senior detectives you were working 22 with suggest that there may be a link at the time to 23 you? 24 A. No. 25 Q. Just one final topic, and to some extent it is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 227</p>
<p>1 to that separately, yes? 2 A. Sorry? 3 Q. As to cocaine? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Next topic, we have seen your -- it is IPC756, it's the 6 document where it is an end-of-year appraisal, 7 performance assessment of some kind. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Culturally within the team at the time, was it unusual 10 for, so to speak, you to draft your own performance 11 appraisal like this for your supervisor to adopt, amend 12 or otherwise? 13 A. This isn't a performance appraisal, this is -- as 14 I said, it is a T/DC workbook, so it wouldn't be unusual 15 for me to have a conversation with Debbie around what 16 I have done and then evidence it for her to review and 17 make amendments. It wouldn't be. 18 Q. It wouldn't be unusual? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Because the supervisor has to have an evidence base to 21 assess you? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. You will write up the evidence and they can amend it if 24 they see fit? 25 A. They can review it, yes, and decide whether it is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 226</p>	<p>1 unrelated. Could we have up, please, IPC33, page 52. 2 Tab 45 of the jury bundle, if that is better. 3 We are not going to hear oral evidence from 4 DC Berry, so I just want to ask you about this, we can 5 see from this CRIS record in relation to the 6 Whitworth/Kovari investigation, that on 10 October 2014, 7 at 10.36, T/DC Berry conducted intel checks on IIP, "As 8 requested". Amongst the intel checks conducted on that 9 time and date were into Mr Kovari, on CRIS, Merlin -- 10 that is the missing persons' database, essentially, 11 isn't it? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And also on CRIMINT. 14 Can I ask you about this, since he is not here. Are 15 you familiar with conducting IIP checks? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. If useful intelligence had come in relating to 18 Mr Kovari's disappearance, would it have gone on 19 CRIMINT? 20 A. So -- yes. Yes, in short, yes. 21 Q. Accordingly, if it was on CRIMINT, would it have been 22 discoverable, found, on a search under his name on 23 10 October? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. CRIMINT includes criminal intelligence that is not</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 228</p>

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

<p>1 an allegation of crime? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Just useful information for the police? 4 A. It could be anything. It could be an allegation of 5 crime, it could be intel in general. 6 Q. Is there anything you want to add, detective sergeant, 7 to what you have said already, in terms of your own 8 conceded mistakes during this investigation, which you 9 have at times said I didn't follow up lines of enquiry 10 I had been given and so on, anything you would like to 11 say? 12 A. I just think really to all the families that I looked 13 after, I tried my best to look after them to the best of 14 my ability. I became a police officer, I became a FLO 15 because I wanted to help and support victims and 16 families. But none of that really matters if I have let 17 the families down in any way and they feel I have in any 18 way, I apologise to them for that. It was not my 19 intention. 20 Q. Do you think you have learned lessons from it? 21 A. Definitely. 22 MR DAVIES: That is all I ask you, thank you. 23 A. Thank you. 24 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, I don't have any questions for 25 Mr Slaymaker.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 229</p>	<p>1 Daniel Whitworth worked and spoke to HR and so on, she 2 is going to email me and DC Baxter Daniel's shift 3 pattern and attendance, but also sickness and holidays 4 and, in particular, if Daniel was at work on [I think 5 that should be 28 August, rather than 28 September]." 6 I think, as I understand your evidence, you did get 7 that information but it has gone astray somewhere? 8 A. Yes, I definitely got that evidence. I remember it 9 coming through. 10 THE CORONER: You think it was to the effect that he was 11 working on 28 August? 12 A. I can't be 100 per cent sure, madam, but I believe, so 13 yes. 14 THE CORONER: The other question relates to whether you made 15 a note in the FLO log on the occasion when the family 16 was shown the full note, what we have been calling the 17 suicide note. 18 Having checked, if you go to divider 58, page 8. 19 For the screen, it is IPC146, page 8. There may be 20 references elsewhere. 21 If you look at the bottom entry for 30 September, 22 five lines up: 23 "We showed Adam and Amanda the suicide note and 24 explained it to them." 25 Is that the only note you made about showing them</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 231</p>
<p>1 Questions from THE JURY 2 THE CORONER: Detective sergeant, there are a couple of 3 questions from the jury. The first relates to any 4 possible link between Daniel and Gabriel. I am going to 5 ask it in this way. Did you know that Daniel worked in 6 the Isle of Dogs? 7 A. Used to work I think, ma'am, yes, I believe so, yes. 8 THE CORONER: Did you know that there was evidence that 9 Gabriel had lived in the Isle of Dogs at some stage? 10 A. I do now, I don't know if I knew that at the time. 11 I know from the documents I have read there was a link 12 to it, but I can't help with when I knew that though, 13 I am afraid. 14 THE CORONER: Was any possible link with the Isle of Dogs 15 and those two victims relevant to your failure to follow 16 up the phone enquiries, for example? 17 A. No. 18 THE CORONER: No. 19 If we look at tab 46 of our jury bundle, you have 20 one there, it is IPC33, page 46. 21 A. It will come up on there, will it? 22 THE CORONER: Yes, do use the screen. 23 This is an enquiry you made, last paragraph on that 24 page: 25 "We then attended ISS Food and Hospitality where</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 230</p>	<p>1 the note? 2 A. I believe so, yes. 3 THE CORONER: Then the only other question related to steps 4 taken to show Ricky the note, but you have explained 5 that at the time you were not treating Ricky as a next 6 of kin and you were concerned about certain 7 sensitivities and that really was why you didn't show 8 him the note? 9 A. The decision was made by DI Schamberger. That is why -- 10 THE CORONER: That was the rationale behind it? 11 A. Yes. 12 THE CORONER: Yes. Thank you very much indeed. Those are 13 all the questions. 14 Thank you very much. 15 Members of the jury, we will break off there now 16 until 10.00 tomorrow, thank you. 17 (4.25 pm) 18 (The inquests adjourned until 10.00 am the following day) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 232</p>

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

A				
A/DI 43:20 218:4 220:15,23	213:18,19,23 214:22 215:4 217:18,20 218:12 218:14,17,18,21 218:22	68:7 addition 172:11 additional 81:20 address 38:4 52:18 52:20,21 75:12 123:11 124:11 125:23 126:17 190:24 208:4	165:24 agreeing 137:4 agreement 62:13 ahead 24:18 61:22 Ahmed 195:15,20 aims 170:16 Alan 36:13 alarmed 59:8,14 alert 218:13,15 alerted 218:19 alerts 218:12,17 alive 49:23 119:24 allegation 150:18 229:1,4 allocated 121:21 123:9 209:15 allowed 38:24 60:3 64:16 189:10,14 alter 110:5 Amanda 1:7 2:12 45:10 48:21,22 49:2 123:25 125:7,8 130:17 130:25 131:1,2,3 131:4,7,17,23 132:22 133:8 136:12,23 137:1 137:3 209:7 231:23	Anthony 88:11 96:6,11 97:21,24 98:18,22 99:9,18 99:25 102:17 104:9 105:13 107:12 112:23 162:5 163:1,7,16 166:18 167:9,13 168:12,14 175:24 176:17 177:25 178:4,7,12 180:2 180:21 181:7,13 182:9 183:12,21 183:24 185:6,20 188:2 193:16,21 195:10 197:24 198:22 199:17 201:2 202:8 203:3,7 221:24 222:6 223:11 Anthony's 88:17 95:11 204:21 207:7 227:6 anxious 52:7 anybody 74:6 80:20 anymore 18:14 anyway 6:2 7:18 55:18 218:14 apart 67:18 201:15 apartment 181:23 apologise 112:6 156:1 157:17 175:17 209:17 215:20 229:18 apparent 72:11 162:10 219:8 apparently 6:8 149:15 appear 60:6 99:11 171:19 218:2 227:7,9 appeared 83:10 130:11 167:7 221:12 appears 29:23 43:23 44:16 104:5 118:3,11
absolute 96:10 absolutely 42:7 55:13,13 72:5 98:1 absorb 190:11,19 191:1 accept 42:7 85:17 158:13 184:13 accepted 159:10 189:19 219:6,20 access 213:11 accidental 72:3 accidentally 67:14 70:4 account 64:21 83:17 accounted 32:4 accurate 107:7 112:7 225:15 achieve 170:15 acknowledge 217:17 218:22 acknowledged 217:17 acknowledgment 217:10 218:21 act 94:6 166:20 167:1 acted 57:15 acting 79:17 209:2 action 38:21 92:9 124:10 140:19,21 141:1,25 143:2 149:19 151:6 156:22,23 157:8 157:8,8 158:16 211:12,17,25	actioning 214:3,5 218:16 actions 69:13 121:16,25 138:7 139:10,14 151:8 156:8 158:18 160:9,12,18,20 211:20,22 217:15 218:10 actively 167:8 activity 57:9 120:13 167:8,15 actual 10:1 29:13 41:1 48:3 163:21 216:13 Adam 1:2 2:5,6,11 36:14 44:3 49:12 49:13 50:7,7,16 51:5,19 53:1,8,24 54:6 55:3,12,12 55:17,17 56:7,15 56:18 58:21 59:8 59:24,25 60:10 61:8 62:11,17,21 66:10 68:5 71:9 73:2 76:21 77:2 84:16 123:25 125:6 126:21 129:13,20 130:2 130:16,20,25 131:1,1,2,2,3,18 131:23 132:16,22 133:8 136:13,23 136:25 137:4 173:5 192:15 208:13 231:23 Adam's 53:21,24 71:13 85:10,11 136:23 adamant 71:19,24 96:9 add 105:16 157:18 229:6 added 64:2,12	advised 33:9 affirmed 1:2,7 2:6 48:22 afraid 146:3 206:5 230:13 afternoon 113:21 144:5,6 age 81:18 110:19 aggrieved 178:22 ago 8:1 30:23 88:8 94:18 98:6 99:1 99:16 114:25 115:25 137:5 141:2 146:13 156:20 210:8 216:14 agree 85:8 171:1 173:20 agreed 62:9 130:17 131:16	adjoined 232:18 adjournment 48:17 112:18 204:16 admit 13:24 14:3 14:16,19 45:20 adopt 226:11 adopted 168:23 adult 64:8 advantage 80:22 80:23 174:22 advice 210:19 advised 33:9 affirmed 1:2,7 2:6 48:22 afraid 146:3 206:5 230:13 afternoon 113:21 144:5,6 age 81:18 110:19 aggrieved 178:22 ago 8:1 30:23 88:8 94:18 98:6 99:1 99:16 114:25 115:25 137:5 141:2 146:13 156:20 210:8 216:14 agree 85:8 171:1 173:20 agreed 62:9 130:17 131:16	ambiguity 154:5 ambulance 177:23 amend 226:11,23 amended 165:24 amendments 226:17 Amodio 198:4 amount 199:8 analysis 46:8 47:20,24 48:1 132:19 143:2 anger 82:2 83:5 angry 40:14 82:4,5 82:10 178:24 annoying 32:1 answer 19:10 73:4 163:17 168:20 208:5 218:24 answers 19:20 74:17,18 75:16

220:17 application 154:21 215:9,11,11,23 216:13,17 applied 87:9 appointed 89:18 93:15 94:12 113:3 122:25 123:1 135:4 192:24 appraisal 165:4,9 226:6,11,13 appraisals 197:20 appreciate 83:20 approached 209:1 approaches 71:14 appropriate 33:5 207:20 approximate 211:1 April 8:17 16:25 88:1,8 89:3 169:8 area 17:9 18:5 106:22 107:4,14 107:17 162:25 190:1 201:21 202:7,8 areas 71:18 196:6 arising 206:12 army 179:18 arranged 20:16,16 58:25 108:7,10 108:21 109:1 123:12 130:3 arrangement 163:9 arrangements 52:9 109:21 arranging 109:20 109:23 129:18,21 arrest 177:8,16 arrested 99:21,24 100:2,19 101:17 161:2 arrived 54:10 article 18:12 106:11,12,18 107:1,9,10,11	articles 125:22 aside 19:4,16,21 174:18,25 aside/pushed 174:18 asked 10:18 13:3 20:21,21 27:17 28:14 32:19,21 33:9 38:3 40:12 40:16 41:15 44:5 50:7 58:7,9,11,14 61:1 63:10,24 64:17 65:9 69:14 76:21 77:2,6 78:22 100:7,8 106:1 115:20 120:19 126:5 128:5 140:6 147:3 151:20,24 152:10 154:6 160:4 161:12 166:7 181:18 185:9,11,15 191:24 196:13,17 205:25 206:2 211:10 213:15 219:18 asking 3:8 15:4 37:10,13 40:22 74:22 84:2 85:6 111:18,18 112:22 113:7 168:10,11 176:22 181:10 203:3 205:23 206:4 208:23 219:15 asks 36:16 aspects 102:4 assess 226:21 assessment 226:7 assist 91:24 170:15 assistant 78:4 assisting 172:23 assists 41:12 associates 171:6 assume 86:24 109:18 138:13 164:7 assumed 13:25	24:20 45:21 46:13,16 67:2 96:2 122:15 assumption 46:4 80:8 assumptions 138:21,24 astray 231:7 attached 10:8 attempt 223:5 attend 109:12 110:6 167:6 attendance 231:3 attended 161:25 167:13 230:25 attending 172:22 attention 78:8 119:25 146:17 159:25 160:3 168:22 attitude 6:3 25:6 attributed 111:7 audience 38:23 audio 36:18 76:24 August 4:12 29:9 30:5 31:3 67:18 68:7 69:18 70:21 119:16 133:13 136:14 139:20,22 139:25 140:6,12 141:13 142:7 144:18 146:2,10 148:4,11,14,16 149:14 150:3,19 152:9,14 155:9 157:11 191:18 212:25 213:17 231:5,11 authorised 118:6 215:16 authorities 153:24 authority 130:3 autumn 162:12 avoided 221:9 await 155:10 awaiting 155:14 aware 50:17 92:20 94:17 99:18 101:10 116:19	126:18 130:9 132:13 144:14 151:24 152:5,10 152:22 153:2,3 163:2 166:14 179:12 180:12 194:16 215:22 away' 183:25 <hr/> B <hr/> baby 79:1 back 4:21 10:24 11:4,9,16,18 12:11,14 15:4,6 17:14 21:13 22:7 25:16 32:5 34:24 37:14 44:23 47:23 53:6 55:4 60:5,10 61:8 65:3,8 69:9 71:6 76:13 77:14 82:6 84:1 90:2 91:6 91:12 92:21 95:13 99:4 101:20 117:6 118:10 120:9,20 125:13 128:15,18 138:5 139:2,3,7 141:3,12 145:16 147:2,9,10 149:21 151:5,6 154:8 155:9 157:17 158:15,17 159:8 160:14,19 160:24 161:5,9 161:10 164:12 170:22 175:10 178:20 186:16 202:15 212:14,15 212:24 213:16 215:14 216:20 217:2 background 169:6 177:15 backward-looking 152:20 badly 198:8 208:9 bag 202:2 Bailey 111:7,8 balance 91:25	171:3 banging 183:20 bankcards 199:23 Barking 5:19 9:8 17:7 18:9,17 20:6,14 29:24 32:16 42:3 58:22 60:18 61:5,6 68:11 69:6 73:10 87:1 89:3 106:18 116:14 128:6 129:13 131:12,20 140:7,14 142:7 149:15 152:14 157:10,25 161:16 163:5,6,8,10 166:14 200:5 base 128:6 226:20 based 81:25 116:16 basically 56:3 76:17 194:17 basis 172:24 Baxter 8:7 20:12 22:11 28:14 47:18 62:22 64:22 122:25 129:15 231:2 bear 78:11 204:11 bearing 85:23 bearings 42:25 45:2 beaten 176:12 becoming 93:15 93:16 102:17 162:12 223:12 bed 180:21 181:14 181:15,19 Bedroom 125:15 bedsheet 35:18 208:23 beers 148:15 befriended 58:18 began 192:12 197:17 beginning 78:19 81:16,16 176:23 208:18 begins 190:23
--	---	--	--	---

behalf 107:5 168:15 169:4 204:6 205:6 209:22 211:22 219:16,18	93:16 110:17 159:7,9 171:21 175:14,19 209:14 212:3 227:15 229:13,13	book 114:9 125:23 190:24	bringing 115:5 broad 182:20	201:10 210:24 216:6 219:8 224:19	
behave 203:15	better 24:4,6,7,9 32:24 33:10,14 49:21 147:1 228:2	borough 9:8 87:2 90:9 116:20 123:8 166:14,16 172:25 192:21 210:20	broadly 173:10 182:7 190:7	called 3:20 15:14 15:23 51:24 58:5 58:7,12,14,16 103:25 115:10 143:15 177:24 207:11	
behaved 177:14	big 21:3,3 33:2 72:10 199:18	bothers 79:25	broke 51:25	calling 231:16	
behaving 136:15	bigger 179:18	bottle 200:1 204:24	brother-in-law 67:17 69:20	calls 9:25 55:4 118:21,23 119:6 141:11 207:16 210:25,25 212:11	
believe 21:20 28:12,12 44:5 46:9 54:18 62:10 67:13,13 78:9,21 80:8 96:25 99:1 106:9 108:5 114:1 119:2 122:13,14 125:20 126:2 140:2,11 143:25 145:19 146:3 147:23 149:9 155:15 159:5 164:5 167:16 174:2 177:5,20 180:7 185:23 186:1 192:17 194:10 196:6 197:9,11 198:7 199:15 203:21 214:16 218:20 225:14 230:7 231:12 232:2	billing 118:22	bottom 13:22 16:22,23 42:25 96:23 115:4 117:23 124:24 125:14 141:5,6 145:3 153:10,10 153:11 163:13 164:15 190:18,22 192:10 212:19 231:21	brought 47:20 151:9 173:25	calm 19:23	
believed 77:13 145:21 183:15	bills 84:24	birthday 65:25 67:20 69:21	build 82:18	capable 74:5	
belittled 174:12	birthdays 67:18 69:20,22	bit 11:23 33:2 34:22 49:10 57:22 61:22 65:23,25 66:2,3 68:24 73:20,21 84:25 90:17 124:12 128:2,3 128:21 133:16,17 134:13,16 142:1 154:8,9 155:19 155:21 160:14 162:3 164:20 185:10 192:2 198:3 199:11 212:2 213:9	building 3:21 7:22 8:6 13:19 19:11 21:13 22:7,18 33:13 34:18 58:23 61:8,20 62:7 133:23 209:1	capacity 227:19	
bent 50:24	bits 112:4 128:1	boy 60:17	Bull 67:19	capture 17:16	
bereavement 91:14 124:9	bitter 81:2	boyfriend 92:18	bundle 9:3,4 36:6 95:16 114:6 121:4,18 124:18 127:7 139:5 145:2 153:6 154:24 192:4 202:15 210:10 228:2 230:19	car 129:7	
Berry 15:23 20:13 22:14 35:5 56:17 57:1 62:3 122:20 123:23,23 124:22 124:23 126:13,13 127:14,19 128:20 129:2,15 213:20 214:2,7 216:24 217:8,10,17,21 228:4,7	blame 26:22 59:17	brain 73:22 80:15	burial 43:22	cards 21:3 65:25	
Berry's 218:14,15	blatant 66:1	brains 31:15	business 57:8 59:19	care 79:25 168:22	
best 50:24 51:11	board 17:10 75:14	brainstorming 56:2	busy 4:5 93:17 137:18 149:1 157:5 212:2 227:14	career 89:1	
	bodies 223:17	Brands 68:21	call 2:5 27:19 33:16 48:20 52:16,19 80:21 86:12 95:20 96:22,24 101:9 118:10,14 120:5 126:9,20 154:15 155:5 158:11 159:9 177:14 179:9 194:7,12	careful 49:21 221:24	
	body 5:19 20:3 29:10 33:24 43:21 51:7 60:13 68:11 71:7 85:2 95:11 113:5,12 119:17 140:3,8 162:21 164:23 212:25	brand 65:9		carried 60:11	
	bold 216:22	break 48:14 53:21 112:15 171:24 204:12,20 232:15	burial 43:22	carries 144:7	
	bond 3:6	breath 78:20	business 57:8 59:19	carry 6:4 52:4 71:22 78:22,24 192:22	
		Brennan 207:6	burial 43:22	carrying 182:11	
		brick 183:20	business 57:8 59:19	case 2:16 24:18 32:22 40:12,22 77:6 89:23 90:6 92:2,4 110:24 113:16 114:18 122:3 126:6 128:17,23 129:3 130:19 138:3 145:15 161:1,8 162:5,19 163:1 163:16 166:18 167:9 168:12,17 173:23 178:21 182:10 184:5 186:4 189:20 195:15 197:20 204:21,21,22	cases 129:6 150:15
		brief 41:12 107:9 125:22	business 57:8 59:19		
		briefed 192:14	business 57:8 59:19		
		briefing 192:11 193:19,20	business 57:8 59:19		
		briefly 43:18 124:6 126:3 170:8 171:14 185:3	business 57:8 59:19		
		bring 20:21 21:7 53:6 63:17 152:8 170:7 171:14 190:16 192:3,5 196:1,8 202:15	business 57:8 59:19		

162:8,14 163:19 163:25 171:5 172:14 195:23 197:13 198:12 199:5,8 202:13 202:22 203:13 cast 143:14 casting 148:4 casual 79:25 catch 5:1 80:15 caught 36:18 caused 70:4 CCTV 135:7 celebrating 67:20 celebrations 69:21 cell 141:14,15 142:5 211:1 212:11 219:8 cent 107:20 117:11 146:3,4 150:5 200:17 218:20 231:12 central 116:10,13 116:14,14,15,16 162:4 certain 70:8 82:12 82:15 83:23 95:4 107:1 132:11,12 149:2,12 150:4,5 150:22 151:22 158:8 159:6 165:17,19 209:11 211:22 232:6 certainly 9:18 54:23 68:25 101:22 109:12 140:16 143:1 163:1 164:7 187:24 188:21 193:8 197:12 199:15 certainty 66:7 cetera 91:14 105:20 109:4 124:10 135:8 140:23 160:9 167:24 209:16 chain 43:22 106:7 106:8 195:5,25	196:20 203:17 chains 196:9 challenge 176:2 chance 4:17 25:14 125:2 change 139:21 205:1 changed 165:24 channels 82:11 character 70:5 charged 183:7 chased 216:18 chatting 74:14 check 27:13 37:23 38:1 46:25 55:6 64:6 66:16 67:4 67:6 checked 38:2,6 77:8 213:3 231:18 checking 23:15 214:24 checks 114:25 116:1,2,5,20,24 117:3,6 118:6,14 118:19 119:1 228:7,8,15 Cheeky 49:19 chef 184:21 chemsex 80:7 137:24 167:20 chief 103:25 104:6 104:17,22 105:6 105:17,17 153:1 183:16 223:23 chimes 137:9 China 111:9,10 185:23 207:7 221:20 225:25 choice 17:3 choose 14:25 60:19 70:22 chronological 57:23 chronologically 76:8 222:17 chronology 5:8 178:20 churchyard 60:9	61:8 62:3 140:9 CID 87:25 89:2 93:11 121:12 165:7 166:13 210:20 circles 63:14 80:6 circumstances 99:24 107:9 108:15 110:18 159:21 164:6 166:16,25 167:3 182:19 196:5 198:13 CIU 118:6,8 155:8 216:8 clarify 47:11 64:5 clarifying 105:8 clarity 157:24 clear 24:19 42:6 43:13 47:25 50:2 55:8 107:20 158:19 172:9 180:6 198:12 199:17 213:16 214:10 217:24 clearly 84:25 154:4 clever 85:22 clients 221:25 clock 82:6 clocked 4:24 close 3:6,13 50:2 50:21 55:25 135:3 200:23 201:12 closer 12:20 46:12 50:5 53:12 68:19 cocaine 96:7,11 225:11,20 226:3 coffee 22:14 34:22 62:4 cog 159:18 colleague 143:16 148:13 181:16 colleagues 38:1 211:12 Columbo 67:5 column 125:9,13 125:14,21	come 7:4,21 10:16 11:16 15:4,12 19:24 22:7,16,25 31:9 32:17 33:17 34:21 52:3,7 56:4 58:21 60:5 62:13 71:3 72:14 75:15 88:17,24 93:3,25 98:20 99:4 113:3 119:4 121:10 123:4 129:22 133:23 138:18 142:3 163:5,7,10 173:4 174:20 175:17 176:10 190:3 200:9 205:21 207:5 214:9 221:7 222:12 223:4 225:24 228:17 230:21 comes 49:20,23 83:5 85:4 157:22 comfort 82:21 coming 3:16 4:21 9:20 12:14 20:19 24:12 95:13 106:11 107:11 110:19 138:5 161:2 185:22 231:9 command 203:17 commenced 139:18 comment 64:5 79:15,20 179:15 187:5,15,24 188:10 191:9 208:2,14 comments 179:23 commissioned 115:1 commit 73:23 commitments 109:11 110:6 committed 54:18 72:15 137:7 common 162:14 164:1	communicates 71:14 communicating 59:9 communication 71:13 85:11,13 120:23,24 194:12 209:16 communications 216:8,12 community 64:10 64:12 80:8,9 87:18 company 118:20 141:17 compare 46:1 47:13 compared 13:25 45:21 103:10 comparing 23:24 47:8 comparison 46:6 compassion 80:2,3 compassionate 51:10 81:15 compassionately 57:15 complain 18:1 complete 107:6 124:21,22 165:11 171:17 172:12 181:22 218:12,13 completed 153:14 209:25 210:2 212:10 217:14,16 217:18,19 218:5 218:7,18,19 219:1,2,3 completeness 196:20 completion 215:4 217:14 218:10 complex 170:25 complicated 150:17 compounded 82:2 computer 18:9 123:15 computers 16:8
---	--	--	---	---

126:1 conceded 229:8 concentrate 83:18 137:13,16 concentrated 205:16 concern 73:15,20 220:23 concerned 18:22 21:15 22:25 25:10,22 39:22 130:23 178:25 179:2,4 196:23 197:3,10,24 199:17 217:23 218:9 221:1 232:6 concerning 5:15 105:18 concerns 19:1,4 60:4 73:19 74:8 75:12 104:1 115:19 119:22 131:3,17 132:23 204:7 conclusion 138:18 condolences 57:17 conduct 124:15 138:3 conducted 46:5 113:8 141:7 145:14 228:7,8 conducting 113:16 185:18 228:15 conference 194:17 195:3,10 201:4,8 201:9 confirm 10:25 11:8,12,14 12:17 14:13 15:8 25:2 25:8 139:19 140:6 146:3,4 confirmation 147:6 162:18 confirmed 39:7 77:9 132:16 217:19 confirming 14:18 14:19 25:4	conflating 85:25 confused 65:10 confusing 60:21 confusion 85:4 155:21 connect 83:12 connected 83:13 connections 141:13 197:1,12 210:21 213:1 conscious 178:9 198:10 consequences 204:2 consider 209:12 consistent 104:21 constable 42:12 86:18,24 87:5,7,9 87:12,18 constables 9:8 constant 52:12 constantly 18:21 18:25 174:15 contact 43:2 90:7 90:24,25 136:25 137:4 141:22 171:17 175:22 219:9 224:14,19 contacted 43:5 103:21,21 104:2 104:5,6 207:8 contained 130:10 138:9 containing 2:13 contempt 77:17 content 13:7 23:1 25:3,11 27:14 59:15 61:17,17 61:19 64:14 65:17 67:10 118:21 190:7,11 190:20 191:2 contents 21:22 26:2 206:6,12 context 5:18 43:21 45:18 48:9 79:20 178:19 189:19 202:9 continuation	102:9 224:8 continue 141:11 175:16 213:5 contra-indicators 222:9 control 17:2 120:16 controversial 103:15 convenient 112:14 conversation 9:16 14:6,12,22 30:10 52:11 60:24 64:21 95:9 96:15 96:20 97:1,4,20 101:5,6,23 102:22 105:16 106:5,6,9,10,25 107:20 115:17,19 123:6 128:20 129:7 144:7 164:12 172:3,6 176:4 177:21 178:11 180:24 182:4,5 183:16 185:8 186:16 187:4 197:10 201:5,7 202:10 222:23 223:1,23 224:3 225:12,15 226:15 conversations 17:22 30:12 34:5 34:20 44:8 46:19 66:14,18 73:11 85:25 99:3,7 100:10 102:4 105:2 133:6 136:18 171:18 177:6 179:3 200:18 203:8 convinced 73:24 coordinator 94:10 123:7 205:15 220:3 copied 195:6 copy 7:18 63:3 64:17,17 corner 125:5	coroner 1:6 2:3 35:9 36:10,11,20 37:10,22 38:3,5 38:12 40:4 41:17 46:23 47:5,6,16 47:21,25 48:9,12 77:5,5,6 86:8,11 112:15,21 204:12 205:3 208:22 230:2,8,14,18,22 231:10,14 232:3 232:10,12 coroner's 41:5 191:25 correct 36:14 87:15 89:7 96:4 97:12 165:14 169:12,17,21 170:3 173:8 188:10 192:7 193:1 194:13 198:23 201:8 212:8 213:17 214:12 227:1 correction 204:19 correctly 192:11 counsel 41:16,16 191:25 197:16 221:15 country 103:11 couple 31:18 36:1 85:1,1 101:14 114:21 120:6 122:24 148:12 164:23 184:19 216:5 230:2 coupled 81:20 course 3:4 6:20 8:1 9:14 22:3 24:12 26:10 32:15 44:12 51:15 65:15 70:19 77:5 81:17 83:25 84:19,21 88:9 89:6,8,10,11,12 89:14 90:14 92:16 99:22 108:14 112:11 117:6 119:9,15	120:8 138:20 139:25 163:7,12 168:4 169:8 184:11 199:3 204:22 220:22 225:25 court 34:18 36:12 41:19 53:1 77:17 108:22 109:3 161:2 172:23 173:3 209:1 courtroom 208:25 cover 139:18 covered 78:18 88:12 covers 133:5 cracked 70:10 created 100:11,14 creative 66:1 Crikey 54:9 crime 92:4,5 121:21 229:1,5 crimes 87:21 criminal 183:7 225:2 228:25 CRIMINT 228:13 228:19,21,25 CRIS 121:21 122:2,6,8,11 154:25 156:3 157:18 159:12 213:11,25 214:7 216:1,25 217:15 218:4,10,17 228:5,9 critical 157:8,24 criticised 224:5 criticism 224:22 224:25 criticisms 85:5 95:3 225:3,6 cross 163:9,16 179:6,10 cry 179:13,19 cultural 227:2 Culturally 226:9 Cunningham 104:1,6,17,22 223:24
---	---	--	--	--

curious 203:16	150:2,18,22	dark 59:11 73:2	124:2 126:20	145:23 160:8
currency 219:22	151:16,21 152:2	82:22	129:22 130:14	172:13,21 210:15
current 118:11	152:7,13 157:10	dash 145:6	131:24 133:4	214:21 221:25
currently 86:18	157:24 163:2,9	data 118:14 120:8	139:13 140:2,8	dealings 15:13
104:20 105:8	163:14 166:23	120:15,15 141:16	143:24 149:1,2	16:15 17:20
cursor 47:22	168:13 169:5	142:12,25 152:20	149:14 152:24	222:14 223:21
curves 21:3	186:1,24 187:19	153:13 155:6	155:2 162:6	deals 63:18
custody 172:22	188:3 191:16	158:11 159:9	174:11,14,14	dealt 17:23 19:3
cycling 2:24	192:25 193:9,13	210:20,23,24	178:1 189:22,23	82:13 116:11
	195:11,20 198:5	211:1 212:1,7,11	190:15 232:18	132:20 154:19
	198:20 200:10	212:11,21 214:24	days 7:10,16 8:5	215:18
	201:1 202:9	215:23,25 216:6	20:2 23:1 56:5	Dean 177:25
	205:7,8,10	216:16,17 219:8	58:8 59:5 67:18	death 8:19 9:11
	208:10,24 210:16	219:9	83:7,9,13 100:17	42:13 50:17 69:8
	212:14 219:9	database 116:5	101:15 109:21	69:9 70:4 72:3
	220:9 230:4,5	228:10	110:2 113:5	73:16 76:4 79:19
	231:1,4	date 29:13 30:4	120:6 143:18	81:17 88:11,17
	Daniel's 3:5 5:19	109:3 119:16,17	154:14,19 162:20	90:4 111:22
	6:20 8:19 9:11	119:19 139:25	211:21 217:6	112:25 153:15
	10:19 11:1,8,11	141:12 194:4	DC 17:1 23:2 35:4	166:15 182:18
	13:24 14:4,17	217:11 222:22,25	43:3,5,19 44:9,19	184:5,9,14,21
	15:8,25 16:8	228:9	46:19 53:4 54:25	192:16,20 207:2
	20:2,22 21:1	dated 13:1 97:5	55:11 56:16,17	208:21 219:10
	23:8 24:9 27:22	145:3 164:22	69:25 70:6,13	221:11 224:2
	32:7 33:24 36:12	dates 30:22 68:7	73:10 74:8 75:11	227:6
	37:18,24 38:4	108:24 215:12	75:24 81:10	deaths 17:9,13
	40:21 41:18	dating 167:6	83:15 85:21 86:3	18:5,12 88:12
	42:13 43:21 44:4	DAVIES 1:5,9,15	86:12 91:17	103:1,10,14
	44:17 45:20,23	42:1,2 46:17	93:20 123:23	106:2,7,13 122:6
	46:1 49:3,6	81:6,7 86:3	126:13,21 127:19	164:4 166:21
	50:17 51:7 55:12	219:14,15 229:22	129:2 132:15	167:3 169:15
	56:18 58:12	day 5:11 6:17 8:22	142:1 149:11	179:16 192:1
	60:13 64:10	8:23,25 9:10	159:13 213:20	194:18 195:20
	65:23 69:8,13	10:10 11:24	217:21 218:15	196:5 200:14,18
	73:16 76:5 79:19	15:19 16:14	228:4 231:2	201:5 203:20,20
	81:17 93:9 113:5	19:10,24 20:8,25	DCI 162:22 164:4	204:3
	113:12 118:1,15	21:3,5,6,7,9 24:7	194:3,7,16 195:2	Debbie 121:7,10
	123:19 124:13	28:18 32:2,16	195:4 203:20	121:22 122:16
	126:23 130:12	42:12 43:17 48:3	DCs 211:7	123:5 131:9
	132:5,7,17	49:21 50:16 51:5	dead 6:24 30:4	139:4,13 149:25
	145:23 148:8	52:23,25 53:2,10	69:14 111:3	156:12 165:8,15
	158:24 162:21	53:17,22 54:5	163:5 176:11,12	165:16 166:1
	164:23 192:16	55:9 56:16 57:25	deal 17:5 80:24	195:4 197:3
	193:5 197:11	58:22 59:3,5	82:11 116:19	212:16 226:15
	204:22 206:7	60:8 61:23 64:14	121:21,22 132:18	Deborah 150:5
	207:2 208:21	65:19 66:6,18,19	136:3,6 200:7	165:22
	212:7 214:20,21	67:11 70:15,22	dealer 40:10	deceased 44:16
	215:12 220:18	95:13 96:11,14	dealing 73:2 77:6	117:25 220:17
	231:2	111:13 123:20	82:13 135:6,10	223:17

decent 17:1 79:5	184:9 188:21	217:19 219:1	direction 91:12	distant 38:21
decide 226:25	describes 143:16	232:9	directions 91:9	distil 172:2,8
decided 75:19,21	144:5 174:11	diaries 123:16	directly 73:18	distress 67:21 70:2
126:3 217:22	describing 144:7	diary 38:2,3,8	81:10 224:14	distressed 68:12
decision 131:7,15	197:23 198:12	died 3:9 5:2 7:10	disagree 95:4	69:15
205:19 207:22	description 29:24	31:19 49:16 50:9	disappearance	diverse 167:2
212:15 219:25	63:13 202:6	67:22 69:14	228:18	198:13,14
232:9	designed 147:16	72:20 83:8,10	disappointed	divider 231:18
decisions 149:22	157:23	84:12 119:10	101:7 173:11	DLR 144:8
160:14 161:6	desktop 53:24	181:7 193:13,16	disbelievably	DNA 35:19
207:23 208:17	desperate 23:13	206:15 212:14	77:18	docklands 3:23
209:15 219:24	despite 205:23	differ 46:20	disclose 85:9	document 10:7
declined 40:18	detail 7:2 8:8,24	differences 134:8	discover 35:17	11:19,22 12:22
deep 80:19	13:5 16:4,12,13	different 11:22	discoverable	13:5 15:18 23:6
definite 79:7	29:15 38:13 44:6	14:8,10 15:13	228:22	46:11,18 100:16
225:22	66:15 98:10	16:18 21:6 47:7	discovered 5:19	102:9,10 114:22
definitely 10:23	114:17 124:12	57:25 70:25 75:6	35:15 100:21	117:22 124:20
18:24 30:20 33:7	148:3 151:21	82:14 85:25 92:3	113:12 164:24	125:2 164:18,20
50:6 59:5 71:20	165:18 168:22	102:9,10 117:16	discovery 162:21	166:7 170:8,10
78:13 159:2	186:19 197:6	129:5 135:7,8	discrete 93:6	170:22 192:6
229:21 231:8	223:1	153:24 155:22	discriminatory	194:1 197:16,22
degree 135:2	detailed 71:5	157:3,4 160:10	79:18,24	198:11 216:1
150:16 209:11	details 2:15 9:23	160:12,12 161:15	discuss 74:9 203:6	220:15 222:5
delay 180:14	15:21 20:24 34:7	162:3 165:13,21	206:18	223:22 224:7,17
223:12	64:20 115:8	169:23 170:20	discussed 39:15	226:6
delays 43:24	118:20,23 142:15	173:12,15,18	61:13 62:5 116:1	documents 6:10
delete 126:6	144:22 196:2,12	183:7 185:11	131:19 210:14	8:21 15:22 20:1
deliberately 97:15	196:18,25	187:21 192:9	discussing 72:17	56:16,20 100:11
delirious 72:5	detective 9:8 10:13	195:19 204:9	211:11,14	100:13 101:14
DELLEN 1:4,13	12:1 15:23 36:22	205:19 207:22	discussion 23:25	115:6 130:5
41:10,11,23	42:12 86:18,19	209:18 215:16	53:5 54:14 57:19	145:14,15,25
205:4,5 209:20	86:20 87:7,9,12	223:16	58:4 66:8 76:15	146:1 153:8
Denley 210:2	87:18 88:6,7	differently 71:14	119:4 127:13	158:4 230:11
department 43:11	91:22 94:6 134:5	85:14 208:11,19	130:6 165:16,22	Dogs 230:6,9,14
116:10	134:12,22 135:12	219:23 220:8	166:2 177:22	doing 4:20 17:1,11
depending 140:15	136:8 139:1,9	differs 83:23	178:17,19 179:12	19:5 22:12 31:2
161:8	205:5 207:11	difficult 4:8 6:12	184:23 185:1,21	31:18 50:23 52:3
depends 183:2	209:2 219:20	28:3 50:12 54:5	193:20 195:1	52:17 93:14
deployed 90:5	222:15 229:6	70:23 102:4,12	197:5,7 211:15	111:24 116:4
deployment	230:2	116:20 167:2	discussions 31:1	126:15 131:3
137:15	detectives 20:14	176:22 179:9	39:9 40:1 124:1	135:24 156:5
depressed 69:15	227:21	189:20,24 190:11	124:5 180:8	157:14 160:12,18
depth 136:3	developing 102:6	190:15,19 191:1	199:4	160:18 174:22
describe 40:1 49:5	129:4	198:13	dismiss 75:16	176:20 189:12
177:13 194:25	DI 37:11 38:4,9	difficulty 64:15	174:21 175:3	204:22 209:9
described 27:9	77:7 131:10	direct 121:14	dismissed 174:11	214:22
51:9 53:13 115:8	146:17,20 150:6	221:23 227:13	174:25 204:7	domestic 87:21,23
138:14 169:22	151:7 160:10	directed 142:22	disrespectful	87:24
174:8 183:19	209:8 213:11,25	152:18 225:3	75:12	door 51:22 90:20

dots 83:12 117:17	duties 223:7	203:22 205:15	144:23 158:1,21	error 107:5 168:15
double 32:3	duty 113:14,20,21	elements 169:24	employers 145:23	193:25
doubt 36:17 51:24	114:3,9 115:18	else's 80:20	147:2	especially 44:10
72:11 82:2	157:3	email 8:9,13,18	employment	175:4
108:21 129:1	dying 5:9	9:7,9,13,15,19,24	139:19	essentially 183:8
135:18 140:5	dynamic 176:4	9:25 10:8,16,17	emptied 181:24	228:10
141:20	182:20	11:20,25 15:19	enabled 110:5	establish 119:6
doubted 222:6		42:16 43:10,16	end-of-year 226:6	142:14 147:17
doubtful 70:9	E	43:19,22 53:4,7	ended 101:23	172:20 210:21
download 111:20	ear 78:14	53:13,16 54:3,10	ends 35:8 100:24	213:1
DR 1:4,13 41:10	earlier 23:1 28:20	54:25 56:6,8	155:12	established 117:18
41:11,23 205:4,5	52:11 67:15,24	65:14 106:7,8	enemies 78:6	129:1 144:1
209:20	67:25 109:25	118:9 121:5,15	engaging 183:19	171:19
draft 226:10	113:8 155:19	121:17 122:22	enlarge 16:23	establishing
draw 146:17 160:2	164:12 171:23	139:3,4,6,13	115:4	115:21
drawn 159:25	215:13,20	141:2,5 142:24	enquiries 93:17	et 91:14 105:20
dressed 181:14,19	early 4:2 58:8,8	143:2 145:2,6	113:9 115:21,23	109:4 124:10
181:19	91:1 99:19	146:21,23 150:1	115:24 116:11,11	135:8 140:23
drink 96:6	113:24 128:23	150:25 152:25	116:19 119:3,5	160:9 167:24
drive 65:6 161:14	135:9,12 137:1	153:4,11 155:2	135:9,11 138:17	209:16
driven 64:19	138:16 148:20	155:21 156:3,12	141:21 142:23	evening 56:1 76:2
driving 71:2,2	221:19	156:21 157:13,20	143:6 146:10	76:7 114:2
163:22	ears 50:25	158:14 159:11	147:19 183:2,4	evenings 89:9
drop 176:11,12	easier 62:14	165:1,2 194:13	230:16	event 9:24 27:9
drove 128:11,12	212:17	195:3,25 196:9	enquiry 116:24	41:2 46:12 53:13
128:12,15	easiest 65:22	196:11 211:5	120:1 121:25	62:21 105:11
drug 28:11 40:10	East 41:18 208:22	212:4,16 213:23	135:12 138:20,22	109:14 117:13
63:7,14 74:6	easy 80:24,24,25	214:20 216:3,18	147:16 157:19,23	130:11 147:16
drug-related	90:22 143:13	216:19 220:11,22	158:18 173:19	152:11
123:16	eaten 70:2	231:2	180:2 229:9	events 8:15,16,18
drugged 181:13	educate 138:1	emailed 7:13	230:23	12:21 29:9 33:23
drugs 27:23 68:10	effect 12:16 35:11	150:5 151:7	enter 68:23 76:1	53:2,12,19 85:25
74:1 96:7 167:7	102:17 103:4	154:17,18 155:8	entered 114:16	94:11 138:13
167:14 176:18	111:2,21 136:16	157:15 158:9	213:19 214:1	173:25
178:5,8 186:2	179:16 182:8	215:18 216:14,15	entering 49:6	eventually 122:19
225:19	183:21 187:25	emailing 106:14	enthusiasm 180:16	122:19 130:17
DS 108:2 111:7	218:25 221:3	220:15 222:19	entirely 40:4 84:6	151:9
112:22 121:23	231:10	emails 53:23 55:4	85:17 198:18	evidence 3:25 4:11
131:10 146:18,20	effectively 211:10	121:18 153:8	200:10	9:18 10:14 12:3
151:7 160:10	224:5	167:21 168:1,3	entries 99:4,13	16:7 35:20 36:23
203:19 210:2	eight 26:20	194:10 195:6	entry 37:10 95:20	47:10 86:4 88:25
211:6 222:19	eight-hour 181:23	198:1	96:19 100:16,18	94:17,21 95:2,9
due 119:15 120:8	either 11:8,10	embarrassed	103:19 104:16	95:12 98:22 99:6
208:9	56:12 86:8 88:20	178:21,24	114:14 155:1,3	100:20 101:21
Dunning 111:9	107:21 132:22	emotionally 82:23	194:2 197:9	102:21 104:7
207:7 221:20	136:11 165:24	emphasise 45:6	216:1,24 217:7,8	105:25 106:17
225:25	188:12 202:8	employee 158:22	217:13,22,24	107:22 108:6
Dunning's 111:10	206:18	employer 93:9	231:21	110:21 111:10
duration 210:25	elaborate 58:10	139:18 140:20	equipment 123:15	121:11 129:3
	element 197:23			

131:25 136:23 146:12 147:7 148:19 152:12,23 161:4 165:20 168:21 169:7,13 169:19,23 171:13 171:16,23 173:4 173:7 174:8,16 175:6 176:15,25 177:25 179:12,15 180:13,25 181:12 182:1 183:11,22 185:5 186:9,19 187:14,17 188:14 188:15,18,19,24 189:1 190:6,10 191:11 195:18 198:3,4 200:20 201:12,13,18 202:11,20 203:19 206:8 207:6,9 225:25 226:16,20 226:23 228:3 230:8 231:6,8	exercise 85:7 existence 35:18 expand 44:25 expanded 213:9 213:16 expect 59:15 61:16 67:6 85:15 150:6 expected 57:8,10 215:3 expecting 52:5 expensive 111:21 experience 89:16 127:25 205:14,19 207:21 219:21 220:1 experienced 70:11 experiencing 85:8 expert 23:11 24:2 47:10 48:1 66:9 67:1 132:3,6 217:15 experts 19:22 24:14,23 25:25 27:14 48:4,5,11 189:5 explain 54:24 104:17 105:21 108:15 109:2 124:8 155:19 178:23 189:13 192:15,16,20 211:19 explained 64:1 105:19 106:24,25 107:9 140:5 169:7,13 171:13 171:23 172:11,24 179:1,3 186:8 208:4 224:18 231:24 232:4 explaining 224:1 explains 100:23 explanation 180:17 185:16 explicitly 48:2 expressed 57:16 74:8 133:9 173:21 expresses 55:19	expressing 132:23 extent 125:15 227:25 extra 220:1 extract 42:16 extremely 51:1,10 51:12 75:12 221:6 eye 143:14 eyes 148:4	failure 42:8 75:11 204:1,1 230:15 failures 81:25 fair 82:4 93:1,12 161:5 199:8 fairly 97:1 Fairy 167:23 fall 98:16 FAM9 75:7 familiar 10:9 228:15 families 94:12 106:10 107:8 160:24 163:3 169:4,25 170:1 173:21,23 175:4 175:7,15,18 185:25 187:12,22 188:4 189:8,16 196:24 197:8 199:4,9 200:12 202:24 204:6 222:14 223:6 229:12,16,17 family 4:11 15:16 27:2 36:12,16,21 37:15,20 39:11 42:9 43:25 44:3 45:13 50:14 69:18 70:20 72:7 77:9,21 88:14,22 88:24 89:5,21,25 90:7,9,11,25 91:1 91:4,6,10,13,20 92:1,8,9,11,16,18 93:10 94:9 101:11,18 103:8 109:24,25 110:16 110:17 111:14 112:3 113:4 123:1,2,7 124:12 129:22,25 131:16 134:24 135:23,24 136:4 137:14,17 148:8 161:7,9,12 166:20 169:9,9 169:10,10 171:3 171:6,8 173:24 173:24 179:10	182:13 187:9,10 188:9,12 189:18 189:23 191:21 194:23 197:1 200:13,13 202:21 203:1 205:14 209:9 220:3,25 224:25 231:15 fancy 66:1 far 12:9 21:15 22:24 25:22 32:25 39:22 49:12 74:1 121:1 126:25 130:23 137:11 138:22 155:12 197:24 199:17 215:9 217:23 218:9 222:17,25 225:2 fast 107:11 fast-time 116:19 father 133:24 205:17 father's 21:3 24:7 206:7 favour 25:6 feature 99:7 196:16 features 199:6 featuring 139:25 fed 149:21 158:15 158:17,25 159:15 160:13 feed 159:8 160:24 161:4,9 feedback 223:6 feeding 159:24 168:5 feel 38:23 40:4 50:5 75:11 79:16 79:17 80:3,5,19 80:22,23 82:4,5,5 83:3,4 159:7 203:15 205:18 209:14,14 213:4 229:17 feeling 27:10 37:7 134:10 feels 44:6 82:24
		F		
		F-L-O 89:19 face 71:21 108:11 108:12,12,12 210:17 220:21 Facebook 5:6 76:6 216:11 Facebooking 148:24 fact 2:21 4:16 5:9 5:10 7:21,22 8:21 10:5 15:19 29:8 33:8 35:14 47:16 56:1 65:9 65:18 66:6 73:11 73:14 83:7 89:5 89:22 93:4 100:19 104:6 106:17 110:1 111:7,12 113:12 114:24 115:9 117:3,16 121:18 123:19 124:24 126:23 127:10 132:4 135:19,22 135:23 138:13 140:7 141:1 142:17 147:3 151:15 152:22 166:10 170:4 180:11 183:14 195:19,22 196:16 204:24 212:10 213:22,22 218:6 219:4 221:24 224:17 factor 81:20 facts 19:13 82:1 failing 219:7		

83:3,4 102:17 208:9 felt 17:1 40:11,17 49:19 57:15 65:1 76:12 79:24 80:2 80:10 174:12,14 174:15,18,22 175:10 177:13 186:12 205:10 207:24 209:16 224:18 fierce 30:7 31:21 71:10,17 136:24 file 144:16 final 78:25 79:10 79:13 227:25 finally 39:25 79:11 170:22 191:24 find 35:7 50:12 71:11 78:3 101:12 115:13 118:20 120:12 138:2,10 157:9 157:15 158:5 164:14 finding 74:10 76:4 128:25 finds 44:18 220:19 fine 33:18 142:5 finish 118:7 154:23 finished 39:24 76:20 97:6 firm 75:14 151:22 firmly 76:12 175:24 first 5:10 7:13 8:9 8:13 9:5 10:24 11:3,19 12:23,24 14:3 15:7,18 16:9 21:10,15 22:24 25:13,15 25:17 28:21,24 37:10 57:7 60:9 60:12 62:24 63:8 67:11 70:16 82:18 87:17 88:16,23 89:1,10 89:18,22 94:3,4	95:8,9,20 97:20 109:6 114:22 121:24 122:24 125:14 139:12 143:6 147:3 148:3 154:12 157:13 162:4,15 163:13 164:21 166:25 169:9 175:7 176:6,8 178:21 179:1 183:23 189:25 190:4,7,12,20 191:2,7 207:1 221:24 222:23 230:3 firstly 167:5 222:20 fit 226:24 five 3:14 23:11 102:15 134:19 143:17,23 150:8 159:20 167:10 225:18 227:17 231:22 five-day 89:12 flashing 54:8 flat 56:24 65:8 181:25 flavour 49:15 FLC 94:9 123:7 166:13 208:19 209:19 220:2,3 FLC/SIO 219:25 FLO 39:23 89:14 89:17,19,20,21 89:22 90:2,5,24 92:3,6,12 93:5,15 93:15,16 94:4,6,8 98:10 99:2 100:13 101:7,10 105:2,20 107:5 108:16 112:6 113:3 123:1,2,9 124:3,8,9 134:17 135:5 137:2,14 137:15 160:24 162:7 166:15,20 167:1 169:8,22	170:4,11,14,25 171:3,16,21,24 172:11,18 175:8 177:4 178:21 179:1,22 185:3 188:6 192:3,12 192:16,25 194:11 196:22 197:9 205:14 220:5 221:10 222:4,15 223:4 225:7 227:15,18 229:14 231:15 flowers 59:4,6 focus 3:9 26:2 32:5 133:7 focused 92:7 follow 229:9 230:15 followed 85:6 156:2 157:18,20 158:13 159:10 173:20 212:4 215:19 following 17:6 40:6 44:2 73:12 108:19 208:15 225:1,2 232:18 Food 230:25 forefront 61:17 forgive 183:6 193:8 form 124:21 formal 37:7 formally 92:17 forth 55:4 forthcoming 62:11 forward 5:8 12:4,6 16:15 33:22,25 68:17 84:15 143:3 forwarded 11:24 167:22 foul 39:18 40:9 78:13,15 found 6:7,24,25 17:8 20:3 29:10 29:14 30:4 33:24 38:3 51:7 59:6	60:14 69:14 71:7 82:19 95:12 113:5 119:17 130:1 133:22 140:2,3 145:16 155:7 160:1 161:21 163:5 177:7 193:5,8 199:12,14,21 204:24 208:24 212:25 216:7 228:22 four 13:22 95:25 109:21 110:2 139:16 148:10 154:17 157:7 160:11 175:9 205:8,17,18 211:7 fourth 84:14 fragment 84:11 fragmented 84:21 84:25 frequently 50:13 Fresh 128:6 Friday 51:2 108:4 109:8,19 144:2 friend 41:15 50:24 52:13 58:11 207:7 friends 171:6 front 57:2 95:18 159:3 176:25 frustrating 109:24 177:12 fucking 209:3 full 2:10 8:7 21:22 22:19 23:5 37:10 44:5,11,21 45:1 49:1 62:24 86:15 190:7 227:19 231:16 fully 27:24 functioning 27:24 furios 101:3 177:7,10,18,20 further 39:24 41:23 46:17 57:9 66:21 79:6 82:2	131:6 134:13,16 139:11 142:25 152:19 153:13 154:8,9 160:20 166:21 176:14 191:20 209:20 212:15,24 213:9 213:16 216:16,17 <hr/> G G 28:6,8,15 63:7,9 63:12,24 64:3,22 137:20 162:21 Gabriel 21:23 28:22 29:2,3,10 29:12,14 30:1,3,4 30:16,18 31:6,8 31:18 58:4,6,7,12 58:15,16,20 69:9 69:13,17 76:4 88:12 106:2 113:18 115:11,13 115:15,16,20 117:14,18,19 119:2,7,10,12,20 119:23 120:24 128:24,25 129:2 133:13 140:2,8 141:22 149:16 150:19 163:14 166:23 168:13 193:9,13,22,24 195:10,20 198:5 198:20 200:9,25 202:1 206:14 210:16 212:12 219:9 230:4,9 Gabriel's 71:7 119:17 204:21 212:25 214:14,23 215:10 219:10 gaining 69:12 gap 12:11 30:5 31:7,17,23,25 32:11 71:11 gaps 68:5 gather 16:7 171:4 gathering 69:18 70:20 71:6 gay 28:17 63:14
--	--	---	---	---

64:10,12 80:9,17 167:5,19 168:14 197:1,14 198:15 208:10 GBH 160:8 169:20 GBL 200:1 general 17:16 19:3 39:15 70:7 74:15 92:5 95:2,2 99:5 99:13 124:13 160:7 229:5 generally 90:5,8 168:23 186:13 genuine 11:2 25:2 genuinely 81:7 getting 6:1 9:13 47:10 51:20 65:14 110:19 124:12 144:8 148:15 159:2 160:22 161:3 187:12 215:17 216:19 218:12,13 GHB 28:10 64:9 79:16 137:21,22 138:4 162:15,18 184:24 185:2,7 185:20,21 196:5 199:1 200:1 222:7 give 2:10 7:2 14:20 33:16 35:9 49:1 49:15 64:20 83:17,24 86:15 88:25 95:2 110:13 117:7 120:14 121:11 124:7 141:17 161:6 169:23 174:10 178:9 211:1 given 7:17 54:5 94:18 121:25 124:10 125:10 132:17 146:6 149:19 152:25 173:16 176:25 183:11 186:19 187:14,23 188:15	188:15 189:4,8 192:12 202:6,11 229:10 gives 36:23 giving 13:3 23:20 61:25 121:15 183:13 221:4 glad 79:9 glossed 102:3 go 4:2 6:1 10:5,6 11:18,22 15:6 18:9 21:8,18 22:4 24:18 34:24 37:9 44:23 52:20 52:21 57:23 64:6 66:21 67:8 78:5 78:11 79:11 82:8 84:1,14,24 89:8 93:8,8,20 95:6,16 95:18 97:23 102:8 105:4 108:1,14 109:2 109:22 114:13,14 114:17 118:19 123:19 124:19 128:9 136:19 140:19,22 143:10 144:2,6 145:1 147:2,10,20 148:6,8 149:21 154:8 155:1 165:18 167:20 170:10 175:24 177:4 178:8 181:23 183:25 192:15 194:25 196:9,20 211:16 212:15,24 216:20 231:18 God 80:12,17 goes 44:15 85:19 92:12 96:19 215:9 going 3:2,8,19 6:5 7:4,24 8:12,20 9:2 11:16 16:15 16:20 18:17 20:17 22:1,25 24:1,20,22 27:19	30:7 31:10 34:4 36:7 45:7 46:14 46:21 47:13,17 49:21 51:21 52:15 53:5 54:2 54:6,7 55:4,5 58:3 60:5,12,16 61:20 63:25 65:4 65:16 67:1,7 69:4,11 73:11 74:15 76:11 80:16 82:8 83:2 83:3 88:16 91:8 91:21 94:24 95:16 97:23,23 100:22 101:20 103:19 111:19 112:9,13,24 114:14 117:22 118:8 119:25 122:15 127:9 129:4 135:25 137:18,19 139:7 141:12 145:12 151:8 152:8 153:1 159:13 160:21 161:17 162:11 164:12,14 164:15 166:8 168:14 170:22 175:15 178:2 179:21 188:17 195:10 196:13,23 196:25 197:8 211:12 212:23 213:5,7 218:11 221:18 228:3 230:4 231:2 gold 152:23 164:5 164:6,7 good 2:3 6:14 8:18 24:24 43:10,15 48:8 50:5 51:22 68:16,22 99:3 109:15 118:17 Google 138:2 GP 82:12 grandma 68:19 71:1,1	grandmother 3:5 6:20 40:8,21 41:19 grasp 85:15 Gravesend 3:13 11:6 15:24,25 49:9 52:15,22 56:18 123:12 128:9 graveyard 22:2 32:17 60:13 200:9 great 8:24 16:4 29:4,13 64:15 65:24 66:15 200:7 greater 219:21 grief 19:6,17 26:15 72:23 81:17 82:14 85:7 91:21 grieving 72:7 133:25 grounds 21:11 60:9 group 60:8 121:7 121:15 152:23 164:5,6 groups 164:7 growing 2:15,17 guarantee 154:11 guy 71:25 206:16	109:15 handset 118:18 211:2 handwriting 7:7 10:20 11:1,11,13 14:1 16:7 20:22 21:1,4 22:25 23:3,10,16,22 24:1,5,7,10,14,23 25:1,3,22,25 27:13 32:24 35:24 42:8 45:22 45:23 46:5,8 47:8,10,20 48:1,4 48:5 53:5 54:3,7 55:7,12 56:11 57:24 65:12,18 65:19,23 66:5 67:9 77:7 84:4 125:24 126:9,12 126:24 127:1 132:3,7,13,16,17 132:19 handwritten 202:14 Hang 150:1 happen 20:17 24:21,22 34:5,12 130:4 132:8 happen' 178:6 happened 4:23 5:11 6:4 16:14 22:12 26:23 29:9 30:22 31:11,13 32:10 33:3 34:2 39:17 40:17,19 44:6 54:4 56:2 57:14 60:2 61:18 66:18 68:4 71:6 71:12 79:22 82:3 122:19 127:18 133:7 134:25 135:14 136:9 146:22 161:20 163:14 164:15 169:9 176:21 183:9,14 187:19 188:1,3,7,8 202:22
---	--	--	---	--

happening 8:4 102:24 110:18 160:25 167:8,15 182:20	180:14 187:17 190:6 195:18 201:25 202:20 203:12 207:6 221:22 224:25	home 2:21 38:4 53:10 57:14 59:12 60:20 64:20 65:6 70:11 71:15 80:3 123:11 149:3,3 149:13 150:3,23 152:8 158:8	identified 6:10 42:9	71:1 141:21 212:11 221:23 222:9 224:23
happens 214:10 217:9	hearing 37:3 38:10 53:14 62:18 83:5 108:17,21 109:7 109:12,19 110:6 181:7	homeless 106:22 107:4,14,17,19 201:21 202:2,7	identify 6:9 25:18 42:8 113:17 119:2 203:11	includes 125:6 228:25
happy 17:12 24:13 40:4,17 48:7 62:18 77:17,19 99:10 101:16 130:20 166:4	hearings 108:22 161:2	homicide 182:12 182:23	identifying 171:7	including 89:2 121:7 184:21 221:10
hard 6:19 50:1 54:24,24 76:5 138:18 205:13 209:8	heart 15:2	honest 50:25 52:5	identity 115:1	income 54:21
hardest 175:19 209:16	heavily 161:22	honestly 54:21 55:16 57:25 68:4 68:22	ignore 11:23	incoming 210:24
Harrington 118:5	held 81:7 145:14 152:24	hours 5:15 117:7 139:19 149:3,13 153:21 222:20	ignored 174:12	incoming/outco... 141:11
HAT 210:1,19	help 8:3 14:7 25:5 25:24 28:1 48:11 61:24 62:7 63:15	hopeless 106:22 107:4,14,17,19 201:21 202:2,7	IIP 228:7,15	incomprehensible 81:23
Hatch 68:21	helped 28:3 46:2 74:7	hopes 82:19	ill 181:14	incredibly 137:18 157:5 165:12
hater 80:18	helping 156:4	hoping 192:6	illustrates 197:12	indicated 196:24
hats 51:12,22	helps 13:7 14:20	horrible 66:13	imagine 10:14 27:22 33:12 50:21 59:11 72:4 72:6 125:2	indication 125:24 183:13
head 51:23 59:16 68:23 74:7 76:16 78:5 79:21 183:20	Hendon 89:12,13	Hospitality 230:25	immediately 15:1 6:16 26:10 39:12 51:19,23 110:3	individual 99:12
health 60:4	Heron 144:8	hot 26:12	impersonal 26:4	inevitably 193:3
hear 4:11 14:2 16:10 57:8 83:2 86:3 89:18 161:17 206:6,9 228:3	hidden 70:3	hour 6:13 96:24 97:2 117:9,11 128:13,14	importance 146:6	inexperience 154:10 215:24
heard 2:20 3:4 5:19 8:23 29:2 30:3 32:2 34:2 35:20 43:2 49:12 51:5 58:5,16,23 61:7 77:2,11,20 78:16 83:6 87:25 88:10,22,23 91:7 91:21 93:18 107:22 108:6 111:6,16 113:22 113:23 118:13 121:10,11 126:19 129:12 131:17,23 136:23 137:10 141:15 161:15 174:16 175:6,8	hide 70:9 72:3	hours 5:15 117:7 139:19 149:3,13 153:21 222:20	important 30:24 57:6 92:12,24 98:3,14 101:10 101:10 119:16 128:4 141:23 146:14 147:1 149:10 151:2,4,5 151:12 159:23 180:2 206:9 210:21	inexperienced 179:2 215:13
	high 227:18	house 5:21 15:24 17:21 51:6 56:22 57:11 123:19 126:5 135:18	impress 186:11 187:23 188:16,20 189:4,9 201:25 205:12 220:7	infer 87:13 215:7,8
	highly 178:9	HR 231:1	importantly 144:17	inferring 142:9
	Hill 1:12 46:23 47:2 169:2,3 204:19 221:15	Hull 102:24 103:2 103:10,14,21 107:24 179:17,18 181:3 185:10 188:11,23 223:21	impression 186:11 187:23 188:16,20 189:4,9 201:25 205:12 220:7	influence 80:20
	hindsight 17:13 134:7	human 44:12	impressions 17:16 188:4	information 17:8 18:18 25:24 27:12 35:7 44:7 69:12 80:15 91:2 91:4,5,9,20,23 92:8,10 97:11 98:14 107:16 118:9,10,22 128:3 138:19 142:6 144:10,15 147:7 148:7 149:20 151:12 153:16 155:9 157:16 158:19,23 158:25 159:2,8 159:22 160:4,17 160:22 161:6,9 169:25 170:1 171:8 172:7 173:16,16 177:7 177:23 178:10
	Hmm 10:21 23:4 36:15 54:13 77:4 81:8,11	Humberside 103:23	inaccurate 197:23	
	hold 171:7 207:12	I	Inasmuch 129:20	
	holding 78:20	idea 6:2 14:20 28:25 29:6 34:10 70:7 75:15 77:15 117:7 124:7 133:12 134:15 174:21 191:15	inbound 155:5 216:6	
	holidays 145:8 231:3	ideas 34:13	inbound/outbou... 118:10	
			include 98:14 222:14 223:5,5	
			included 66:19	

179:5 182:7 206:9 207:19,24 208:17 214:6,19 219:11,21 220:12 220:24 221:10 222:13 229:3 231:7 informed 51:7 initial 115:15 178:11 212:6 initially 59:23 131:2 157:15 214:21 218:20 input 11:9 19:8 INQ47 11:22 inquest 34:1,4,9 34:11,12 35:8,15 35:24 36:2 37:5 38:22 39:12 41:16,17,21 76:21 77:16,20 78:14 79:24 183:9 207:2 208:21,25 210:5 225:1 inquests 232:18 inquiry 115:15 insensitive 103:8 inside 63:4 209:1 insofar 221:25 inspector 36:22 103:25 104:6,17 104:22 105:7,17 105:18 115:16 119:4,23,23 153:1 183:17 184:21 209:2 223:24 instructed 130:16 132:3 144:19 instruction 122:24 123:15 130:15 132:17 142:12 152:17,19 instructions 132:14 206:1 207:14 208:15 integrity 171:5 intel 116:1,2,10,11	228:7,8 229:5 intelligence 216:9 228:17,25 intention 175:18 229:19 interaction 205:9 interested 36:22 interfere 39:3 interfering 38:25 internal 95:19 101:14 170:12,23 170:24 171:14 172:13 190:17 192:5 194:2 196:21 202:16 internet 100:21 198:16 interpretation 132:20 interrupt 90:12 116:13 interrupting 174:14 interview 198:23 interviewer 14:22 introduce 124:8 investigate 17:13 18:11 79:6 88:4 investigated 99:10 140:17 169:19 206:23 investigating 79:19 91:2,3 110:15 135:14 150:14 159:20 161:7 168:17 172:16,17,17 194:21 investigation 17:5 77:24 78:23 82:1 82:4 88:11,12,14 88:17,18 90:4,7 90:10,25 91:6,24 92:6,13,20,25 93:4,14 94:3,13 100:5 102:23 104:18,25 105:7 105:9 109:3 112:5,10,23,25	117:17 128:4 132:18,25 135:7 138:15,17 146:20 149:11,22 151:5 151:7 156:25 157:5,9 158:9,16 158:17,25 159:8 159:16,24 160:6 160:8,13,15,19 160:23 161:1,4 162:6,11,13 163:12,13 165:13 165:21 168:1,5,6 168:23 171:5,10 182:12,15,16,22 182:23 183:4,5 184:11 192:23 219:22 224:2 228:6 229:8 investigations 31:24 88:3 113:8 113:16 114:10 160:25 161:18,23 165:21 investigative 93:7 170:5,20 investigator 92:2,4 170:15 investigators 91:10 92:25 171:25 involved 18:7 40:10 69:17 75:17 87:19 88:3 100:4 113:16 129:18 161:18,23 162:7,12,18 163:25 164:8 167:9 168:12 195:5 198:16 211:23 involvement 73:16 88:10 99:17,23 127:20 162:4,15 involves 117:22 involving 108:17 192:18 IO 90:9 91:2 92:7 208:15	IOPC 69:2 79:11 83:7 132:25 iPad 126:2 IPC000014 205:21 IPC1041 36:6 IPC11 210:9 IPC13 192:5,7 194:2 IPC130 170:8,9 IPC14 26:17 29:19 IPC146 231:19 IPC213 121:4 141:4 212:17 IPC224 139:8 IPC243 43:18 219:19 IPC252 196:8 IPC263 145:2 153:7 IPC301 172:13 IPC322 190:17 IPC33 154:25 213:14 228:1 230:20 IPC332 171:14 IPC335 143:12 IPC413 63:18 69:3 79:12 84:1 IPC414 16:20 29:20 34:25 40:2 42:23 IPC471 114:7 IPC629 9:6 IPC72 127:8 IPC725 202:16 IPC756 164:19 197:17 226:5 IPC78 102:11 222:4 223:9 IPC79 95:17 222:17 225:9 IPC84 12:21 44:23 IPCC 8:16 16:24 29:17 39:25 irrelevant 201:22 Isle 230:6,9,14 ISS 143:18 230:25 issue 31:2 59:19 62:10 71:9 83:18	91:17 146:7 157:24 178:18 180:5 191:25 199:18 issues 19:9 188:16 items 126:16 <hr/> J <hr/> jacket 6:5 Jackie 8:7 20:12 22:11 28:14 47:18 63:10 64:2 64:4,9,12,22 79:15 122:25,25 129:15 Jackie's 79:20 Jane 49:2 January 87:10 job 3:15,16,19 17:2 26:12 39:17 40:15 68:17 76:17 94:3,4 122:10,17 209:3 209:12 jobs 17:11 Joe 177:25 jog 210:10 joined 86:22 87:8 117:17 Jon 167:23 168:3 judgment 85:20 July 102:13,14 103:19 148:11 jump 61:22 185:10 jumping 65:21 June 34:2 86:22 95:10,21 100:17 162:11 169:14 207:2 208:21 222:19 223:2 jury 1:16 2:2,3 9:3 9:3 10:5,15 21:16 26:18 34:1 36:5 48:15,19 88:9 95:8,10,15 96:19 100:20 101:1,14 112:16 112:20 113:22 114:6,24 118:13 121:3 124:18
---	---	---	---	--

126:19 127:7	132:18 138:18	58:8,9,11,13,19	218:24 219:16	leaded 14:22
141:2,15 145:2	170:20 182:16	58:19 59:4,16,17	220:17 230:5,8	leads 14:23 75:4
153:6 154:24	197:18 223:18	61:2,2,3,16 63:9	230:10,11	83:11
169:23 170:19	226:7	63:15 64:3,4,7,11	knowing 17:11	learned 41:15
176:25 182:1	Kirk 106:7 153:1	64:13 65:2,2,4,10	79:16,23 80:4	191:24 197:16
186:20 201:18	162:22 164:4	65:20 66:2,3,11	128:2,23 137:8	229:20
202:11,14,20,25	194:3,7,16,23	67:5 68:4,17,24	154:20 216:16	leave 4:1 17:9 19:5
204:13,18 205:22	195:2,4,18 196:1	69:22 70:25 71:3	knowledge 80:7	19:7,22 24:13
207:6 208:20	196:11 203:20	71:17,19,22 72:2	137:22	48:7 56:5 76:17
220:14 221:22	kitchen 51:20 52:2	72:2 73:4,21,25	known 18:24 24:9	78:10 105:10
223:10,15 228:2	Klein 28:22 31:6	74:4,15,19,20,21	64:12 107:16,16	128:6 139:19
230:1,3,19	113:18 115:11,13	75:1,1,2,3,3,18	109:18 110:2	148:19 181:22
232:15	115:15 117:14,18	76:1,7,10,13,17	142:15 159:21	189:5
jury's 192:4	knew 46:25 52:10	76:25 78:1,1,7,7	174:1 182:22,23	leaving 4:21 144:6
justice 99:22	52:12,15 54:23	78:11 79:3,23	182:25 199:11	led 32:3 62:9
108:14 112:11	54:24 58:7,14	80:14,20 82:1,5	200:2	206:23
184:11	61:20 73:18 74:1	82:20 83:16 88:9	knows 70:7 82:24	left 25:23 48:10
justify 120:18,19	74:2,2,9 78:13,17	88:13 91:17	96:6 202:1	62:2 68:11 140:8
	79:2 109:4,7	94:20 96:13,17	225:19	144:12 148:16
	116:23 135:6,8	100:20 103:9,12	Kovari 21:23 31:8	180:21 205:12
K	150:17 163:7	103:20 106:11	88:12 106:2	left-hand 125:9
keep 218:10	164:3,4,5,9	107:15 108:17,24	115:16 117:19	legally 41:20
keeping 50:21	179:19 186:9	109:3,17,22,25	119:12,20 123:1	legitimate 85:5
184:12	191:11,15 200:4	110:10,12 111:4	129:2 133:13	221:8
Kent 2:15 117:24	203:13,19,20	115:19 116:15	141:13,22 142:13	length 99:16
117:25 144:15	230:10,12	117:8,11,16	142:15 149:16	lengthy 97:1
kept 70:1 73:6	knock 78:9	119:12,20 122:2	150:19 193:22	114:13
183:11,19 184:15	know 4:14,20 5:8	122:3,4,8,9	194:18 197:2	lessons 229:20
Kev 118:8	6:3,8,9,13,15 7:5	126:25 127:2,4	199:20 200:3,7	let's 9:2 10:4 11:17
Kevin 154:18	7:17 10:14,23	127:12 128:3,12	200:19 203:1	12:7 13:14 15:4
155:8 157:15	11:3,4,13,14	130:5 131:24	214:14 227:6	15:10 21:8 26:2
216:11,15	17:12 19:5,6,7,7	132:6 134:7,9,11	228:9	30:21 31:11,13
key 171:7 173:14	19:13,17,19,20	135:21 137:20,21	Kovari's 29:10	32:5 33:12 34:24
173:20 199:5	19:22,23 21:22	142:20,23 153:18	228:18	37:9 39:25 57:4
kidding 202:17	22:14 23:12 24:4	154:20 156:9,21		67:9 69:1 71:14
Kiera 207:6	24:5,16,24 25:7	157:1 159:1	L	90:4 94:2 95:6
kill 78:10	25:21 26:1 28:8	164:5 168:4,9	labouring 141:20	95:14,16 100:15
killed 28:25 68:11	28:15 29:2,10,12	169:3 173:10	lad 49:25,25	102:8 105:4
72:19 73:6	29:14 30:4,18	175:13 177:15,16	lady 145:11	114:6 118:7
133:13 136:14,16	31:25 32:21,24	180:13 181:17	lady's 145:10	121:3 129:10
140:8 150:19	32:25 33:9,14	182:16,24 186:25	laid 181:19	133:7 139:1,3
killers 25:16	34:13 35:18	187:14,19 188:1	landed 69:22	143:5 144:25
killing 149:15	38:10 41:13 42:2	188:3,7,12,14,23	laptop 111:20	147:18,20 148:8
kin 33:6 59:7,19	44:1,16 46:7,15	189:11 195:15,25	126:2 180:9,11	153:6 164:18
130:17 205:16,20	47:16,22 48:5,8	198:19 199:21,25	180:15 188:17	166:9,10
207:23 208:16	49:18 51:20,21	200:7,8,22	189:13	letter 21:24 27:18
219:25 220:2	53:23 54:17,20	202:10 206:25	late 67:17 76:2	34:10 74:25
232:6	55:3,10,23,25	208:2,5 214:25	113:20,20,24	80:13,14 84:11
kind 6:2 25:6	57:3,8,10,21,22	215:2,17 218:20	114:11	127:1
26:13 54:23 67:8			law 78:14	
71:22 76:1				

letters 27:16 66:1	link 162:22 164:9	96:13,16,21	125:13,14,21	31:16 55:17 63:5
letting 33:1	177:24 193:20	97:19,25 101:20	127:7 132:3	65:15 78:7 84:22
level 17:10 85:7	195:23 202:17	105:2 107:5	133:10 136:4	84:22 99:7
161:3 164:4	203:3,7,21,23,24	112:6 124:3	139:2,2,3,7,9,11	102:23 109:25
liaison 15:16 37:20	204:1 227:22	137:2 171:16,22	141:5 143:5	113:23 129:5
80:1 88:22,24	230:4,11,14	185:3 188:6,8	144:25 145:1,3	137:19 151:3
89:5 91:8 94:9	linked 193:9 201:5	192:3,10 194:11	153:6,9 154:23	162:14 164:1
110:16 123:7	204:3,5	195:21 197:10	164:18,21 165:3	166:7 172:6
137:14 171:8	linking 69:9	222:4 231:15	165:4 166:1,9	205:14 217:25
182:13 205:14	192:22 196:6	logs 91:7 95:15,18	170:23 175:5,19	lots 27:23 31:15
220:3	200:18	96:2 98:10 99:2	192:10 194:1,2	202:3
lie 96:10	links 191:25	99:11,15 100:11	195:7 201:11	love 27:15
lies 42:9 168:4	200:14 202:13,21	100:13 102:5,9	217:21,21 220:11	loved 175:4,15
life 32:3,7 49:6	202:25 203:12,14	106:1 171:19,24	223:9,21 229:13	lovely 49:25,25
61:6 67:14 68:13	227:5,13,13	177:4 221:10	230:19 231:21	71:25
75:20,21 85:10	list 125:22	222:15 223:4,15	looked 2:16 7:10	lower 223:22
115:10 124:13	listen 75:11 204:8	224:11 225:7	10:25 13:19,24	Luck 167:23 168:3
148:7	listened 173:17	London 3:2 4:20	24:1 29:18 32:20	lunch 68:20
lifestyle 92:10	listening 90:19	4:22 41:18	39:2,5 45:20,25	112:15,23 171:24
124:13 130:12	131:25	102:18,24 103:10	47:19 52:8 54:12	Luncheon 112:18
140:22 171:6	literally 181:24	103:14,16 188:23	66:9 83:12	lying 99:24
178:12	201:14	189:11 208:22	104:19 106:12	
light 177:23	little 4:24 5:1 11:7	long 4:1 7:25	114:24 137:17	M
liked 50:4 67:16	49:10 55:9 59:12	17:22 22:4 23:6	138:8 139:6,7	ma'am 47:1
96:6 107:7	61:22 65:25	30:23 36:9 50:11	141:2,9 142:21	169:12 230:7
Lilford 13:9	82:21 90:17	89:8 95:20 96:15	146:6,9,10 164:3	machine 159:19
151:25	108:21 112:13	96:15,20 98:6	170:9 175:8	madam 41:11,23
limit 225:18	133:20 136:25	99:1,16 109:4	189:18 192:25	47:2 48:20 86:6
limited 42:5	139:16 145:6	115:25 128:13	193:4 229:12	112:12 198:10
116:21 172:25	154:24 185:10	165:12 170:10	looking 9:7 10:19	204:19 207:9
line 10:9 14:17	192:2 195:16	205:13 210:7	23:5 28:19 30:13	209:20 229:24
26:19,21,22 35:3	199:11 213:9	long-term 67:17	40:2 45:24 46:4	231:12
63:23 84:14	live 45:15 84:23	162:4	61:25 68:16	main 87:25 89:2
120:1,4 153:10	106:22 107:3,13	longer 96:25	77:18 80:13 93:1	165:7 166:9,13
157:19 165:6	201:21	loo 79:1	106:19 115:7	205:1,20 220:2
166:12 168:21	lived 3:13 5:13	look 9:2,3 10:4	117:2 122:22	Majesty's 41:17
180:2 198:1	24:4 49:12 70:10	11:7,17,23 12:19	128:24 130:5	208:22
207:10 220:7	135:25 205:8	12:22 13:14,21	136:2 139:4	majority 110:14
221:8	230:9	14:17 19:5,14,21	142:20 143:17,22	making 71:25
lines 13:22 26:20	lives 82:7 204:4	23:23 24:15 26:1	153:8 161:8	72:24 85:22
40:3 63:22 74:16	living 3:12 58:17	26:18 34:24	174:6 192:8	109:21 118:15
78:22 95:25	185:13 202:7,8	39:25 47:22	looks 12:24 13:8	119:1 137:3,16
102:15 122:24	205:18	59:14 60:8 62:16	14:4 15:18 82:20	179:4 207:22
143:17,23 147:16	local 200:4	65:15 69:1 76:3	154:17 157:22	216:13 219:24
148:10 157:22	locate 212:12	78:20 84:17 92:6	165:3 214:13	220:1
158:18 173:19	location 116:17	93:3 95:16,23	227:16	man 15:14 55:18
187:19 190:18,23	192:7 211:1,3	102:8 114:6	loose 35:8	68:9 110:12
224:25 225:18	locked 79:1	117:4,23 120:4	lost 79:7 175:4,15	115:2 143:14
229:9 231:22	log 39:23 92:12	122:23 124:17	lot 5:6 8:8 23:6,17	manage 69:23
				197:8

manager 145:11 165:6 166:12	47:18 48:1 49:19 53:20,21 55:25	225:1 232:15	79:7 197:3	113:23 114:8
managing 207:12	56:25 57:21	memories 2:14	messages 149:1	159:11 168:19,21
Mandy 4:6 5:23	62:17 65:2,20	3:11 21:16 49:15	messy 66:3	225:11
8:2 10:25 15:25	67:15 71:20	68:14 131:24	met 4:17 15:18	mistakes 229:8
18:8,20 20:5,21	78:24 80:11 85:3	133:14	16:9 20:10 50:14	MIT 160:15
21:17 27:7 28:13	85:9 92:14 93:7	memory 4:19 8:4	56:24 68:9,10	mixed 72:22
31:16 34:5 36:17	98:20 100:5,6	10:22 13:2 20:8	99:25 108:12	mobile 17:21
38:15 39:1,18	101:24 107:15	28:13 38:10	116:14,15 135:17	125:23
41:18 43:6 45:3	125:18 154:9	40:21 45:7 53:19	148:4 163:14,15	moment 33:23
129:13,20 173:5	172:17 177:10	54:2 65:13 66:21	Metropolitan	64:24 90:12
187:18 206:7	218:11	84:8,10,20,21	86:22 89:11	92:15 97:14
207:19 208:13	means 100:22	89:12 99:12	116:18 209:23	112:14 116:13
Mandy's 17:21	101:12	101:4,6 102:14	MIB 116:8,10,23	126:10 137:5
manner 79:18	meant 28:16 43:15	110:21 111:20	middle 69:6	141:2 149:10
81:12	64:5,9 109:11	112:7 131:18	124:24 125:14	159:13
manslaughter/s...	116:3 129:21	210:10	mildly 79:5	moments 163:22
210:11,15	138:11 172:8	men 28:17 44:17	mile 201:15	Monday 16:1
manuscript	188:16	83:9 162:25	miles 3:14	56:16 108:19
143:13	measures 120:16	167:5,6,20	mind 25:16 26:14	112:4 113:4
March 67:25	media 5:5 101:17	197:14 198:14	27:22,24 32:19	121:5,6 126:20
mark 140:13	177:8	199:12,14 200:23	32:22 43:14	monitoring 172:23
176:17,17	medical 119:24	201:13 210:21	56:21 60:15,17	month 30:6 32:7
marked 218:5	meet 34:15 57:9	220:18	60:22 61:17 65:3	months 3:11 16:16
marks 78:19	109:20 167:6	mental 60:4	68:2 76:7 85:23	50:8 73:12 88:2
Martin 108:14	192:15	mention 18:4	94:2 107:21	88:8 162:8
110:14 111:23	meeting 20:15	19:14,24 30:1	109:1 126:23	163:24 164:23
112:3 185:18	21:8 29:24 35:3	107:12 135:19	135:14 163:9,16	165:6 166:12,21
massive 180:5	56:22 57:7,12,16	158:2 167:24	163:23 196:4	167:10 181:6
masts 211:3	69:6 70:20 73:9	186:23,23 216:8	minds 110:11	192:21 193:14,16
match 38:7	108:6,9 109:1,6	mentioned 6:21	mine 159:11	193:23 207:3
mate's 206:14	109:23 110:8,14	11:17 21:18	minute 11:16	mood 75:25
material 153:5	110:23 111:2,19	23:19 25:10 27:4	65:16 139:8	morning 2:3 4:2
155:7 159:15	112:2 129:19	30:2 31:4 32:13	150:1	14:5 53:9 121:5
171:4	132:23 133:3,6,8	56:15 63:6 70:20	minutes 11:25	121:6 133:8
matter 77:12	133:21 181:1,3	73:9 97:18	22:5 23:11 32:4	140:3 148:18,23
97:18 137:12	181:10 183:23	100:18 105:6	34:23 48:15 56:4	152:4,4,8 173:3
156:18 179:20	185:9,17,18	106:8 113:7	56:7 117:7 172:6	186:23 187:17,24
217:23	186:15 187:7	142:17 153:1	minutes/half 6:13	189:1 202:20
mattered 85:12	188:11 189:20,21	178:25 184:20	misleading 45:19	206:8
matters 74:12	189:22,24 202:12	185:23 186:15	misremembered	mortuary 78:18
151:25 169:6	meetings 45:4	187:7 188:6	110:20	mother 2:17 49:23
183:8 197:2	member 92:18	194:23 198:2	missed 207:16	49:24 53:22
206:18 223:18	103:9 111:15	203:18 215:13	missing 5:12,13	67:22 78:14
229:16	members 2:3	mentioning 27:14	30:6 50:18,19	move 15:10 33:22
meal 70:3	36:12,21 37:15	76:24 83:8	52:12 144:1	33:25 52:25 67:9
mean 4:4,14 14:22	39:11 48:15	mentions 196:1	180:5 223:14	73:8 89:2 100:15
18:14 25:1 27:15	112:16 160:11	Merlin 144:16	228:10	102:8 112:12,24
31:22 39:12	204:13 220:25	228:9	misspoke 204:23	121:3 129:10
		message 11:24	mistake 97:7,16	139:1 147:18

159:13 160:21 moved 49:9,10 80:6,18 87:25 movements/acti... 44:17 220:18 moving 5:8 49:11 97:18 148:5 MP 103:21 105:17 178:17,22 MPS 89:11 116:17 MPS1173 147:24 MPS146 124:18 muddled 59:3 mum 39:1,18 40:8 40:11 mums 6:18 murder 78:2,4 82:20,24 90:8 104:14,18,19,22 104:25 105:7,9 178:18 224:1 murder/mansla... 90:6 murder/suicide 210:18 murdered 97:21 97:24 98:18,22 99:9 104:9 105:13 169:4 175:25 182:9,11 183:1,12,21,24 184:1,7,17 murders 188:22 museums 3:2 mustn't 189:21 190:12 191:4 199:6	26:5 167:22 194:17 196:23 nasty 78:8 National 116:5 nature 162:1 177:2 179:11 180:18 182:22 220:9 near 60:20 nearby 190:1 nearly 151:12 necessarily 66:17 134:9 155:20 160:17 161:3 neck 78:18 need 10:6 19:23 45:14 92:24 102:8 104:8 105:12 118:18 119:24 122:23 124:19 126:6 131:6 170:7,19 171:22 172:3 174:10 192:22 195:7 197:6 202:15 223:10 needed 52:18 99:10 122:6 149:21 151:9 207:25 needs 118:19 171:3 negative 223:6 nervous 65:7,7 90:14 neutral 39:10 never 30:3 39:7 61:6,6 70:11 71:13 74:19 75:3 96:10 97:23,23 98:25 111:3,14 163:13,24 164:3 164:9,14,15 167:13 168:6 175:3,18 183:24 183:25 187:19 188:1,3,7,12 207:18 new 3:15,16 35:7	35:15 68:17 77:24 137:15 154:21 158:18 215:23 227:17 news 5:9 6:15 50:17 51:11,25 53:14,21 54:21 195:19 nice 4:24 5:1 80:22 174:23 night 4:7 50:23,25 53:22 76:9 80:4 113:14,15,20 114:3,4,9 117:18 119:1 121:2 140:14 148:15 150:3,19,23 151:17,22 153:18 153:22 155:8 157:2,10,25 158:8 206:16 211:21 nights 148:14 nine 26:20 83:7,9 83:13 143:18 181:6 207:2 non-suspicious 194:22 norm 227:2 normal 127:17,25 144:5 148:16 normally 126:15 note 6:7,25 7:5,7 7:11,11,14,17,18 8:6,7 10:1,10 13:19 19:10 20:19 21:23 22:19,24 23:5,11 23:12,13 25:11 25:18 26:17 27:4 27:10 28:2,5,19 28:20 30:1,3,16 30:19 32:20,23 33:1 35:24 37:13 37:14,14 38:7 43:12 44:4,5,11 44:21 45:1,9,11 45:22,24,25 47:9 47:14 54:20 55:6	57:20 59:8 61:12 61:23 62:7,19,24 63:2,4,6 64:1,15 64:16 65:12,14 65:16 67:10,12 68:6 70:16 73:18 74:22 84:15,17 113:13,19 115:2 115:8,9 119:9 124:1 126:23 128:25 130:2,7 130:15,16,20 132:4 133:9,19 133:24 134:1,3 135:19,22 136:2 137:20 138:9,11 138:14,19 162:21 174:9 186:6,13 186:22,23 187:2 187:5,5 189:18 190:3,8,25 192:25 193:4,5,9 194:24 198:20 205:22,23 206:3 206:4,7,13,17,22 207:1,5,9 210:17 213:10 220:21 221:4 225:15 231:15,16,17,23 231:25 232:1,4,8 noted 27:7 156:3 214:8 227:12 notes 45:3,12 64:19 101:7 185:3 202:13,14 202:16 notice 23:17 November 1:1 164:22 167:10 nuisance 174:13 number 17:21 42:5 60:25 83:20 102:18 118:1,19 210:24 214:15,23 215:10 223:12 numbers 211:11 214:12 numerous 18:16	O'Connell 143:15 144:10 145:9 158:22 O'Connor 1:3,8,11 2:5,7,8 41:7,16 47:2 48:20,23,24 81:3 84:10 86:6 86:12,14,15 112:12,22 169:1 211:5,19 225:10 229:24 O'Donnell 108:2 110:23 111:7,23 222:19 O'Donohue 115:17 119:4 objecting 38:15 objection 33:19,20 objectives 170:16 obtain 139:17 140:22 142:12,24 143:6 145:9,24 152:19 153:5 154:12,21 155:9 155:20 158:24 obtained 120:9 144:9,15,22 146:16,19 153:9 153:22 154:14 155:7 158:1,4 159:2,22 222:13 obtaining 145:22 170:1 obvious 3:5 44:12 72:7 118:17 203:14 227:7,10 227:10,16 obviously 3:8 18:10 23:5,13 25:13 32:2,3 34:4 43:16 44:10 46:11 47:7 53:16 74:20 81:7 83:14 91:14 97:10 98:6 101:6 102:13 115:16 119:15 120:15 133:3,24 137:9 142:21 143:15 160:15
N				
Nadia 41:18 208:23 naive 73:21 78:6 name 2:10 26:7,7 30:2 49:1,2 76:25 86:15 124:25 135:19 145:10 197:11 213:3 218:14,15 228:22 names 19:13 25:19				
			O	

184:10 197:5 198:8 200:18 214:7 227:5,15 occasion 13:18 16:5 28:3 30:14 57:20,21 102:2 104:7,13 105:24 120:9,20 122:14 136:11,12 231:15 occasions 7:9,24 18:16 148:12 184:19 occur 38:17 61:24 163:24 occurred 164:2 occurrence 114:9 October 82:18 145:4,16 147:22 148:1 153:12 155:1 174:10 176:7 180:25 207:10 216:4 217:6 228:6,23 odd 45:15 134:6 offence 183:8 offence/offences 210:11 offer 124:9 offering 56:10 office 87:25 89:2 111:12 165:7 166:13 officer 15:13,16 18:2 32:2 37:21 88:22,24 89:5 91:3,3 93:10 103:16,25 110:15 110:16 111:15 115:18 134:19 136:7 150:8 159:19 161:7,21 161:22 172:18 182:13 196:22 215:18,21 218:18 229:14 officers 5:21 6:23 13:2 15:22 16:6 17:4,17 20:10 21:18 22:13	26:11 27:21 34:15 39:11 42:3 42:7 51:6,25 57:11,15 60:23 62:6 64:18 77:21 77:23 80:1 115:7 121:7 161:16 187:23 197:21 Oh 18:24 29:5 62:12 71:16 80:12,17 OIC 160:7 219:2 okay 24:18,24 26:3 31:12 37:2 41:9 48:7 57:18 60:11 88:19 90:24 136:5 145:17 151:14 209:11 221:2 okay' 178:3 old 73:21 110:12 111:7,8 once 31:13,14 52:6 218:17,22,25 219:2 224:20 ones 82:15 175:4 175:15 ongoing 135:9,11 183:2,3 online 106:4 200:22,25 open 39:19,20 40:6 40:13 41:4 75:22 78:24 122:12 opened 122:11,13 122:16,16 opening 53:24 Operation 13:9 151:25 opinion 64:25 opportunity 23:14 36:24 50:4 oral 228:3 order 61:9 92:23 118:18,19 145:1 163:8 219:18 organisations 82:12,15 organised 167:7	167:14 orgies 198:4 orientate 181:2 original 154:18 originally 64:2 208:3 ought 151:20 outbound 155:5 216:6 outcome 204:9 outgoing 210:25 outlets 18:17 outlook 78:6 outside 199:12 208:25 overdose 192:18 222:10 overnight 51:3 114:4 overthinking 45:16 76:15,15 overtime 59:17	213:14 216:1,20 217:25 218:6 222:4,18,20,22 223:10,21,23 224:7,17 225:9 228:1 230:20,24 231:18,19 pages 96:20 114:17 201:18 paper 64:17 125:23 126:12 127:6,11 128:1,2 128:3,21 177:19 papers 123:16 paperwork 89:15 154:16 paragraph 13:16 13:22 16:18,21 18:4 29:23 42:25 43:1 44:24 45:8 69:7 75:5,8 79:14 116:2 117:2,24 123:10 140:21 165:5 166:10 170:24 171:15 190:23 212:18 230:23 paragraphs 29:23 139:16 141:6 parallel 84:23 part 21:15 29:24 39:24 44:3 46:5 49:20 55:5 69:1 84:15 103:11 117:21 130:9 135:3 137:2 138:2 154:24 157:23 160:23 161:24 163:17 168:3 176:14 191:19 197:24 198:3 214:22 215:24 particular 13:5 28:13 35:17 40:21 53:4 66:6 68:2 88:13 101:4 113:17 141:18 152:22 172:3	187:1 214:1 219:18 231:4 particularly 21:2 27:6 93:3 103:15 143:13 175:14 189:11 parties 80:7 167:6 167:14,20,24 168:14 197:23 198:3 220:16 partly 90:18,19 211:23 partner 44:16 64:11 67:17 118:11 150:21 169:5 205:6,11 206:7 220:17 partners 221:1 parts 27:4 114:21 122:1 135:7 208:20 party 40:10 68:10 73:16 99:16,22 partygoer 49:18 pass 62:13 92:21 passage 13:17 29:17 39:25 46:3 63:17 69:4 77:2 79:11 95:23 114:24 166:9 passed 98:2 192:25 passing 91:9 161:10 163:23 193:6 pattern 74:2 231:3 patterns 70:25 Paul 1:10 20:13 22:14 24:15 32:9 35:4,5 60:24 62:3 86:13,16 122:20 123:13 126:13,18 127:2 127:15 141:7 166:15,20,25 196:22 214:7 217:17 218:14 Paul's 165:6 166:12
P				
		PA 145:11 packed 4:22 packs 91:14 124:9 page 10:6,7 11:19 13:14 16:20,22 16:23 29:19,21 34:25 35:1 36:7 36:7 37:9 40:2 42:24 44:23 63:19 65:21 69:3 75:7 79:13 84:1 95:18,19,23 100:16,23 102:11 105:5 114:13,22 114:23 115:4 117:22 124:19 144:6 145:3 148:3,6,9 155:1 170:12,12 171:14 172:13 174:11,12 176:7 178:1 180:25 181:12 185:5 190:17 192:5 194:2 196:9,21 200:21 202:16 207:10		

<p>Pauls 56:24,25 57:7</p> <p>pause 181:2</p> <p>pausing 69:19 192:19,24 194:3 195:1</p> <p>pay 78:7 84:24</p> <p>PC 74:9 115:5</p> <p>Pearson 125:7</p> <p>pen 2:13,20 3:4</p> <p>penultimate 13:15 79:13 120:4</p> <p>people 34:8 48:5 57:9 71:22 89:19 91:21,23 111:3 111:16 116:21 127:25 135:6 138:1,20 149:22 160:18,19 167:23 176:10 187:16 188:2,11 209:11 211:20</p> <p>people's 159:25</p> <p>perception 64:8</p> <p>perfectly 221:8</p> <p>performance 197:18 223:7 226:7,10,13</p> <p>performing 134:17,24</p> <p>period 30:25 46:15 85:24 141:24</p> <p>permission 33:5,7 125:10 130:23 131:6</p> <p>Persaud 41:18 208:23</p> <p>person 6:9 17:1 24:11 72:19 74:5 74:10 77:14 78:3 82:19 88:23 100:4 103:7 113:18 119:9,12 132:6 137:6 154:18 175:2,13 181:2,3 194:5 214:1,21</p> <p>personal 45:11 85:10 133:1,11</p>	<p>133:16,19 134:2 134:4,10 165:2 186:13,17,21 187:2,5,6 191:8 191:10 199:22</p> <p>personalities 80:23 174:23</p> <p>personally 18:1 58:19 66:11</p> <p>persons 36:22 125:6</p> <p>persons' 228:10</p> <p>perspective 82:3</p> <p>perverting 99:22 108:13 112:10 184:11</p> <p>Peter 2:11</p> <p>phases 21:9</p> <p>phone 5:4 9:25 17:21 31:24 55:4 85:21 93:8 95:20 101:9 116:1 118:1,13,15,20 119:6 125:23 126:20 141:7,8 141:18 142:8,9 142:12,15 143:10 149:25 152:1,13 152:16,20 153:13 153:14,14,24,25 154:12,15,15,20 154:22 155:6 158:14 180:1,4,6 181:11 185:4 194:12 199:18 207:16 209:24 210:20,23,24 211:10,11,24 212:1,7,20,20 213:24 214:11,11 214:14,15,19,20 214:21,23,24 215:10,12,14,16 215:19,23 216:6 217:23 230:16</p> <p>phoned 10:24 11:4 11:9 12:11 17:20 82:17</p> <p>phones 123:15</p>	<p>199:14</p> <p>phrase 24:15 32:9 41:1 48:6 111:6 111:11,14</p> <p>phrases 19:15 25:20 186:24</p> <p>pick 26:10 58:3 76:24</p> <p>picked 202:4 210:19</p> <p>picking 37:9 63:22</p> <p>piece 54:7,22 55:9 64:17 125:23 127:6,10 141:16 151:12 219:11</p> <p>pipeline 3:16</p> <p>pivotal 171:9</p> <p>place 3:20 7:22 15:25 30:5 32:11 33:23 38:22 39:10 49:9 56:17 56:18 59:11 60:16 68:16,22 72:1,4 73:2 76:4 76:6,13 82:22 108:18 120:16 125:11 126:22 138:14 160:25 206:14</p> <p>placed 61:24 203:11,25</p> <p>places 84:21,25 192:6,9</p> <p>plastic 63:4</p> <p>play 39:19 40:9 43:25 78:13,15 119:19</p> <p>please 2:5,8,10 9:6 11:22 12:21 13:14,16 16:20 26:17 29:19,21 34:25 35:1 36:5 36:8 37:9 40:2 42:24,24 43:18 44:23,25 48:20 48:24 49:1 63:19 63:20 69:2 75:7 75:8 79:13 84:1 86:15 95:14,17</p>	<p>95:19,24 100:16 102:8 112:16 114:6,13,22 116:9 117:22 120:17 121:3 124:20 139:4 141:3,11 142:14 143:5,12 144:7 147:24 148:6,9 153:7 164:18 166:10 169:6 170:8,12,22,24 174:6 191:6 192:3 194:1 196:8,10,13,20 197:17 210:9 216:2 219:19 222:4,18,22 223:9,22 225:9 228:1</p> <p>plenty 90:22 189:12</p> <p>plus 8:17</p> <p>pm 112:17,19 194:3 204:15,17 232:17</p> <p>PND 114:25 116:20,22</p> <p>poetry 55:19</p> <p>point 21:22 23:20 23:25 28:1 30:25 31:1 37:11 56:10 57:18 62:9 71:20 71:24 72:17,24 84:2 85:22 87:1 90:6,24 91:8 109:5,5 126:7 132:18 134:18 137:4 141:20 142:5 161:14 165:17,19 181:6 183:1,3,6 191:20 196:10 204:19 205:1 219:17 220:22 221:17 224:8</p> <p>pointed 89:21</p> <p>pointing 38:24</p> <p>points 44:2 63:6</p>	<p>84:18 93:13 94:25 95:5 109:6 165:13 187:1 191:7</p> <p>police 5:21 6:23 12:25 13:2,6,25 15:13 16:6 17:3 17:17 18:2 19:3 20:10 21:18 22:13 26:11 27:11 28:10,18 29:1,6 30:8,11,12 31:2,5,21 32:2 34:8,15 38:1,6 39:11 40:5,7,12 40:13,14,22 43:2 43:11 45:16,21 45:25 47:8 48:4 48:10 50:19 51:6 52:6,14,20 57:9 57:10 59:20 60:23 61:25 62:16,18 63:24 66:25 69:8,12 71:10 72:11 77:21,23 79:17 79:25 80:6,8,10 82:1,3 84:4 86:22 89:2,11 103:16,23 116:5 116:14,18 117:24 117:25 134:19 136:7 144:15 145:15 150:8 155:7 159:19 163:12,13 182:12 182:21 183:1,15 186:6 189:9,11 193:12 194:21 203:18 206:23 207:8 209:23 216:7 221:12 229:3,14</p> <p>police's 72:23 84:6</p> <p>policeman 51:21</p> <p>policemen 52:1</p> <p>policing 227:17</p> <p>polite 51:13 75:24 75:25 81:13</p>
--	--	---	--	--

pondered 135:18	201:6 203:2	210:4 216:7	219:21	140:13 147:17
Port 83:10 99:21	practice 127:17	priorities 72:1	providing 91:4	150:25 159:24
100:18 101:16	precise 12:9	priority 23:16	92:10 160:2	168:10,11 209:22
108:13,18 110:9	precisely 133:7	prisoners 172:22	169:25 220:12	210:24 218:18
163:8 168:4	preconceived	privy 158:23	psychologist 73:1	227:5 231:14
169:5 177:9,24	34:13	160:17	pub 67:20	232:3
177:24 180:20	predominantly	probable 13:13	pull 43:18 178:19	questioned 221:11
181:8 182:3	87:21	probably 16:9,11	185:24 203:14	questioning
183:7 185:14	premise 125:6	31:24 32:23	purported 220:21	197:17,25 220:7
198:22,23 206:24	premises 208:5	33:15 34:10 65:1	purpose 58:25	221:8
Port's 42:8 111:20	preparation	66:19 71:2,18	108:10,11 119:1	questions 1:3,4,5,6
portrait 2:13,20	172:22	78:4 80:13 85:4	129:24,25 141:20	1:8,9,11,12,13,14
3:4	preparing 3:21	96:21,24 111:3	purposes 46:21	1:15,16 2:7 3:8
position 110:17	presence 2:2 48:19	115:25 116:23	81:9 122:23	7:4,6 15:3,5,12
156:11 162:2	89:13 112:20	131:9 154:11,11	139:12	19:25 22:23 29:6
174:3 191:12	204:18	176:18 190:19	push 19:4,16	29:13,16 34:1
192:2 207:18	present 44:1	191:1 206:23	pushed 19:21	36:23,24,25
positive 102:5	130:25 131:2	215:22 216:16	71:23	37:10 41:8,10,15
possession 145:7	presented 81:21	problem 33:10	pusher 74:6	41:23 42:1,5
possessions 202:3	preserve 171:4	57:22 81:5 160:6	put 15:1 46:3 59:4	47:3,4,5 48:23
possibility 47:10	press 106:11,12,25	problems 85:11,14	59:5,8 76:13	53:1 58:5 60:15
70:19 75:22	134:16 151:15	proceeded 183:8	79:19 92:15	74:23 75:13
98:19	159:14 180:20	process 34:11 39:1	96:13,16,17	76:20 77:8 81:4
possible 13:12	194:16 195:3,10	39:4 84:8 85:6	97:11,12 98:10	81:6 86:6,8,14
29:7 67:1 72:22	196:16,24,25	116:9 212:23	105:11 118:4	88:21 112:22,24
97:7,10,17 102:2	197:11 201:4,7,9	214:24 227:2	126:14 127:4,17	113:7 169:1,2,3
123:12 141:13	pressed 211:25	professional 24:10	127:18 132:24	176:23 181:10,18
164:13 166:16	pressure 25:2,4	121:13 135:3	142:5 151:18	191:24 198:9,11
210:11,14 225:10	93:18	professionally	156:2 158:21	200:8 205:4,6
225:11,13,14	presumably 61:11	203:16	159:3,11 171:21	209:20,21 219:14
230:4,14	120:12 140:4	promoted 88:7	175:8 188:8	219:15,18 221:15
possibly 28:4	149:4,24 165:8	proof 76:4	197:11,22 204:19	229:24 230:1,3
106:11 107:17	172:2,16 212:20	proper 67:8	204:23 205:1	232:13
122:18 128:2,19	213:1	properly 17:11	210:23 225:16	quick 10:24
129:5 134:17	pretty 32:21 60:21	26:16 39:17	putting 79:5	quicker 102:23
136:10 151:3,23	68:16 83:12	40:16 66:16 67:6	160:19 194:17	116:24
152:11	149:2,12 150:4	206:22 209:4,12	201:1	quickly 117:7,8
Post 17:7 18:10,17	150:22 151:22	property 123:24		193:12
106:18	158:8 178:20,23	125:18 223:14	Q	quiet 49:18 157:3
posted 87:1 88:2	179:6 193:12	protracted 121:24	qualified 94:8,9	quite 3:13,17 8:10
potential 44:20	prevailing 182:25	proves 185:6	Quay 144:8	17:22 23:6 28:13
116:1 182:18	previous 43:1	provide 90:10 91:1	Queen's 41:16	30:7 31:20,21
191:25 193:20	previously 23:7	91:13,20 92:7	221:15	42:6 52:4 55:25
195:23 200:14	primary 170:4,14	101:11 102:1	queries 206:12	59:2,2,14 60:6
202:13,21,25	principal 44:24	151:21 208:17	question 24:1	70:23 71:4,10
203:7 220:25	prior 45:24 93:14	provided 37:15	28:20 31:7 35:23	73:24 76:24
221:3	113:9 142:21	47:14 91:5	41:12,14 47:6,8	79:13 81:1 96:9
potentially 138:5	153:15 154:14	101:25 117:25	54:19,19 61:11	96:15 98:3
158:18 198:6	155:6 169:14	147:7,8 173:16	84:2 104:14	102:12 116:20
			126:22 133:5	

121:20 129:5 136:24 146:14 150:7 151:3,4 161:16,22 164:1 171:18 187:8,20 188:4 189:5,15 190:11,19 191:1 196:10,17 209:7 215:13 221:18 quoting 185:5	118:7 148:10 156:13 190:12,20 191:2,7 210:3 reads 26:21 ready 6:1 46:23,24 51:20 217:14 real 7:18 63:4 68:5 89:23 138:21 175:16 200:8 realise 127:9 realised 28:24 140:4 151:1 realistic 120:14 reality 180:15 really 14:4 18:7 21:4,8 49:17,20 49:22,25 50:25 54:4 55:7 66:7 66:21 67:13 68:21 72:1 74:11 78:6,21 82:20,22 93:9 100:10 101:9 117:19 134:11 137:7,11 156:18 161:22 182:16 186:18 191:12 207:18 208:5 209:10 229:12,16 232:7 reason 18:1 31:4 45:2,6 51:22 52:18 54:18,19 96:17 163:10 184:14 186:20 217:22 218:3 225:16 reasonable 109:17 reasoning 33:1 reasons 120:20 124:14 189:24 recall 9:24 42:18 43:16 61:3 96:19 98:5,24 100:20 101:1 103:23 106:9 107:6 109:22 110:13,18 111:24 124:4,6 127:3 129:7 133:3 136:18	145:19,20 146:12 159:2 171:22 177:10 178:15 182:5 185:8,17 188:13 200:17 202:10 203:8 206:4 207:16 210:3 211:14,15 216:18 recalled 84:13 102:16 111:1,18 received 5:9 8:18 11:25 19:2 41:2 43:10 50:17 53:8 53:8 54:15 118:9 118:24 138:7 156:11 157:16 173:11 182:2 211:5 receiving 42:16 54:2 recognise 37:18 recollect 185:19 recollected 57:14 recollection 83:21 83:24 126:16 recollections 46:20 reconcile 68:14 reconciled 133:14 record 100:23 102:16 124:17 145:8 171:17 194:4 222:22,25 228:5 recorded 37:1 101:20 102:22 103:3 225:12 recording 115:6 records 114:10 195:22 recreational 63:14 recurring 98:20 refer 13:18 131:17 221:5 reference 2:20 4:15 18:5 20:20 28:5,21 29:20 38:14 63:7 100:15 105:4	106:3 115:5 123:10 125:9 174:10 207:9 221:23 223:11 225:11 references 36:2 197:20 206:21,22 217:2 231:20 referrals 91:14 referred 39:8 63:25 113:18 115:2 127:6 135:22 137:20 145:25 152:23,25 206:17 referring 9:15 115:9 162:21 refers 73:14 212:18 reflect 175:6,11 177:14 223:1 reflected 222:5 224:17 225:6 reflecting 197:4 reflects 192:10 regarded 36:21 regarding 75:13 regardless 122:8 regards 44:3 99:17 99:21 112:3 136:19 137:3 142:2 158:13,14 158:15,21 165:20 190:24 203:1 212:12 217:15 registering 211:2 regretted 71:21 relapse 68:24 related 69:5 232:3 relates 100:18,19 114:25 230:3 231:14 relating 88:14 228:17 relation 71:9 153:8 169:15 175:21 188:2,3 198:4,11 215:4 228:5	relationship 102:6 121:13 205:7,17 208:10,12 220:9 relayed 64:14 released 43:21 relevant 133:6 220:16 230:15 reliable 152:12 reliant 100:10,13 138:4 religious 60:17 relooked 39:19 rely 99:2,15 relying 23:8 remained 87:4 200:9 remember 2:14 4:13 5:25 6:16 8:8,10,10,24 9:13 9:22,23,23 13:5 15:5,21 16:3,4,5 16:11 18:14,16 20:15,18,23,24 21:5,10 23:19 29:11 34:7,20 35:11 37:3 38:11 38:13 39:12 40:24 41:1,3 42:11,14,16,20 49:10 52:10,16 54:4,6,8,8,14,16 55:7,16,23 56:7,9 56:10,20,21 57:2 57:6,25 58:2,4,25 59:2,2 60:7,24 61:10,15 62:8 63:2,3 65:18 66:2,4,5,8,15 67:21 69:25 70:6 74:13 75:2 78:1 95:8,10 98:23 100:9 103:20 104:9,24 106:5,6 108:9,9 110:8 111:22,25 112:2 112:3 126:22 127:13,15 132:22 132:24 133:1,15 133:16,17,20
R				
R 147:25 racking 31:15 radio 172:23 raft 204:20 raise 140:12 203:13,15 raised 18:20 19:9 40:15 70:15 73:15 74:12 94:25 95:3,5 104:13 131:3 132:2 202:25 raising 77:12 rang 55:1,11 177:15 rape 78:10 rationale 232:10 reach 132:4 reached 193:12 react 74:12 reaction 22:23 28:2 185:4 read 2:12 16:20 23:14 25:14 28:20 39:6 59:16 59:18 63:25 65:22 67:10 69:4 70:16 94:21,23 109:10 143:13 146:24 150:7 156:19,20 160:3 160:5 166:10 173:7 176:6 177:8,18 190:3 210:1,5 223:10 223:15 230:11 reading 17:6 51:14 69:24 115:6				

136:11,14,17 145:10 167:22 177:22 183:22 184:23 185:1,4 185:25 186:17 187:4 188:18,19 197:24 209:5,6 210:2,14 211:11 216:13 225:3 231:8 remembering 55:14 remind 11:19 113:23 210:5 removed 51:12 removing 51:22 reopen 40:12,22 repeat 84:2 180:3 repeated 184:19 184:22 repeatedly 176:2 177:1 183:18 184:13 205:22,23 205:25 206:2 repeating 209:17 replaying 32:7 replied 179:17 183:12 207:17 reply 41:2 155:10 155:14 184:17 215:19 216:19 report 121:21,21 122:2,8 138:6 214:7 216:25 reported 50:19 144:1 reporting 195:19 196:17 reports 146:24 represent 41:13 42:3,7 81:9 204:7 representative 21:2 represented 41:21 request 119:8 212:1 216:5 218:6 requested 154:3,8	155:9 228:8 requirement 171:4 research 217:23 reserve 220:12 resolution 224:12 resolved 61:12 224:10 resonate 44:8 resort 3:22 resource 90:8 resources 17:4 76:14,18 160:16 172:24 180:17 189:2,12 resourcing 188:16 respect 85:10 212:7 214:11 215:3,12 respects 223:4 responded 75:14 responding 15:3 response 77:7 95:2 132:25 157:21 172:23 176:15 182:2 186:16 responsibility 42:7 42:9 84:3,6 92:5 143:1 154:4 157:14 203:23 responsible 75:20 160:7,9 214:2,4 rest 43:22 restarted 41:5 result 214:8 215:6 resulted 214:6 results 162:20 184:24 185:2,22 216:22 217:3,7 217:12 Retreat 3:20 retrieve 57:24 retrospect 79:22 80:11 return 210:1 224:15 returning 223:17 reveal 130:11 revealed 130:11 review 149:22	160:20 197:18 226:16,25 reviewing 158:17 Reynolds 3:20 Rick 55:24,25 56:1 58:18,19 64:3,7 64:10,13,14,19 70:10 76:1,5,9 79:7,15 83:1 Rick's 57:23 58:1 Ricky 3:12 4:6 5:14 11:6,17 12:4,7,12 14:1 15:9,24 17:8 20:5 21:17 22:12 24:4,6,8 27:17 28:15,17 31:16 32:13,17,19,23 33:1,10,11,13 39:1,16 40:14,16 41:14,19 44:16 45:22 47:14 49:8 50:18,21 51:16 52:7,15,17 56:6,8 56:10,12,17,19 61:24 62:7,12,13 62:19 63:15 64:23 65:5 118:12 120:25 123:19,25 124:11 125:10,16 126:5 129:20 130:20 135:17,25 136:20 136:21,22 140:22 147:19 148:3,7 148:13 149:4,11 150:2,22 151:15 151:24 158:7,15 159:23 186:9,10 187:10 192:15 197:10 205:6,7 205:10,17,20,22 205:25 206:1,4,6 206:8,12,19,21 207:1,11,15,17 207:24,25 208:3 208:7,9 209:1,2 209:13 220:2,17 221:4 232:4,5	Ricky's 5:13 11:9 26:7 40:8 56:22 126:2,4 191:11 191:15,19 207:18 208:4 right 3:1,7,24 4:18 5:13 7:9,12 10:12 11:21 12:5 12:13 14:15,20 18:3 23:18,25 24:17,25 25:15 34:19 35:23 38:15 41:20 43:7 46:17 48:2,11 53:16 55:1 56:13 60:5 63:23 72:1 76:10 86:3,17 88:9,18 89:4 95:6 96:3 104:3 104:18 105:10 106:14 108:17,23 113:9,14,19 117:23 118:1 125:16 133:17 135:20 136:13 137:17 140:10 141:15 142:13 147:1 158:12 162:23 169:11,16 170:2,4,17,23 171:11,20 172:14 173:1,5 174:1 177:2 179:6 180:9 181:4 183:13 184:16 190:12 191:4 192:7,12 193:24 194:1 195:17,21 196:10,17 197:6 198:16,18 199:21 200:12,20 203:7 205:11,24 206:8 207:3 208:1 218:25 220:13 221:7,13 right-hand 125:5 125:13,21 ring 118:3 rings 76:16	risk 45:18 85:24 138:21 river 2:25 robbed 50:5 role 88:13,21 89:16 90:3 91:8 92:3,4 124:9 134:17,24 137:14 137:14,15 160:24 169:22 170:4,11 170:14,20,25 171:9 172:11 179:1 192:12,16 208:4 227:18 roles 105:20 227:15 Rolf 131:9 150:6 213:20 room 22:21 24:13 38:25 47:19 57:2 77:14 82:8 125:18 188:2,11 Roper 118:8 154:18 155:8 157:15 215:21 216:11,15 rota 144:23 145:8 147:7 158:24 rough 63:13 64:19 roughly 40:20,24 94:11,15 round 6:18 81:25 110:22 128:20 156:17 208:1 route 78:12 run 40:16 160:10
S				
sad 22:1				
safety 87:18 178:9 221:24				
Sak 94:18 95:8 96:9 97:18 98:17 98:21 101:1 102:16 103:20 104:21 105:24 107:22 109:7,13 111:1,13 173:7 174:8 175:21,22 183:11 186:5,5				

187:14 188:14,20 188:21 199:16 200:20 222:23 223:1 225:12 Sak's 99:5 100:20 101:21 102:21 104:7 106:17 sample 14:1 20:22 45:22,24 46:1 47:9,14,19 125:24 126:9 132:15 samples 21:1 23:19,22 57:24 58:3 132:19 Sarah 94:18 95:8 96:9 97:18 98:17 98:21 99:5 100:20 101:1,21 102:16,21 103:20 104:7,21 105:6 105:20,21,24 106:17 107:22 109:7,13 111:1 111:13 173:7 174:8 175:21,22 176:20 179:4,23 183:11 186:5 187:14 188:14,20 188:21 199:16 200:20 201:6 222:23 223:1,11 224:18 225:12 sardines 4:23 sat 34:23 51:24 70:2 satisfied 38:7 100:25 101:2,21 101:22,24 102:1 Saturday 5:18 51:3,6 113:13,15 save 169:5 saved 204:4 saw 4:4,16 7:18 18:9 19:11 23:11 25:18 30:16 50:7 53:1 76:23 101:14 106:17,18 146:24 207:1	saying 6:4 8:24 11:3 14:16 15:5 30:15 31:9 32:2 32:6 36:11,17,20 37:1 38:15 39:7 40:5 43:11,23 47:11,18 54:6 59:23 65:23 68:2 69:25 72:18 78:1 78:14 96:9 101:25 102:16 110:9 111:25 133:15 134:14 136:12,13,24 147:2 150:1,2,21 152:2,7 153:11 155:3 158:7 174:17,19 176:20 178:7,14 179:6 179:17 181:13 183:11,19 184:15 184:15,22,22 186:10 187:9,11 187:22,25 189:8 200:25 201:11,12 202:24 203:6,9 206:1 207:14,15 208:7 209:5 says 12:7 19:14 27:1 37:13,22 96:23 125:15 143:17,22 145:7 165:5 166:11 176:16 181:1,10 181:16,20 190:25 196:11 201:3 212:19 216:24 scenario 210:16 scene 130:1 131:15 133:22 161:25 185:11 193:5 scenes 130:6 223:18 Schamberger 37:11 38:4,9 43:20 77:7 131:10 146:17,20 149:25 150:6 153:11 155:3	209:2,8 213:11 213:20 217:19 218:4 219:1 220:15,23 232:9 scheme 87:8 schooled 2:21 score 84:18 scrawls 21:3 scrawly 55:9 screen 9:5,6 10:6 10:19 11:18 12:21 16:19 26:17 29:19 35:13 36:5,6 53:6 54:9 63:17 69:2 75:7 79:12 95:14,17 102:11 114:7 121:4 124:18 126:10 127:7 139:8 141:3 143:12 147:24 153:7 154:25 164:19 205:21 207:5 210:9 212:16 216:11 218:23 230:22 231:19 scroll 38:9 100:22 170:13 196:8 scruffy 65:23 search 123:11 124:15,17 125:10 125:15 126:15 127:15 228:22 searched 125:19 208:4 searches 145:13 searching 124:11 124:11 seat 48:25 second 13:18 89:25 124:19 137:15 140:21 166:9 169:10 196:9 204:11 221:8 secondhand 206:9 seconds 217:12 section 7:13 36:9	45:13 80:7 153:10 216:22 217:12 see 4:23 8:20 9:5 9:15 10:17 11:7 12:7 14:8 15:4,9 15:22 17:25 18:12 20:1,19 21:24 23:13,15 26:15,20,24 29:22 32:8 33:1 35:14 36:9,10,20 37:9 38:14 44:5 44:10,13,19 45:1 53:16 55:8 56:15 57:8,19 59:7 60:1 61:14,23 62:7 63:24 64:23 67:16 69:25 73:5 73:5,7 76:10 84:20 92:13 93:3 95:17,21,25,25 96:19 97:10,12 97:24 98:20 102:12,15,19 104:16 106:1 109:5,22 113:3 114:10,15 115:4 116:2,7 118:17 119:15 120:3,8 121:1,6,15 122:24 123:10 124:24 125:2,5 125:12 126:8 127:1,6 129:9,25 130:1,2,7,15,16 130:20 131:14,17 131:22 139:16,16 139:23 140:21 141:6,21,23 143:20 145:4 146:11 147:25 148:4,6 154:1 155:1,8,12 163:8 164:21 165:1 166:3 172:4,21 178:17 181:11 184:8 190:21 192:1 194:23	195:6 196:14,23 206:4 212:18 213:24 215:3 216:15,22 220:11 220:14 223:9 224:7 225:15 226:24 227:9 228:5 seeing 8:6 12:12 22:24 23:6,7 33:11 39:19 61:12 131:18 146:5 seen 3:25 13:10 18:15 21:24 28:5 28:21 31:24 44:3 53:7,7 56:6 61:19 62:25 63:5 74:22 78:17,19 91:7 94:22 106:3 106:12 108:11 109:10 139:22 152:17,18 153:4 156:12 162:18 163:12 173:4 175:8 197:19 200:22,25 205:22 208:20 213:10 217:3 220:14 221:22 223:10 226:5 sees 32:23 seize 123:15 126:11,12 127:2 127:25 seized 125:22 126:11,13,13,16 126:17,18,25 127:3,8,9,11,16 127:16,19,19,21 128:21 send 54:6,7 55:5 149:7 sending 54:25 121:18 150:25 senior 41:17 91:3 208:22 227:21 sense 71:25 99:5 100:4 178:14
--	--	--	---	--

184:16 186:6,11 186:12 187:9,11 191:13,17 sensitive 51:10 120:15 197:1 220:24 sensitivities 44:20 44:21 130:10 232:7 sensitivity 62:10 sent 8:9 9:9 10:10 10:17 11:5,20 15:8 43:16,19 56:6,8 84:12 121:5,7 132:15 139:13 142:24 149:25 151:6 152:3 155:2 156:3 165:1 166:3 198:2 212:4 214:20 216:3 sentence 35:2 39:16 63:23 83:9 142:13 190:25 sentenced 108:18 sentencing 108:13 112:4,10 separate 72:24 93:6,7 separately 226:1 September 20:2 33:25 43:20 44:9 113:4 120:5,10 138:8 143:22,23 144:4,11 148:5 153:19 155:5 162:19 194:3 195:9,24 210:1 211:6 213:14 220:14 231:5,21 sequence 12:9 41:11 sergeant 86:19,20 88:6,7 94:7 110:23 121:12 140:5 192:14 205:5 207:11 211:6 219:20	222:15 229:6 230:2 serial 25:16 series 121:16 serious 169:19 service 173:11 set 13:1 109:3 141:1,25 158:16 159:20 160:20 186:6 211:20 213:23 218:21 224:2 setting 139:14 seven 8:1 129:7 142:20 146:13 154:14,19 156:20 159:3 216:14 sex 68:10 168:14 181:14 198:19,22 198:25 sex' 178:3 sexual 167:8,15 share 101:18 shared 115:19 135:18 171:24 sheet 40:6 67:23 shift 70:25 117:6 181:23 231:2 shifts 4:1,7,7 50:11 50:12,23 211:21 shock 29:4 shoddy 80:21 shop 34:22 short 5:1 48:17 98:16 204:12,16 228:20 shortly 221:18 shot 176:11,22 216:11 shout 71:22 shouted 79:8 shouting 38:25 show 15:22 33:5 36:8 62:19 67:1 68:25 70:2 75:5 120:22 130:24 131:7 146:1 152:1 158:5 180:17 232:4,7	showed 80:2 120:24 146:25 148:19 231:23 showing 8:21 133:23 231:25 shown 22:19 45:9 60:13 62:24 67:15 142:6 152:13 205:23 231:16 shut 97:23 183:24 sick 181:11 sickness 139:19 145:8 231:3 side 72:18 92:11 107:17 sign 13:4 16:25 27:15 45:12 67:21 68:3 149:7 signal 148:24 signed 13:1 27:16 124:25 149:9 166:5 216:21 218:25 significant 180:4 180:14 204:2 219:7,11 signs 70:2 silence 55:18 SIM 170:15,19 similar 17:8 18:5 38:7 139:6,12 160:15 166:25 174:17,24 175:9 176:14 187:8,20 188:4 189:6,7,15 189:17 192:20 194:18 196:4 197:20 201:11 similarities 163:18 164:2,10 203:24 204:21 227:12 similarly 188:10 simple 63:12 simply 58:12 180:20 210:23 221:4 single 90:6,24 sinister 78:20	SIO 90:8 91:2,9 92:7 155:10 161:8 170:15 208:16 219:3 sir 2:9 sit 2:8 22:8 61:9 149:4 site 141:14 142:5 211:1 212:11 219:8 siting 141:15 sits 218:23 sitting 8:3 22:21 76:2 82:25 83:1 90:19 144:16 Sittingbourne 52:13,16,21 situation 17:2 72:5 situations 70:8 six 102:15 165:6 166:12 sixpence 75:25 SKELTON 1:14 209:21,22 219:13 Slaymaker 1:10 8:7 15:14,19,23 16:10,15 17:1,17 17:20 18:2,6,8,21 18:25 19:3 20:11 20:12 22:10 32:9 34:6 35:4 43:3,5 43:19 44:9,19 47:18 48:3 56:16 56:25 60:24 62:22 69:25 70:6 70:13 73:10 74:8 74:9 75:24 79:3 81:10 82:17 83:8 83:15 85:21 86:12,13,16,17 91:17 93:20 95:15 100:8 102:10 110:20 112:22 114:14 123:13 124:21 139:17 141:7 145:13 149:11 150:8 151:11 156:4 159:13	160:22 164:12,20 166:8 167:11 168:10,24 169:3 173:4 178:2 197:7 204:20 205:5 207:11 209:22 217:1 219:15 229:25 Slaymaker's 24:15 46:19 75:11 86:3 sleep 80:19 sleeve 63:4 slight 71:16 slightly 70:21 72:17 92:3 96:25 161:14 slow 215:17 slowly 90:18 small 69:17 110:10 200:1 204:23 snapped 106:20,24 107:2 Snaresbrook 111:9 snippet 8:9 10:1 sobbed 79:1 social 5:4 solely 121:22 somebody 54:7 58:18 67:14 74:4 78:9 79:2,4,4 someone's 70:4 son 71:2 son's 23:12 soon 74:11 123:12 sorry 11:12 14:10 25:9 29:20 37:14 46:25 47:1 90:13 107:10 108:24 110:7 111:8 119:22 131:9 139:8 156:4 165:14 172:8 180:3 182:24 184:25 185:10 186:7 193:4 194:11 199:7 206:5 215:2 216:20 221:14
--	---	---	---	---

226:2 sort 3:22 5:10 16:6 16:7 21:9 24:10 38:24 39:2,23 70:5 72:2 74:24 87:19 89:14,15 89:16 91:13 95:1 103:16 121:12,24 134:13 159:18 161:24 165:3 176:19,22 213:3 sorts 74:22 sound 159:18 source 114:8 152:12,22 spa 3:22 spark 60:15 speak 43:6 65:5 77:15,23 90:9,17 104:5 140:19 143:10 194:5 226:10 speakers 89:15 speaking 15:2 42:11 94:12 96:21 107:10 110:22 124:11 129:20 163:3 special 25:19 specifically 16:11 42:14 156:9 specifics 136:18 spectators 38:21 speculate 145:20 speed 223:16,19 224:11,14 spend 22:5 spent 56:1 87:17 199:9 spidery 66:2 spoke 55:11 56:8 64:13 73:10 95:13 104:1 105:24 118:5 126:3 129:21 131:9 151:18 162:22 181:1 231:1 spoken 9:19 10:15	13:6 107:8 118:8 123:4 129:6 195:21 206:21 222:20 spot 60:19 sprung 68:2 stabbed 176:12,21 stage 7:2 20:25 51:15 61:11 91:1 99:19 119:13,19 122:2 137:1 204:12 216:12 219:2 221:19 230:9 stages 128:23 135:9,12 138:16 stand 77:14 standing 4:23 16:6 134:20 150:9 159:20 start 24:3 36:7 38:24 50:5 95:19 114:16 138:20,24 139:3 148:10 212:23 214:23 219:17 started 3:15 97:5 109:23 113:24 213:4 220:13 starting 68:17 77:24 138:20 162:11 starts 9:15 26:22 63:23 69:7 117:24 223:22 state 19:6,17,18 26:14,15 27:22 27:24 59:8 statement 12:19 12:24 13:3,15 16:19,21,24 17:14 27:7 29:18 30:14 34:25 35:14 39:8 40:1 43:14 44:24 46:4 51:9,14 57:13 63:18 69:1 75:6 75:9 79:12,14 84:9,11 87:8,16	91:18 92:17 93:9 115:7 126:14 127:4,17,18 132:25 136:20,21 139:17 140:22 143:7,14 144:9 144:22 145:22 147:2,9,11,12,20 147:21 149:5 150:2,21 151:4 151:11,18 158:1 158:6,7,15,22 160:2,3 161:25 162:7 186:8 190:16 191:15,19 208:3 210:4,6 221:19,22,23 statements 4:15 8:15 13:11 20:20 27:5,19 49:5 53:12 57:12 73:14 83:7 92:10 175:9 station 47:23 status 215:4 217:10 stay 19:23 52:17 126:4 stayed 6:12 22:13 148:12,14 step 3:17 90:2 Stephen 99:21 100:18 101:16 108:13,18 110:9 111:20 163:8 168:4 169:5 177:9 183:7 stepmother 49:3 49:20,22 steps 131:6 203:15 232:3 stick 196:12 sticking 61:22 Stoate 47:1,2 Stone 67:20 stood 28:10 stop 52:2 178:5 stopped 34:22 story 103:19 121:3	154:24 straight 11:5 13:17 147:20 149:24 178:5 208:1,12 straightforward 222:10 strain 84:22 strand 152:11 strange 19:6,17,18 26:14 37:7 164:20 stranger 181:22 185:7 street 176:11,13 strength 25:8 stress 84:22 stressed 70:5 73:5 strikes 181:21 striking 31:20 struck 27:6 67:11 134:5 149:10 stuck 63:8 110:11 stuff 18:19 19:16 32:25 55:9 58:1 64:4 78:2,8 stunned 55:17 stupidly 64:5 subject 139:1 submitted 180:11 submitting 180:14 180:16 substance 124:4 171:15 224:3 substances 123:16 substandard 179:22 substantial 164:9 substantive 176:7 success 171:9 successful 117:13 sudden 166:15 suggest 56:20 136:16 162:2 168:13 182:8 199:16 203:11,25 204:6 217:16 227:12,22 suggested 33:4	48:4 180:1,4 191:16 suggesting 68:6 92:14 97:14,15 97:25 173:19 178:16 201:10 suggestion 33:21 74:24 150:18 167:13 188:22 198:19,22 199:25 202:1 suicidal 134:8,14 suicide 6:7,25 23:12 40:11 44:2 44:4 45:9,10 54:18,20 55:6 69:9 72:15 73:24 81:21 82:11,13 82:23 115:8 130:2 133:23 136:2 137:7 138:19 192:18 194:24 220:21 231:17,23 summaries 177:5 summarise 168:16 168:20 169:24 172:5,7,9 173:14 193:19 summarised 186:21 187:1 summarising 194:11 222:6 summary 70:12 90:5 146:22 summer 3:12 4:9 4:12 50:8,14 Sunday 9:10 11:24 56:14 113:15 superintendent 118:5 119:8 154:16 215:15 superintendents 164:8 superior 151:1 supervise 121:23 121:23 supervisor 93:20 121:14,14 197:19
---	--	---	---	--

226:11,20 support 91:13,19 91:19 124:9 170:7 175:5,19 229:15 supporting 92:1 92:16 suppose 79:8 supposed 25:25 26:11 31:17 46:16 90:3 142:19 149:14 supposedly 206:15 sure 2:14 11:1,9 12:7,10,11,16,22 25:7 29:15 30:17 31:5,9 32:21 41:11 55:22,24 56:12 64:3 66:13 93:19 94:17 98:13 103:6,20 126:5 132:10 134:12 136:4,6 137:16 141:25 152:17 166:3 171:1 179:4 199:19,24 200:16 200:17 209:10,11 214:18 218:23 221:1 231:12 surely 135:13 surprise 35:20 123:4,8 surprising 110:11 surreal 53:23 surrounding 166:17 167:3 suspects 44:5 171:8 suspicious 25:17 26:11 27:21 suspicious 111:22 166:16 169:15 176:10 182:19 192:17 switched 118:3 211:2 swore 39:16 79:8 sworn 1:10 86:13	T	116:9 128:17 129:2 157:1 201:9 talked 48:2 191:20 200:13 202:12,21 talking 10:14 13:2 14:12 18:6 25:1 32:13 33:23 46:15 52:14 59:12 66:5,17 87:13 98:23 110:14 111:24 133:17 136:22,22 137:1 138:6,7 164:22 178:12 183:3,16 191:19 209:9,9 213:18 talks 120:4 190:24 task 122:18 154:5 155:16 211:16 213:4,7,9,16 tasked 93:5,11,20 116:8 122:20,21 127:2 139:10 145:22 146:9 147:10,12 150:11 150:12 151:6 153:13 155:20,24 156:9 157:2 166:20 167:1 208:2 214:18 tasking 121:19 153:4 212:6 teach 89:14 team 93:16 111:16 121:19 122:1 139:14 143:19 155:22,25 156:5 157:6 158:10 160:12 172:23 183:5 209:24 210:19,20 211:7 211:23 219:7 226:9 teams 146:20 tears 39:18 teens 68:23 telephone 118:14 120:13 141:17	telephoned 10:18 tell 3:10 6:18 9:19 23:19 24:8 25:13 26:5 27:2 28:10 29:22 45:13,14 62:12 67:22 69:5 73:20 76:8 89:9 90:2,11,23 101:8 104:8 105:12 115:22 119:9 126:9,10 159:4,5 161:12 165:10 telling 30:7 31:21 71:10 75:18 99:8 104:24 127:3,15 177:1 184:6 tells 100:23 141:17 temper 79:7 temporary 87:7,12 87:17 192:3 ten 23:11 tend 25:20 56:21 78:7 90:25 92:5 tended 116:18 121:20 term 88:22 159:14 terms 17:16 39:15 44:12 67:3 72:14 81:9,12 84:8 99:5 130:12 164:11 172:25 173:19 198:14 223:16,21 224:11 229:7 terrible 8:2 53:20 72:9 91:21 terribly 72:8 test 176:2 tested 35:19 40:6 testimonies 165:19 testing 135:14 176:19 208:23 text 165:3 texting 148:17,22 texts 5:6 148:25 152:1,2,7 textual 143:2 Thames 2:25 thank 2:8,9 12:13	24:18 35:13 39:8 41:7,23 46:22 47:1 48:12,13,15 48:20,24 63:21 65:11 76:19 81:3 86:4,5,9,10,11 105:23 123:18 129:10 169:1 204:14 205:2,3 207:5 209:20 219:13 221:7 222:12 223:5 225:24 227:4 229:22,23 232:12 232:14,16 thanks 15:11 41:9 theme 75:2 98:21 187:8,12 189:15 189:17 themes 173:14,20 185:24 theories 129:3 135:14 theory 180:20 186:6 187:9 thereabouts 49:7 68:8 Thierry 198:4 thing 3:22 5:10 7:19 10:25 11:3 12:23,24 19:11 25:15,17 26:13 30:24 60:17 63:8 65:22 68:22 70:1 70:23 82:13 101:16 103:15,16 110:10 122:4,7 132:24 134:13 136:19 176:22 179:19 181:21 183:25 188:7 205:24 things 5:6 18:4,20 32:1 35:15 43:23 47:7 60:25 61:3 71:14 74:15,18 76:3 83:23 85:14 93:7 99:10 103:7 103:8 107:1,17
--	----------	---	--	--

110:8 116:12 121:19 122:23 132:2 135:8 137:6 149:23 155:23,23,25 156:1,25 157:2,3 157:4 173:18 176:14,20 187:20 189:6 199:22,23 208:18 209:18 222:17 223:15,16 223:19 224:11 think 3:15,25 4:7 4:11,14,22 6:12 7:9,22,23 8:20 12:3,9,15 13:12 15:7 16:4 17:19 18:10,13 19:18 20:1,5 21:7,8 22:10,13 23:7 24:19 26:10,12 27:7 28:1,14,16 29:1,12 30:13 32:8 33:4,13 35:5,12,22 39:3 39:23 42:2 45:5 46:23 47:2 48:9 49:8 51:14,15 52:1,1 54:4,12,22 55:1,10 56:15 57:19,24 58:15 59:3,22 60:6 61:7 62:3,5,9,12 63:12 64:25 65:22 66:24 67:18,24 70:3,10 70:15,22 71:4,19 71:23 72:18,22 72:24 76:23 77:11,16 79:23 80:24 81:12 85:19 86:17 87:7 87:13,16 89:3,18 92:3 93:13 96:23 96:24 98:2,8,11 98:13,16 101:24 102:2,12,13 103:3,22,25 104:2,16 105:1,6	105:8,15,19 106:13 107:15,18 108:4,7,11,14,25 109:20 110:24 111:11 113:13 115:15,18,24,25 116:7 117:11 119:23 120:3,8 121:20 122:5,7 122:11,19 124:24 126:3,5,14 127:18 130:17 131:2,9 132:24 133:12,16 134:3 134:3,7,9,13 135:21,22 136:1 136:2,8,25 137:2 137:2,13 138:4 138:12,15,25 142:22 147:1,5,6 147:22 149:20 150:4,4 151:20 152:24 154:10 155:18,19,20,23 156:7,13 158:5 163:18 164:2,11 164:13,17 166:5 167:19,21,23,24 168:15,20,25 169:3,8,13,18 170:9 171:13,23 172:3,5,12,20 173:3,7,10,23 174:5 175:2,3,22 177:11,20 178:25 180:8 181:3,6 182:10,13 183:2 184:5,16 185:9 185:21 186:5,8 186:15 187:3,4 188:6,8 189:19 189:22 190:6 191:11,18 192:4 192:4,8 195:2,4 195:25 196:10 197:9,21 198:1,7 200:4 203:18 204:22 205:11,15 205:16,25 209:7	210:1,17,19 211:18 212:2,4,6 212:15,18 213:6 213:6,7,9,12 214:4 215:1,6,13 215:25 216:14 217:2,5 219:6,24 219:24,25 220:24 221:3,6 223:14 225:13,14 229:12 229:20 230:7 231:4,6,10 thinking 31:6 32:5 44:19 54:9,16 65:18 66:3 71:5 71:8 76:2 80:11 80:12,13,17 128:25 134:10,15 135:13 137:8,9 154:19 156:22 182:14 thinks 11:7 15:9 96:7 225:19 third 40:9 73:16 82:19 99:16,22 141:1 171:15 212:18 Thomas 94:18 111:18 222:21 thought 25:16 31:5 40:9 47:17 51:19 55:8 56:11 56:24 64:6,8 65:3,3,8 66:14,15 67:5,7 68:24 71:5 75:19 79:18 98:18 103:13 107:7 116:4 117:8 118:4 134:1,11 137:5 137:11 138:9 164:13,17 182:8 183:12 205:13 223:11 thoughts 51:15 70:12 79:21 thousand 25:23 three 20:14 21:17 21:18 29:23	69:13 83:6,8 106:13 109:21 110:2 114:17 139:9 141:6 143:5 147:16 153:12 157:22 162:13,25 163:25 164:4 167:5 195:19,23 197:13 197:13 198:14 199:25 200:18 203:20,20 204:23 204:24 205:9 211:8 three/four 106:7 Thursday 1:1 143:22,23 144:4 144:11 152:9 tie 35:8 209:23 tiff 71:16 time 2:18,22 3:2,9 3:15,21,23 4:4,8 4:16 5:15,23 6:1 6:3 7:13 8:1,2,16 10:3 11:5,10 13:11 15:7 16:9 19:19 22:1,18 25:14 27:23 28:21,24 29:9 30:23,25 31:5 32:4,8,12,13 33:12,22 34:25 38:18 43:1,5 45:4 46:15 49:8 49:16 50:12,15 50:18,22,22,23 52:7 53:20 54:5 61:4 62:25 67:11 68:3,7 69:6,16 70:13 71:5,7 73:10 75:5 76:10 79:17,20 80:11 80:12,17,18 81:1 81:13,20 82:5 84:13 85:24 87:13,17 89:4,22 90:22 91:5 92:17 93:17,18 94:11 95:11 96:23 97:4	97:5,20 98:6 99:1,16 100:12 100:14 103:11 107:8 109:6 111:1 113:9,25 114:19 115:18,25 116:11,16,21 118:22 119:3,8 121:14 122:5,7 122:11 123:7,23 126:19 129:22 130:1 133:12,14 133:21 134:8,19 135:10,12 136:3 136:12,15 137:12 137:18,19,23 138:8 139:10 140:4,15 141:18 142:24 144:8 147:3,23 148:13 151:8 152:2 154:10 155:18 156:8,22 157:5 159:15,15 161:20 162:10 163:18 164:22 168:9,11 172:14,25 174:20 178:2 179:13 183:18 184:1,6 184:10,21 190:4 190:7 192:22,24 194:4,22 196:7 198:10 199:9 207:1,23 208:13 210:8 211:18,21 211:23 212:3 213:16 215:14,17 215:17,22 217:12 218:11 219:10,23 224:10,22 225:4 225:6 226:9 227:2,10,11,14 227:16,22 228:9 230:10 232:5 timeframe 120:14 times 24:5,23 25:23 30:22 31:15 48:3 49:19 50:13 66:20
--	---	--	--	---

88:23 92:14,19 98:21 104:8 105:12 148:11 154:12 160:12 203:19 207:8,12 208:7 211:20 223:16 229:9 timing 11:18 tiny 54:22 55:9 title 216:22 today 3:8 36:12 62:19 91:21 131:23 142:21 174:16 186:20 189:19 190:6 219:6 today's 46:21 told 6:23 8:19 9:10 12:15 17:9 23:2 23:12 24:3,6 25:23 26:4 27:21 30:2,4,15 32:15 32:22,23 34:4,8 39:6 40:14 42:13 45:16 46:6,7,13 48:9 52:6,8 54:17 64:18 65:13 72:15 76:12 77:13 84:16 93:23 97:21,22 98:17 99:13 101:17 104:8,21 109:8 110:3 127:8,16 135:23,24 148:15 149:20 155:16 156:6,14 161:10 161:12 185:25 189:2,3 207:18 Tom 107:23 109:7 109:13 173:8 175:21 180:8 188:14,20 202:11 tomorrow 232:16 tone 99:5,13 Tony 167:23 top 11:23 23:16 35:1 125:5 147:25 164:21	165:5 166:11 170:12,13,23 topic 47:9 61:23 112:12 226:5 227:25 totally 65:9 touch 5:4 50:21 52:12 103:22 touched 60:25 tough 189:23 Town 29:24 32:17 74:14 186:15 toxicologist 224:14 toxicology 43:24 138:4,6 162:20 184:24 185:2 223:17 traced 181:11 track 218:10 tragedy 175:16 tragic 173:25 trail 155:12 train 4:17,22,25 trained 116:22 trainee 42:11 87:18 134:22 training 87:13 89:6,14 135:4 137:24 169:14 transcribers 90:19 transcript 36:2 38:14 39:9 76:24 77:3 109:10 207:10 208:20 transcripts 94:21 transpired 6:6 traumatised 42:19 treated 80:5 175:10 192:17 205:10 208:9,11 220:8 221:12 treating 69:8 194:22 232:5 trial 172:22 225:2 tricky 44:2,18 220:19 tried 6:4 52:4 65:25 76:1 105:21 154:12	175:18 209:14,16 214:22 222:16 223:16 229:13 trip 4:25 129:24 trouble 156:17 troubled 68:23 170:19 true 30:17 39:2 trust 17:3,10 try 9:5 18:10 68:14 90:17 98:10 115:13 119:6 134:12,25 138:1 138:1,2,10 155:24 157:4,9 171:21 172:2 173:14 175:14 178:19 211:22 212:3 214:5,19 216:15 trying 16:6 32:8 43:13 49:24 56:2 65:20 66:21 72:14 76:3 80:15 82:11 84:18,20 84:23,24 93:16 93:19 113:17 115:1 119:2 120:12 128:24 136:3,4,6,8 137:13,16 142:24 155:18,19,21,24 156:7,8,24 157:6 157:16 168:16,20 175:5,6 185:24 209:10 211:18,19 212:12 224:11 227:14 Tuesday 139:13 Turkey 95:11 turn 75:25 94:2 95:7 113:20,24 113:24 114:11 143:5 turned 62:15 74:24 81:25 turning 10:6 82:6 Turrell 121:7,10 121:23 122:16	123:5 131:10 139:4,13 140:5 146:18,20 149:25 156:12 165:8,15 166:1 192:14 203:19 211:6 Turrell's 212:16 twice 117:4 two 2:24 7:9 8:13 8:17 10:9 15:22 21:9 23:23 47:7 51:21 53:14 56:24,25 57:7,10 59:5 60:7 61:3 67:18 84:12 93:13 94:18 107:8 108:7 111:3 113:4 114:17 128:6 153:12 155:2 166:21 169:23 173:20 175:7 185:24 187:12,16 187:21,22 188:1 188:11 189:8,24 200:23 201:13 204:25 206:12,21 206:22 210:21,23 211:11 214:11 217:13 218:3 230:15 type 18:18 19:16 103:7 117:17 175:2,13 222:13 types 82:14 210:23 typical 176:19	92:23 113:1 115:1 120:20 128:24 138:10 186:14 190:7 192:2 199:5 213:25 214:10 216:4,21 217:9 231:6 understandably 209:8 212:24 understanding 20:16 24:14 34:11 59:7 72:23 92:24 121:24 130:19 132:2 137:24 161:3 193:12 195:9 198:15 209:24 understands 30:23 42:19 understood 59:22 120:2 130:14 168:6 179:5 192:11 199:8 undertake 116:4 117:3 155:16 undertaking 93:6 undertook 114:18 undoubtedly 189:20 unexplained 44:1 90:4 122:5 166:15,21 169:15 182:18 184:5,9 184:14,18,20 192:17 194:18 224:2 unexplained' 184:1 unhappiness 66:12 unhappy 27:10 uniformed 86:24 87:4 unit 87:19 216:9 universe 84:23 unmarried 208:10 208:12 unnoticed 30:7 unrelated 228:1
			U	
			uncaring 70:5 unclear 119:25 122:6 142:1,22 156:23 200:10 213:6 214:4 unconscious 78:10 undated 44:24 underneath 11:23 understand 32:25 41:3 44:13 47:13 61:18 69:16 85:16 90:15	

unsavoury 74:7	155:22 156:25	188:12,14,20	23:10 26:7 31:19	45:11,12 48:6,8
unsure 43:11	211:20	194:18 195:21	31:23 35:19	51:11 58:2 71:18
unusual 121:20	venture 73:22	196:2 197:2	49:24 54:16,23	72:6 73:2 78:4
226:9,14,18	verdict 35:9 39:19	199:15 200:2,6	58:17 59:9,11	80:5 84:13 92:15
unwell 2:17	40:7,13 41:4,5	200:13 202:11	60:17 62:11	99:6 101:23
update 96:14,15	78:24	221:24 222:21	65:22 67:21	104:2 108:22
101:25 102:1	verify 44:4	Walgate's 88:11	71:24 73:10	110:22 116:4
110:17 112:6	viable 185:15	167:9 196:12	77:13,17,18	128:17,18 132:6
114:16 137:3	victim 171:7	221:11	80:11 82:22	133:12 136:15
updated 184:12	179:10	walk-on 161:24	84:25 93:23	137:7 142:9
214:7 216:25	victimology	walking 61:10,19	96:18 97:13 99:9	148:22 152:3
217:8	136:21	74:13	99:17,23 101:2,8	160:21 169:22,24
updates 90:10	victims 80:5	wall 183:20	105:8 106:15	174:17 175:17,17
101:11 214:9	229:15 230:15	wallets 199:22	107:19 108:4	175:20 182:10
224:20	view 19:1 56:10	want 7:6 12:19	109:16 110:15	203:15 218:8
updating 112:3	81:7 132:4 133:9	13:21 14:23	111:21 119:19	221:9 229:17,18
upset 28:13 33:2,3	175:24 182:25	15:12 22:23	122:2,20 127:8	230:5
69:15 209:6,7	185:19 191:21	26:18,19 33:22	131:15 133:1,2	ways 173:12,15,18
upsetting 33:2	197:4	33:25 41:8 43:25	133:19 134:10	wearing 144:9
101:12 133:4,21	viewed 85:2	44:10,13 52:25	136:15 143:3,24	websites 167:6
177:11 220:25	132:13	53:6 59:18 63:17	144:19,24 146:15	Wednesday
221:6	views 61:25	71:23 77:17	152:10 153:3,23	149:14
urgent 116:11,19	violence 87:22,23	79:11 80:21 81:4	155:17 162:6,16	week 7:16 33:24
116:24 118:6	87:24	91:4 92:7,8	166:18 167:17	65:13 89:13
119:8 153:24,25	visit 59:1 60:7	95:23 105:20	175:25 176:3,17	94:18 100:18
154:15 215:15,16	107:23	114:21 117:21	176:19 177:18	103:1 108:7,19
215:19	vital 171:8	139:2,9 145:20	178:12,16 180:12	109:21 111:8
use 14:25 19:15,15	vitality 159:23	161:14 162:2	181:19 182:20,24	120:9,20 121:11
24:15 25:20	voice 40:15 65:1	165:18 175:11	184:6,19,22	129:12 153:15
39:10 85:23	165:25	195:25 196:25	186:4,11 187:8	155:6 179:16
96:10 111:14,16	voiced 27:21 66:3	209:23 210:5	187:11 189:17	212:14 216:7
149:12 186:24	66:4	216:4 220:11	195:21 203:10	217:4,7 224:20
230:22	voluntary 123:11	228:4 229:6	205:22,24 206:8	week's 212:6,21
useful 228:17	vomit 181:12	wanted 14:24 35:2	207:20,22 208:1	weekend 69:22
229:3		40:16 46:25	208:17 209:10	132:14
uses 71:17	W	47:25 52:9 60:1	215:7,8,22	weeks 2:12 5:2
usual 57:8	wait 65:8	60:12 66:11	219:24 227:16	31:18 43:24
	waited 64:19 65:6	74:20 79:9	watch 67:5	53:14 68:15
V	waiting 153:22	105:11 108:14	Waumsley 125:10	69:13 72:19
vague 54:1 112:5	waking 80:18,19	109:13 130:1,2	125:16 135:17	73:12 83:6 84:12
vaguely 42:14	Walgate 89:21	134:12,15 158:19	147:19,25 149:11	85:1,1 114:25
valuable 91:23	94:2,18 95:15	160:4 194:23	150:2 151:15,24	138:7 153:12
value 92:20 210:17	99:18 107:23	214:9 221:1	158:7 159:23	162:8 168:18,18
220:21	109:7,13 111:18	229:15	186:9,10 205:6	168:18 193:13
VAN 1:4,13 41:10	112:23 161:1	wanting 57:19	219:19,22 221:4	216:5 218:3
41:11,23 205:4,5	162:5,11,19	wants 90:10 196:1	Waumsley's 220:7	went 6:17 17:23
209:20	163:16 166:18	212:24	way 11:11 12:25	21:10 22:14 27:2
various 19:9 42:3	168:12 169:9	warn 10:16	15:1 24:16,24	34:15,18 39:18
116:12 122:1	173:8,24 175:21	wasn't 2:16 5:10	26:1 27:16 32:10	45:14,14 47:23
138:16 151:8	186:4 187:8,15			

52:1 55:24 58:1 60:9 65:8 77:3 82:10,12,12,16 89:5 108:1 120:9 131:19 143:11 148:11,13 157:3 178:3 181:14,15 208:3 211:6 212:14 weren't 12:7 64:2 134:20 135:1 147:12 150:15 156:6 162:8 Wharf 128:6 whatsoever 42:10 77:16 whilst 45:25 93:4 165:7 166:13 white 67:23 Whitford 125:6,16 Whitworth 1:2,7 2:5,6,8,11,12 3:9 7:5 9:4 12:23 26:19 29:22 30:21 31:20 33:22 35:2 36:9 38:11 41:7,13 42:2 43:1 45:1 46:20 47:3,6 48:13,21,22,24 49:2,3 69:4 75:10 76:20 79:12 81:3 84:3 84:18 85:6,17 86:4,7,9 88:13,14 89:25 93:4,5 106:3 113:4 119:7 122:3 123:2,11 125:8 126:21 129:13,21 132:16 139:18 142:6 143:16 144:11 150:18 157:10 162:13 168:1 169:10 173:5,24 174:17 174:19 186:22 187:10,18,24 188:9 189:2,4,18	191:21 192:15 194:17 197:2 199:15 200:2,6 200:13,19 202:21 203:1,2 205:7 208:13 220:9 227:7 231:1 Whitworth's 112:25 140:19 141:8 143:7 145:7 153:9,14 155:6 169:5 212:20 214:14 216:6 Whitworth/Kov... 162:5 228:6 Whitworths 174:17 186:1,5 186:12 Whoa 178:5 wicked 49:22 wider 43:20 wife 85:11 wise 92:9 wish 33:18 62:2,2 85:9 190:17 204:5 wishes 85:10 withholding 44:7 207:24 witness 4:15 12:19 16:19 27:5 29:17 39:8 40:1 63:18 83:15 165:19 169:13,18 171:13 171:16 witness/watch 94:20 witnesses 100:9 171:7 201:25 203:6,12 word 14:19 39:10 71:19 76:16 78:2 83:10 85:23 91:19 106:20 177:13 worded 198:8 words 9:24 12:16 14:3,25 25:19	35:10 40:24 41:4 42:18,20,21 54:15 55:18,22 55:23 65:21 70:6 71:9,15,17 77:21 81:15 82:18 83:2 83:3 96:10 97:21 98:3 101:2 102:16,25 103:4 105:1,11 111:2 111:21 132:5 136:16 149:12 183:20 187:25 work 4:2,5,21 6:1 40:5 51:20 56:2 60:18,19 74:2 84:24 87:19 91:11 93:8,14 109:11 110:5 114:18 116:1 118:13 121:2 134:25 139:20,21 140:6,10,12,15 141:8 143:6,7,15 143:16,24 144:2 144:4,11,18 145:7,23 146:1 146:11,14,25 147:4,8 148:12 148:16,20,22,24 152:3,8,13 153:9 153:14,24,25 154:13,15,20,22 158:2,5,14,24 159:4 163:22 172:12 179:22 180:21 181:23 209:24 211:10,24 212:20 213:4,24 214:11 215:14,16 215:19 217:25 230:7 231:4 workbook 165:12 165:12 226:14 worked 50:24 68:21 101:16 104:2 114:2 143:18 230:5 231:1	worker 50:1 working 3:23 4:1,7 17:17 50:11,12 59:16 114:4 117:13 144:23,24 165:7 166:13 197:19 227:18,21 231:11 works 91:10 213:25 world 78:8 worried 51:1 73:3 223:14 worry 30:21 31:11 31:13 57:4 90:14 90:22 worrying 5:10,17 50:22 worth 120:17 212:7,21 wouldn't 11:8 33:19 35:7 39:17 45:10,14 65:1 71:18 73:22 78:5 85:12 103:9,9,15 105:14 106:24 107:1 123:8 134:16 136:9 140:9 152:14 158:23 160:16 179:10 181:24 206:24 215:3 218:13,15 226:14 226:17,18 wreck 65:7,7 wrecks 85:24 write 27:17 96:21 98:3,9 112:6 149:4 167:19 226:23 writer 135:23 writing 13:24 14:4 19:19 21:4 24:9 37:18,24 38:2 44:4 45:20 46:1 66:9 97:3,5,6 168:15 written 3:5 16:25 27:20 40:3 45:10	96:1,5 97:25 98:1,12,17 115:7 133:10 165:4,14 165:23,25 177:4 193:23 195:13 202:14,16 210:12 wrong 6:9 29:20 64:24 75:16 99:8 110:19 174:3,5 174:21 175:1,12 177:3 179:24 180:23 182:6 184:4 185:6 202:24 203:6 206:1 207:15 wrongly 13:25 45:21 wrote 26:15 39:23 96:2,22,23 97:2 102:5 166:1 <hr/> X <hr/> Y <hr/> yeah 3:16 13:6,13 15:21 16:5,8 17:19 29:5 30:12 31:15 33:11 55:25 60:21 66:23 79:10 215:6 year 34:2 82:16,16 87:17 103:2 151:24 179:17 year's 120:17 years 2:23,24 8:1 8:17 24:5 30:14 49:16 50:3 71:21 87:4 99:3,3 129:7 132:12 142:20 146:13 154:21 156:20 159:3 205:8,9,17 205:18 216:14 227:17 years' 134:20 150:8 159:20 yesterday 106:13 162:23 195:18 Yinka 9:9 141:25
---	--	--	--	---

142:18,22 154:6 156:16,23 167:21 198:2 young 28:17 81:18 162:25 167:19 197:13 198:14 199:12,14 200:23 201:13	1645 149:3,13 169 1:12 18 88:8 95:19 120:5 143:22,23 144:4,11 19 207:10	121:6 152:24 23 95:10,21 170:23 170:24 222:19 223:2 230 1:16 23rd 67:19 24 5:15 114:6 178:1 25 43:20 44:9 172:14 213:14 220:14 25th 67:19 26 210:10 27 100:16 142:7 148:16 149:14 150:3,19 157:11 27/28 70:21 152:14 27th 157:25 158:9 28 30:5 100:17 110:9 119:16 139:20,22,25 140:6,12 141:13 144:18 146:2,10 152:9 191:18 212:25 231:5,5 231:11 28th 68:8 140:3 145:24 146:11,14 147:4,18 152:4 158:2,6 159:5 28thth 146:25 29 110:9 194:3 195:9,24	201:18 37 185:5 201:18 38 139:5 39 110:10	9th 83:9	
<hr/> Z <hr/>	<hr/> 2 <hr/>		<hr/> 4 <hr/>		
zone 79:21 zoom 13:15,16	2 1:2,3 35:3 36:7 42:24 171:14 172:13 202:16 206:16 222:18 2.00 114:1 20 6:13 11:25 26:18 56:7 95:23 120:10 153:19 155:5 225:9 2009 86:22 2010 148:4 205:8 2011 49:6,16 148:5 205:9 2013 87:10 2014 3:10,12 32:5 33:25 43:20 50:8 71:6 87:14 88:1 89:3 94:11 95:10 105:25 139:20 145:16 148:1 164:22 167:10 169:8,14 175:10 228:6 2015 13:10 34:2 175:11 207:2 208:21 2017 8:17 16:25 17:14 43:14 84:11 2020 88:8 2021 1:1 205 1:13 209 1:14 20th 113:13 21 210:1 219 1:15 21st 11:24 22 113:4 148:14 174:10 176:7 180:25 211:6 2259 222:20 22nd 84:9 85:7	24 5:15 114:6 178:1 25 43:20 44:9 172:14 213:14 220:14 25th 67:19 26 210:10 27 100:16 142:7 148:16 149:14 150:3,19 157:11 27/28 70:21 152:14 27th 157:25 158:9 28 30:5 100:17 110:9 119:16 139:20,22,25 140:6,12 141:13 144:18 146:2,10 152:9 191:18 212:25 231:5,5 231:11 28th 68:8 140:3 145:24 146:11,14 147:4,18 152:4 158:2,6 159:5 28thth 146:25 29 110:9 194:3 195:9,24	4 1:1 29:19,21 63:19 75:7 114:23 4.25 232:17 4/11 217:19 40 180:25 41 1:4 181:12 412 63:20 413 63:20 42 1:5 45 174:11 228:2 46 154:24 230:19 230:20 47 1:6 145:1 153:6 48 1:7,8	<hr/> 5 <hr/>	
<hr/> 0 <hr/>	<hr/> 1 <hr/>		<hr/> 6 <hr/>		
<hr/> 1 <hr/>			<hr/> 7 <hr/>		
1 155:9 192:5 196:21 206:14 213:17 1.45 112:16,19 10 7:16 20:2 23:1 40:3 63:22 94:15 224:7 228:6,23 10.00 2:1 232:16 232:18 10.36 228:7 10.52 11:20 100 107:20 117:11 146:3,4 150:5 200:17 218:20 231:12 11 155:1 216:4 224:17 11.00 53:8 114:1,2 11.01 48:16 11.13 121:6 11.14 11:25 11.16 48:18 119 207:10 12 75:8 207:12,16 12.44 196:11 12.53 112:17 13 37:9 145:4 153:12 207:10 14 176:7 15 43:24 48:15 172:14 174:11 190:18,23 16 217:6 16/10 217:17,18			5 16:20 34:25 40:2 69:3 117:22 194:2 213:18,19 223:21 52 174:12 228:1 54 155:1 216:1 55 36:6 57 192:4,6 58 231:18		
		<hr/> 3 <hr/>	<hr/> 8 <hr/>		
		3 10:7 13:14 35:3 36:7 44:23 84:1 102:11 114:13 190:17 223:10 3.00 144:5 3.33 204:15 3.45 204:17 3.50 194:3,9 30 9:3 20:2 148:17 172:6 207:8 231:21 33 170:12 222:4 34 121:4 141:3 35 124:17 36 127:7 200:21	6 148:17 6.30 148:23		
			<hr/> 9 <hr/>		
			7 147:22 148:1 7.30 148:25		
			8 213:19 231:18,19 800 185:11,13 81 1:9 86 1:10,11		
			9 79:13 94:15 90 213:14 216:20		