

<p>1 Tuesday, 9 November 2021 2 MR ROLF SCHAMBERGER (continued)2 3 Questions from MS HILL2 4 Questions from MR SKELTON72 5 Questions from MR DAVIES88 6 Questions from THE JURY114 7 MS DEBORAH TURRELL (affirmed)118 8 Questions from MR O'CONNOR118 9 Questions from MR STOATE207 10 Questions from DR VAN DELLEN245 11 Questions from MR SKELTON246 12 Questions from MR DAVIES258 13 Questions from THE JURY263 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p>	<p>1 Q. That is Mr Kirk? 2 A. It is, yes. 3 Q. So the system in which you were working at the time, and 4 just for the reference it is IPC408, internal page 3, is 5 that all of the detective inspectors were acting up and 6 then the one detective inspector that was in place was 7 also himself acting up, is that right? 8 A. That's correct. 9 Q. You have explained in your witness evidence, I am sure 10 your counsel will take you to the detail of it, that at 11 this time you had several different teams that you were 12 responsible for, as well as the role that you had in 13 CID, correct? 14 A. Yes, that's correct. 15 Q. You have explained that, effectively, when you became 16 SIO for the Whitworth and Kovari investigation, that was 17 not a role I think you had held specifically before, is 18 that right? 19 A. No, and specifically in relation to an SIO role 20 I wouldn't have been qualified to hold an SIO role as 21 such, because that is a specific level of training that 22 I hadn't had. 23 Q. Bring up, please, IPC408, internal page 2, paragraph 3. 24 Again, I am sure your counsel will take you through the 25 detail of this but, is this right, that your evidence is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p>
<p>1 (10.00 am) 2 (In the presence of the jury) 3 THE CORONER: Good morning, members of the jury. 4 Ms Hill. 5 MR ROLF SCHAMBERGER (continued) 6 Questions from MS HILL 7 MS HILL: Good morning, Mr Schamberger. As I think you know 8 I ask questions on behalf of the families of all four of 9 those murdered by Stephen Port, save for 10 Daniel Whitworth's partner, who has his own lawyer. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. We heard yesterday that at the time of 13 Daniel Whitworth's death you were an acting detective 14 inspector; I think that is right? 15 A. That is correct, yes. 16 Q. I think you explained that you had been in that role for 17 just one working week before Daniel's body was found? 18 A. Yes, that's correct. 19 Q. You have given evidence in your witness statement, and 20 I think the jury have heard this already but is this 21 right, that at that time on the borough, there were in 22 fact no substantive detective inspectors? 23 A. That's correct. The only substantive detective 24 inspector at the time was the acting detective chief 25 inspector, but there were not --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p>	<p>1 that normally an SIO -- this is paragraph 3, I think -- 2 would be a detective chief inspector, correct? 3 A. Or occasionally a detective inspector. 4 Q. With significant experience? 5 A. Yes, and the requisite training course. 6 Q. Just taking the first part of that, an SIO is normally 7 a detective chief inspector? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. You were not that, correct? 10 A. No, that's correct. 11 Q. You were not even, if I may say, at the rank below, you 12 were acting up in the rank below? 13 A. Yes, that's correct. 14 Q. As far as your training is concerned, your evidence has 15 been here, paragraph 3, that normally an SIO would have 16 specialist training. You say here you had not done 17 an investigating officer's course, a senior 18 investigating officer's course or a detective 19 inspector's course. Is that right? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. We heard quite a lot already about PIP1, PIP2, PIP3, 22 which PIP were you? 23 A. 2. 24 Q. So you did have sufficient experience, when we tried to 25 understand this with Inspector McCarthy he said that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p>

<p>1 PIP2 would be what would be expected to lead 2 an investigation into a robbery or a GBH, correct? 3 A. That is the PIP level ascertained through being 4 a detective constable. 5 Q. Yes, but PIP3 is what is needed to investigate murder 6 and homicide? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. PIP2 though is one above PIP1, which I think he said is 9 what you would expect of uniformed colleagues, but PIP2 10 is sufficient training to investigate things less than 11 a homicide, is that right? 12 A. As far as I know, yes. 13 Q. Again, your counsel will no doubt take you through this, 14 but you have made the point later in your witness 15 statement, and we can take that down, please, that you 16 didn't know the team that you were being asked to lead 17 very well, personally or professionally, is that right? 18 A. That's right. 19 Q. Isn't that the first thing a leader does, is to sit down 20 with a team and say "let's get to know each other and 21 I will get to know you professionally", isn't that 22 an obvious thing to do? 23 A. It would have been, but there were some limitations 24 around the location, my capacity and also shifts. 25 Q. Well, again, your counsel will no doubt go through this</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 A. That option would have been available, I think. 2 Q. I would like to ask you now a little bit more broadly, 3 if I may, about the system in which you were working, 4 appreciating that this was no doubt a busy and difficult 5 time for you. 6 One of the very first things you said yesterday in 7 your evidence, it is page 65 from the Day 25 transcript, 8 was that you were trying to work in a more safe 9 environment, where we can actually give each case the 10 attention it deserves. It seems clear from your 11 evidence that that is not the system you felt you were 12 working in? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. You felt you were working in a system that was not safe 15 and not one where every case got the attention it 16 deserves; is that fair? 17 A. The increasing workload and the stretching of staff, 18 yes. Yes, it led to that. 19 Q. We don't need to bring it up, but at MPS779 we have seen 20 the email from borough commander Ewing, where he 21 described being really unhappy, okay, about something 22 else different in the system. He said he was really 23 unhappy about the system of the MIT team simply giving 24 advice in cases of potential homicide. It seems clear 25 from reading that email that he felt that was not a safe</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>
<p>1 with you, but we know you are based a Roycraft House, 2 and that is a local authority not a police building, is 3 that right? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. That was because of the multidisciplinary nature of your 6 work on safeguarding and child sexual exploitation and 7 things like that; is that right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Again, if I have understood your evidence yesterday 10 correctly, you made the point that one of your other 11 teams, the missing persons team, was not based in that 12 location, in fact they were sometimes based at Fresh 13 Wharf, weren't they? 14 A. At times, yes. 15 Q. Again, the fact that your team were not in the same 16 building as you is not an insuperable problem, is it? 17 A. It is people that I had worked with before who I knew 18 a lot better and had, as a sergeant, already worked 19 with. 20 Q. We have heard though some evidence already about back in 21 2014, having what might be considered now quite retro 22 telephone meetings, where people rang in for meetings. 23 Again, the fact that someone is not in the same place as 24 you doesn't mean that you cannot manage them 25 effectively, does it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>	<p>1 system, all right, so that is a different part of the 2 system, it is before it gets to you, if you like, he is 3 saying, on the face of that email, "I don't think this 4 is a very safe system", where you have a potential 5 homicide and all the MIT team do is give advice. 6 A. Okay. 7 Q. Is that a conversation you ever had with him? 8 A. Not with Mr Ewing, no. 9 Q. Or with Mr Wilson or anybody else senior? 10 A. Possibly, but not that I recall. 11 Q. Isn't what you said to the jury yesterday about the 12 system that you were working in the other half of the 13 problem? So he is saying it is not safe that all the 14 MIT team do is give advice, and you are saying it is not 15 safe that on the borough we are expected to investigate 16 these cases. Aren't these the other side of the same 17 problem, two different types of a lack of safety? 18 A. Well, it is two different -- what seems to be resourcing 19 issues in two different parts of the MPS, one on the 20 borough, one in the specialist command. 21 Q. These are the type of systems, aren't they, where 22 mistakes are going to be made? 23 A. Yes, it's very likely, yes. 24 Q. And indeed where very serious mistakes are going to be 25 made?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

<p>1 A. Very likely again, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Those very serious mistakes were made on your watch in</p> <p>3 your team?</p> <p>4 A. Some of those mistakes were made, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Just to be clear, some of those mistakes, in fairness,</p> <p>6 are not really anything to do with rank, with training,</p> <p>7 with time, or with pressure, because some of these</p> <p>8 failings are a failure to follow through clear obvious</p> <p>9 and important lines of enquiry. That is right,</p> <p>10 isn't it?</p> <p>11 A. I think what is obvious can be different from</p> <p>12 circumstance to circumstance, so what is obvious looking</p> <p>13 back would not necessarily have been as obvious in the</p> <p>14 situation that people, including myself, were in at the</p> <p>15 time. So in a general nature I can't agree, but on</p> <p>16 a ...</p> <p>17 Q. We will look in a little further detail at each of the</p> <p>18 failings and it may be that some of them you had had</p> <p>19 clearer advice on than others, all right --</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. -- but the broad point that I suggest to you is that</p> <p>22 many of the failings that we will come to look at cannot</p> <p>23 be explained away by the fact that you were acting up or</p> <p>24 the fact you were busy, do you understand?</p> <p>25 A. I understand what you are saying, but I don't know if</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p>	<p>1 particularly intrusive supervision and care and</p> <p>2 attention, isn't that right?</p> <p>3 A. The other parts of the business were also high risk</p> <p>4 safeguarding matters, so it is hard to differentiate</p> <p>5 what is more important when there are a lot of high risk</p> <p>6 things happening all at one time.</p> <p>7 Q. You will no doubt be asked further questions about the</p> <p>8 reasons why things went so badly wrong. It may be that</p> <p>9 of the teams who sit behind me, the Metropolitan</p> <p>10 Police's position is that you knew what you had to do,</p> <p>11 you had the resources and you just didn't do it, and</p> <p>12 that your counsel says you were far too busy and too</p> <p>13 stretched to investigate these homicide cases.</p> <p>14 Just to be clear, from my clients' position, they</p> <p>15 may well both be right. What that means is the Met's</p> <p>16 system let them down and the Met individual officers let</p> <p>17 them down. Do you understand?</p> <p>18 A. I understand.</p> <p>19 Q. Mr Pape gave evidence -- and I see he is here now --</p> <p>20 last week that the level of incompetence he had</p> <p>21 identified in this investigation was so great that he</p> <p>22 was forced to assume that homophobia had played a part.</p> <p>23 Did you hear that part of his evidence?</p> <p>24 A. I did not.</p> <p>25 Q. Certainly that is what he said he believes. Just to be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p>
<p>1 I can fully agree with that.</p> <p>2 Q. You must have appreciated when you were asked to assist</p> <p>3 with the Whitworth and Kovari investigation that these</p> <p>4 were really important cases?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. DCI Kirk said in his evidence, Day 22, pages 199 and</p> <p>7 171, that it was very rare for CID to remain involved at</p> <p>8 all after a special post mortem and that putting you in</p> <p>9 charge was the absolute best he could do. All right, so</p> <p>10 he said that putting you on this case and putting</p> <p>11 Mr McCarthy on the Walgate case, he wouldn't normally</p> <p>12 have an inspector supervising quite so closely, but he</p> <p>13 did that because these cases were so difficult and</p> <p>14 important. Did you understand that?</p> <p>15 A. I don't think fully, no.</p> <p>16 Q. These were borderline homicide command cases, weren't</p> <p>17 they?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. These were cases that might have involved two young men</p> <p>20 being murdered?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. There could be no more serious kind of case for you to</p> <p>23 be asked to oversee, that surely is fair, isn't it?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Of all the things you had to do, these cases needed</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p>	<p>1 clear, that is also what my clients have said to this</p> <p>2 jury they believe. Do you understand?</p> <p>3 A. I understand.</p> <p>4 Q. I would like to move now please to ask you some</p> <p>5 questions about the Walgate investigation. Although it</p> <p>6 was initially put to you that you played no part at all</p> <p>7 in that, in fact you quite fairly corrected counsel by</p> <p>8 reminding everybody that you had carried out a PND check</p> <p>9 in the Walgate case, isn't that right?</p> <p>10 A. That's right, that is something that initially had</p> <p>11 escaped my mind but came to my attention through</p> <p>12 I believe an email I had received.</p> <p>13 Q. I think in fairness you volunteered this at the end of</p> <p>14 your evidence yesterday, we have got that point.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Can I bring up, please, IPC141, internal page 1, which</p> <p>17 I am hoping is the email exchange -- can we just scroll</p> <p>18 down through the email, please, to the timings here. If</p> <p>19 we go right down to 19 June at 8.49. Do you see that</p> <p>20 there is a reference made there to a death on the</p> <p>21 borough. We can take this quite shortly, there are some</p> <p>22 communications with Humberside and then there is</p> <p>23 a request for you to do it. Can we see the 10.12 entry,</p> <p>24 please.</p> <p>25 Just carry on up, do you see just there there is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p>

<p>1 a request to you: 2 "Sarge, I believe you are aware of this request, 3 could you please do a PND check on the victim below?" 4 Do you see that? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Go up, please, you reply very promptly, pause there, and 7 by 12.03, do we see at the top of that page -- 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Can we just take down off the screen and just screen in 10 please on the very top four lines: 11 "PND research on Anthony Walgate." 12 So 12.03, you provided an answer in just over two 13 hours, despite how busy you were, and you gave the 14 references from the Police National Database about 15 Anthony, correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Then scroll down, please, below, we don't need any of 18 the detail, it is quite sensitive and I would rather 19 just go right down please to the passage that begins, 20 "Nothing very recent ..." 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. "Nothing very recent on Walgate, there may be further 24 information from the PND depending on what else emerges 25 in the enquiry."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p>	<p>1 Q. I see, but certainly the PND was something you were 2 using in the course of your other detective sergeant 3 work? 4 A. Safeguarding work, yes. Yes. 5 Q. Right. 6 Just turning then to Mr Walgate specifically, you 7 knew that you had done that check. Is this a fair 8 summary, that you had no direct contact with the Walgate 9 case at all until later in the summer of 2014, is that 10 right? That apart from doing that check, you played no 11 direct role in the Walgate case? 12 A. No, that's correct. 13 Q. Did you not hear at all any chatter about this case, it 14 was in the local media, we heard from Mr Ewing that 15 there was a need for an unprecedented intervention with 16 the MIT team and there was this very dramatic 17 development of Mr Port being identified and then 18 changing his account in interview to one in which he 19 dragged a dead body out of his house and left it outside 20 and then called the ambulance. Did none of that reach 21 you at all? 22 A. Not that I recall. 23 Q. There cannot have been any other case, surely, I would 24 hope on the borough at that time where someone had 25 dragged a dead body out of their house, lied to the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p>
<p>1 Then this: 2 "Let me know if you need anything else." 3 Is that right? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Just take that down, please, I think it is the case, 6 isn't it, that you were not asked at any point to carry 7 out any further PND checks in the Walgate case? 8 A. Not as far as I recall, no. 9 Q. We have heard a bit of evidence how only certain numbers 10 of people on the borough had the permission to do those 11 checks, but you were plainly one of those people? 12 A. Yes, it was required for the multi-agency work, so 13 I believe that is why I got the request. 14 Q. Plainly, if you had ever been asked to do another check, 15 you could have provided the answer in under or just over 16 two hours, as we have just seen you did? 17 A. Not necessarily. It depends -- I mean on that 18 particular day I must have been in front of a terminal 19 at a position to action this. 20 Q. Were there other people that had those permissions, 21 presumably in your team? 22 A. Yes, I think so but not many in that time. The system 23 was increasingly not very common to access, but then 24 became more common, so I can't recall how many licences 25 were given at what point.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p>	<p>1 police and called the ambulance. Are you sure that this 2 didn't reach you in any way? 3 A. I don't recall any details. I mean where I was working 4 was mostly separate, including the other units I was 5 managing at the time, which were based in different 6 places as well. It was separate from the main CID 7 office at Fresh Wharf and I don't recall regularly 8 receiving, I don't know, briefing documents or other 9 documents like that. 10 Q. All right. 11 I would like to move on now to ask you some 12 questions about the Gabriel Kovari investigation, all 13 right. Just to be clear, I am asking you some questions 14 now about the period of time between when Gabriel was 15 found dead on 28 August and when Daniel was found dead 16 on 20 September. All right? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Not because I am suggesting that you were directly 19 involved in this investigation, okay, because we will 20 hear -- we have already heard to some degree -- that CID 21 didn't really investigate Gabriel's death at all until 22 Daniel was found, all right? 23 A. No. 24 Q. The reason I ask you questions about this phase, this 25 period of just under a month, is because you inherited</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p>

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

<p>1 this investigation that had not been a CID investigation 2 and therefore it is reasonable to expect you understood 3 what had happened in it. Do you understand? 4 A. I understand. 5 Q. If we can bring up, please, on screen IPC284, 81, the 6 jury's bundle, tab 9, this is the email that was sent by 7 DS Sweetman after he had effectively closed the scene. 8 That must be the wrong reference. Forgive me, it is 9 IPC284, internal 81. It is jury bundle, tab 9, and 10 forgive me if I've got the wrong reference. Bear with 11 me a second. It is the Sweetman email and I have it -- 12 forgive me, it is my mistake. 13 It is IPC81, I am so sorry. IPC81, internal page 1, 14 tab 9. Thank you very much. 15 This is the email that we know was sent on 28 August 16 by DS Sweetman, effectively closing the scene of 17 Gabriel's death and passing the case to the coroner? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. We can see that from the very bottom of email, can't we, 20 where he says: 21 "Naturally the coroner will conduct his 22 investigation and we may get the answer from that." 23 Do you see that? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. If we look at the Merlin report, which I would hope</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 in answer to a question about what he did with Mr Pape's 2 statement, that he just sent it to the coroner. All 3 right, that he didn't give it to his sergeant 4 PS Goddard, he just sent it to the coroner. 5 At that point it seems very clear that the Gabriel 6 investigation is all about liaising with the coroner, 7 isn't that what is the impression given to you? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. I think, it is fair to say, isn't it, from that, that 10 there is no real urgency to the enquiries, because it is 11 simply about supporting a coroner in an inquest that 12 will happen at some point in the future. Is that fair? 13 A. Possibly, yes. 14 Q. Well, I ask you this because you took over this 15 investigation, so if there had been any gaps in it, it 16 was surely incumbent on you to understand what they were 17 and put them right, all right, so it is the case, 18 I think, that you can tell from it that Mr Pape's 19 statement had been taken, Gabriel's property had been 20 listed and bagged and so on, but other than that, there 21 was no real urgency about what was happening. Do you 22 understand? 23 A. Yes, I understand. 24 Q. There had certainly been no attempt at this point to 25 piece together Gabriel's movements from his social</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>
<p>1 I would get the reference -- no, this is where I have 2 gone wrong, this is IPC, I think, 28, internal page 4, 3 that is my typing, forgive me. 4 Can we bring up, please, IPC28, internal page 4. 5 This the Merlin for the case of Gabriel before 6 Daniel passed away. Do we see, if we look on the bottom 7 of internal page 4, entry for the 28 August, there are 8 quite a lot of entries for 28 August. Do you see that? 9 A. I see some entries for 28 August, yes. 10 Q. Then we don't see any entries at all until 21 October on 11 the bottom of the page, do you see that? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Effectively the Merlin has a lot of activity on the date 14 that Gabriel was found dead but then doesn't reveal 15 anything until quite some time into October. Taking 16 that down, please, for now, we have heard further 17 evidence about this though, and we know, Mr Schamberger, 18 that PC Faulkner, who was a uniformed constable of 19 I think 11 months' service, took a statement over the 20 telephone from Mr Pape on 6 September. All right? 21 That doesn't feature on the Merlin but we have been 22 told that that is what happened, okay? 23 A. Okay. 24 Q. You may not know this, but when PC Faulkner gave his 25 evidence to the jury, this is Day 17, page 64, he said,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>	<p>1 media, for example? 2 A. Not that I am aware of. 3 Q. No attempt to follow up any of the leads in Mr Pape's 4 statement or look at details that Gabriel's friends or 5 family might otherwise provide. Is that fair? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. I think we know that Mr Pape had been in contact with 8 the police on the very day that Gabriel was found, on 9 28 August. And gave them what he said was a stream, 10 I think, of information. Do you understand that or do 11 you know about that now? 12 A. I understand that. 13 Q. Do you know that in the evidence we heard last week it 14 was on 1 September that Mr Pape had identified Mr Amodio 15 on Facebook as a friend of Gabriel's. Do you know about 16 that? 17 A. I know about that now, yes. 18 Q. Do you know that on 4 September somebody had been in 19 contact with Gabriel's family? 20 A. I am aware of that now. I am not quite sure when 21 I became aware of that. 22 Q. Bring up, please, IPC1042, internal page 3. This may 23 help your memory, it is the transcript of the inquest -- 24 you may have been made aware of this because you heard 25 it at the inquest.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

<p>1 A. Okay.</p> <p>2 Q. If we look at the bottom of page 3 of that document, we</p> <p>3 can see what contact there was with Gabriel's family?</p> <p>4 A. 4 September.</p> <p>5 Q. It was simply for the purposes of his identification of</p> <p>6 the body; do you see that?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. They had confirmed that they had formally identified the</p> <p>9 body of Gabriel as their brother and son, his</p> <p>10 occupation, his date of birth, his marital status, do</p> <p>11 you see that?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. The coroner reads the entirety of that evidence from his</p> <p>14 family in about seven lines and marks it exhibit C1. In</p> <p>15 fact it is five and a half lines, is that right?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. On the face of it, whoever had taken that statement, and</p> <p>18 it is likely to have been a coroner's officer, not</p> <p>19 a police officer, had not engaged with his family in any</p> <p>20 way about Gabriel's movements, had they?</p> <p>21 A. It appears that way, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. About why he had come to the UK and how on earth he</p> <p>23 might have ended up dead in Barking.</p> <p>24 A. It appears that way, yes.</p> <p>25 Q. A small point of detail, perhaps, but when he gave his</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 Q. We know from his evidence yesterday that the messaging</p> <p>2 from Jon Luck began on 10 September, so several days</p> <p>3 before Daniel's body was found. Do you understand?</p> <p>4 A. I understand.</p> <p>5 Q. This person, we heard through Mr Amodio on Friday,</p> <p>6 admitted having had sex with Gabriel shortly before his</p> <p>7 death, yes?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Sent graphic and disturbing messages to Mr Amodio that</p> <p>10 made him worried that something was not right,</p> <p>11 understood?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. And in fact specifically said something to the effect</p> <p>14 of, "Are the police going to arrest me? And do I need</p> <p>15 to be worried that my DNA will be all over Gabriel?"</p> <p>16 Something like that, is that not right?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. That person was in fact Stephen Port, wasn't it?</p> <p>19 A. As it turns out, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. So just by way of chronology, it follows doesn't it that</p> <p>21 the police did have plenty of leads to Mr Amodio and to</p> <p>22 Jon Luck before Daniel died, because of those dates that</p> <p>23 I have just put to you?</p> <p>24 A. It appears it would have been, yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you know very much about Karl, now?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>
<p>1 evidence last week, Mr Amodio said something to the</p> <p>2 effect that Gabriel's family would have identified him</p> <p>3 as Gabriel's boyfriend if they had been asked. Do you</p> <p>4 understand that on the face of that it doesn't look as</p> <p>5 if Mr Amodio was contacted, does he, as a result of what</p> <p>6 the family said?</p> <p>7 A. I understand what you are saying.</p> <p>8 Q. By 6 September, Mr Pape himself had given a statement to</p> <p>9 PC Faulkner, which made very clear who Mr Amodio was</p> <p>10 because he had found him on Facebook and also named</p> <p>11 somebody called Karl. Now you must know about that now,</p> <p>12 don't you?</p> <p>13 A. About the name, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. The jury can see that, jury bundle, tab 13, bring it up</p> <p>15 briefly, please, it is IPC324, internal page 3. You can</p> <p>16 see at the end of Mr Pape's statement he gives the names</p> <p>17 of some of Gabriel's friends from Facebook as</p> <p>18 identified, Mr Amodio and someone called Karl. Do you</p> <p>19 understand that now?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. I think you do now understand, don't you, that those two</p> <p>22 names became particularly significant, because Mr Amodio</p> <p>23 had been approached, hadn't he, and had made contact</p> <p>24 with somebody called Jon Luck?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>	<p>1 A. I don't.</p> <p>2 Q. Even now? Is that right, sorry, just to pause, there is</p> <p>3 that right that even now you have not been told about</p> <p>4 someone called Karl Kamgdom?</p> <p>5 A. Just the name, but no further detail.</p> <p>6 Q. All right. Bring up, please, IPC86, 0183, please. This</p> <p>7 is something that the jury have not heard much about but</p> <p>8 will no doubt hear more about tomorrow. Top, please, of</p> <p>9 internal 183. Just scroll in on the very top paragraph</p> <p>10 bullet point, please.</p> <p>11 Our understanding is that the Karl that was</p> <p>12 mentioned in Mr Pape's statement taken on 6 September is</p> <p>13 someone called Karl Kamgdom, okay, and that on</p> <p>14 23 August, so several days before he died, Gabriel had</p> <p>15 sent photos of Mr Port's flat and the address to his</p> <p>16 friend Karl. Do you understand that? Just go on to</p> <p>17 read it.</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. He says he sleeps on the sofa, in relation to his</p> <p>20 landlord he talks about that. He then sends Kamgdom</p> <p>21 a series of photos of Port's flat at 62 Cooke Street and</p> <p>22 describes what it is like inside.</p> <p>23 We can see the messages, if we need to, it is IPC86,</p> <p>24 internal 29. Bring up internal 29, please, and scroll</p> <p>25 in on 3.2.1. We will hear this tomorrow I am sure, but</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

<p>1 the information obtained from this device relates to</p> <p>2 a WhatsApp between Karl Kamgdom and Gabriel, shows that</p> <p>3 Gabriel had sent a Google map image with a locator</p> <p>4 showing Cooke Street. He sends photos that were</p> <p>5 confirmed to be Mr Port's flat on Cooke Street, do you</p> <p>6 see that?</p> <p>7 A. I see that.</p> <p>8 Q. Going over the page just briefly is we scroll down to 30</p> <p>9 and 31, he talks about what the flat is like and shows</p> <p>10 photographs of it, do you see that.</p> <p>11 A. I see that.</p> <p>12 Q. He talks about, if you look, please, on internal 30,</p> <p>13 "I don't want to sleep with him in his bed, with the</p> <p>14 landlord", do you see that?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Karl says, I think this is right, "He will try to sleep</p> <p>17 with you", and somebody says, we can see in this</p> <p>18 exchange, "Dirty old man".</p> <p>19 A. Yes, I can see that.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you see that?</p> <p>21 He goes on to say that the place is worth it because</p> <p>22 it is very modern, do you understand that?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Take that down, please.</p> <p>25 Just by way of chronology then, does it not also</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p>	<p>1 Q. I would like to move now, please, to ask you some</p> <p>2 questions about the Whitworth and Kovari investigation.</p> <p>3 I will try not to repeat questions that have already</p> <p>4 been put to you because I know you have been asked a lot</p> <p>5 about this already.</p> <p>6 A. Okay.</p> <p>7 Q. The initial stages of that investigation were not</p> <p>8 directly supervised by you, were they, we have heard</p> <p>9 that you didn't become involved until 22 September, is</p> <p>10 that right?</p> <p>11 A. That's right.</p> <p>12 Q. You were aware, I think, that Sergeant Turrell had</p> <p>13 largely been involved before then, is that correct?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Were you aware that she had taken the decision not to</p> <p>16 declare a critical incident, not to call the HAT car,</p> <p>17 not to forensically preserve the scene and to remove the</p> <p>18 body. Did you understand that she had made all those</p> <p>19 decisions on 20 September?</p> <p>20 A. Eventually, yes. I don't know exactly when that was,</p> <p>21 but yes.</p> <p>22 Q. We heard some evidence last week from DS Denley -- this</p> <p>23 is Day 21, pages 18 and 118, that it was a shame and</p> <p>24 regretful that she had not called the HAT car to the</p> <p>25 scene. Do you remember that evidence or do you remember</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p>
<p>1 follow that in Mr Pape's statement taken on 6 September</p> <p>2 the police have a significant clue in the form of</p> <p>3 someone called Karl and that person was one of the very</p> <p>4 few people who knew where Gabriel had been before he</p> <p>5 died. Do you understand?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, I understand.</p> <p>7 Q. If the police had either followed up who Jon Luck was or</p> <p>8 followed up who Karl was, either one of those routes</p> <p>9 would have taken them straight to Stephen Port before</p> <p>10 Daniel died. Do you understand?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, I understand.</p> <p>12 Q. If they had identified Mr Port through either of those</p> <p>13 routes, just pausing there, at this time Mr Port was on</p> <p>14 bail, was he not, for offences to do with</p> <p>15 Anthony Walgate's death?</p> <p>16 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. So if Mr Port had been identified through either</p> <p>18 Jon Luck or Karl, inconceivable, I would hope, that the</p> <p>19 police would not have matched that up with the fact that</p> <p>20 he was on bail in relation to Anthony's death, is that</p> <p>21 not surely fair?</p> <p>22 A. That is fair.</p> <p>23 Q. And at that point he would have been arrested, charged</p> <p>24 and brought into custody?</p> <p>25 A. Very likely, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p>	<p>1 hearing about it?</p> <p>2 A. I remember hearing about it, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you share those concerns?</p> <p>4 A. I think, especially in hindsight, the benefits of</p> <p>5 getting the HAT car called out at this early stage would</p> <p>6 have been very beneficial.</p> <p>7 Q. It must be right, mustn't it, that not calling the HAT</p> <p>8 car there and then means that you don't have the benefit</p> <p>9 of their advice at the scene. That must be right?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, if the scene is not preserved, then they obviously</p> <p>11 can't attend to assess the scene or give advice at the</p> <p>12 scene.</p> <p>13 Q. It must be right that if the scene is not forensically</p> <p>14 preserved, as neither Gabriel nor Daniel's death scenes</p> <p>15 were preserved, that evidence might well be lost?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. This is not with the benefit of hindsight, is it, this</p> <p>18 is just common sense --</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. -- that you have this apparently elite unit within the</p> <p>21 Met that is not called upon, so it must be right that if</p> <p>22 they are not called upon, opportunities will be missed.</p> <p>23 That must make sense, doesn't it?</p> <p>24 A. It does.</p> <p>25 Q. When you took over the investigation on the 22nd, did</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p>

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

<p>1 there not come a point where you thought: 2 "Hang on a minute, I've got Gabriel's death that has 3 not been investigated at all, that has been looked after 4 by a very junior uniformed Police Constable who is just 5 liaising with the coroner, and I've got a scene of 6 another young man who has been killed or has died that 7 has not had the benefit of the HAT team input." 8 Didn't you at that point think, "Goodness me, I need 9 to take a really firm grip on this and try and correct 10 what has gone wrong before"?</p> <p>11 A. I think my full comprehension of the events that had 12 taken place was not complete. Just through a number of 13 factors that I have described, including my capacity, my 14 training, all the other things that I had to do. 15 I don't think I had the capacity to actually fully 16 understand the implications of everything that had 17 happened.</p> <p>18 Q. So you are being given a job -- 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. -- here, by Mr Kirk? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. It is a difficult job, it's an unusual job, you don't 23 know, you say, quite what to do. Isn't the first thing 24 to think, what has been done already? And what has been 25 done already is virtually nothing in relation to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p>	<p>1 A. To be honest, I can't recall what I was thinking at the 2 time. 3 Q. The special post mortem is no doubt something you do 4 remember, I think you said you had never been there 5 before to that environment, found it quite difficult and 6 upsetting? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. You didn't seem to really understand, did you, the 9 significance of the bruising under Daniel's arms? 10 A. Probably not fully, no. 11 Q. What do you think the significance of that bruising was 12 now? Even with hindsight, what do you think it was 13 significant -- why do you think it was significant? 14 A. That there had been an application of force, and 15 probably linked with some movement. It just seemed to 16 be in a place that it is likely where somebody might 17 have tried to pick him up. 18 Q. What is the really important thing about that, why is 19 that really important, if that is right? 20 A. How do you mean? 21 Q. Isn't the really important thing about the bruising, if 22 that is in fact what had happened, that it makes it look 23 very much like Daniel hasn't written that note himself, 24 doesn't it? Because on the face of the note, he is 25 saying I have taken my own life, I have sat down here in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p>
<p>1 Gabriel's death, and in relation to this second death, 2 has been a decision not to call on the experts within 3 the Met. Surely you thought, "Crikey, I have got to 4 sort this out now"?</p> <p>5 A. At that point, as far as I was aware, there had been 6 some involvement from the HAT team.</p> <p>7 Q. Yes, they had given some advice but they had not been 8 called to the scene? 9 A. No. 10 Q. And this is a case where you are trying to catch up, 11 surely that is fair? 12 A. It is fair, but I can't get them to a scene that has not 13 been preserved and there had been advice requested after 14 that. 15 Q. Yes, I am just trying to understand your mindset at this 16 point in time. We know of course they did give advice, 17 we have looked at that already, we don't need to bring 18 that up, but it is clear that one of the things in that 19 advice was establishing all possible evidence about 20 Gabriel's movements. Isn't that right? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. So even if you didn't put these things together, you 23 must have thought, "Crikey, I need to look right now at 24 what happened in the Gabriel investigation before Daniel 25 passed away"?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p>	<p>1 this graveyard, I have taken these drugs and I have 2 taken my own life. If in fact the pathologist is 3 telling you it looks like the body has been moved at 4 around about the time he has died, then that makes it 5 very likely that he hasn't written that note, doesn't 6 it? 7 A. It is definitely a possibility that that hasn't 8 happened, yes. 9 Q. Isn't that the key thing that was important in your 10 investigation, to understand whether that note was true 11 or not? 12 A. Yes, but, again, from the early stages, it was handed 13 over to me as having been verified already. 14 Q. I am not talking about that stage of it, I am talking 15 generally about the thrust of this investigation. 16 A central question throughout the whole of this 17 investigation should have been: Is that note accurate? 18 Did Daniel actually do the things that are described in 19 that note? Because if Daniel did not, then he himself 20 has been murdered, as we know in fact he had been? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Isn't it the case that a central theme should have been 23 looking at evidence to support the proposition that 24 Daniel had taken his own life and evidence against that 25 proposition?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p>

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. We heard some evidence last week from DS Denley that</p> <p>3 after the post mortem, I think the words he used were</p> <p>4 this -- this is Day 21, page 61:</p> <p>5 "We were all left in the room with no answers."</p> <p>6 The special post mortem had not given you an answer,</p> <p>7 had it, about how Daniel died?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you think you really had sufficient grip on this</p> <p>10 investigation at that point?</p> <p>11 A. In hindsight, no.</p> <p>12 Q. Can I ask you some questions now about a different</p> <p>13 topic, which is about the events in the very first few</p> <p>14 days of the investigation, when we know the family</p> <p>15 liaison officers were appointed and where there was</p> <p>16 a press conference on the 29th, yes?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Can I bring up, please, IPC13, it is jury bundle,</p> <p>19 tab 57, it is the temporary FLO log completed by</p> <p>20 DC Slaymaker. You will not have seen this document, it</p> <p>21 is not necessarily one you will be familiar with. At</p> <p>22 the bottom of that page, in briefing the new FLOs, the</p> <p>23 note that Mr Slaymaker has made is to this effect. Do</p> <p>24 you see, he is writing down what DS Turrell is telling</p> <p>25 him he should tell the families. Do you understand that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. We will come back to that, but separately, if you were</p> <p>3 the one supervising this investigation, this very</p> <p>4 sensitive and difficult investigation, where we know</p> <p>5 there were questions about what the families were going</p> <p>6 to be told and where there must have been rightly</p> <p>7 an attempt to be careful and sensitive with those</p> <p>8 families.</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. We may disagree about what they were and were not told</p> <p>11 in particular, but there must have been some discussion</p> <p>12 with Sergeant Turrell about what the families were going</p> <p>13 to be told and that must have been a discussion you were</p> <p>14 part of?</p> <p>15 A. I don't recall that discussion.</p> <p>16 Q. All right, well, when she has said on the face of this</p> <p>17 log to Mr Slaymaker:</p> <p>18 "Tell the families there has been a similar death on</p> <p>19 the borough in the last few months that we are not</p> <p>20 linking them, so they don't need for worry, but there</p> <p>21 has been a similar death in the last few months."</p> <p>22 Which was she talking about?</p> <p>23 A. I don't know which she was talking about.</p> <p>24 Q. It must be Anthony Walgate, mustn't it?</p> <p>25 A. It could be.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p>
<p>1 that is what this is about?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. "I was briefed by DS Turrell on information to provide</p> <p>4 to the family points below."</p> <p>5 The third little dash down:</p> <p>6 "Explain that there has been a similar death on our</p> <p>7 borough in the last few months and although we are no</p> <p>8 [I think it should be 'not'] linking them at this time,</p> <p>9 we need to carry out some investigation into this."</p> <p>10 All right, pausing there, I think in terms of the</p> <p>11 line management, we will hear later on today, DS Turrell</p> <p>12 was the sergeant you were liaising with directly about</p> <p>13 this case, or should have been, correct?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. That she was then trying to manage some of the</p> <p>16 constables, is that right?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. You must be aware, or must have been aware, of what she</p> <p>19 was being told she could tell the families through the</p> <p>20 FLOs?</p> <p>21 A. Not necessarily. Or not necessarily at that point, when</p> <p>22 she was doing the briefing. So there were other people</p> <p>23 involved as well. For example the press side of things</p> <p>24 I had no direct connection with.</p> <p>25 Q. No direct connection with?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p>	<p>1 Q. It must be, there is no other similar death in the last</p> <p>2 few months, is there?</p> <p>3 A. It must be that one then.</p> <p>4 Q. You must have been involved a little bit later on,</p> <p>5 around the 29th, even if you were not before, IPC13,</p> <p>6 internal page 5, please.</p> <p>7 On this day, you were aware I think, weren't you,</p> <p>8 that there was going to be a press conference of some</p> <p>9 sort involving DCI Kirk?</p> <p>10 A. I would imagine so, I don't recall the details but</p> <p>11 I would imagine so.</p> <p>12 Q. All right, Mr Slaymaker has made this note, second from</p> <p>13 the bottom substantive entry:</p> <p>14 "I was made away by DCI Kirk that there will be</p> <p>15 a press conference putting the names of Whitworth,</p> <p>16 Kovari and Walgate out [pausing there] for similar</p> <p>17 unexplained deaths and that police are investigating</p> <p>18 them but treating them at this time as non-suspicious."</p> <p>19 Do you see that?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. On the 29th, according to Mr Slaymaker's log, the plan</p> <p>22 had been that the line for the press was that there were</p> <p>23 these three deaths, Mr Whitworth, Mr Kovari and</p> <p>24 Mr Walgate. They are similar and they are unexplained.</p> <p>25 That was what the police were planning to put out, it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p>

<p>1 looks like, do you agree?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, that is what it says.</p> <p>3 Q. Are you aware that there was in fact a fourth death,</p> <p>4 a man named Mr Ahmed, who came to be part of this group?</p> <p>5 Or do you not know about him?</p> <p>6 A. Aware of the name, but not many details.</p> <p>7 Q. All right, well can we just bring up some of the emails</p> <p>8 then, IPC252, internal page 2 I hope is the right</p> <p>9 reference. 29 September just after 12.00, these are not</p> <p>10 emails you are yet copied in on. Mr Kirk says:</p> <p>11 "Debbie, Martin, Pete, can I have a summary of the</p> <p>12 three deaths?"</p> <p>13 The "Martin" is Mr O'Donnell, he is on the Walgate</p> <p>14 case, isn't he?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Pete and Debbie are involved in the Whitworth-Kovari</p> <p>17 case, correct?</p> <p>18 A. Correct.</p> <p>19 Q. He gets details, he is provided by DS Turrell, with</p> <p>20 Mr Ahmed, Mr Whitworth and Mr Kovari. Then he says, at</p> <p>21 the top of that page, 12.44:</p> <p>22 "Can you stick Walgate's details on there as well,</p> <p>23 please? I am going to be asked about it."</p> <p>24 Do you see that?</p> <p>25 A. I can see that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	<p>1 the top of this page, 253, yes, so 29 September, this is</p> <p>2 you, isn't it, Mr Schamberger?</p> <p>3 A. That is me.</p> <p>4 Q. Being sent this chain of emails or some of these emails</p> <p>5 about this issue at 4.30 on the 29th, is that right?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Doing the best we can, we don't have I think a complete</p> <p>8 set of emails, but what we can see on this chain that</p> <p>9 does involve you, if you go back down in the document,</p> <p>10 please, we can see that there is communication with</p> <p>11 I think it is a department we have heard about, DCM,</p> <p>12 they are the media people, aren't they?</p> <p>13 A. They are.</p> <p>14 Q. Somebody called Joe.</p> <p>15 Then what seems to happen is that Mr Kirk, who has</p> <p>16 been involved in all these discussion about whether it</p> <p>17 is Whitworth, Walgate, Kovari, Ahmed, the different</p> <p>18 names, sends this on to you at 4.33. "Rolf", that is</p> <p>19 you, correct?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Go to the top of the page, please, "Freddy", who I think</p> <p>22 is the senior reporter, isn't he?</p> <p>23 A. It is mentioned below, it is Freddy Mayhew, yes.</p> <p>24 Q. "Freddy never got back to me. I am unavailable</p> <p>25 tomorrow. Can you try him again tomorrow, I do not want</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p>
<p>1 Q. Then he does receive Mr Walgate's details, there are</p> <p>2 then discussions about how to manage this with the</p> <p>3 families. Of course we know separately from PC Baxter</p> <p>4 there had been no contact at all with the Kovari family,</p> <p>5 but there was at least a discussion of how to manage</p> <p>6 that.</p> <p>7 Then, if we go, please, to a different document,</p> <p>8 I think it is IPC252, the very top of that chain, is</p> <p>9 this not right, that in the afternoon of that day, at</p> <p>10 about 2.00, there is an email talking about this, that</p> <p>11 talks about the sexuality of the deceased men and what</p> <p>12 might come out in public, do you see that?</p> <p>13 A. I see that.</p> <p>14 Q. So you do become involved at that point, don't you? You</p> <p>15 are on that email chain -- forgive me, no, it is not</p> <p>16 that one, it is another one, forgive me. This</p> <p>17 discussion, before it gets to you, is about whether or</p> <p>18 not the sexuality of these men is going to be released.</p> <p>19 Do you understand that?</p> <p>20 A. I understand that, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Forgive me.</p> <p>22 The email exchange I think involving you is on</p> <p>23 IPC253, can I bring that up, please, it is the next one</p> <p>24 chronologically in the chain, it's at 4.33 in the</p> <p>25 afternoon, if I have that right. If you look please at</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>	<p>1 GHB mentioned. The only link between two of them is</p> <p>2 still being investigated. The only thing we know and</p> <p>3 can confirm is that they knew each other. The recent</p> <p>4 death of Ahmed is not linked. It appears Walgate is not</p> <p>5 going to be asked about ..."</p> <p>6 In brackets, if you like, after all, because I think</p> <p>7 it was originally thought it was going to be:</p> <p>8 "... but Debbie has supplied brief details ... do not</p> <p>9 give any addresses out, just areas/boroughs."</p> <p>10 Just pausing there, again we are doing the best we</p> <p>11 can to piece together these different email chains and</p> <p>12 plainly we need some help in understanding them, but the</p> <p>13 broad reading of them seems to be along these lines,</p> <p>14 that the three deaths that are first of all going to be</p> <p>15 talked about are Anthony, Gabriel and Daniel?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Mr Ahmed becomes part of that group, but everybody seems</p> <p>18 to appreciate that his death is not linked?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Mr Kirk seems to think he is going to be asked about</p> <p>21 Anthony's death, then somehow he is not going to be</p> <p>22 asked about it --</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. -- and you are asked to speak to the journalist about</p> <p>25 it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. What did you say when you rang up Freddy in response to</p> <p>3 this email?</p> <p>4 A. I don't remember reaching or speaking to Freddy Mayhew.</p> <p>5 Q. Mr Kirk is your boss, he is asking you to do something</p> <p>6 here, "Can you try him again? I am unavailable". He is</p> <p>7 asking you to do a job, which is speak to the</p> <p>8 journalist?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you just ignore that, don't do it, or don't remember</p> <p>11 what you did?</p> <p>12 A. I don't remember what I did. If he had asked me to</p> <p>13 reach him, I imagine that is what I would have done.</p> <p>14 Q. He seems to be going off somewhere the following day, he</p> <p>15 is unavailable the following day. So he seems to be</p> <p>16 trusting you with speaking to the journalist about this,</p> <p>17 all right? We know from the press article that we have</p> <p>18 looked at -- the jury have it in their bundle -- that</p> <p>19 the net result, or the consequence, or in fact the ...</p> <p>20 it may not be consequence, but what actually happens is</p> <p>21 that when the media report these three deaths, they</p> <p>22 don't mention Mr Walgate at all, all right. So</p> <p>23 something happens that Mr Walgate was going to be asked</p> <p>24 about, then he is not asked about and he is not in</p> <p>25 media. You seem to have had some involvement in talking</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p>	<p>1 "Hang on a minute, what do you mean, Walgate?</p> <p>2 I knew nothing about this. I am looking at the other</p> <p>3 two. What is the link? What are you talking about?"</p> <p>4 Did you have no conversation with him at all?</p> <p>5 A. I would imagine that we did have conversations at the</p> <p>6 time, but I don't recall the detail of those.</p> <p>7 Q. What do you think he said when you had those</p> <p>8 conversations, doing the best you can, you said to him:</p> <p>9 "Hang on a minute, sir, you are asking me to speak</p> <p>10 to the journalist, I don't know anything about this</p> <p>11 Walgate case, why is it not linked? Why is it linked?</p> <p>12 What are the issues?"</p> <p>13 What did Mr Kirk say?</p> <p>14 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>15 Q. All right. Even if what you have just told this jury is</p> <p>16 right --</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. -- you were investigating Gabriel and Daniel's death,</p> <p>19 weren't you?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. You received an email that starts talking about another</p> <p>22 case that you happen to have had a very small part in?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Is it really your evidence that at no point did you</p> <p>25 think these cases might be linked?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p>
<p>1 to the press, is there nothing you can help us with on</p> <p>2 this?</p> <p>3 A. No, I did not talk to the press on this one.</p> <p>4 Q. Didn't Mr Kirk ever come back to you and say:</p> <p>5 "Rolf, I have been off for the day, you have never</p> <p>6 rung Freddy, what is going on?"</p> <p>7 A. I don't recall the conversation around that, if I had</p> <p>8 not have done it he would have never brought it up with</p> <p>9 me, of course.</p> <p>10 Q. All right. Even if you didn't do that other job that</p> <p>11 you had been asked to do of speaking to the journalist,</p> <p>12 it must be right, mustn't it, that this email chain</p> <p>13 shows that on 29 September the question of whether</p> <p>14 Anthony's death was linked with Gabriel and linked with</p> <p>15 Daniel was being asked. That is inevitable from this</p> <p>16 email exchange, isn't it?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, although I can't say how much of it I would have</p> <p>18 appreciated at the time.</p> <p>19 Q. You were being asked specifically to manage this. How</p> <p>20 is it now you cannot remember this? You were being</p> <p>21 asked to manage this with the press. How is it you</p> <p>22 cannot remember?</p> <p>23 A. I don't know how it is I can't remember, but I do know</p> <p>24 that I did not speak to Mr Freddy Mayhew.</p> <p>25 Q. Didn't you at any point ring up Mr Kirk and say:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p>	<p>1 A. I can't say that I didn't think that, but I can't say to</p> <p>2 what extent or give a lot of detail because it is a long</p> <p>3 time ago.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you understand now why the families are so concerned</p> <p>5 about this?</p> <p>6 A. Of course.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you understand now that the absolute lack of</p> <p>8 professional curiosity that your evidence and that of</p> <p>9 others' evidence is means that these deaths were not</p> <p>10 linked, in a way that the families themselves and other</p> <p>11 members of the public were trying to do. Do you</p> <p>12 understand why they feel so concerned about this?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, and that is very regrettable.</p> <p>14 Q. There are plenty of forensic links to catch Mr Port.</p> <p>15 There are plenty of members of the public that we have</p> <p>16 heard evidence about, but the sheer fact of these deaths</p> <p>17 being so similar, such a short period of time, such</p> <p>18 a close location, should itself have alerted the police</p> <p>19 to the link with Mr Port, shouldn't it?</p> <p>20 A. It should have done.</p> <p>21 Q. You were one of the people very close to this question</p> <p>22 on 29 September and you didn't even ask it, isn't that</p> <p>23 fair?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, that is fair.</p> <p>25 Q. All right, the final area I would like to go through, if</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p>

<p>1 I may, please, is the failings in the investigation into 2 the deaths of Gabriel and Daniel. I will try very hard 3 not to repeat what other people have already asked you 4 questions about, but I will try in a way that I hope is 5 helpful to just distil some of the key points for the 6 jury, all right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. I am going to take, first of all, a group of failings, 9 because there are so many here I have to group them 10 together into something manageable. 11 The first group are what we see as the most 12 egregious or serious failings, all right, because this 13 group of failings are the ones that were most obviously 14 likely to help the police and were ones that if they had 15 not taken place would have led the police directly to 16 Mr Port. Do you understand? 17 A. I understand. 18 Q. I just want to try and pull these threads together. 19 Have we understood the evidence correctly, and I am very 20 happy to be corrected if I am wrong, that your 21 investigation in terms of forensics -- we will take that 22 topic first -- did not submit the bedsheet, correct? 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. The sex swabs? 25 A. That's correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p>	<p>1 been found; do I have that right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Just bring up, please, IPC408, internal 19. You repeat 4 this more than once in your written evidence. Can we 5 scroll in, please, on the top paragraph, internal 6 page 19. Third line down: 7 "As far as I can remember, I was not even aware that 8 a bedsheet had been found at the scene relating to 9 Daniel." 10 Is that right? 11 A. Yes, that's right. 12 Q. Is that really the case, that you didn't even understand 13 a sheet had been taken? 14 A. It is the case. I understand that it was actually 15 mentioned in the CRIS reports amongst other places, but 16 I had not picked that up. 17 Q. This was something that, on any view, was important, 18 wasn't it? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Take that down, please, and bring up a different 21 document. IPC376, internal page 6, top of the page, 22 please. 23 This is an account that we may hear from 24 Sergeant Turrell this afternoon, all right. She may say 25 that she knew that the sheet had been taken, had been</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p>
<p>1 Q. The sunglasses of Gabriel, is that right? 2 A. That's correct. 3 Q. The clothing for Daniel? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And the little bottle that Daniel was found holding was 6 not submitted, I think, for DNA or fingerprints, albeit 7 that the liquid in it was tested. Is that right? 8 A. That's right. 9 Q. You had been given clear advice in relation to at least 10 some of those things by the MIT team and by Dr Swift. 11 In any event, submitting those things were obvious lines 12 of enquiry, weren't they? 13 A. They should have been. 14 Q. Anyone who had had contact with that sheet or had 15 recently had sex with Daniel, was at the very least 16 a key witness but quite likely a murder suspect, weren't 17 they? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. DS Denley said that the sheet and the clothing for 20 Daniel was a huge opportunity in forensic terms, and 21 those opportunities were missed by your team, weren't 22 they? 23 A. Yes, they were. 24 Q. In your written evidence at some points you seem to 25 suggest that you didn't even understand that a sheet had</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p>	<p>1 seized, but she thought nothing in particular of it, 2 a blue blanket -- I think that is the right sheet, 3 I will be corrected if I am wrong -- because she thought 4 that this is the kind of thing that homeless people 5 carry with them. Do you see that? 6 A. I see that. 7 Q. That may or may not be accurate, but even if that is 8 right, that is not a reason for not submitting it, is 9 it? 10 A. Not in itself, no. 11 Q. Because that is only one possible explanation for why 12 the sheet was there? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And you all knew that Daniel wasn't homeless, that he 15 lived at home with Ricky and had a family, didn't you? 16 A. We knew he wasn't homeless, yes. 17 Q. It is not very consistent, is it -- if that is in fact 18 given in evidence as a reason for not submitting the 19 sheet -- with the idea of thinking murder until it is 20 proven otherwise? That must be right, isn't it? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. When Dr Swift's report came in in April, I think your 23 evidence is that you don't even remember reading it, is 24 that right, or even remember seeing it, I think? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 48</p>

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

<p>1 Q. Take that down, please, and bring up IPC408, internal 2 21. Fourth bullet point down on that page: 3 "I cannot remember seeing the report of Dr Swift." 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Forgive me, it is internal page 21, of this document, 6 and it is the fourth bullet point down: 7 "I cannot remember seeing the report of Dr Swift." 8 Do you see that? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Go back in the same document, please, IPC408, internal 11 page 16, paragraph 22. First bullet point: 12 "It never occurred to me what the significance of 13 the bedsheet might be at the time." 14 Is that what you have said in response to questions 15 asked of you? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. You didn't understand that the sheet had been taken. 18 You separately say you didn't understand the 19 significance of it. 20 You didn't have a grip on this key item of forensic 21 material, did you? 22 A. Yes, that's right. 23 Q. Even when Dr Swift's report came in, you don't remember 24 seeing it, so you didn't action the fact that he was 25 making the point that the sheet has not been tested, did</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p>	<p>1 first group of particularly significant failings. 2 You had understood from the very beginning that 3 establishing Gabriel's movements was a very important 4 line of enquiry, hadn't you? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Your own handwritten notes, we don't need to bring them 7 up, they seem to say at the very top: 8 "Open source phone data for both." 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. So you understood that doing social media checks and 11 phone checks was likely to help with these young men's 12 movements, Gabriel in particular? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Doing those social media checks, checking Facebook and 15 things like that, were accepted by DC Adeyemo-Phillips 16 as being something that could be done on the borough, 17 that is Day 20, pages 166 to 167, in answer to questions 18 from my learned junior. She accepted that checking 19 Facebook and doing that sort of thing was what could be 20 done on borough. It is not particularly high-level 21 policing, it is basic stuff, isn't it? 22 A. As far as I am aware, the correct way of doing this 23 would be to make a request to the central services, 24 because I am not quite sure how open source access was 25 handled at that time on the borough, so I don't know.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p>
<p>1 you? 2 A. No, I was unaware the report had arrived even. 3 Q. You have been asked questions about how it was you 4 closed down this investigation without having seen that 5 report, and I won't go over that ground, but it is 6 a matter of record, isn't it, that even when the coroner 7 raised this question, you did not reopen the 8 investigation into Daniel and Gabriel's death, did you? 9 A. That's right. 10 Q. You may say I didn't know that I could, you have given 11 written evidence that you were not tasked with by the 12 coroner, but Mr Kirk was clear that you would not have 13 needed his authority to do that, that's Day 22, 14 page 160. Even then you have not arranged for the sheet 15 to be submitted, have you? 16 A. That's right. 17 Q. You must understand now that these forensic failures, if 18 I can call them that, have had very significant 19 consequences, haven't they? 20 A. Yes, of course. 21 Q. Because we will hear in detail tomorrow about this, but 22 in varying degrees those items would have led to 23 Mr Port's DNA or fingerprints, wouldn't they? 24 A. Yes, they would have done. 25 Q. Second group, if I may, in what I have described as the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p>	<p>1 Q. What did you think had happened about the open source 2 social media checks? You thought they had taken place? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And you told the inquest that? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. They hadn't, had they? For Gabriel? 7 A. I don't think so. 8 Q. You don't think so. You don't even know now? 9 A. No, they hadn't. Yes. 10 Q. They hadn't? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Because if I have understood it correctly, and, again, 13 we are trying to piece this together, IPC471, internal 14 page 7, please, I think it is the night duty DI return, 15 I think that is the right title for it, I think it is 16 tab 25 in the jury bundle. This is an entry in the 17 night duty report from the 20th to the 21st and it seems 18 to say this, it is about ten lines up from the bottom: 19 "Open source checks have been completed on a Gabriel 20 Kovari Facebook account. There does not appear to be 21 a link re friends list with Daniel at present." 22 Do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. That suggests, and again we need to understand this 25 a little bit more, perhaps, but that suggest that on the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p>

<p>1 night of 20 to 21 September, so that's the very day that 2 Daniel's dead body has been found, somebody has done 3 a check of some sort, been able to do a check, very 4 quickly on the borough, of Gabriel's Facebook account 5 but the only thing they have looked at is whether or not 6 he is friends with Daniel? 7 A. Okay. 8 Q. Is that what it suggests to you? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. We will no doubt perhaps hear a little bit more about 11 this this afternoon from DS Turrell but, again, the 12 evidence we have heard so far is that PC Baxter made 13 some very general open source questions, she was told 14 that she had to narrow the search and then she said 15 other officers went off and dealt with this. We will 16 hear a little bit more perhaps about this this 17 afternoon, but can I bring up, please, IPC267, because 18 this is an email exchange I think we have not looked at 19 in detail, but there is an attachment sent by 20 Mr Slaymaker at the bottom of this page: 21 "Please see attached open source form for two sudden 22 unexplained deaths." 23 "Open source" is the code, isn't it, on the police 24 description for looking on the internet about somebody? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p>	<p>1 Q. Then there is some further request a few weeks later 2 that is met with the response, "We don't have the 3 resources, you have got to go speak to your inspector 4 about it". 5 That is you, isn't it? Are you the "responsible 6 inspector" in this email? You are meant to be, aren't 7 you? 8 A. Probably. 9 Q. Well, Mr Slaymaker is being told, "We cannot help you, 10 you have got it take this up, you have got go to your 11 boss about it", you are the inspector, aren't you? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. He doesn't come to you and say I am getting nowhere with 14 the social media request, does he? 15 A. I don't recall a conversation like that. 16 Q. In fact, au contraire, you seemed to think that they had 17 done. 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Isn't that the impression you give you the inquest? 20 A. Yes. Yes, I did. 21 Q. That wasn't right, was it? 22 A. It wasn't. 23 Q. This was a key plank in the investigation and at best 24 you were lazy in finding out what had happened, that 25 must be the case, mustn't it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 55</p>
<p>1 Q. There seems to be some kind of a reply at the top of 2 this page, that says: 3 "We cannot help you at the moment, we are too 4 stretched to help you." 5 Somebody Ms Gudgeon I think it is says this at the 6 foot of it: 7 "I do not have a solution for you, we will have to 8 deal with this problem as the Met intel community. You 9 [this is addressed to Mr Slaymaker] need to brief your 10 own responsible inspector. They look across the 11 combined hubs to identify any open source with enough 12 resilience to assist." 13 Pausing there and trying to piece together these 14 emails, it seems to be boiling down to this, if I have 15 it right -- again we will be told if I have this wrong. 16 It seems to be borough have capacity and capability to 17 go into Gabriel's Facebook account on 20/21 September, 18 correct? 19 A. To some degree, yes. 20 Q. To some degree, yes, because all they do is look and see 21 if he is a Facebook friend with Daniel? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. They don't look at who else he is Facebook friends with 24 or contact any of them? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 54</p>	<p>1 A. Well, I clearly didn't have the right information in my 2 possession. 3 Q. But you were willing to stand up and sign reports and 4 give evidence about something that you were not really 5 sure about, weren't you? 6 A. I said what I believed to be true at the time. 7 Q. Again, I will not go through it with you, but you 8 understand now I think, don't you, the significance of 9 the police not having investigated the Facebook link 10 sufficiently? 11 A. Yes, of course. 12 Q. Because Jon Luck, Mr Amodio, Karl, all of those issues 13 were not explored, were they? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Mr Amodio himself I don't think was contacted for 16 a statement, is that right? Or don't you even know now? 17 A. I am not sure at this moment. 18 Q. You are not sure. Okay. I don't think a full statement 19 was taken from him. 20 Bring up, please, IPC378 internal page 1. The jury 21 may hear from Sergeant Turrell this afternoon, at the 22 foot of that page that, in fact, DC Adeyemo-Phillips 23 spoke to Mr Amodio, there was discussion about the 24 passing of Kovari, about the ceremony that had taken 25 place. This was confirmed via a conversation that the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 56</p>

<p>1 DC had with Kovari brother: 2 "As he resided in Spain, there appeared no 3 evidential value to obtain the statement." 4 That must be about Mr Amodio, must it? 5 A. It must be. 6 Q. All right, just pausing there. That is plainly 7 ridiculous, isn't it, to say that because someone lives 8 in Spain you cannot take any evidence from them? 9 A. Yes, that is not a logical conclusion. 10 Q. The jury have heard Mr Amodio give evidence, so that is 11 just lazy or ridiculous policing, isn't it? 12 A. It is not the right conclusion. 13 Q. Right. 14 Can I turn now -- I will try and take this briefly, 15 because I see the time -- to the second group of 16 failings, all right, we have dealt with forensics, we 17 have dealt with social media. 18 This group, I suggest to you, are really serious 19 failings but they are different because these required 20 the police to be a bit professionally curious, all 21 right. These failings are all things that would have 22 put the lie to the note, okay, so would have shown that 23 the note was not correct, or was unlikely to be correct, 24 and that Daniel was unlikely to have murdered Gabriel, 25 and therefore this is something suspicious. So it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 57</p>	<p>1 was totally inadequate. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. That is Day 21, pages 142 and 185, do you accept that 4 now? 5 A. I do accept that now. 6 Q. In terms of your grip on this issue, you didn't really 7 even understand how it had been done, because you 8 thought a diary had been used, is that right? 9 A. Yes, that's right. 10 Q. You didn't really have any real grip on this issue 11 either, did you? 12 A. No, on reflection, no. 13 Q. Gabriel's movements, I think you accept, don't you, that 14 there was a failure to follow up the various lines of 15 enquiry that Mr Pape was offering around someone called 16 Tony, around a green Toyota, around things like that, is 17 that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. He was giving important information to the police, 20 wasn't he? 21 A. Yes, he was. 22 Q. About potential sex parties and things of that nature, 23 is that right? 24 A. A number of bits of information, yes. Yes. 25 Q. But specifically about sinister sex parties, about older</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p>
<p>1 required a little bit more thinking than, "Hang on 2 a minute, I've got a DNA result that is Mr Port". So it 3 requires something different, but what it would have led 4 to, any one of these things, in our suggestion to you, 5 is that they would have elevated the suspicion about the 6 case, all right? 7 A. Okay. 8 Q. Would have made it inevitable that the MIT team would 9 have taken over and that Mr Port would have been 10 identified by a different route, are you with me? 11 A. Okay. 12 Q. I will try and take this briefly. 13 You accept, I think, that there was no obtaining of 14 full telephone data by your investigation? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Had it been obtained it would have shown Daniel was not 17 in Barking on the night of Gabriel's death? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Therefore would have cast considerable doubt on the 20 veracity of that note. 21 Handwriting, the jury has heard a lot of evidence 22 about that methodology, DC Lyons described the 23 handwriting itself as a major building block. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. He also said the process used by your team to verify it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 58</p>	<p>1 men drugging younger men at these parties. Isn't that 2 right? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. He did all he possibly could to provide that information 5 to one of your team, PC Baxter, and she just ignored 6 him, isn't that what happened? 7 A. It appears to be that way. 8 Q. Bring up, please, IPC408, internal page 19. You were 9 asked about this, and then about 15 lines up from the 10 bottom, just about halfway through the page, do you see 11 a sentence where you say: 12 "I can't remember what discussion we had [this is 13 Mr Pape] but do not recall him being particularly 14 forthcoming about information in relation to sex 15 parties." 16 That is an outrageous thing to say to a member of 17 the public who had been batted away by your team, isn't 18 it? 19 A. This makes reference only to my conversation, not to any 20 other conversation with -- or contact attempts with 21 DC Baxter or anyone else. 22 Q. You accept now then, do you -- taking that down -- that 23 all of those lines of enquiry about Gabriel's movements 24 were not properly followed up? 25 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 60</p>

<p>1 Q. Similarly, all of those lines of enquiry about Daniel's 2 movements, whether he had been at work, what his partner 3 said, what his parents said, those enquiries were not 4 fully followed up either, were they?</p> <p>5 A. Not fully, no.</p> <p>6 Q. The broad message coming from his partner Ricky and his 7 parents was that there were no gaps -- this is what 8 Mandy Whitworth and Ricky had said -- when he could have 9 gone off murdering somebody else and it just didn't make 10 sense that he would be at family events in pubs and 11 things like that without showing signs of it, but all of 12 that was ignored by your investigation, wasn't it?</p> <p>13 A. It seems to have been, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. I have already suggested to you that on the 29th, at 15 that press conference, you were sighted on the issue of 16 a potential link with Anthony Walgate, all right, that 17 is what I have already put to you.</p> <p>18 A. Via that email?</p> <p>19 Q. Because there was specific discussions about what I am 20 and what I am not going to tell the press and what 21 I want you to manage for me, Rolf, all of that evidence?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you now know that the three detective constables in 24 your team that have given evidence, or are going to give 25 evidence -- I think we have heard from all three of them</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p>	<p>1 Q. Even when -- the jury will hear this afternoon some 2 evidence about this, just bring this up briefly, please, 3 IPC627, internal page 2, jury bundle 28. I hope I have 4 the reference to this right. Forgive me, I don't think 5 I have.</p> <p>6 I am trying to bring up the tasking document, but 7 you can take this down but we will see evidence later on 8 today that Adeyemo-Phillips, the DC in the team, was 9 specifically tasked to look at the issue of links, she 10 has given evidence about that, that even when that task 11 was given, is it right that you agree that the 12 investigation did not follow it up?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Take this very shortly. Do you now know that DC Baxter 15 had two jobs, contact the family of Mr Kovari and get 16 some evidence from them, and didn't do either, correct?</p> <p>17 A. I wasn't aware of that until very recently, but, yes, 18 correct.</p> <p>19 Q. Did you know that she was meant to be the FLO for Kovari 20 family?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. That does involve two jobs, speak to them to tell them 23 what is happening and get some evidence from them and 24 she did neither?</p> <p>25 A. It does, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p>
<p>1 in fact already on this. There has been evidence put 2 before this jury to the effect that all three of those 3 DCs batted away members of the public who were trying to 4 raise the issue of the link with Anthony, all right? 5 I put that to you specifically.</p> <p>6 Firstly, Mr Amodio flagged this with 7 DC Adeyemo-Phillips, he emailed saying, "Here is 8 an article about another death, is it linked?" She 9 replied and said, "No, no, nothing to see here, these 10 are not related".</p> <p>11 Sarah Sak, Thomas Walgate, Adam Whitworth and 12 Mandy Whitworth tried to say to Mr Slaymaker, "We think 13 there is a link here". Their evidence was, no, no, no, 14 nothing to see here.</p> <p>15 And Mr Pape emailed DC Baxter about that, and, 16 again, was ignored or batted away.</p> <p>17 So your team also ignored sensible suggestions from 18 members of the public that there was a link, isn't that 19 right?</p> <p>20 A. It definitely seems that way.</p> <p>21 Q. Every single one of those members of the public had 22 cracked this in a way that none of you had.</p> <p>23 A. Those links ultimately were true.</p> <p>24 Q. Yes, and they were all right and you were all wrong.</p> <p>25 A. We had not considered them.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 62</p>	<p>1 Q. There was no engagement with the LGBT community at all, 2 as far as I see?</p> <p>3 A. Not that I am aware of.</p> <p>4 Q. No general witness appeal for who might have seen 5 Gabriel at particular times or seen Daniel at particular 6 times, as far as I understand it?</p> <p>7 A. There was an anniversary presence in the area that I do 8 remember tasking from the safer neighbourhoods teams.</p> <p>9 Q. That means people going through the graveyard at the 10 same time of day to see if there are familiar people 11 taking a familiar journey; is that right?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. The discussion that you had about releasing Daniel's 14 body -- can I just come to that briefly -- this was 15 obviously a difficult decision?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Broad tension is: do you release the body early because 18 the family want the body or do you keep it for forensic 19 purposes? That is the broad tension, isn't it?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. You know there has been some evidence given suggesting 22 that you released the body too early, but perhaps for 23 now we need not worry teach about that.</p> <p>24 I would just like to ask you about one of the 25 emails, please, it is IPC246, internal page 1.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 64</p>

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

<p>1 There was discussion around toxicology, because that 2 was part of the discussion about releasing the body. 3 The top of this page, is this an email that we see from 4 Mr Kirk to you about this issue? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. 25 September, there is discussion about: 7 "Can we get the work enquiry done as a matter of 8 priority? If it is down to tox, I see no reason to keep 9 the body, we can wait and see after CCTV like you say. 10 I don't think we should keep it until tox is received 11 unless it is fast tracked and will be with us in a week 12 or 10 days." 13 Do you see that? 14 A. I see that entry, yes. 15 Q. Do you remember whether or not you did fast track the 16 tox for Daniel in that way? 17 A. I don't remember. 18 Q. Your evidence in your witness statements provided to 19 this inquiry has been -- forgive me, provided to 20 an earlier inquiry, IPC408, internal page 10. Is that 21 after there were discussions about releasing the body, 22 paragraph 18 on this page -- just to orientate you in 23 fairness, on the previous page you had talked about 24 releasing the body? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p>	<p>1 Q. In fact it never happened, but at least his thinking was 2 there. 3 A. Okay. 4 Q. You have received, on the face of it, two tox results, 5 both talking about GHB? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. But at no point, as far as I have understood it, do you 8 ever engage with the MIT team and homicide command 9 again, is that right? 10 A. That's right. 11 Q. At no point do you say, "There is something now that is 12 more suspicious that I need some help on"? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. They are available to you to seek advice from at any 15 point when a case becomes more complex, aren't they? 16 A. They would have been. 17 Q. I think we have heard some evidence that that routinely 18 happens, that they do provide ongoing advice on 19 particular cases, but at no point did you do that, is 20 that right? 21 A. I did not. 22 Q. We have heard some evidence about how you closed down 23 the CRIS before the PM report had been received. 24 I would just like to ask you some questions about one 25 further email, please, IPC172. The foot of that email,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p>
<p>1 Q. So it is the middle to the end of October, paragraph 18: 2 "My recollection is that after this I became 3 primarily involved in trying to assist the coroner." 4 Do you see that? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Once the body had been released, that was what your 7 focus was, wasn't it, assisting the coroner, that is 8 your own evidence, paragraph 18? 9 A. Yes, that is what I said, yes. 10 Q. We know from the dates that the tox results came back -- 11 if I have this right -- for Gabriel, 8 October with 12 a full PM on 17 October, for Daniel tox on 21 November. 13 All right, does that sound about right to you? 14 A. I've got no reason -- 15 Q. Just reading from dates on the report? 16 A. That is fine. 17 Q. I appreciate it may take a couple of days to actually 18 reach the police. 19 We heard some evidence from Mr McCarthy, Inspector 20 McCarthy, your colleague and peer, that when he received 21 the tox result on Anthony, that was one of the reasons 22 why he thought the MIT team should become involved 23 again. Do you know that that is the evidence he has 24 given? 25 A. I didn't, but ...</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p>	<p>1 it is about something completely different, it is about 2 preparing for the inquest. Mr Slaymaker wants to 3 understand the bit about the evidence to help prepare 4 for the inquest. You say at the foot of that page: 5 "I am at ESB today ..." 6 I think that is probably a different building. 7 A. It is. 8 Q. "If you need it urgently [this is the Whitworth papers] 9 it is under my desk in a trolley case." 10 This is the middle of June? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is that where all of evidence was just being pushed away 13 by you, out of sight, out of mind? 14 A. It would have been -- I would have collected it around 15 about that time in preparation for the inquest, which 16 was I believe nine days later. So I don't know whether 17 it stayed there, but at that point it must have been 18 there, because otherwise I wouldn't have written that. 19 But the file generally speaking was being kept at Fresh 20 Wharf. 21 Q. I don't want to go through the detail of the evidence at 22 the inquest at all, but is this broadly right, you were 23 not able to explain to the coroner confidently how 24 either Gabriel or Daniel had got into the position of 25 the graveyard, and there was no clear evidence of links</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p>

<p>1 between the two of them?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. So you were not really able to help her with how either</p> <p>4 of those young men had died?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Would you accept that you gave evidence that is at best</p> <p>7 misleading about what was actually the position from the</p> <p>8 police investigation?</p> <p>9 A. Not intentionally so.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you accept that you didn't fully understand the</p> <p>11 investigation evidence and that you gave evidence to the</p> <p>12 coroner that suggested you did know something had</p> <p>13 happened, when actually it hadn't?</p> <p>14 A. Yes. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. The exercise that we have just been through reveals</p> <p>16 a shocking series of failings by your team, doesn't it?</p> <p>17 A. It does.</p> <p>18 Q. When Mr Pape gave evidence, he described the nature of</p> <p>19 the investigation as tragically inept, certainly</p> <p>20 attributed that to one officer. That is a proper</p> <p>21 description, isn't it?</p> <p>22 A. It is a description.</p> <p>23 Q. When he was questioned by the Metropolitan Police, their</p> <p>24 own barrister challenged his view of homophobia by</p> <p>25 saying, "We are not homophobic, we are just incompetent</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p>	<p>1 together, isn't it right that your team took the easy</p> <p>2 option, assumed the note was genuine and closed your</p> <p>3 minds to lines of enquiry that might have shown</p> <p>4 otherwise?</p> <p>5 A. Overall it does appear that way, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. That you failed to fully explain the deaths of both</p> <p>7 Gabriel and Daniel, because you didn't rigorously test</p> <p>8 and validate those lines of enquiry that might have</p> <p>9 shown the note was not right?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>11 Q. I do suggest to you on behalf of the families, in</p> <p>12 particular the Taylor family that I represent, that sit</p> <p>13 here, that had any one or any combination of these</p> <p>14 failings not occurred Jack Taylor would not have been</p> <p>15 killed?</p> <p>16 A. That is very possible.</p> <p>17 Q. Is there anything else you would like to say to the</p> <p>18 families I represent who sit here and watch remotely?</p> <p>19 A. Yes. The way the investigations were carried out and</p> <p>20 the -- whilst non-intentional, issues, I can only speak</p> <p>21 for myself here, I can't speak for my colleagues, it is</p> <p>22 hugely regrettable and I am very sorry for how things</p> <p>23 transpired. It must have been very difficult for all</p> <p>24 those involved to try to come to terms with very, very</p> <p>25 difficult facts that in the end turned out not to be the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p>
<p>1 on a significant scale", because the question that was</p> <p>2 put was around how even incompetence on this scale is</p> <p>3 not homophobia, all right. Taking aside the homophobia</p> <p>4 point, this is a significantly incompetent</p> <p>5 investigation, isn't it?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, I think that is fair.</p> <p>7 Q. Broadly, when there are criticisms made of it by the</p> <p>8 coroner's expert, and where there are acceptances by</p> <p>9 DAC Cundy, they are fair, aren't they?</p> <p>10 A. I believe so.</p> <p>11 Q. I will just bring up one final point, please, it is</p> <p>12 an extract from an ACPO document. It is summarised in</p> <p>13 the IPCC report. It is IPC1, internal 195. One</p> <p>14 passage, it is paragraph 916, I just need to understand</p> <p>15 the summary of what a principle of training is:</p> <p>16 "The ACPO practice advice on core investigative</p> <p>17 doctrine, which should apply to all police</p> <p>18 investigations.</p> <p>19 "If investigators develop an early view as to what</p> <p>20 has occurred or who is responsible for a crime, there is</p> <p>21 a danger that they focus on the material that supports</p> <p>22 that view."</p> <p>23 Do you see that?</p> <p>24 A. I see that.</p> <p>25 Q. Just taking that down, pulling all these threads</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 70</p>	<p>1 case. As in somebody may have killed someone else but</p> <p>2 then didn't, so I can't even imagine what that must feel</p> <p>3 like. So I do very much regret that the investigations</p> <p>4 went the way they were and that we were not able</p> <p>5 collectively to come to the right conclusions</p> <p>6 immediately. Even possibly long before my involvement,</p> <p>7 but with my involvement as well.</p> <p>8 Thank you.</p> <p>9 MS HILL: Thank you very much.</p> <p>10 THE CORONER: Yes, we will take a break at that stage,</p> <p>11 members of the jury.</p> <p>12 Thank you.</p> <p>13 (11.20 am)</p> <p>14 (A short adjournment)</p> <p>15 (11.39 am)</p> <p>16 (In the presence of the jury)</p> <p>17 THE CORONER: Yes.</p> <p>18 Questions from MR SKELTON</p> <p>19 MR SKELTON: Mr Schamberger, I ask questions on behalf of</p> <p>20 the Metropolitan Police.</p> <p>21 A. I understand.</p> <p>22 Q. May I just check, at the outset, that when you were</p> <p>23 investigating Gabriel and Daniel's death you understood</p> <p>24 what your role was as the DI of those investigations?</p> <p>25 A. Possibly not fully.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 72</p>

<p>1 Q. Did you understand that you needed to set the strategy 2 for the investigation? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. To move the investigation forward? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. To create actions? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. To review those actions? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And to initiate further actions in response? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. That, in essence, is the management role, isn't it? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. So you did understand what it was? 15 A. If that is what it is, then yes. 16 Q. I think it is right that you had in fact undertaken your 17 DS course the previous year, do you remember? 18 A. I remember undertaking it, the date escapes me but yes, 19 I had undertaken a DS's course. 20 Q. It was in July 2013. 21 A. That sounds about right. 22 Q. I think it was a three-week training course at that 23 stage? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Do you remember that it included the importance of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p>	<p>1 Q. I think you have accepted in evidence, it is fair to 2 say, that your team failed to conduct effective 3 investigations into Gabriel and Daniel's deaths. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. I just would like to explore some of the potential 6 explanations for why that might have occurred. 7 A. Okay. 8 Q. One explanation that you have offered is your workload, 9 so I would like to explore that first, please. 10 I think you have explained yesterday that you had 11 a diverse portfolio of responsibilities in 2014, 12 including missing persons and multi-agency safeguarding, 13 is that correct? 14 A. As a sergeant, yes. 15 As an acting inspector, the portfolio was 16 considerably wider. 17 Q. It is right though, isn't it, that in response to 18 a workload as heavy and as diverse as that, that you 19 needed to prioritise your time? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And that is your responsibility? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Facing an investigation or investigations of this kind, 24 which were recognised to be important, a gold group had 25 been convened, the murder investigation team had advised</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p>
<p>1 maintaining a record of decisions and giving direction 2 to an enquiry and prioritising team activity -- it may 3 not trip off the tongue that title, but do you remember 4 that kind of -- 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Do you also remember making effective use of forensic 7 opportunities? 8 A. Not in detail, but it is very likely that would have 9 been included as well. 10 Q. So you were aware of those types of things -- 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. -- and how they needed to be applied to investigations? 13 As far as actions are concerned, the jury have heard 14 a lot about how these could be entered on the CRIS? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. The reason they need to be entered on the CRIS is that 17 that is the sort of Bible or the comprehensive record of 18 an investigation as it evolves over time? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And it allows you to follow what has been done, what 21 hasn't been done and to take action as a result? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. So when things are missed off the CRIS, that leads to 24 mistakes being made. 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 74</p>	<p>1 you had potential homicides on your hands, you had 2 appointed family liaison officers, which were highly 3 unusual for simple deaths in the community, you needed 4 to recognise that these were important cases that needed 5 to be prioritised? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And you should have done so? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. On 4 November, I think you went on to the CRIS and 10 reviewed the actions that had been set in motion. Do 11 you remember that? 12 A. I remember seeing those entries, yes. 13 Q. Can I just take you to the entries, just to look through 14 a few of them, it is IPC00033 and it is in the bundle 15 under tab 42. 16 Can I have, first of all, page 84. 17 I think I may have given the wrong tab. It is 18 tab 46, sorry. 19 You can see here, this is action 1 of 8, do you see 20 that? 21 A. I do. 22 Q. In total there were eight actions and I think it is 23 right that you initiated all of them? 24 A. I believe so, yes. 25 Q. If we just have the whole page on screen, please, you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 76</p>

<p>1 can see this is the action in respect of CCTV.</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. I think if we go overleaf, you can see its completion.</p> <p>4 Right at the bottom, on 4 November, at 11.29 --</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. You went on, on 4 November, at 11.29 and checked this</p> <p>7 off as being completed?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. I think it is right that you did that in respect of five</p> <p>10 actions out of the eight that morning?</p> <p>11 A. That is very possible, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. I think that task, as we will see, lasted two minutes of</p> <p>13 your time?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Between 11.29 and 11.31, you signed off five actions out</p> <p>16 of eight?</p> <p>17 A. The two-minute gap would refer to the time it actually</p> <p>18 took to tick the boxes, not necessarily as to what had</p> <p>19 gone into beforehand.</p> <p>20 Q. What I was going to ask you, is if you really were just</p> <p>21 ticking the boxes because, as you described to</p> <p>22 Mr O'Connor yesterday, one of the actions was to do with</p> <p>23 phones and that was action number 5, which you yourself</p> <p>24 had set in motion in September?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p>	<p>1 you had made the wrong decision, which you acknowledged</p> <p>2 yesterday?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. You cannot, can you, have actually gone back into the</p> <p>5 CRIS a few pages back and looked where it was clear that</p> <p>6 the action had been raised but there was no actual</p> <p>7 results, because there weren't any?</p> <p>8 A. It is clear to me that I didn't have the time and</p> <p>9 capacity to review the job at all stages, in as much</p> <p>10 detail as I should have done.</p> <p>11 Q. Can I just press you on that, please, Mr Schamberger?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. It takes seconds to go into the CRIS, look at the action</p> <p>14 for phones and see that it hasn't been done. That is</p> <p>15 not a complex action. That takes seconds to do.</p> <p>16 A. Just the action itself, no, you are right, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. In fact you did have the time to say to yourself:</p> <p>18 "I have set up this action, it is obviously</p> <p>19 important because I am trying to establish if these two</p> <p>20 men were in contact with each other, it hasn't been done</p> <p>21 and I can't yet sign it off as completed, so it stays on</p> <p>22 the CRIS until it has been done."</p> <p>23 You accept that?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. I don't think workload, time pressure, can be an excuse</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p>
<p>1 Q. If you remember, there was some evolution about what</p> <p>2 phones should be checked, initially Daniel's phone was</p> <p>3 to be looked at for a week, then both phones were to be</p> <p>4 looked at back to the end of August?</p> <p>5 A. August, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Then you made a decision to go back right to the</p> <p>7 beginning of August and that was entered as an action?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. DC Slaymaker took that on board as something to do?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. As Mr O'Connor went through yesterday, you went back on</p> <p>12 to the system on 4 November and signed it off as being</p> <p>13 completed?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. But in those two minutes that you spent signing off the</p> <p>16 five actions, you simply cannot have actually processed</p> <p>17 the fact that they weren't completed, or that particular</p> <p>18 action was not completed?</p> <p>19 A. As I say, the two-minute timeframe refers to how long it</p> <p>20 takes to tick a box. So I can't see how -- if a number</p> <p>21 of actions were reviewed and then ticked off as</p> <p>22 an administrative function, the two minutes is only</p> <p>23 indicative of the time it took to tick the boxes.</p> <p>24 Q. The difficulty then is to understand how long you</p> <p>25 actually spent on, for example, action number 5, where</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 78</p>	<p>1 for that, can it?</p> <p>2 A. Well, capacity can.</p> <p>3 Q. You had the seconds or minutes to check the CRIS and</p> <p>4 come to right answer, not the wrong one.</p> <p>5 A. Yes, yes, but that does not automatically mean that</p> <p>6 I had the right focus and capacity of mind to actually</p> <p>7 take everything on board, but ...</p> <p>8 Q. This was a very, very simple task, wasn't it,</p> <p>9 Mr Schamberger. I have asked for this to be done. Has</p> <p>10 it been done? Yes or no. There is no evidence in these</p> <p>11 few pages on the CRIS, and in fact the CRIS, we are</p> <p>12 seeing a computer printout of it but the CRIS screen is</p> <p>13 a sort of 1980s style database. It actually shows you</p> <p>14 everything that has been done in respect of that</p> <p>15 particular action.</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. So it is right there in front of you, in fact, but you</p> <p>18 ticked the wrong box?</p> <p>19 A. Evidently, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Can I ask also about the actions that were initiated, or</p> <p>21 some of them that should have been initiated, not all of</p> <p>22 which featured in the CRIS, in fact. I think you have</p> <p>23 accepted that if there are actions that need to be done,</p> <p>24 they need to be on the CRIS so that the investigation as</p> <p>25 a whole, the team, can keep an eye on them and make sure</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 80</p>

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

<p>1 they are not lost?</p> <p>2 A. They should be as a central record, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Sending off swabs, such as contact swabs, sex swabs or</p> <p>4 exhibits, is the kind of thing that should be on the</p> <p>5 CRIS and it should be entered by whoever the OIC or IO</p> <p>6 is, so that it is done?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. In this case, as you went through, I think with</p> <p>9 Mr O'Connor and indeed with Ms Hill, that was not done?</p> <p>10 A. It wasn't.</p> <p>11 Q. Again, that is quite an easy thing to do, isn't it, just</p> <p>12 set it in motion?</p> <p>13 A. Setting the action in motion, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Had that been done, we now know of course that those</p> <p>15 things would have sent off, the sex swabs or contact</p> <p>16 swabs would have been sent off. The bedsheet would have</p> <p>17 been sent off, they would have come back and we may well</p> <p>18 have had a match with Mr Port's DNA?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Likewise, checking phone data, what needs to be done in</p> <p>21 that respect, as Mr Slaymaker made clear, was you just</p> <p>22 have to put an application in. Someone else goes off</p> <p>23 and gets the data from the communications bureau and</p> <p>24 hands it to you on a plate?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p>	<p>1 22 September was that although MIT had raised these as</p> <p>2 possible homicides, the reality was that what you appear</p> <p>3 to have was a suicide-manslaughter combination, which</p> <p>4 had in effect solved itself, subject to confirmation by</p> <p>5 toxicology? That actually, as Superintendent Wilson</p> <p>6 said, the overall view was that you were not really</p> <p>7 dealing with murder cases?</p> <p>8 A. That is entirely possible, although I can't attribute</p> <p>9 a specific extent to that.</p> <p>10 Q. Because it appears what happened was that some actions</p> <p>11 were missed, some were started but not finished, but</p> <p>12 overall you seemed to be waiting for the toxicology to</p> <p>13 give the answer. The answer comes from Ms Stanworth,</p> <p>14 particularly in respect of Daniel, it is GHB, as the</p> <p>15 note said, he has died of an overdose, just like Gabriel</p> <p>16 may have died of an overdose. And you have the case</p> <p>17 almost solved at that point by late November, two months</p> <p>18 after it started.</p> <p>19 Really, after that, there is no effort made at all</p> <p>20 to go back and review all the totality of the evidence,</p> <p>21 because the case is finished?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. So from your perspective, as the detectives</p> <p>24 investigating this, the ultimate conclusion was these</p> <p>25 are not murders, they are drug overdoses.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 83</p>
<p>1 Q. Then you just have to review it?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. In fact that was done for that one week, but just not</p> <p>4 the other stuff?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Again, not a difficult action to initiate for a borough</p> <p>7 detective?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. Likewise, Ms Baxter was asked in detail about responding</p> <p>10 to Mr Pape's communications, which he raised with her in</p> <p>11 order to try and get her to engage with the possibility</p> <p>12 that the two men may have been drugged and killed at</p> <p>13 a party. She simply didn't do it. She didn't engage</p> <p>14 her brain and do it.</p> <p>15 Again, that isn't a matter of, "I am too busy to</p> <p>16 read the email" or "I am too busy to think", she did</p> <p>17 think, but just simply didn't do anything.</p> <p>18 Do you understand that?</p> <p>19 A. I understand what you are saying.</p> <p>20 Q. As one of your detectives in your team, that is</p> <p>21 deplorable, isn't it?</p> <p>22 A. It is not good.</p> <p>23 Q. Can I ask, is one of the reasons, do you think, that</p> <p>24 these actions were not followed through and completed</p> <p>25 fully, that the mindset after the gold group on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 82</p>	<p>1 A. I think the main issue was a lack of thorough and joint</p> <p>2 review of the full circumstances.</p> <p>3 Q. That must be right.</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you think the mindset was informed perhaps by your</p> <p>6 overall experience as detectives, which inevitably would</p> <p>7 be that deaths from the taking of illegal drugs vastly</p> <p>8 outweigh in terms of numbers the number of homicides,</p> <p>9 the number of murders?</p> <p>10 A. It could be, I guess.</p> <p>11 Q. Just by way of statistics, back in 2014/2015 there were</p> <p>12 about 500 homicides in England and Wales and over 2,000</p> <p>13 deaths from illegal drugs.</p> <p>14 A. Okay, I was not aware of that.</p> <p>15 Q. You may not have been, nobody may have been aware of</p> <p>16 those sorts of statistics, but it just gives</p> <p>17 an indication of the number -- it is eight times the</p> <p>18 number of deaths from overdoses compared to the number</p> <p>19 of murders?</p> <p>20 A. Okay.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you think, when looking at the deaths of young men,</p> <p>22 who had been found to have taken drugs and appeared to</p> <p>23 have died from drugs, that that might have the mindset,</p> <p>24 that these don't look like homicides, they don't seem</p> <p>25 like homicides, they seem like accidental drug</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 84</p>

<p>1 overdoses?</p> <p>2 A. I can't say for sure, but it is a distinct possibility.</p> <p>3 Q. Another explanation, and this may be more personal to</p> <p>4 you, Mr Schamberger, is that you forgot to do things.</p> <p>5 You have described in evidence to the coroner in this</p> <p>6 inquest that you couldn't remember seeing any mention of</p> <p>7 the bedsheet, although I think you recognise that it may</p> <p>8 have been on the CRIS somewhere?</p> <p>9 A. Yes. It started --</p> <p>10 Q. It is actually on the HAT return as well from the 21st,</p> <p>11 but you didn't remember that?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. When you came to give evidence yesterday, you produced</p> <p>14 for the first time your daybook, having just said a few</p> <p>15 minutes before, "I can't remember meeting DCI Kirk to</p> <p>16 discuss these deaths". In fact it was one of the first</p> <p>17 entries in your daybook?</p> <p>18 A. It is, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Which you had, again, forgotten?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you think you may have just forgotten to do things in</p> <p>22 these cases?</p> <p>23 A. That is also possible.</p> <p>24 Q. The last thing I would like to ask you about is</p> <p>25 prejudice, please.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 85</p>	<p>1 local LGB community?</p> <p>2 A. Not that I recall, I don't think I was aware.</p> <p>3 Q. It might have been something that other officers were</p> <p>4 involved in but you yourself weren't aware of it?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, I think that is --</p> <p>6 Q. Including DI McCarthy, who was your sort of colleague of</p> <p>7 sorts in terms of rank at one time, were you aware that</p> <p>8 he was involved with liaison?</p> <p>9 A. No, I was not.</p> <p>10 We were colleagues but we were never based in the</p> <p>11 same building and I don't recall ever working on -- very</p> <p>12 closely with him.</p> <p>13 Q. Presumably in your work on missing persons, child</p> <p>14 protection and so on, you come across a wide spectrum of</p> <p>15 nationalities, ethnicities, sexes, genders, sexual</p> <p>16 orientation and so on?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Is it the case that you couldn't effectively police your</p> <p>19 community if you didn't recognise that diversity and</p> <p>20 respond to it appropriately?</p> <p>21 A. I think that is correct.</p> <p>22 Q. Looking back at the two deaths that you were concerned</p> <p>23 with, do you think any kind of bias or prejudice,</p> <p>24 conscious or unconscious, was in play when it came to</p> <p>25 your responses to the specific actions that needed to be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 87</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you know the ethnic backgrounds or sexes or genders</p> <p>3 or sexual preferences of your colleagues in the police</p> <p>4 in Barking?</p> <p>5 A. Which colleagues are you referring to, of my immediate</p> <p>6 team?</p> <p>7 Q. Generally. Generally.</p> <p>8 A. No. I mean of my immediate team, more likely, yes, but</p> <p>9 all of these factors, no, because I was not personally</p> <p>10 familiar very well with a lot of the colleagues, given</p> <p>11 that I had worked in the safer neighbourhoods area of</p> <p>12 one particular part and then the safeguarding of</p> <p>13 multi-agency aspect. There were lots of colleagues in</p> <p>14 Barking and Dagenham that I wasn't really that familiar</p> <p>15 with.</p> <p>16 Q. Is it fair to say that they are likely to be diverse to</p> <p>17 some extent, in terms of backgrounds?</p> <p>18 A. Backgrounds, absolutely. I mean everybody's background</p> <p>19 is different.</p> <p>20 Q. You yourself perhaps, can I infer from your name and</p> <p>21 your very slight accent also have a diverse background?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Were you aware that the local detective team had liaison</p> <p>24 through a number of officers, about five of them at one</p> <p>25 time, prior to the deaths in question here with the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 86</p>	<p>1 taken to solve these crimes?</p> <p>2 A. This is something that, again, I have given a lot of</p> <p>3 thought to and I can only speak for myself and I would</p> <p>4 have to answer no.</p> <p>5 Q. You can only speak for yourself in truth, to answer that</p> <p>6 at least comprehensively, but you were responsible for</p> <p>7 a team of detectives.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you think those detectives ever -- or did they ever</p> <p>10 exhibit the kind of prejudice or discrimination that</p> <p>11 could have affected the investigations of these young</p> <p>12 men?</p> <p>13 A. Not to me.</p> <p>14 Q. Pardon?</p> <p>15 A. Not to me.</p> <p>16 MR SKELTON: Thank you.</p> <p>17 Questions from MR DAVIES</p> <p>18 MR DAVIES: Detective Inspector Schamberger, you know that</p> <p>19 I represent you.</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. So I am now asking questions on your behalf.</p> <p>22 To my learned friend Ms Hill, on behalf of the</p> <p>23 families, you have conceded that these were</p> <p>24 "significantly incompetent investigations"?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 88</p>

<p>1 Q. And other concessions?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. To my learned friend Mr Skelton, on behalf of the</p> <p>4 Metropolitan Police, you have said yes, my team have</p> <p>5 failed to conduct effective investigations into these</p> <p>6 deaths? I paraphrase slightly.</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. I am not asking you in my questions to backtrack on</p> <p>9 those concessions, detective inspector.</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Were you conscious at the time of completing these</p> <p>12 investigations that those descriptions applied to them?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. How is it you come to the conclusion that those</p> <p>15 descriptions are properly conceded to be fair?</p> <p>16 A. A lot of information has come to light since and through</p> <p>17 the following on investigations, and the inquest as</p> <p>18 well, that have highlighted the various failings and</p> <p>19 shortcomings within the investigations.</p> <p>20 Q. I think, by implication, you are accepting your share of</p> <p>21 responsibility for the failures objectively described in</p> <p>22 these investigations?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, I am.</p> <p>24 Q. Almost certainly multifactorial. One factor is simple</p> <p>25 bad policing by individuals in what was on their desks?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 89</p>	<p>1 sexual exploitation response for Barking and Dagenham</p> <p>2 borough.</p> <p>3 Q. Had you ever performed the role of detective sergeant on</p> <p>4 a CID team?</p> <p>5 A. I had not.</p> <p>6 Q. Or a MIT?</p> <p>7 A. No, I had not.</p> <p>8 Q. We know you were asked to act up.</p> <p>9 Paragraph 3 on the next page, again, Ms Hill has</p> <p>10 taken you to some, at least, of this, but in being asked</p> <p>11 to act up on top of your other duties to which I will</p> <p>12 turn, you had had no specialist training in fulfilling</p> <p>13 the role, you hadn't been on the DI's course?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. You had never been on the investigating officer's course</p> <p>16 in terms of management of serious crime?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Let alone, as you put it, the SIO's course, the national</p> <p>19 SIO development programme. You make the point an SIO is</p> <p>20 normally a DCI or at least an experienced DI who has</p> <p>21 been on the specialist course.</p> <p>22 Pausing there, looking back now, seven years, do you</p> <p>23 think you were qualified to perform the role you were</p> <p>24 given by the Metropolitan Police Service to act up as</p> <p>25 an A/DI at the time?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 91</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Another factor -- this is where I want to explore a bit</p> <p>3 more detail, as Ms Hill anticipated -- in your case at</p> <p>4 least was the range and extent of your other</p> <p>5 responsibilities?</p> <p>6 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Could we have back on screen, please, IPC408. To some</p> <p>8 extent it is ground that has been travelled before, but</p> <p>9 I am just going to invite more detail. If we start with</p> <p>10 paragraphs 1 and 2, you had been promoted to police</p> <p>11 sergeant in April 2010?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. We have heard 15 September 2014, you were asked to act</p> <p>14 up as a DI?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. From March 2013, you had worked as a detective sergeant?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. In parenthesis, we know that, although it is</p> <p>19 a hierarchical force, rank-based force, how did your</p> <p>20 detective sergeant experience compare to that of</p> <p>21 Detective Sergeant Turrell?</p> <p>22 A. So my experience as a detective sergeant?</p> <p>23 Q. Yes:</p> <p>24 A. The only role I held as a detective sergeant was for the</p> <p>25 multi-agency safeguarding hub, missing persons and child</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 90</p>	<p>1 A. Not fully, no.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you think that affected your oversight of these</p> <p>3 investigations?</p> <p>4 A. I think it was clearly a factor, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. You go on in that paragraph to point out the</p> <p>6 limitations, in terms of your training at the time, in</p> <p>7 terms of chemsex related offences, the gay community</p> <p>8 specifically. You believe there was some LGBT training</p> <p>9 which took place on borough, but that may have been</p> <p>10 after these events?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. We come on to your other roles, and this is paragraph 4.</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. You have referred to them. What does the multi-agency</p> <p>15 safeguarding hub do in a few sentences, please?</p> <p>16 A. So, in a few sentences, the multi-agency safeguarding</p> <p>17 hub is a multi-agency children's services led forum set</p> <p>18 up, in a local authority building, where different</p> <p>19 agencies, including children's services, police,</p> <p>20 housing, health, education and probation -- others may</p> <p>21 have escaped me -- work together.</p> <p>22 Q. You covered this with Mr O'Connor, so I am going use my</p> <p>23 time effectively.</p> <p>24 A. Work together to manage risk around safeguarding</p> <p>25 concerns that are raised, from a police point of view,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 92</p>

<p>1 brought in on the Merlin computer system.</p> <p>2 Q. So, rightly, it doesn't reduce your investigative duties</p> <p>3 to the Kovari and Whitworth matters, but what level of</p> <p>4 risk is this multi-agency hub addressing in terms of the</p> <p>5 community?</p> <p>6 A. Very high levels of risk. I mean the reports that come</p> <p>7 in -- I mentioned a number that started off in 2013 of</p> <p>8 about 6,000, by 2015 we were at 10,000 reports a year.</p> <p>9 And they ranged from very low risk to very high risk, so</p> <p>10 from a child becoming a victim of a theft, for example,</p> <p>11 where there were no other safeguarding concerns at the</p> <p>12 bottom end. Down to the worst cases of child abuse at</p> <p>13 the top end.</p> <p>14 Q. These are real-time factor specific?</p> <p>15 A. Real time that need to be dealt with in a timely</p> <p>16 fashion, that need to be risk graded, fully researched</p> <p>17 with information that we have, including the PND system,</p> <p>18 so when national checks are required and then fed into</p> <p>19 the -- if they meet a certain threshold or above, they</p> <p>20 then get fed into the MASH lead and if necessary we had</p> <p>21 conversations there and then if it was fast time, so</p> <p>22 actions could be taken.</p> <p>23 Q. To intervene to protect children at high risk of harm?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. And --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 93</p>	<p>1 A. Yes. At times, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Yes.</p> <p>3 A. So lots of strategy meetings.</p> <p>4 Q. By dynamic responses, you have been asked about</p> <p>5 prioritising your time --</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. -- and the other areas of business, as you have put it,</p> <p>8 I am going to cover, they all have that component of</p> <p>9 having to react dynamically and the risk justifies --</p> <p>10 A. The public protection in the safeguarding portfolio is</p> <p>11 inherently risky.</p> <p>12 Q. Miss pers, missing persons?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Again, what in real terms, we all believe we know what</p> <p>15 a missing person is, in terms of your role, what</p> <p>16 category of missing person typically were you dealing</p> <p>17 with?</p> <p>18 A. Within the borough of Barking and Dagenham, care homes</p> <p>19 were a significant contributor. So children that had</p> <p>20 come from troubled circumstances, sometimes off borough,</p> <p>21 sometimes nationally, were being housed in the borough</p> <p>22 and frequently went missing but, again, it is across the</p> <p>23 spectrum. There was also issues with, for example,</p> <p>24 elderly vulnerable people, some, for example, with</p> <p>25 dementia going missing. Where, particularly in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p>
<p>1 A. Also, at a later point adults were added to this as</p> <p>2 well.</p> <p>3 Q. Across the spectrum, there were thousands of these cases</p> <p>4 you were having to manage?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Real-time serious risk to children in Barking.</p> <p>7 A. Often what appears to be on the face of it not</p> <p>8 necessarily high-risk report, once research is added and</p> <p>9 the whole picture is obtained, further risk can be found</p> <p>10 in there, so matters can be, hence the timeliness of all</p> <p>11 the reports being properly worked on is so important.</p> <p>12 Q. Child sexual exploitation, is this not simply one-on-one</p> <p>13 sexual abuse of children but organised sexual grooming</p> <p>14 by groups of individuals in the community?</p> <p>15 A. Individuals or groups. So these cases were pre-criminal</p> <p>16 stages, so where there wasn't enough evidence yet but</p> <p>17 concerns were raised. So there was not enough evidence</p> <p>18 for example to go and arrest somebody or put in place</p> <p>19 a child protection plans but where concerns were raised</p> <p>20 for example through a social worker or school or</p> <p>21 relative or another child or anything like that. So</p> <p>22 these cases were run and explored by a very small team,</p> <p>23 one or two detective constables, to establish whether or</p> <p>24 not they could be built into criminal cases.</p> <p>25 Q. Did these require meetings, dynamic responses?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 94</p>	<p>1 inclement weather, timeliness is a factor as well.</p> <p>2 Q. It probably needs no imagination, whether they are</p> <p>3 children going missing from local authority</p> <p>4 accommodation --</p> <p>5 A. Or private care homes.</p> <p>6 Q. -- or older people going missing with dementia and</p> <p>7 similar conditions --</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. -- these are intrinsically dynamic and high-risk</p> <p>10 situations, aren't they?</p> <p>11 A. Or missing persons where the person reporting missing</p> <p>12 has raised concerns around suicide for example.</p> <p>13 Q. Yes, and, again, I think you told Mr O'Connor that the</p> <p>14 volume of work involved in CSE and miss pers was such</p> <p>15 that subsequently, these roles were split between two</p> <p>16 DSs?</p> <p>17 A. Two DSs, yes, so I had these three areas under my --</p> <p>18 I was in charge of those, which was not the case on</p> <p>19 other boroughs and I had raised this several times and</p> <p>20 eventually another DS was appointed to take on the</p> <p>21 missing persons, albeit on a part-time basis. I still</p> <p>22 remain involved, but that did bring back my involvement</p> <p>23 with the CSE matters to focus more fully on the MASH</p> <p>24 role.</p> <p>25 Q. This was a question of you raising capacity to cover</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 96</p>

<p>1 these portfolios?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Is it you couldn't?</p> <p>4 A. Yes. Well, not effectively.</p> <p>5 Q. The youth offending service, YOS, what is it and what</p> <p>6 was your role?</p> <p>7 A. Youth offending team or youth offending services,</p> <p>8 a small police team again that work in the local</p> <p>9 authority building, in this case they were also based at</p> <p>10 Roycraft House, I had some familiarity with them from my</p> <p>11 job as a sergeant because their sergeant -- I don't</p> <p>12 recall who their line managing sergeant was, but they</p> <p>13 were not often at the building --</p> <p>14 Q. Focus on what is it meant for you day to day, please,</p> <p>15 for the jury?</p> <p>16 A. Day to day it involved finding out where the risk was,</p> <p>17 supporting that, feeding it into the MASH, and also</p> <p>18 discussing and helping to deal with youth cautions.</p> <p>19 Q. The gangs unit?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. What was that?</p> <p>22 A. That was a team of police officers, with their own</p> <p>23 sergeant, that were dealing with gang-related issues.</p> <p>24 From criminal offences happening with gang links to</p> <p>25 liaison work or outreach work with gangs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p>	<p>1 that managed the highest sexual and violent offenders,</p> <p>2 both in the community and in prison, but we were more</p> <p>3 concerned with the management of the ones in the</p> <p>4 community.</p> <p>5 Q. Self-evidently a matter of high public concern?</p> <p>6 A. Very high.</p> <p>7 Q. Self-evidently potential high risk to people in the</p> <p>8 community?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Your day to day on that at this time?</p> <p>11 A. My day to day was again contact, discussions around,</p> <p>12 I recall, going on prison visits, picking up offenders,</p> <p>13 because again staffing was an issue throughout.</p> <p>14 The main part of those was the function that I took</p> <p>15 on as a chair for MAPPa and MARAC, which I will explain.</p> <p>16 So MAPPa is short for multi-agency public protection</p> <p>17 arrangements, which is a multi-agency panel dealing with</p> <p>18 Jigsaw cases.</p> <p>19 Q. Right. So you are chairing it?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. It is local authority services of different types again,</p> <p>22 is it?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, it is multi-agency, so again it was social</p> <p>24 services, adult social services, children, housing,</p> <p>25 health, education, probation, independent domestic</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p>
<p>1 Q. What volume of work did that generate for you to manage</p> <p>2 in Barking at the time?</p> <p>3 A. Directly probably not as much as the other units,</p> <p>4 because I recall the sergeant on the unit being very</p> <p>5 capable, so there would have been some discussions</p> <p>6 around resourcing, around shifts, around things like</p> <p>7 that, but I don't recall becoming involved in too many</p> <p>8 of their active cases.</p> <p>9 Q. The integrator offender management unit?</p> <p>10 A. The offender management, again, it was a small team of</p> <p>11 two officers. I can't remember what the threshold was</p> <p>12 for an offender meeting those criteria, but it was</p> <p>13 a small team, again with multi-agency, worked very</p> <p>14 closely with probation, seeking to direct offenders away</p> <p>15 from reoffending.</p> <p>16 Q. So again, reactive?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Potentially very high-risk individuals in the community?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Requiring your day-to-day management?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Operation Jigsaw, you have put in the statement,</p> <p>23 managing the borough's high risk sexual and violent</p> <p>24 offenders?</p> <p>25 A. Operation Jigsaw is the name that is given to the team</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 98</p>	<p>1 violence workers and possibly more, it is</p> <p>2 a significantly large panel.</p> <p>3 Q. Typically --</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. -- how many cases were you having to consider at these</p> <p>6 MAPPa meetings that you were chairing in your capacity</p> <p>7 as a DS?</p> <p>8 A. From MAPPa, I'm not entirely sure what the figures were,</p> <p>9 but they were significant, you know the meetings took</p> <p>10 several hours. But for MARAC -- MAPPa was cochairing</p> <p>11 with probation, so we took turns on that one. MARAC</p> <p>12 I was chairing, which is a multi-agency risk assessment</p> <p>13 conference, which deals with the highest risk domestic</p> <p>14 violence victims and perpetrators.</p> <p>15 Q. We will come back to that, but how much preparation was</p> <p>16 required for you to be effective at the MAPPa meetings?</p> <p>17 A. To have read notes on every single case, summary notes,</p> <p>18 but for MARAC, for example, this was in excess of 40</p> <p>19 cases.</p> <p>20 Q. Right.</p> <p>21 Now, MARAC, multi-agency risk assessment conference,</p> <p>22 this is directed at high-risk domestic abuse cases.</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. We have already heard that the figures for Barking,</p> <p>25 unhappily, were amongst the highest in the country for</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p>

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

<p>1 this category of risk?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. You are saying you were chairing that and there might be</p> <p>4 up to 40 separate cases to master before you could</p> <p>5 conduct the meeting?</p> <p>6 A. Well in excess of 40, it might have been 45/46 cases.</p> <p>7 Q. The threshold to get into such a meeting is high risk --</p> <p>8 high risk of what?</p> <p>9 A. High risk of harm. High risk of -- I mean some cases</p> <p>10 without betraying anyone's confidence would be in</p> <p>11 abusive relationships for long periods of time, decades,</p> <p>12 there were very high-risk cases where we were fearing</p> <p>13 that people that we had tried to engage before, that</p> <p>14 were reluctant to engage for whatever reason, some</p> <p>15 people had been subject to coercive behaviour for</p> <p>16 a number of years and were very controlled, very</p> <p>17 high-risk cases.</p> <p>18 Q. Right.</p> <p>19 In terms of priorities, to be blunt, was there</p> <p>20 anything on your desk that wasn't in this category of</p> <p>21 potentially high risk of harm to one or other category</p> <p>22 of individual in the community?</p> <p>23 A. I said before, the high level of work that was coming</p> <p>24 in, not every one of these 6,000, 8,000, 10,000 reports</p> <p>25 raising safeguarding concerns did result in active</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p>	<p>1 already given the evidence but in terms of support from</p> <p>2 the Met for these multiple roles you had, you say there</p> <p>3 were no substantive detective inspectors, or substantive</p> <p>4 DCIs, all were acting or temporary in each role?</p> <p>5 A. At that time, yes, that's right.</p> <p>6 Q. Were you aware that on DS Turrell's team, a number were</p> <p>7 in T/DC roles, in other words they weren't fully</p> <p>8 qualified as detective constables?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. The geography of where you were, I think you were in at</p> <p>11 least three different locations to perform these roles,</p> <p>12 weren't you?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Roycraft House?</p> <p>15 A. Roycraft House, sometimes meetings would take place at</p> <p>16 other local authority buildings, the Jigsaw and RM(?)</p> <p>17 units were based at Dagenham police station.</p> <p>18 Missing persons were in between Dagenham police</p> <p>19 station and Roycraft House and Fresh Wharf at different</p> <p>20 times.</p> <p>21 Q. Yes. Looking back, do you think this did or may have</p> <p>22 compromised your performance of duties in your A/DI</p> <p>23 role?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, absolutely.</p> <p>25 Q. All right. Leave that point for now.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p>
<p>1 safeguarding concerns, but a significant number did and</p> <p>2 those that made it to MAPPA and MARAC were high level</p> <p>3 cases of concern. So in general terms for anything to</p> <p>4 proceed within the public protection ring would be on</p> <p>5 the very basic checks level it had to be of high risk.</p> <p>6 Q. Bluntly, that was on your desk?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. To which was added the A/DI role from September 2014?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. In addition, as you complete on paragraph 4 of your</p> <p>11 statement, this was the statement you gave following the</p> <p>12 no comment interview, I think.</p> <p>13 A. Okay.</p> <p>14 Q. It's a 23-page statement. You say that you were</p> <p>15 required regularly to perform the role of duty DI for</p> <p>16 the borough?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Covering daytime till 19.00 hours?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. That is covering the whole borough --</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. -- in that capacity, which presumably took you away from</p> <p>23 other duties?</p> <p>24 A. It depended, so I had to be available.</p> <p>25 Q. Looking back, the top of the next page, page 3, you have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 102</p>	<p>1 I will try and take this chronologically if I may in</p> <p>2 terms of your involvement. We could go through your</p> <p>3 diary for relevant periods, Mr Schamberger. I am not</p> <p>4 going to. It would show at different points you were at</p> <p>5 other meetings in relation to these other roles.</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. So it would show that.</p> <p>8 Can we turn to page 4 of IPC408, please.</p> <p>9 22 September 2014, you were the duty acting detective</p> <p>10 inspector?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. You knew that homicide had attended and advice had been</p> <p>13 sought?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. But they had not taken the job on?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. What did you infer from the fact MIT had not taken these</p> <p>18 investigations on, despite having, if not attended, had</p> <p>19 their advice obtained?</p> <p>20 A. That they must have deemed it as something that the</p> <p>21 borough should be progressing.</p> <p>22 Q. You attended a gold group meeting on the 22nd at about</p> <p>23 1600 hours --</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. -- do you remember that?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 104</p>

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

<p>1 A. I do remember attending the meeting, yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Have you considered the evidence of Superintendent</p> <p>3 Wilson as to the outcome of that in terms of the</p> <p>4 direction of travel of the investigation?</p> <p>5 A. I have(?).</p> <p>6 Q. I mean the 3 November transcript at pages 59 to 60, the</p> <p>7 question to him:</p> <p>8 "Question: You say in your witness statement that</p> <p>9 the focus of the investigation from this point would be</p> <p>10 around supporting the coroner's investigation?</p> <p>11 "Answer: Correct.</p> <p>12 "Question: Is that because SC&O1 had declined to</p> <p>13 take primacy? Is that why the focus of police's</p> <p>14 activity from that point was on supporting the coroner's</p> <p>15 investigation?</p> <p>16 "Answer: That is correct."</p> <p>17 He goes on.</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. That is his sense of the end of that meeting, but what</p> <p>20 was yours?</p> <p>21 A. Out of the gold meeting?</p> <p>22 Q. Yes.</p> <p>23 A. Out of the gold group meeting, I've got to say that it</p> <p>24 must be possible that this was an influence on my</p> <p>25 thinking as well.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 105</p>	<p>1 A. No. Not at all.</p> <p>2 Q. Do you see any reference to the bedsheet in either the</p> <p>3 CSM notes of that meeting or --</p> <p>4 A. Not in the CSM notes, no.</p> <p>5 Q. -- the HAT advice?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Or your notebook?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. In terms of sending off of sex swabs, what was your</p> <p>10 experience -- the jury will remember the evidence of</p> <p>11 I think Mr Kirk about this -- of whether sending off sex</p> <p>12 swabs in order to identify somebody who may have been</p> <p>13 with someone the night before would have been</p> <p>14 authorised?</p> <p>15 A. Very little.</p> <p>16 Q. Had you performed that kind of role directly yourself as</p> <p>17 a detective inspector on the CID team to make the</p> <p>18 judgment?</p> <p>19 A. No, I had not.</p> <p>20 Q. Was anything said to you, I come back to this, or done</p> <p>21 by DS Denley, to impress upon you that the whole package</p> <p>22 of considerations from the SPM should have redirected</p> <p>23 the direction of this investigation?</p> <p>24 A. Not that I recall.</p> <p>25 Q. Was there any reference to murder at all in the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p>
<p>1 Q. That HAT/MIT had not taken it on?</p> <p>2 A. Had not taken the case.</p> <p>3 Q. Was there any sense in that meeting that this could be</p> <p>4 a double homicide?</p> <p>5 A. I don't recall taking that specifically away from that</p> <p>6 meeting.</p> <p>7 Q. On the 23rd, you attended the special post mortem.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. The court is more than familiar now with the evidence</p> <p>10 arising from this. Present with you was the specialist</p> <p>11 MIT officer, DS Denley --</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. -- and a specialist exhibits officer from MIT as well?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Did DS Denley give you any direction, at or after the</p> <p>16 SPM, as to the potential significance of bruising under</p> <p>17 the arms?</p> <p>18 A. I don't remember the details of our interactions, I mean</p> <p>19 we clearly spoke on the day.</p> <p>20 Q. Or that in some way the special post mortem and the</p> <p>21 debriefing by Dr Swift promoted the possibility of this</p> <p>22 being a double homicide?</p> <p>23 A. Not to that extent, I don't recall that.</p> <p>24 Q. We know what Dr Swift has said, but you don't remember</p> <p>25 reference to the bedsheet?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 106</p>	<p>1 conversations?</p> <p>2 A. Not that I recall.</p> <p>3 Q. It doesn't sound as if the bedsheets ever really entered</p> <p>4 your thinking personally?</p> <p>5 A. No, I would say that is fair.</p> <p>6 Q. Going on with your statement, please, just</p> <p>7 chronologically, page 6 of 23, IPC408, you are covering</p> <p>8 your reliance on DS Turrell and DC Slaymaker. At</p> <p>9 paragraph 15, you point out on 27 and 28 September you</p> <p>10 were the duty DI for east cluster between 0700 and</p> <p>11 1900 hours?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, I believe that was a weekend.</p> <p>13 Q. On the 29th, would that have been a fairly all-embracing</p> <p>14 set of duties?</p> <p>15 A. Again, it depends, the cluster role, you have to be</p> <p>16 available for anything that happens across the cluster,</p> <p>17 which at that time incorporated eight boroughs. This</p> <p>18 was normally done in those two nights, or weekends, day</p> <p>19 duties.</p> <p>20 Q. Quite properly you have been asked about the press</p> <p>21 conference on 29 September --</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. -- or rather emails relating to it on 29 September, for</p> <p>24 a press conference on the 30th.</p> <p>25 It would appear on the 29th, at least, that you were</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 108</p>

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

<p>1 on a rest day?</p> <p>2 A. Yes. Yes, it was normal after the weekend, because the</p> <p>3 duty covered 12 hours, that the extra four hours from</p> <p>4 each day would be taken as a subsequent rest day.</p> <p>5 Q. You were asked questions as to contacting the</p> <p>6 journalist. I won't go back to the document but what</p> <p>7 DCI Kirk said was, "Try the journalist"?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. You have no memory of doing that, coming back on duty on</p> <p>10 the 30th?</p> <p>11 A. I don't, no.</p> <p>12 Q. Same date, the 30th.</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Jury tab 58, please. Dealing now with showing the</p> <p>15 handwriting to Mr Waumsley, I just want to ask you about</p> <p>16 your memory. IPC146, at page 7, please, to start.</p> <p>17 29 September. This is DC Slaymaker's FLO log?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Temporary FLO log. To get our bearings, 29 September,</p> <p>20 15.55, DS Turrell.</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. "I [that's DC Slaymaker] spoke to DS Turrell ref the</p> <p>23 requests made by Adam and Amanda. She states there is</p> <p>24 no reason why we cannot show the family the suicide</p> <p>25 note. They would have to be briefed beforehand as it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 109</p>	<p>1 Q. Just for completeness, he is reflecting 19 minutes later</p> <p>2 a conversation with Amanda Pearson, now</p> <p>3 Amanda Whitworth:</p> <p>4 "I have also explained that I have been given</p> <p>5 authority to show Adam, but only Adam, the suicide note</p> <p>6 and he can then make the decision to tell Ricky. They</p> <p>7 were satisfied with this."</p> <p>8 What did you think was going to happen in terms of</p> <p>9 Mr Waumsley being shown the suicide note?</p> <p>10 A. I really don't remember a conversation about whether or</p> <p>11 not he should be shown the note. So I don't know.</p> <p>12 I really cannot recall. I really don't remember saying,</p> <p>13 "Show it to one person, don't show it to another</p> <p>14 person". I simply don't recall that.</p> <p>15 Q. Were you treating Mr Waumsley or anyone in the Whitworth</p> <p>16 family any differently because of the fact that Daniel</p> <p>17 was gay or Mr Waumsley was gay?</p> <p>18 A. No, absolutely not. Absolutely not.</p> <p>19 Q. The connection with Jon Luck, you have been asked about</p> <p>20 that as something that potentially could have been found</p> <p>21 out earlier.</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Are you aware of the evidence of Mr Richards in these</p> <p>24 proceedings, he may not have given it yet --</p> <p>25 A. I am not.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 111</p>
<p>1 will be upsetting, especially for partner Ricky. She</p> <p>2 also advised they can visit the scene. With regards to</p> <p>3 viewing the body, I would need to speak to the coroner's</p> <p>4 officer."</p> <p>5 Was the FLO log something you would have read?</p> <p>6 A. Possibly not at the time but subsequently.</p> <p>7 Q. We then have conversations as recorded by DC Slaymaker</p> <p>8 with you, 30 September 14 at 9:00:</p> <p>9 "I had a conversation with DI Schamberger today ref</p> <p>10 the family's requests. It has been agreed we will be</p> <p>11 meeting the family in Barking and taking them to the</p> <p>12 church to see where Daniel was found. It has also been</p> <p>13 agreed we show the suicide note to Adam only and he can</p> <p>14 make decision on whether he wants to tell Ricky. He is</p> <p>15 also happy for the family to view the body so long as</p> <p>16 the coroner's officer can arrange. We also had</p> <p>17 a discussion about body release and it has been</p> <p>18 indicated that after CCTV and statements are secured we</p> <p>19 will look at the body being released, because the</p> <p>20 toxicology will wait too long to wait for."</p> <p>21 Does that assist your memory of the motivation at</p> <p>22 least as to how showing the note to Mr Waumsley would be</p> <p>23 approached?</p> <p>24 A. I can't really add anything further to that. I don't</p> <p>25 recall the actual conversation with DC Slaymaker.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 110</p>	<p>1 Q. -- that that link was not made even by Operation Lilford</p> <p>2 until some time after September 2016.</p> <p>3 A. Okay.</p> <p>4 Q. Were you aware of that?</p> <p>5 A. I wasn't.</p> <p>6 Q. It wasn't something even that investigation established,</p> <p>7 with all its resources, until September 2016 --</p> <p>8 A. Okay.</p> <p>9 Q. -- having started the investigation in October 2015.</p> <p>10 All right, just some final overarching points,</p> <p>11 please, Mr Schamberger. What is your assessment now of</p> <p>12 whether operationally you were qualified either in terms</p> <p>13 of training and experience and/or the practicality of it</p> <p>14 day to day to be the person overseeing these</p> <p>15 investigations into the deaths of Gabriel Kovari and</p> <p>16 Daniel Whitworth?</p> <p>17 A. I think my honest assessment can only be that I was not.</p> <p>18 Q. Did the reality of your wider set of roles and</p> <p>19 responsibilities permit you the level of oversight that</p> <p>20 was required?</p> <p>21 A. I don't think in all honesty I was able to provide full</p> <p>22 oversight across the portfolio that I had to the full</p> <p>23 extent, even as a sergeant, because of the way</p> <p>24 resourcing was stretched and workloads were across these</p> <p>25 high-risk units.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 112</p>

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

<p>1 So I think there were capacity issues there, even 2 before that came along. 3 Q. Were you conscious at the time on these investigations 4 that the quality of evaluation you were giving it was as 5 compromised as it was? 6 A. No. 7 Q. What would you have done if you had absorbed that, been 8 conscious of it at the time? 9 A. I would have at least raised it with management, with 10 DCI Kirk and possibly Superintendent Wilson as well. 11 Q. Do you think they would have had more human resources to 12 give you? 13 A. I don't think so. All the indications I had had over 14 the build up of work in the preceding months at least 15 was that there isn't anything and from the interaction 16 I did have with officers, colleagues, staff, across the 17 borough, I wasn't aware of a single department that 18 wasn't stretched at the time. 19 Although this is anecdotal. 20 Q. You ended up having to prepare the closing report for 21 Mr Whitworth for the coroner, effectively the day 22 before, or give or take, 18 June. 23 A. Yes, it was very rushed. 24 Q. When gave the answers you did at the inquest, did you 25 believe them to be true?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 113</p>	<p>1 THE CORONER: But you didn't appreciate that at the time, is 2 that what you are saying? 3 A. That is what I am saying, yes. 4 THE CORONER: Why don't you think you appreciated it at the 5 time? 6 A. There was such a -- I don't know what to call it, 7 culture of just having to deal with whatever you were 8 presented with, because there was no one else to do it. 9 So this had become almost a custom within my remit as 10 a detective sergeant, where the workload was going up, 11 the resources were being stretched, I had raised this 12 and the answers were, "You just carry on, this is it, 13 you have got to deal with it". 14 So that did, I am sure, impact on my mindset around 15 there is no point in even trying to question this, this 16 has been given to me, given to us, we have got to do 17 what we can with it. 18 I hope that assists. 19 THE CORONER: Thank you. In relation to a CRIS, a juror has 20 asked what is the process of creating a CRIS, how is it 21 actually physically done? 22 A. Madam coroner, the process for creating a CRIS report is 23 for any user to log on to the system, open up a new 24 record and then start filling it in, so there are 25 different pages to it, including, for example, location</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 115</p>
<p>1 A. Absolutely. 2 Q. In closing down the investigations as complete, which 3 I think you have already accepted was a premature 4 decision -- 5 A. It was. 6 Q. -- can you remember what you based the decision on that 7 lines of enquiry had in fact been completed? 8 A. Not clearly. But I do remember being in contact with 9 DS Turrell over the phone at least. Possibly 10 a conversation, words to the effect of: has everything 11 been done that needed to be done? 12 MR DAVIES: That is all I ask you, thank you. 13 A. Thank you. 14 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, I don't have any more questions for 15 DI Schamberger. 16 THE CORONER: Thank you. 17 Questions from THE JURY 18 THE CORONER: There have been quite a large number of 19 questions from the jury, but I have been filtering them 20 and quite a lot of them have been dealt with, as I am 21 sure they will appreciate. 22 Mr Schamberger, in relation to the questions that 23 Mr Davies was asking you just now, with hindsight, you 24 don't think you were fully qualified for this role. 25 A. Madam, yes, that's correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 114</p>	<p>1 details, suspect details, victim details and then 2 something called details, which is a chronological list 3 of entries that people put on. 4 And there is also separate parts, as we discussed, 5 around setting actions and reviews, so it is kind of 6 a central record where a crime report is held and 7 progressed, but it can be created by almost anyone. 8 THE CORONER: Who should have done it here? 9 A. I think it should have probably happened on the date, so 10 on the 20th, by someone who attended the scene, they 11 would have been best placed to open up the report. Not 12 necessarily complete a huge amount of information on it, 13 depending on what they had, but they would have been in 14 a good position to actually create the record. 15 There is a phrase called a skeleton CRIS, which 16 sometimes if very brief details are known, anyone can 17 open a report up, put the briefest of details in there 18 and it can be updated more fully later as and when more 19 information becomes available. 20 THE CORONER: Without a CRIS, how do you as the officer in 21 charge make sure that tasks are completed, or can't you? 22 A. Not in any reliable way, I would say. Sometimes emails 23 can be sent, phone conversations can be had, but there 24 are clearly issues around how these records are 25 subsequently then available, so the place that they</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 116</p>

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

<p>1 should be is on the CRIS report.</p> <p>2 THE CORONER: Did you ever look at the scene photographs</p> <p>3 from where Daniel's body was found and Gabriel's bodies</p> <p>4 were found, did you look at those?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, but I can't recall when. But I have seen them.</p> <p>6 THE CORONER: At the time in 2014?</p> <p>7 A. I can't recall. I did see the pictures, but I can't</p> <p>8 recall when the first time was I saw the pictures.</p> <p>9 THE CORONER: Were you familiar with the murder</p> <p>10 investigation manual mantra of "thinking murder"?</p> <p>11 A. I am not sure, I mean I am aware of its existence but it</p> <p>12 is not a manual I had ever consulted through the roles</p> <p>13 that I had occupied.</p> <p>14 THE CORONER: There is one final question, I wonder if the</p> <p>15 evidence handler could put up on the screen, please,</p> <p>16 IPC15, page 2. Members of the jury, if you would like</p> <p>17 to go in your jury bundle to tab 46, page 60, it is just</p> <p>18 partially to clear up one matter that has been raised.</p> <p>19 In your closing report, you referred to a pen --</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 THE CORONER: -- being found. A juror has asked a question</p> <p>22 about that. If we look at page 60, behind tab 46, there</p> <p>23 is an entry to the effect that Temporary Detective</p> <p>24 Constable Berry has checked Mr Whitworth's property and</p> <p>25 a biro pen with black ink was found. Is that what you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 117</p>	<p>1 your right, all spaced out in these Covid times. The</p> <p>2 other barristers who are going to be asking you</p> <p>3 questions are sitting behind me, and you will have seen</p> <p>4 the coroner sitting in front of you, all right?</p> <p>5 A. Thank you.</p> <p>6 Q. Ms Turrell, let me start by just asking you some</p> <p>7 questions about your career in the police force.</p> <p>8 I think it is right that you are now retired?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. But you were a police officer and you joined the</p> <p>11 Metropolitan Police in 1991.</p> <p>12 A. That's correct.</p> <p>13 Q. According to the statement I have seen from you, you</p> <p>14 were a constable in the Newham borough in London between</p> <p>15 1991 and 2011, so that is about 20 years, is that right?</p> <p>16 A. I think that is slightly wrong.</p> <p>17 Q. Tell me.</p> <p>18 A. That was the whole time I was a constable.</p> <p>19 Q. I see. I was going to come on to ask you because some</p> <p>20 of dates didn't seem to quite work.</p> <p>21 Did you towards the end of that period -- first, let</p> <p>22 me ask you this, were you a uniformed constable</p> <p>23 throughout that time or did you become a detective</p> <p>24 constable during that time?</p> <p>25 A. I became a constable, a detective constable, throughout</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 119</p>
<p>1 were referring to when you entered that into your</p> <p>2 closing report?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 THE CORONER: Yes, thank you very much.</p> <p>5 Thank you, Mr Schamberger.</p> <p>6 A. Thank you, madam coroner.</p> <p>7 THE CORONER: Mr O'Connor, despite the time I think we are</p> <p>8 going to start the next witness?</p> <p>9 MR O'CONNOR: Yes, madam, may we at least make a start with</p> <p>10 the next witness, who is Ms Turrell.</p> <p>11 THE CORONER: Yes, thank you.</p> <p>12 MS DEBORAH TURRELL (affirmed)</p> <p>13 Questions from MR O'CONNOR</p> <p>14 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, Ms Turrell, do please take your</p> <p>15 mask off and take a seat and just take a moment to</p> <p>16 settle yourself.</p> <p>17 Can you give us your full name, please?</p> <p>18 A. Deborah Turrell.</p> <p>19 Q. Thank you, now, Ms Turrell, I know just because of</p> <p>20 timings this morning you have not had a chance to</p> <p>21 actually come into the courtroom and get your bearings,</p> <p>22 it probably doesn't look much like other courtrooms you</p> <p>23 have been in?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. You will appreciate, I think, the jury are sitting to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 118</p>	<p>1 that time.</p> <p>2 Q. Was that perhaps around 2007 or earlier?</p> <p>3 A. It would have been earlier than 2007, I think.</p> <p>4 Q. In any event, you were a detective constable by 2007?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Was that the time then that you left Newham and you went</p> <p>7 to work on a MIT team?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. The jury have heard a lot about major investigation</p> <p>10 teams working across London on homicide and other</p> <p>11 serious crimes. The system may have changed a bit over</p> <p>12 the years but, broadly speaking, is that the role that</p> <p>13 you performed?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. How long did you do that for?</p> <p>16 A. Four years.</p> <p>17 Q. So between about 2007 and 2011?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. You were a detective constable for that period, were</p> <p>20 you?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Was it then, at the end of that time, that you moved to</p> <p>23 Barking and Dagenham?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Were you still a detective constable at that stage or</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 120</p>

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

<p>1 had you been promoted?</p> <p>2 A. That is when I got promoted.</p> <p>3 Q. Right, so you were a detective sergeant then?</p> <p>4 A. In October 2011.</p> <p>5 Q. That is when you moved to Barking and Dagenham?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Is it right, I think, then that you didn't move straight</p> <p>8 into what we all know as the main CID office in Barking</p> <p>9 and Dagenham?</p> <p>10 A. No, I didn't, no.</p> <p>11 Q. What did you do when you arrived in Barking and</p> <p>12 Dagenham?</p> <p>13 A. I was on the beat crimes unit.</p> <p>14 Q. Tell us something about that.</p> <p>15 A. That is just the low-level crime, dealing with</p> <p>16 prisoners, thefts, shoplifting, common assaults, that</p> <p>17 sort of thing.</p> <p>18 Q. I see.</p> <p>19 I think then you did that for -- well, perhaps less</p> <p>20 than a year, perhaps six months or so and then you did</p> <p>21 move, according to my note in April 2012, into the main</p> <p>22 CID office in the borough?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>24 Q. The jury are familiar, we have heard plenty about that</p> <p>25 place and the officers who worked there.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 121</p>	<p>1 Q. Did it mean you physically moving away from Fresh Wharf</p> <p>2 base?</p> <p>3 A. No, I was still at Fresh Wharf, but I was changed onto</p> <p>4 a different leave line.</p> <p>5 Q. Right, and we will come to talk about this but in</p> <p>6 a sentence or two, I think we will see that you did</p> <p>7 actually stay involved in this investigation after mid</p> <p>8 October, when you left to go to the CSU, so does that</p> <p>9 mean that you carried on with looking into the cases</p> <p>10 that you had prior to leaving the main CID office?</p> <p>11 A. Some of them, yes, still carried on.</p> <p>12 Q. Including this one?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. In any event, you moved as you say in October 2014.</p> <p>15 Just tell us briefly, what was your career after that,</p> <p>16 running up to your retirement?</p> <p>17 A. I can't remember exactly when -- obviously I finished my</p> <p>18 career on the Grenfell Tower investigation, when I left</p> <p>19 as the family liaison coordinator obviously when the</p> <p>20 fire broke out, obviously we had to deploy people and</p> <p>21 that is when I went across with some of my officers to</p> <p>22 that. That is when I left Barking.</p> <p>23 Q. That is when you left Barking?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, and I didn't return. And I retired in February of</p> <p>25 this year.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 123</p>
<p>1 I think then you carried on working as a detective</p> <p>2 sergeant, in the main CID office in Barking and</p> <p>3 Dagenham, from April 2012 through to October 2014?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. That obviously covers the time that we are interested</p> <p>6 in, as you know, I am going to be asking you about your</p> <p>7 involvement, particularly into the death of</p> <p>8 Daniel Whitworth and Gabriel Kovari, which was sort of</p> <p>9 ongoing from about September of that year?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. But I think it follows then that you moved away from the</p> <p>12 CID office during that investigation?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>14 Q. Where did you move to?</p> <p>15 A. To the community safety unit.</p> <p>16 Q. Just to be clear, that is still within Barking and</p> <p>17 Dagenham?</p> <p>18 A. It is.</p> <p>19 Q. It is one of those the jury have heard from DCI Kirk how</p> <p>20 he was responsible not only for the main CID office but</p> <p>21 for a number of other non-uniformed branches of the</p> <p>22 police in Barking and Dagenham, the CSU, the community</p> <p>23 safety unit, that was another one of those units, was</p> <p>24 it?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 122</p>	<p>1 Q. February of this year, after 30 years' service?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. All right.</p> <p>4 Ms Turrell, let me say what I have said to most of</p> <p>5 the witnesses which is of course we are going to be</p> <p>6 asking you questions about things that happened a long</p> <p>7 time ago now. You were one of those who made some</p> <p>8 statements about these matters not long after the</p> <p>9 events --</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. -- and as we will see, and the jury are very familiar</p> <p>12 with now, there are quite a few documents that were</p> <p>13 created at the time that may help to jog your memories.</p> <p>14 But just before we get into it, can you help us, do you</p> <p>15 actually, sitting here now, have a memory of the</p> <p>16 meetings the phone calls, the discussions that took</p> <p>17 place seven years ago or not?</p> <p>18 A. I don't remember all of them in detail, no, obviously it</p> <p>19 was seven years ago and I am afraid my memory is not</p> <p>20 like it used to be. So I can remember bits and if I can</p> <p>21 refer to my statements that I made, then that -- other</p> <p>22 stuff I am afraid I will not be able to remember.</p> <p>23 Q. All right. Well, we of course will bear that in mind</p> <p>24 and if we are asking you questions that really expect</p> <p>25 you to remember things that you simply can't remember</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 124</p>

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

<p>1 now, you must say so, all right?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. As I say, I am of course going to ask most of my</p> <p>4 questions about your involvement in the investigation</p> <p>5 into Daniel Whitworth's death and the linked</p> <p>6 investigation into Gabriel Kovari's death --</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. -- but let me start by asking you not about those, but</p> <p>9 the investigation that preceded them, into</p> <p>10 Anthony Walgate's death.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. We have heard some weeks ago now evidence from the</p> <p>13 officers who were primarily involved in investigating</p> <p>14 Anthony's death, in particular DI McCarthy,</p> <p>15 DS O'Donnell, who would have been colleagues of yours,</p> <p>16 I take it, in the main CID office?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. I think it is right to say you were briefly involved in</p> <p>19 that investigation right at the start, on the day that</p> <p>20 Anthony's body was discovered. Is that right?</p> <p>21 A. I can't remember if it was on the actual day.</p> <p>22 I remember being asked if I could supply a family</p> <p>23 liaison officer.</p> <p>24 Q. Is it also right that you actually spoke to one of the</p> <p>25 witnesses, one of Anthony's friends, Ellie Green,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 125</p>	<p>1 a pavement, arresting the man who had initially called</p> <p>2 the ambulance, and him, in the end, confessing to having</p> <p>3 had much more to do with the case than anyone had</p> <p>4 previously thought, charged with perverting the course</p> <p>5 of justice, and so on. Did you not have anything to do</p> <p>6 with it or hear anything about it over those few days?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. Moving forward in time, we know of course that</p> <p>9 Gabriel Kovari's body was discovered towards the end of</p> <p>10 August 2014, on 28 August.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. We have all seen that it was DS Sweetman, who has not</p> <p>13 yet given evidence, but will be giving evidence next</p> <p>14 week, who went to the scene?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. We have seen an email where he described what he had</p> <p>17 found. Again, were you aware of that incident at the</p> <p>18 time it happened?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. No one mentioned it to you?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. DS Sweetman, DC Adeyemo-Phillips, none of those</p> <p>23 involved?</p> <p>24 A. No, I wasn't aware of it at all.</p> <p>25 Q. We are going to come, of course, to 20 September but as</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 127</p>
<p>1 Eleanor Green, on, as we think on the document, on the</p> <p>2 day that his body was discovered. Can you recall that</p> <p>3 now?</p> <p>4 A. I can't remember specifically. I remember there were</p> <p>5 some calls coming in when we would have been on duty and</p> <p>6 Martin O'Donnell's team were not on duty, and I might</p> <p>7 have put a note on the crime report.</p> <p>8 Q. We can go to the reference after lunch if necessary, but</p> <p>9 it would not have been unusual if a call came in for you</p> <p>10 to have taken it and you would have logged it on the</p> <p>11 CRIS that was up and running for the Walgate case?</p> <p>12 A. That's correct, yes, we would.</p> <p>13 Q. Can you help us then, I think we will find that you did</p> <p>14 have that involvement on that very first day.</p> <p>15 We have heard on the one hand that the main CID</p> <p>16 office was perhaps a room a bit like this, people's</p> <p>17 desks were close to each other, inevitably you talked</p> <p>18 about ongoing case work. On the other hand, as I think</p> <p>19 you have just mentioned, the shift patterns meant that</p> <p>20 you were not all sitting next to each other day by day,</p> <p>21 because some of you were working on different shifts.</p> <p>22 Do you remember speaking to any of the other officers</p> <p>23 about the Anthony Walgate investigation as it went on?</p> <p>24 A. No, not at all.</p> <p>25 Q. It must have been quite unusual, a body found on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 126</p>	<p>1 a DS in the main CID office at the time, how common was</p> <p>2 it for you to either go out to or to hear about others</p> <p>3 going out to unexplained deaths, sudden deaths?</p> <p>4 A. We didn't. We didn't discuss anything else, we didn't</p> <p>5 have time, and the shifts didn't overlap, so literally</p> <p>6 one shift finished and another one started.</p> <p>7 Q. There must have been some occasions where you had to</p> <p>8 talk about cases, because you were working together?</p> <p>9 A. Not really, we didn't, we all had our own cases and we</p> <p>10 didn't. We spoke to our individual officers and our own</p> <p>11 teams, but we didn't discuss it with other teams in the</p> <p>12 CID office.</p> <p>13 Q. I see. Thank you. That is all I wanted to ask you</p> <p>14 about that, those first episodes.</p> <p>15 Madam, I am now going to come to ask Ms Turrell</p> <p>16 about 20 September, which will take a little time, so</p> <p>17 perhaps that is a convenient moment for a break?</p> <p>18 THE CORONER: Yes, we will break for lunch.</p> <p>19 Members of the jury, and can you be back, please,</p> <p>20 for 1.45?</p> <p>21 (Proceedings continued in the absence of the jury)</p> <p>22 (12.56 pm)</p> <p>23 (The Luncheon Adjournment)</p> <p>24 (1.44 pm)</p> <p>25 (In the presence of the jury)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 128</p>

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

<p>1 THE CORONER: Yes.</p> <p>2 MR O'CONNOR: Ms Turrell, I was coming on to ask you</p> <p>3 questions about your involvement in the Daniel Whitworth</p> <p>4 case. Let me go straight to asking you about your</p> <p>5 involvement in the events on Saturday,</p> <p>6 20 September 2014, which was the day his body was</p> <p>7 discovered. Do you recall?</p> <p>8 You were on duty that day?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. On the early turn?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. So, roughly speaking, when would you have started to</p> <p>13 work that morning, 7.00 was it?</p> <p>14 A. 7.00 I would think.</p> <p>15 Q. Could I ask to you to move forward your chair a little</p> <p>16 bit, the microphones in front of you are amplifying in</p> <p>17 this room but they are also sending the sound to people</p> <p>18 who are listening remotely and sometimes it is difficult</p> <p>19 for them to hear, I think.</p> <p>20 A. Okay.</p> <p>21 Q. We have to have that in mind.</p> <p>22 The jury have heard, Ms Turrell, quite a lot of</p> <p>23 evidence about those who were at the scene, it was</p> <p>24 a week or two ago now but for example we heard from</p> <p>25 Inspector Joyce, who was the duty inspector that day,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 129</p>	<p>1 boxes down we see KG1 arriving, that is the call sign</p> <p>2 for the duty inspector, isn't it, so that was</p> <p>3 Inspector Joyce?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. He arrived at 11.44.</p> <p>6 Then, three further boxes down, we see "KG5 CID", is</p> <p>7 KG5 another call sign which is not specific to</p> <p>8 an individual but to a post or tell us?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, basically KG5 would be whoever was the CID on call</p> <p>10 at that time, basically, so the ones who were in the</p> <p>11 office.</p> <p>12 Q. Yes, and you were the CID on call for that time and that</p> <p>13 is why you attended the scene. Is that right?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, I was the early turn, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. You attended with DC Adeyemo-Phillips, I think?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. We see there that you arrived a quarter of an hour or so</p> <p>18 after Inspector Joyce at 12.03 and you left at 12.50.</p> <p>19 You were there for three-quarters after hour or so?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Thank you. We can take that down.</p> <p>22 Having said that we would only be looking at the</p> <p>23 large bundle, I wanted to look at a couple of documents</p> <p>24 in the small bundle but again they will come up on</p> <p>25 screen, Ms Turrell, so you are perfectly able to look at</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 131</p>
<p>1 and was there before you, was he not?</p> <p>2 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. If we look at -- well, I am not going to take you to the</p> <p>4 CAD, but the jury and you may recall that Daniel's body</p> <p>5 was discovered at about 11.20 that morning, that is what</p> <p>6 the CAD tells us. If we can turn in the jury bundle to</p> <p>7 tab 18, please, now, Ms Turrell, if you get the larger</p> <p>8 of those two white bundles, there are two jury bundles.</p> <p>9 That is the one we are going to be using most of the</p> <p>10 time.</p> <p>11 The documents will come up on screen, so if it is</p> <p>12 easier for you, you can just look at them on screen.</p> <p>13 A. I will look at the screen then.</p> <p>14 Q. If you want to go to them in those bundles you can as</p> <p>15 well, but why don't you try on the screen and see how it</p> <p>16 goes?</p> <p>17 A. Thank you.</p> <p>18 Q. For the screen, it is MPS 149, please. This is the</p> <p>19 crime scene log and if we go over to the second page of</p> <p>20 this document, we see, just to remind ourselves, first</p> <p>21 of all at the very top of the page, PC Yexley, who we</p> <p>22 heard from and PC Brown, they were the first two police</p> <p>23 officers to attend the scene.</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. That may jog your memory, Ms Turrell. Then about four</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 130</p>	<p>1 them that way.</p> <p>2 Going to jury bundle A, can we look at tab 23,</p> <p>3 please. For the screen, it is IPC127.</p> <p>4 I want to show you briefly, I hope, a couple of</p> <p>5 policy documents, Ms Turrell.</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. We will look at the content of the policy, it may be</p> <p>8 that you are not minutely familiar with the document,</p> <p>9 but, really, what we want to get from you is whether you</p> <p>10 understand the substance of the policy.</p> <p>11 What I am showing you now is the 2006 ACPO murder</p> <p>12 investigation manual, it is a document we know was in</p> <p>13 force in 2014.</p> <p>14 Do you in fact remember whether you had much</p> <p>15 familiarity with this document?</p> <p>16 A. It is a very long document, so I wouldn't have read it</p> <p>17 in any detail. I was just aware of it, basically.</p> <p>18 Q. It would have been in force in that period between 2007</p> <p>19 and 2011, when you were in a MIT team, of course?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. So perhaps, if homicide was your bread and butter in</p> <p>22 these days, you would have had cause to look at this</p> <p>23 document, would you not?</p> <p>24 A. No, I wouldn't have, no.</p> <p>25 Q. Why not?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 132</p>

<p>1 A. Just because we didn't look at the manuals, they were 2 very difficult to find on our system, they were not 3 printed out, they were very long, we were not allowed to 4 print them out. And anything -- while I was on a murder 5 team, I was only a DC, so everything was done what we 6 were told to do by supervising officers.</p> <p>7 Q. Let's just have a look at one particular entry. For the 8 screen, it is IPC127, page 37, please.</p> <p>9 For those looking in the jury bundle, it is internal 10 page 35, which is confusing. But for the screen it is 11 page 37 of this document, please.</p> <p>12 You see there 2.2.2, initial response?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Ms Turrell, as I say, we are not so interested in 15 whether you have read this very document before, it is 16 more whether you recognise what is written as these 17 principals that you should follow.</p> <p>18 The first two paragraphs then: 19 "The actions taken by the first officers attending 20 the scene of a homicide or major incident are critical 21 to the success of the investigation. From the outset 22 officers should adopt an investigative mentality and 23 approach."</p> <p>24 Is that a principle that you are familiar with and 25 were in 2014?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 133</p>	<p>1 You may see at the top that it is dated 2 November 2014, but we have heard that it reflects the 3 policies that were in force a few months earlier, in 4 September, the time we are looking at now.</p> <p>5 A. Okay.</p> <p>6 Q. I want to use this document to ask you about what your 7 role was as a CID sergeant on the scene of this 8 particular death.</p> <p>9 We can obviously ignore the first few paragraphs 10 which refer to uniformed officers. You see about 11 halfway down it says "Borough CID officers", do you see 12 that?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. There is an entry that says: 15 "On being informed of a sudden death where a medical 16 practitioner is unable to issue a death certificate, 17 a substantive CID officer, preferably of sergeant rank, 18 will go to the scene and assess the situation." 19 Just to be clear, that was the role you were 20 fulfilling on that day, wasn't it?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. "If there is the slightest doubt, then the event will be 23 dealt with as a suspicious death." 24 That sentence reflects really the passage in the 25 murder investigation manual we were just looking at,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 135</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. The next paragraph: 3 "During the initial response it is sometimes 4 difficult to determine if a death is the result of 5 natural causes, an accident, suicide, or homicide. If 6 in doubt, investigate as homicide until the evidence 7 proves otherwise." 8 Again, is that a principle or an approach that you 9 were familiar with in 2014?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. The same idea is expressed differently in another part 12 of this document, more bluntly, as "think murder". Were 13 you familiar with that principle?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. The reason it is important to be cautious, and to, if 16 you like, think murder, is that if one doesn't take that 17 step, if one isn't cautious, there is always a risk, 18 isn't there, one is going to miss something or lose 19 forensic opportunities?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I agree.</p> <p>21 Q. Let's then look at another policy document, please. For 22 those of you in the bundle, it is going back to tab 20. 23 For the screen, it is IPC63. 24 This is a document called "Homicide and unexplained 25 deaths policy: roles and responsibilities".</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 134</p>	<p>1 doesn't it?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. "In the event of any doubt about whether a death is 4 suspicious or not, the advice of the BOCU DI will be 5 sought to resolve the issue." 6 Then if we do just look down, we see under the 7 detective inspector a list of actions that that 8 individual may take, including attending the scene and 9 authorising the call out of the HAT car. Do you see 10 that?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. In summary, Ms Turrell, is it fair to say that your role 13 on the scene that morning was to assess the situation?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. If you were in the slightest doubt about whether or not 16 the death was suspicious, you needed to call the BOCU DI 17 to ask him or her to get involved?</p> <p>18 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Just to be clear, as far as this policy is concerned, it 20 wasn't your job to call the HAT car?</p> <p>21 A. No.</p> <p>22 Q. But if you were in the slightest doubt about whether the 23 death was suspicious, it was your job to call the BOCU 24 DI, and he or she would then have to decide what to do 25 about it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 136</p>

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Thank you. We can take that down.</p> <p>3 Moving away from the policy and back to the events</p> <p>4 of that morning, Ms Turrell, you didn't seek advice from</p> <p>5 the BOCU DI, did you?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Is that because you didn't have the slightest doubt</p> <p>8 about whether what you found in the graveyard that</p> <p>9 morning was suspicious?</p> <p>10 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. I want to suggest to you that that was an error for</p> <p>12 a number of reasons. The first reason is really, if one</p> <p>13 just looks at the scene, I want to suggest that there</p> <p>14 was plenty of evidence that it was at least potentially</p> <p>15 suspicious.</p> <p>16 To do this, let's look, if we can, and I think I am</p> <p>17 afraid you will have to take up the smaller of those two</p> <p>18 white bundles, Ms Turrell, because this will not come up</p> <p>19 on screen. If we can look, please, in the jury bundle A</p> <p>20 at tab 14, we see some photographs of Daniel Whitworth's</p> <p>21 body, as it was found.</p> <p>22 Ms Turrell, before I ask you some questions about</p> <p>23 this, you had spent four years, not that long before in</p> <p>24 a homicide team, had you not?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 137</p>	<p>1 scene in a plastic sleeve. But I might be wrong.</p> <p>2 Q. Well, I think the other officers, Mr Yexley, Mr Joyce,</p> <p>3 who were at the scene, they talk about the note as being</p> <p>4 found in a plastic sleeve.</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. And it seems very likely that they told you at the scene</p> <p>7 that the note had been found in a plastic sleeve?</p> <p>8 A. I don't recall that.</p> <p>9 Q. If you had been told that, that surely would have struck</p> <p>10 you as suspicious?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Why?</p> <p>13 A. Purely because someone has put it in a sleeve, as though</p> <p>14 it is trying to preserve it from the elements.</p> <p>15 Q. It was raining, wasn't it?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, it was, when we arrived.</p> <p>17 Q. Putting a note like that in a plastic sleeve might not</p> <p>18 be the sort of thing that someone who is so desperate as</p> <p>19 to commit suicide would think of doing?</p> <p>20 A. That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q. But it is the sort of thing that someone who wanted to</p> <p>22 stage a scene might think of, isn't it?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. If you had known that it was in a plastic sleeve, you</p> <p>25 might have thought of that?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p>
<p>1 Q. Over those four years, or for that matter at any other</p> <p>2 time, had you come across the concept of a staged scene?</p> <p>3 A. I can't remember, to be honest. I dealt with so many.</p> <p>4 Q. DS Denley was on a HAT team, and he referred to that in</p> <p>5 his evidence, he talked about a staged scene as being</p> <p>6 one which is deliberately set up, intended to give the</p> <p>7 people who arrive at it one particular understanding,</p> <p>8 but which in fact the scene in fact means something</p> <p>9 entirely different, that is obviously what a staged</p> <p>10 scene means?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, I understand that.</p> <p>12 Q. Did you consider that morning the possibility that this</p> <p>13 might be a staged scene?</p> <p>14 A. No, I didn't.</p> <p>15 Q. Even with those four years on a HAT team?</p> <p>16 A. No, I didn't. No.</p> <p>17 Q. Daniel's body was found holding a note, wasn't it?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. It was holding a note which was contained in a plastic</p> <p>20 sleeve, wasn't it?</p> <p>21 A. I wasn't aware it was in a plastic sleeve, because in</p> <p>22 a later statement that I wrote I thought I told the</p> <p>23 officers to put it in a plastic sleeve, but when they</p> <p>24 showed it to me I don't think it was in a sleeve. So</p> <p>25 I don't think -- I don't recall it ever being at the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 138</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. But your evidence is you didn't know that?</p> <p>3 A. No, and in my statement later on I put that I told the</p> <p>4 officers to put it in a plastic sleeve. That is my</p> <p>5 recollection.</p> <p>6 Q. Look at the photo, do you see first of all that Daniel's</p> <p>7 body was found sitting on a blue sheet?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Did that strike you as suspicious?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Why not?</p> <p>12 A. Well, I have seen numerous -- in my service, an awful</p> <p>13 lot of sudden deaths of any description, over from when</p> <p>14 I was a PC at Newham through and they have all been in</p> <p>15 such different circumstances, so just being on a blue</p> <p>16 sheet does not -- every one is different. You know</p> <p>17 there are so many different circumstances to how found</p> <p>18 and positions, et cetera.</p> <p>19 Q. I am trying to understand what you are saying, but are</p> <p>20 you saying that you had never seen a body sitting on</p> <p>21 a bedsheet before, but you had seen lots of other bodies</p> <p>22 in all sorts of different circumstances and so you</p> <p>23 didn't think that there was anything particularly</p> <p>24 unusual about this, or surprising.</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 140</p>

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

<p>1 Q. I mean, let me test on you that though, because perhaps 2 we have all seen people sleeping rough or outside with 3 blankets or sleeping bags and so on -- 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. -- but just a single bedsheet, where the individual has 6 not got any luggage with them, no possessions, nothing 7 else to keep them warm, there is no suggestion that he 8 could have actually been keeping warm using that sheet, 9 is there? 10 A. I didn't inspect it, so I didn't know how thick it was 11 or the type or what it was. So, to me, it was just 12 a blue bedsheet that he was sitting on. 13 Q. Why didn't you inspect it? 14 A. Because I wouldn't touch it at that time because of any 15 forensic stuff that might be required from it, to seize 16 it -- 17 Q. Your job that morning was to assess the scene, wasn't 18 it? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. We just looked at the policy, that is what people were 21 relying on you to do, to try and understand whether this 22 scene was suspicious or not? 23 A. I accept that, but just because I didn't touch the 24 bedsheet doesn't say I didn't assess the scene. 25 Q. You didn't need to touch it to gain an understanding of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p>	<p>1 Q. Did you in fact go and stand more or less where the 2 photographer was who took this photograph and think: 3 What can I see here? Is there anything suspicious about 4 this? 5 A. That is near where I was standing with Inspector Joyce 6 discussing it. 7 Q. That was not my question. My question was whether you 8 actually took the time, using all your experience as 9 a police officer, your four years as a HAT officer, 10 undertaking your role as the duty CID sergeant that 11 morning, to stand, look at the scene and think for 12 yourself about whether it was suspicious. Did you do 13 that? 14 A. Yes, I did. 15 Q. But you missed the table mat? 16 A. Yes, I did. I didn't realise it was a table mat. 17 Q. Did you notice then that Daniel's clothes were pulled 18 up, the top, as we can see, is pulled up towards his 19 chest and the trousers were pushed down. Did you notice 20 that? 21 A. I did, but I thought that was because of the LAS 22 attending. 23 Q. Did it cross your mind that there might be another 24 explanation? 25 A. No, I didn't.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 143</p>
<p>1 the fact it was a bedsheet, did you? 2 A. No, I have said it was a bedsheet, so -- but it didn't 3 strike me as being unusual. 4 Q. What about the fact, as we can see in this photograph, 5 that there was a table mat there, did that strike you as 6 something that was even possibly suspicious? 7 A. I am afraid I missed the table mat when I was at the 8 scene. I don't recall that. It is obviously there, but 9 I am afraid I missed that, I don't recall it. 10 Q. How could you have missed it? 11 A. Having discussions with the officers and that, and just 12 looking at ... I must have forgotten about it after 13 I had left the scene, or even when I was at the scene. 14 Q. Which was it, did you miss it or did you see it and then 15 forget about it? 16 A. I missed it. I didn't see it or didn't recognise that 17 it was a place mat. 18 Q. It was your job to assess the scene and decide whether 19 it was even possibly suspicious, because if it was you 20 needed to call the DI, didn't you? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. How could you have assessed the scene if you didn't see 23 or didn't take on board the fact that there was a table 24 mat there? 25 A. I can't -- I don't know.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 142</p>	<p>1 Q. We can just about see the blue dots of the LAS -- where 2 they had attached the ECG machine, one on the wrist and 3 one just above Daniel's waist. It is hard to say, 4 I suppose, but just looking it doesn't seem as though 5 they would have needed to pull Daniel's top up nearly as 6 far as it is actually pulled up just to put those marks 7 on, is it? 8 A. I don't know. 9 Q. Did you ask? 10 A. No. 11 Q. That policy referred to the critical importance of 12 having an investigative mentality, Ms Turrell. Do you 13 think you did have an investigative mentality that 14 morning? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Did you read the note? 17 A. The note was read out to me by one of the PCs at the 18 scene, but I can't remember who. 19 Q. You will have heard then that, amongst other things, the 20 note appeared to contain a confession. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. A confession made by, on the face of it, Daniel, for 23 killing someone else? 24 A. That's correct, yes. 25 Q. The words he used were something to the effect, "I took</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 144</p>

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

<p>1 the life of my friend"?</p> <p>2 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. It didn't need your four years of experience as</p> <p>4 a homicide detective to tell you that that was one</p> <p>5 person confessing to killing another, to a homicide</p> <p>6 offence, did it?</p> <p>7 A. No.</p> <p>8 Q. You must have realised that?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Well, why then wasn't that on its own enough to mark</p> <p>11 this out as a scene, not just which might possibly be</p> <p>12 suspicious but was actually a scene in potentially</p> <p>13 a murder case?</p> <p>14 A. No, because my concerns were the safety of the other</p> <p>15 person, if there was another person involved, who</p> <p>16 I didn't know about, his safety.</p> <p>17 Q. Your concern, amongst other things, and I suggest to you</p> <p>18 your major role at that scene at that time was to assess</p> <p>19 the scene, decide whether it was even possibly</p> <p>20 suspicious, and, if it was, call the DI. Why did you</p> <p>21 not call the DI, having read that note, or had it read</p> <p>22 out to you and realising that this case involved not</p> <p>23 just the death of one man but apparently the killing of</p> <p>24 another?</p> <p>25 A. Because I didn't. Because I discussed it with the duty</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 145</p>	<p>1 Q. We know from having seen the documents that you actually</p> <p>2 then worked, I think, at least the next three days. You</p> <p>3 were in the office on the Sunday?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And we have certainly seen emails and other things from</p> <p>6 you on the Monday and the Tuesday?</p> <p>7 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Let me ask you first a few things about what took place</p> <p>9 on the Sunday.</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Before I do that, let me ask you a more general</p> <p>12 question.</p> <p>13 We have just been looking at the events on the</p> <p>14 Saturday, and you were there at the scene because you</p> <p>15 were the duty CID sergeant, the person whose role it was</p> <p>16 to, amongst other things to, go out to a scene like</p> <p>17 that.</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. It appears that by the Sunday you were performing</p> <p>20 a different role, at least as regards this case is</p> <p>21 concerned, you were actually investigating the</p> <p>22 circumstances in which the body had been found.</p> <p>23 Is that right?</p> <p>24 A. We were trying to locate the other person involved.</p> <p>25 Q. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 147</p>
<p>1 inspector and I had no reason to believe -- although,</p> <p>2 about this one, I appreciate there was possibly another</p> <p>3 one, which is why I was concerned about the other male.</p> <p>4 Q. There are lots of issues to consider. I am trying to</p> <p>5 just focus in on that very simple decision you had to</p> <p>6 make about whether what presented itself to you was even</p> <p>7 possibly suspicious.</p> <p>8 A. At that time I obviously didn't believe it was</p> <p>9 suspicious, but I can't account for my actions seven</p> <p>10 years ago.</p> <p>11 Q. Let me just ask you one more time then, Ms Turrell,</p> <p>12 leaving aside, I have asked you about the table mat, the</p> <p>13 sheet, the clothes and so on, but just that simple fact,</p> <p>14 that the note appeared to involve this individual</p> <p>15 confessing to killing another person. Why did that fact</p> <p>16 on its own not lead you to thinking:</p> <p>17 "This is potentially a homicide case, I need to</p> <p>18 speak to the DI, we need to get the HAT car here."</p> <p>19 Why did you not think that, can you help us at all?</p> <p>20 A. No, I can't.</p> <p>21 Q. Let me move on then.</p> <p>22 That is all I want to ask you about that day, and we</p> <p>23 can put this volume away.</p> <p>24 That day, 20 September, was a Saturday.</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 146</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Let me put it this way. For the jury, if we can go to</p> <p>3 tab 39 in the large bundle, please. For the screen, it</p> <p>4 is IPC225. If we can go to page 3 of the document,</p> <p>5 please, this is document that was created a 1few days</p> <p>6 later, the 22nd, and I think it is -- 22nd/23rd, later</p> <p>7 in the week anyway. It was a briefing drafted by</p> <p>8 Superintendent Wilson. If we look over the page, please</p> <p>9 at page 4. The very last paragraph, paragraph 4.3, it</p> <p>10 says:</p> <p>11 "The OIC is ..."</p> <p>12 Officer in the case, yes?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. It says here "DC Debbie Turrell" but you were a DS?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. "... and is overseen by acting DI Schamberger."</p> <p>17 We have asked DI Schamberger about that --</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. -- but you were the officer in the case, weren't you?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, supported by my team, who were allocated actions.</p> <p>21 Q. Supported by your team, we will come to the actions.</p> <p>22 The officer in the case, so the person who is below</p> <p>23 DI Schamberger, who is the supervisor, but who</p> <p>24 themselves, as you say, allocates tasks to officers</p> <p>25 beneath them to --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 148</p>

<p>1 A. That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q. You are the sort person in the middle responsible for</p> <p>3 driving the case forward?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. That is the Wednesday or Thursday of the next week.</p> <p>6 Were you the OIC -- I am not trying to pin it down to</p> <p>7 find a document showing you being appointed or anything</p> <p>8 like that, but were you performing that role the day</p> <p>9 after you had been to the scene, on the Sunday?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>12 Let me ask you one other more general question then,</p> <p>13 before I come to the detail. Before lunch, I was asking</p> <p>14 you about your involvement in the Anthony Walgate case.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. You recall I suggested that you had taken a phone call</p> <p>17 from Ellie Green, you don't remember that?</p> <p>18 A. No.</p> <p>19 Q. She was a friend of Anthony's, she called in to the</p> <p>20 police station on the night his body was found.</p> <p>21 A. Okay.</p> <p>22 Q. I checked, I am not going to take you to it, it doesn't</p> <p>23 matter, but you did take that call and you wrote</p> <p>24 an entry on the CRIS.</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 149</p>	<p>1 aware -- I wasn't aware that I had to do one so, yes,</p> <p>2 that was my error but as soon as I got told, I did</p> <p>3 allocate an officer, but they didn't do it straight</p> <p>4 away, and that is why there was a delay in doing it.</p> <p>5 Q. No one is suggesting it was necessarily your job to do</p> <p>6 it, as we will see a large part of your role was to tell</p> <p>7 other people to do things. But why didn't you ensure</p> <p>8 that problem was rectified on that day, on the Sunday?</p> <p>9 A. I just never thought about the CRIS report. I was doing</p> <p>10 all the actions and sending out emails and that. So</p> <p>11 actually setting up a CRIS report, I hadn't realised one</p> <p>12 had not been created.</p> <p>13 Q. You must have realised there wasn't a CRIS report,</p> <p>14 because, as I have told you, we can look at the document</p> <p>15 if you like, in June, when you spoke to Ellie Green, you</p> <p>16 simply went onto the CRIS report and entered what she</p> <p>17 had told you.</p> <p>18 I suggest to you you must have known with all that</p> <p>19 you were doing on this case, on Sunday, the 21st, you</p> <p>20 must have realised there wasn't a CRIS, because you</p> <p>21 would have otherwise wanted to enter all of the actions</p> <p>22 that you were doing, the information you were</p> <p>23 discovering on to it. So it must have been obvious to</p> <p>24 you that it wasn't there, wasn't it?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 151</p>
<p>1 Q. Which was already set up by the evening, by the late</p> <p>2 afternoon, of 19 June, Anthony's body having been found</p> <p>3 earlier that morning.</p> <p>4 A. Okay.</p> <p>5 Q. Panning to September --</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. -- I am now asking you questions about Sunday the 21st,</p> <p>8 so the day after Daniel's body had been found in the</p> <p>9 graveyard. There wasn't any CRIS that had been set up</p> <p>10 by then?</p> <p>11 A. No.</p> <p>12 Q. We are going to see that you did a number of things that</p> <p>13 day, and in fact you issued some actions the day after,</p> <p>14 on the Monday, and then some more the day after, on the</p> <p>15 Tuesday. I think it was only on the Wednesday or</p> <p>16 possibly even the Thursday of that week that a CRIS was</p> <p>17 set up.</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. You were the OIC on the Sunday, or performing that role?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Why wasn't there a CRIS?</p> <p>22 A. I am afraid with my knowledge, I have been deskilled</p> <p>23 working on a MIT team and I wasn't aware, and normally</p> <p>24 when anything like this, a uniformed officer normally</p> <p>25 sets up a crime report, a CRIS report, so I wasn't</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 150</p>	<p>1 Q. I see.</p> <p>2 Let me show you another document then. That is in</p> <p>3 the bundle, tab 26, please. For the screen, IPC11.</p> <p>4 Ms Turrell, the jury have heard quite a lot of evidence</p> <p>5 from DS Denley --</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. -- and also from his boss DCI Lyons, retired.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. That was a few days ago now but we heard evidence from</p> <p>10 them both about their involvement in this case.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. It was this day, wasn't it, the Sunday, when DS Denley</p> <p>13 had a series of conversations with you?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Gave you some advice, and at the end of the day, or the</p> <p>16 end of his shift, anyway, he produced this document,</p> <p>17 didn't he?</p> <p>18 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>19 Q. I think he sent it over to you and we saw an email of</p> <p>20 you forwarding it on to DI Schamberger the next morning,</p> <p>21 the Monday morning, so you clearly had received it?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. We went through this document in quite some detail with</p> <p>24 DS Denley, I am not going to go through it all with you,</p> <p>25 but let me ask you a few questions about it.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 152</p>

<p>1 First of all, if we can go over to page 2, please, 2 do you see about two-thirds of the way down there is 3 a paragraph which starts: 4 "DS Debbie Turrell phoned ..." 5 Can you see that? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. It reads: 8 "DS Debbie Turrell phoned on the instruction of 9 DI Bowles for advice regarding the need to seize the 10 clothing from Daniel Whitworth and investigate the 11 possibility of toxicology for Gabriel Kovari." 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Is that right? Is that why you rang, to ask a question 14 about whether you needed to seize the clothing from 15 Daniel Whitworth? 16 A. It wasn't specifically about the clothing, I am not sure 17 how it got like that. I think in relation to the 18 clothing, because the scene had been closed and 19 obviously Daniel's body had been taken to the mortuary 20 and then obviously if it had just been a standard post 21 mortem, then obviously about getting his clothes back. 22 So I think it was more like that side of things, not -- 23 it wasn't just about clothing. I know about clothing 24 and forensics, so it wouldn't just be -- it wasn't just 25 about clothing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 153</p>	<p>1 Q. Was that it had started with a fairly narrow query that 2 you had raised -- let's not worry about whether it was 3 about the clothing or something else. But that as you 4 discussed the case he took the view there was more to it 5 than that and that he wanted to find out much more about 6 the circumstances so that he could give you some much 7 more wide-ranging advice. In broad terms, is that how 8 you remember it? 9 A. Yes, I think so. Yes. 10 Q. Let's just look, if we can, at one part of his note. It 11 is the top of page 3, please. 12 Those first two paragraphs. It is this part of the 13 note that he is recording the wider advice that he gave 14 you. He says: 15 "When the full circumstances and content of the 16 suicide note were known, I gave further advice which 17 focused on finding further information regarding the 18 death of Gabriel. Based on the information available at 19 this time, it seems to me that this matter is 20 a manslaughter-suicide and there is no evidence at this 21 stage to suggest any third party involvement. However, 22 it is necessary to investigate and establish the full 23 facts before confirming this as the situation. To move 24 the body of Gabriel post mortem would take some strength 25 and Whitworth may not have been physically able to do</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 155</p>
<p>1 Q. What DS Denley told us in a nutshell is that he was 2 surprised that you were asking this, because it seemed 3 obvious that you would need to seize the clothing that 4 Daniel had been found in. 5 A. I don't even recall the conversation being mainly about 6 the clothing. 7 Q. What do you think it was mainly about? 8 A. That he wanted to speak to his officers, his supervisor, 9 I presume it was Mr Lyons, I didn't know that at that 10 time, in relation to everything what I was telling him, 11 and we spoke about the suicide note and I can't recall 12 if I -- I think I read it out to him, but I know I got 13 it emailed across to him because obviously it was quite 14 lengthy, so he might have mistaken it so that is why 15 I put it in an email and got it sent across to him. 16 Yes, we briefly spoke about clothing, and obviously 17 because we had located Gabriel, then we were trying to 18 find out obviously what had happened to his, because 19 obviously then, you know, trying to find out more about 20 him, his post mortem, and things like that, that is what 21 we were speaking about. From memory. 22 Q. The summary that DS Denley gave us of your discussion -- 23 I think there was more than one discussion during the 24 day, was there not? 25 A. Yes, I believe so, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 154</p>	<p>1 this. The crux of my advice is to gather all known 2 information regarding Gabriel, his death and the finding 3 of his body. This would include speaking to the 4 officers who attended, in particular DS Sweetman and 5 also the coroner's officer ..." 6 Is that, to your mind, a reasonable summary of the 7 advice he gave you orally that day? 8 A. In recollection, yes, I think so. 9 Q. It is striking that the way DS Denley has chosen to 10 describe these two cases as a manslaughter-suicide? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Is that how you understood the case at that time? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. He emphasises, does he not, the need to obtain as much 15 information as possible about the death of Gabriel, yes? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Is that what you took on board? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. In his witness statement DS Denley said, and he said 20 again to this jury, that he was concerned even not 21 having been at the scene that it might have been 22 a staged scene, hence his reference to the possibility 23 at least of third party involvement. Is that something 24 you remember him discussing with you? 25 A. No, I don't. Not to my recollection, no. That was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 156</p>

<p>1 never discussed.</p> <p>2 Q. All right.</p> <p>3 If we can actually now go back to page 2 of the</p> <p>4 note, please. If we can look at the very bottom of it,</p> <p>5 you see there two bullet points, which he describes as</p> <p>6 the initial advice given. The second of them we have</p> <p>7 really already covered, because he talks about looking</p> <p>8 into the circumstances of death and the finding of</p> <p>9 Gabriel and check that there are no unanswered</p> <p>10 questions.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. We have already seen in the next few paragraphs that he</p> <p>13 talks about trying to get to the bottom of what had</p> <p>14 happened with Gabriel's death.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. In the first of those two bullet points he says:</p> <p>17 "Have the next of kin for Whitworth look at the</p> <p>18 handwriting of the suicide note and ascertain if it is</p> <p>19 that of Daniel."</p> <p>20 Do you remember talking to DS Denley about that?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. He said, and I think also DCI Lyons said, that this</p> <p>23 question of the handwriting was crucial to</p> <p>24 understanding, at least as a basis for the investigation</p> <p>25 to go forward.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 157</p>	<p>1 are split between earlies and lates, so you are not all</p> <p>2 together, half of you are earlies and that is how</p> <p>3 I might have only had Yinka on duty at that time.</p> <p>4 Q. Family liaison is not available at that point, in fact</p> <p>5 we will come to see you appointed them the next morning,</p> <p>6 on the Monday?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. What did you do then?</p> <p>9 A. I told Yinka to obviously show them the note, but not</p> <p>10 the full note. I don't recall telling her exactly what</p> <p>11 to send over but I was obviously conscious of the</p> <p>12 details of the note and concerned for how upsetting it</p> <p>13 would be for any of that family member to come to terms</p> <p>14 with not only the death of their son, or partner,</p> <p>15 whatever, but also the content of what the note was</p> <p>16 saying.</p> <p>17 Q. Well, let's break that down a little bit.</p> <p>18 First of all, you talk about showing the family the</p> <p>19 note.</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. We will come back to talk about how much of the note.</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. But if you are going to show the family a note like</p> <p>24 that --</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 159</p>
<p>1 Was that your understanding on that day?</p> <p>2 A. I don't recall, to be honest. I can't recall what my</p> <p>3 thoughts were.</p> <p>4 Q. It stands to reason, doesn't it, whatever your thoughts</p> <p>5 the day before, and your lack of any suspicion about the</p> <p>6 events and what you had found in the graveyard,</p> <p>7 DS Denley was raising some concerns about it, wasn't he?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. He is saying you need to get the handwriting on that</p> <p>10 note checked?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. If it wasn't Daniel's handwriting, then that would raise</p> <p>13 an awful lot of questions about the events surrounding</p> <p>14 not only the death of Daniel but the death of Gabriel,</p> <p>15 wouldn't it?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, absolutely.</p> <p>17 Q. That really is why it was so important to understand</p> <p>18 whether or not the handwriting was Daniel's, do you</p> <p>19 agree?</p> <p>20 A. Yes. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. We have heard from DC Adeyemo-Phillips that you</p> <p>22 instructed her to undertake this task.</p> <p>23 A. I did, because it would have gone down to the family</p> <p>24 liaison officer but unfortunately because it was</p> <p>25 a weekend, obviously you have only got one team but you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 158</p>	<p>1 Q. -- however much of it you are going to show them --</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. -- isn't it better to do it in person?</p> <p>4 A. Absolutely, and I thought -- that was my intention for</p> <p>5 her to do that, and unfortunately she didn't do it like</p> <p>6 that.</p> <p>7 Q. Didn't you tell her to do it?</p> <p>8 A. I tell people to do stuff, but I don't expect them to do</p> <p>9 it -- to micromanage and say, "Do it exactly this way,</p> <p>10 do it that way". They have got to have some initiative,</p> <p>11 they are all T/DC, DCs, so ... I can't say -- I was</p> <p>12 doing so much to say every little detail of exactly how</p> <p>13 I wanted it done.</p> <p>14 Q. DC Adeyemo-Phillips was working in the same office as</p> <p>15 you that day, wasn't she. This was not a telephone</p> <p>16 conversation, you were able to speak to her face to</p> <p>17 face?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. She was only a trainee detective constable, wasn't she?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. If we think about those two options, on the one hand do</p> <p>22 this task remotely, ring them up, email them, yes? That</p> <p>23 is one option, isn't it, and is that is in fact what we</p> <p>24 know she did.</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 160</p>

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

<p>1 Q. The other option, go and see the family?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you know where they lived?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, they didn't live local, I believe it was in Kent.</p> <p>5 Q. Yes, it was in Gravesend.</p> <p>6 You tell me but to drive to Gravesend from Barking,</p> <p>7 it would be at least an hour or an hour and a half's</p> <p>8 drive probably, isn't it?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, that is quite acceptable.</p> <p>10 Q. It is acceptable but isn't it something you would want</p> <p>11 to talk to DC Adeyemo-Phillips about?</p> <p>12 A. No, I just told her to do the job and ... I didn't --</p> <p>13 Q. The job that you are describing that you were intending</p> <p>14 her to do, what that job was was get in a car, drive</p> <p>15 an hour and a half to Gravesend, go and see this</p> <p>16 grieving family who the day before had found out that</p> <p>17 their son had committed suicide and show them the</p> <p>18 suicide note.</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Surely you would -- I mean how is it possible that you</p> <p>21 gave her that instruction and she thought that what you</p> <p>22 were telling her to do was to email it to them?</p> <p>23 A. I have no idea.</p> <p>24 Q. Would you not have wanted to say, have some lunch before</p> <p>25 you go, for example, or it is getting late, that will be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 161</p>	<p>1 Q. What in any event did she tell you that she had done?</p> <p>2 A. Sorry, could you repeat that?</p> <p>3 Q. Well, we know that as a result of you telling her to</p> <p>4 show the family the suicide note, or part of it. We</p> <p>5 have heard her evidence, we have seen the email and we</p> <p>6 have heard from the Whitworth family, so we know exactly</p> <p>7 what happened. She rang them up, she sent them a very</p> <p>8 small part of the note and then had a conversation with</p> <p>9 them?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. My question to you is: what did she report back about</p> <p>12 what she had done?</p> <p>13 A. Well, then that is when I found out that she had emailed</p> <p>14 the note and then she told me that -- what I think it</p> <p>15 was the father who had identified it as being Daniel's</p> <p>16 handwriting.</p> <p>17 Q. What did she tell you about what he had said?</p> <p>18 A. I can't remember the exact words.</p> <p>19 Q. Well, in summary?</p> <p>20 A. That it was Daniel's handwriting.</p> <p>21 Q. You were never intending her to send an email?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. One of the reasons for that, apart from the fact it was</p> <p>24 very distressing, is that it wasn't a particularly</p> <p>25 reliable way of getting their view as to the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 163</p>
<p>1 the end of your shift, so you can go straight home and</p> <p>2 bring the car back on Monday?</p> <p>3 A. No, I don't know if that was --</p> <p>4 Q. You are talking about allocating her a task that would</p> <p>5 take her several hours, aren't you?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. How is it possible -- I ask the question again -- that</p> <p>8 she thought what you were asking her to do was simply</p> <p>9 give them quick call and send an email?</p> <p>10 A. I don't know what her thoughts were, as to why she has</p> <p>11 misinterpreted what I told her or done it a different</p> <p>12 way to what I told her.</p> <p>13 Q. She did what she did, I suggest to you, because you</p> <p>14 didn't give her proper instructions?</p> <p>15 A. That is how she has interpreted it and that -- I am</p> <p>16 sorry that is how I perceived she would do and she</p> <p>17 hasn't done it.</p> <p>18 Q. Because it was a mistake, wasn't it, it was the wrong</p> <p>19 thing to do to send that email?</p> <p>20 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>21 Q. It should never have happened?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. It happened because it seems you didn't give her the</p> <p>24 appropriate guidance.</p> <p>25 A. I disagree with that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 162</p>	<p>1 handwriting, was it?</p> <p>2 A. No.</p> <p>3 Q. At that stage, whatever the instruction you had given</p> <p>4 her or however she managed to misunderstand, you knew</p> <p>5 that the wrong process had been followed?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Why then did you not decide to make it right and to send</p> <p>8 DC Adeyemo-Phillips, or someone else, to go and see the</p> <p>9 Whitworth family with the note, sit down with them</p> <p>10 properly and get their view as to whether this really</p> <p>11 was Daniel's handwriting or not?</p> <p>12 A. I don't know why I -- I can't say why I didn't do it at</p> <p>13 that time.</p> <p>14 Q. This was something which DS Denley had expressly advised</p> <p>15 you to do?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. I think you agreed with me you understood just how</p> <p>18 central it was to the whole investigation?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. It wasn't necessary to do it that day, on the Sunday,</p> <p>21 was it?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. We have come to see that you appointed an FLO, it could</p> <p>24 have been done again?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 164</p>

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

<p>1 Q. We will see in fact that having appointed Mr Slaymaker 2 as the FLO, he went to search Ricky's flat on the 3 Monday, didn't he? 4 A. I know he searched it, I can't remember exactly what 5 day. 6 Q. But there was no suggestion of taking the note with him 7 then? 8 A. I can't remember. 9 Q. Well, he was going to Gravesend the next day, wasn't he? 10 You take it from me that you sent him to search 11 Daniel and Ricky's flat the very next day. 12 A. Yes, I did. 13 Q. If what you are telling us is true, which is that you 14 had never intended Ms Adeyemo-Phillips to email that 15 note, and that you realised as soon as she had done it 16 that a mistake had been made? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Why didn't you ask DC Slaymaker to take note with him on 19 Monday and do the job properly? 20 A. I don't know. 21 Q. Is it possible that actually you didn't think that any 22 mistake had been made on the Sunday and that actually 23 you had intended DC Adeyemo-Phillips to send it by email 24 and there was no question in your mind that a mistake 25 had been made?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 165</p>	<p>1 thought it would have been asked for at a later date, or 2 to try and get it, obviously, when we actually saw them 3 in person rather than over the phone, but obviously my 4 error, it doesn't appear to have ever been done. 5 Q. No, it never happened, did it? 6 A. No. 7 Q. The Whitworths were shown the full note in due course -- 8 A. Yes, the were. 9 Q. -- but you never tasked anyone to obtain a witness 10 statement from them confirming that this was Daniel's 11 handwriting? 12 A. Not to my knowledge, no. 13 Q. Well you didn't, did you? 14 A. Not to my knowledge, no. 15 Q. Why not? 16 A. I don't know why. 17 Q. Isn't that just a basic building block of this 18 investigation? 19 A. As I said, I couldn't micromanage all my team and 20 I expected them perhaps to do more and knew more than 21 what they did. 22 Q. We will come to plenty of examples of situations where 23 your team didn't do what you told them to do -- 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. -- but on this occasion, you never told them to obtain</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 167</p>
<p>1 A. No. No, that is not correct. 2 Q. Leaving aside how it was done -- 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. -- DC Adeyemo-Phillips reported back to you that 5 Mr Whitworth had confirmed that the writing was 6 Daniel's? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. We actually have no written record of that conversation, 9 no witness statement from Mr Whitworth? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Not even -- no witness statement from 12 DC Adeyemo-Phillips to say she had had that 13 conversation, not even an email from her to you 14 confirming that that had happened. Why not? 15 A. I don't know. I can't recall why. 16 Q. At risk of repeating myself, I think we agreed that you 17 understood quite how important this was at the time. 18 Did it not occur to you that you needed a written record 19 of what Mr Whitworth had said? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. It did occur to you? 22 A. It did, but I am thinking about -- I would think that 23 I would have thought it would -- because of the way it 24 had been done, we had already got that and we was trying 25 to fast track to find things out. So I would have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 166</p>	<p>1 a witness statement to that effect, did you? 2 A. I can't remember. 3 Q. So it was your fault? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. I would like to ask you to look at another document, 6 please. In the bundle, it is tab 31. For the screen, 7 it is IPC203. This is a series of emails, Ms Turrell, 8 which were passing between the more senior members of 9 the borough police over that weekend. Which by and 10 large you were not copied in on, so these are not 11 necessarily emails that you saw at the time, you may 12 well have seen them since. 13 If we can first of all go to page 3, please. If we 14 look at the very bottom, and we just see the very 15 beginning of an email, can you see that? It is from 16 someone called Martin Kirby, I think he was a DI, 17 possibly acting up as a chief inspector, yes? 18 A. Yes, he was a uniform chief inspector. 19 Q. Uniform, that's right. He was on duty that weekend -- 20 A. Yes, he was. 21 Q. -- and we can see this is an email sent at 11.42 on the 22 morning of the Sunday, the 21st, do you see that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. 11.42, just to remind ourselves, it was about an hour, 25 it's a bit less than an hour, actually, after</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 168</p>

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

<p>1 DC Adeyemo-Phillips had sent that email to the Whitworth 2 family. That email was sent at 10.52, and she has told 3 us that she spoke to them fairly shortly afterwards. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. This is after that little passage of play where the 6 email had been sent and DC Adeyemo-Phillips spoke to 7 Mr Whitworth, yes? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. If we can go over the page, we can see it is an email 10 that he is in fact sending to Superintendent Wilson, 11 amongst others. He is updating them on the progress of 12 the investigation, do you see that? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Do you remember speaking to him that day? 15 A. Mr Kirby, I think I must have done. I don't recall to 16 be honest, but I think I must have done. 17 Q. This may be one of those cases where it is hardly 18 surprising that now you cannot remember, but if we look 19 at this email, can you see that there are a few 20 references to him having spoken to you, for example the 21 second paragraph he says: 22 "I agree the discrepancy is slightly odd, DS Turrell 23 has spoken to the coroner's officer ..." 24 A paragraph below: 25 "DS Turrell also said ..."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 169</p>	<p>1 A. Not to my recollection, no. 2 Q. Well -- 3 A. I can't remember that. 4 Q. Not to your recollection? 5 A. No, because I can't remember what exactly -- I obviously 6 did speak to him to update him, but I can't remember 7 exactly what I said. 8 Q. It was not Mr Whitworth who used that word. 9 DC Adeyemo-Phillips said it wasn't her. It is something 10 that Mr Kirby was told and he seems to have been 11 speaking to you. Do you think it is possible that you 12 volunteered that view that not only had Mr Whitworth 13 confirmed the writing was Daniel's but that the writing 14 was distinctive, or very distinctive, as is recorded 15 here? 16 A. If I said that, then that would have come from Yinka, 17 but she had told me. I wouldn't have said it off my own 18 back, not being distinctive if it wasn't. 19 Q. Can you be so sure, after all these years? 20 A. No, I can't. 21 Q. No doubt you had looked at the note by this stage? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. I want to move on and ask you some questions about 24 a different matter, Ms Turrell. In order to do that, 25 I would like to show you a couple of documents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 171</p>
<p>1 Do we see that? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Then you may see about two paragraphs down, there are 4 a couple of numbered points, again introduced: 5 "I have asked Debbie Turrell to reengage ..." 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. It does appear that he is speaking to you, 8 unsurprisingly. You are telling him things and he is 9 passing them on to his superiors? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Let's just look at the paragraph starting, "We have no 12 options", please. 13 He is talking about the scenes and the fact that the 14 scene had been closed down, but then three lines down, 15 there is a section in brackets: 16 "(Handwriting scanned and confirmed by deceased's 17 father as his son's)." 18 As we established from the chronology, clearly he 19 has been told about what DC Adeyemo-Phillips had spoken 20 to Mr Whitworth about? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Then this: 23 "I am told it is very distinctive." 24 Is it possible you think that you told him that the 25 handwriting on the note was very distinctive?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 170</p>	<p>1 First of all, can we go to tab 28 in the bundle, 2 please. I think for the screen it is IPC628. 3 This is a document which appears to be a list of -- 4 it's a document which records the things that you 5 did/the things that you discovered about the case on 6 that day, on the Sunday, isn't it? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. It is the sort of thing you would have entered on it 9 a CRIS if there had been one? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Again, did you not think that you should task someone 12 there and then with setting up a CRIS so that you could 13 upload this material on to it? 14 A. I don't know why it didn't cross my mind at that time. 15 Q. Sorry? 16 A. I don't know why it didn't cross my mind at that time. 17 Q. No, all right. 18 I want to ask you about one part of it. If we can 19 go over to the second page, please. Do we see about 20 four paragraphs up from the bottom: 21 "T/DC Adeyemo-Phillips is arranging for MIB ..." 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. The jury have heard about MIB being the part of the 24 borough which -- or is it part of the whole Met, which 25 is intelligence --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 172</p>

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

<p>1 A. The Met.</p> <p>2 Q. It is a Met body which undertakes intelligence searches?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. "... arranging for MIB to conduct checks on subjects'</p> <p>5 names that have come up for any possible links and also</p> <p>6 to consider the Walgate death in May 2014, that also</p> <p>7 involved the gay community and links with the website</p> <p>8 Grindr and Bender."</p> <p>9 We saw that you had been very briefly involved in</p> <p>10 the Walgate death --</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. -- three months or so beforehand.</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. It seems clear from this document that, on that day, on</p> <p>15 that Sunday, you had drawn a link in your mind, or</p> <p>16 a possible link, between the deaths of Gabriel and</p> <p>17 Daniel, on the one hand, and Anthony's death on the</p> <p>18 other. Can you help us, explain how you made that link,</p> <p>19 what it was that linked the cases in your mind and what</p> <p>20 you were planning to do about it?</p> <p>21 A. I can't remember as to why I put that or how I thought</p> <p>22 about the links. I don't know what my thought process</p> <p>23 was at that time.</p> <p>24 Q. You refer, for example, to the fact that Anthony's death</p> <p>25 had happened in May 2014. I mean as we know, it was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 173</p>	<p>1 Q. Just to pause there, because I am not sure this was</p> <p>2 clear earlier. That is no criticism of you.</p> <p>3 We know that DC Slaymaker was the family liaison</p> <p>4 officer for Walgate family --</p> <p>5 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>6 Q. -- had you had a sort of managerial role in that duty</p> <p>7 that he was doing there?</p> <p>8 A. Not for the investigation, just for the family liaison</p> <p>9 side, because I was a family liaison coordinator for the</p> <p>10 borough.</p> <p>11 Q. Right, fine. We know of course that DC Slaymaker's role</p> <p>12 involved being part of the investigation to a point. We</p> <p>13 have all looked at his logs, they talk quite a lot about</p> <p>14 the progress of the investigation?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. So you had been reading those logs, had you?</p> <p>17 A. I only briefly read them, because I didn't have a lot of</p> <p>18 time but, yes, I would have just briefly read them.</p> <p>19 Q. Well, on any view, that perhaps helps understand better</p> <p>20 how it was that on that first day of the investigation</p> <p>21 you did draw those links that we saw recorded here?</p> <p>22 A. I think that is where I must have got the information</p> <p>23 from.</p> <p>24 Q. Are you quite sure that you did task Ms Adeyemo-Phillips</p> <p>25 with conducting that work?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 175</p>
<p>1 actually in June. But it appears that you might be</p> <p>2 making point that this was another fairly recent death,</p> <p>3 is that possible?</p> <p>4 A. Possibly.</p> <p>5 Q. You make the point that his death also involved the gay</p> <p>6 community, and we know that Anthony was gay?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. You also draw a link with the websites Grindr and</p> <p>9 Bender?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. You have made some quite detailed connections here,</p> <p>12 Ms Turrell. It makes one wonder whether in fact you did</p> <p>13 know a little bit more about Anthony's case than you</p> <p>14 mentioned earlier?</p> <p>15 A. No, I didn't know any more about Anthony's death. So --</p> <p>16 Q. Excuse me, but you must have known, first of all, that</p> <p>17 he was gay, secondly, that there was some connection</p> <p>18 with the websites Grindr or Bender and we have all seen</p> <p>19 the exchanges between --</p> <p>20 A. I knew that from, obviously, deploying a family liaison</p> <p>21 officer for that case. So I knew the basics and</p> <p>22 obviously I had read/signed off some of the family</p> <p>23 liaison logs. So I knew the basics of it, but I wasn't</p> <p>24 involved in the investigation, so I might have drawn my</p> <p>25 conclusions from those bits about it.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 174</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, because I put it down.</p> <p>2 Q. Well, she said that she never understood she had any</p> <p>3 requirement to do this, she now doesn't remember being</p> <p>4 told to do it, but you think you did do that, do you?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Did she in fact produce any checks or any results of any</p> <p>7 enquiries?</p> <p>8 A. I can't remember if she did.</p> <p>9 Q. Did you chase her?</p> <p>10 A. Not to my knowledge, no.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you do anything more about what seems to have been</p> <p>12 potentially a very important connection that you had</p> <p>13 made in your mind between these deaths?</p> <p>14 A. I don't think I followed it up from there, no.</p> <p>15 Q. Did you speak to DI Schamberger about it when he was</p> <p>16 appointed the next day?</p> <p>17 A. Not about -- not to my knowledge, no.</p> <p>18 Q. We see that you had a meeting with Chief Inspector Kirk</p> <p>19 the next day.</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>21 Q. Did you raise it with him?</p> <p>22 A. I can't remember what our conversation -- we did have</p> <p>23 a meeting, but I can't remember the actual specifics of</p> <p>24 the meeting, apart from some actions he raised.</p> <p>25 Q. We have all seen those notes that you made during that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 176</p>

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

<p>1 meeting with him, you were talking about investigative 2 steps that you might take in this case.</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. He had been involved in the Walgate case, you may or may 5 not have known that, but wouldn't it have been the most 6 natural thing in the world for you to say: 7 "Are we missing something here? Might there not be 8 a link with the Walgate death, shouldn't we do some 9 enquiries around that?"</p> <p>10 A. I can't remember what we discussed at that meeting.</p> <p>11 Q. Let's just look at another document, please. 12 In the bundle, it is tab 57. For the screen, it is 13 IPC13. We mentioned Mr Slaymaker's logs, this is one of 14 his logs in this case, so not the Walgate case but the 15 Whitworth case, do you see that?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. We know that you tasked him as the FLO the next day, on 18 the Monday.</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. This is the beginning of the log, and we can see here, 21 he is recording the initial briefing that you gave him.</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. I am not actually sure whether that was on the Monday, 24 but if it wasn't it must have been very shortly 25 afterwards. Yes?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 177</p>	<p>1 A. Someone has put something else up on screen.</p> <p>2 Q. Yes, can you see that?</p> <p>3 A. Oh sorry, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Look at the sentence which starts immediately after 5 those words. 6 This is Mr Slaymaker recording what he in fact said 7 to the family. He said: 8 "I did however state that there had been a death in 9 recent weeks which appeared to be similar to Daniel's 10 and we need to look into this and complete certain 11 tasks." 12 Between the briefing and what he actually said, for 13 whatever reason, he is now saying weeks rather than 14 months, which has a bearing on this, does it not? But 15 can we go back to what we were looking at before, the 16 page before, leaving aside -- the use of the word 17 "months" tends to suggest it might be Anthony's case, 18 use of the word "weeks" makes it seem more likely that 19 it was Gabriel's. If this was a reference to 20 Gabriel Kovari's death, why would you be instructing 21 Mr Slaymaker to tell the Whitworth family that you are 22 not linking the deaths at this time?</p> <p>23 A. I can't answer that, I don't know.</p> <p>24 Q. That would be untrue, wouldn't it?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 179</p>
<p>1 A. I can't remember when I briefed him.</p> <p>2 Q. Let's not worry about the exact date. 3 What I want to ask you about is, do you see there 4 are a series of little turrets there: 5 "I was briefed by DS Turrell ..." 6 Then he gives some little points that you were 7 briefing him on?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Can we see the third one of those little points he says: 10 "Explain that there has been a similar death on our 11 borough in the last few months and although we are not 12 linking them at this time, we need to carry out some 13 investigation into this."</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. One of the things you are tasking him with talking to 16 the Whitworth family about is another death in the last 17 few months on the borough. That you are not linking 18 with this case at the moment, although you need to carry 19 some more investigation out?</p> <p>20 A. I think I am referring to Gabriel Kovari there.</p> <p>21 Q. Well, let's just -- I am going to come back to this, but 22 let's look over the page. Do you see about 10 lines 23 down, do you see there are the words at the beginning of 24 the line, "To be from a toxicology report", can you see 25 that?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 178</p>	<p>1 Q. Because the note said on the face of it that Daniel had 2 killed Gabriel?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, so I might have meant the Walgate one. So I can't 4 remember as to why and what Paul has actually written 5 down, to what I actually meant.</p> <p>6 Q. If this is a reference to Anthony's death, it is another 7 indication, is it not, that that was something that was 8 in your mind at the time?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Shouldn't you have taken it further, Ms Turrell, 11 shouldn't you have mentioned it, for example, to 12 DCI Kirk or to Inspector Schamberger or even just have 13 chased DC Adeyemo-Phillips and tried to understand what 14 work she was doing on it? Isn't that actually your job 15 as the OIC: to consider what investigations should 16 happen and to make them happen?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, but I can't -- I can't remember exactly my thought 18 process and what we did speak about to any of them.</p> <p>19 Q. Because after these two references, which both seem to 20 have been on that Sunday or Monday, in all the rest of 21 the documents we don't see any suggestion that anyone is 22 doing any investigative work, trying to link the deaths 23 at all. 24 You have looked at the papers, that is right, isn't 25 it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 180</p>

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. In fact, it is quite the opposite, what we see is people</p> <p>3 outside the investigation suggesting that there might be</p> <p>4 a link with Anthony's death, and being told, "No, no,</p> <p>5 there isn't a link".</p> <p>6 A. I wasn't aware of that before.</p> <p>7 Q. We will look at some of those references in a moment.</p> <p>8 Nonetheless, what we see here is, on the face of it,</p> <p>9 you at the very start thinking that there might be</p> <p>10 a link but nothing coming of it?</p> <p>11 A. I can't remember, as to why.</p> <p>12 Q. Let's in fact look at one of those instances, please.</p> <p>13 We were looking, do you recall, at that document</p> <p>14 that you created on the Sunday, with various different</p> <p>15 elements to it about what you had done on that day.</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. There is another version of the same document, we will</p> <p>18 all have to look at the screen for this, it is IPC9.</p> <p>19 I think what has happened is that you have simply added</p> <p>20 some more text to the end, perhaps as the day went on,</p> <p>21 so that we all understand Word documents which exist in</p> <p>22 various different forms because they have been</p> <p>23 amended --</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. -- but if we go on to page 4 of this document, please --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 181</p>	<p>1 DC Adeyemo-Phillips to you, copied to Paul Slaymaker,</p> <p>2 yes?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. We can see from those little lines underneath the top</p> <p>5 that you read it, in fact on the next day, so the Monday</p> <p>6 morning. Is that right? I think that is how we read</p> <p>7 that, it looks as though you read the email at 11.19 on</p> <p>8 the 22nd?</p> <p>9 A. That's right, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. We have looked at this material before. I am not going</p> <p>11 to read it all out. But what we see is that on that</p> <p>12 Sunday, so the first full day of the investigation,</p> <p>13 DC Adeyemo-Phillips is telling you that she has had</p> <p>14 a call from Thierry Amodio, Gabriel Kovari's</p> <p>15 ex-boyfriend, who in turn had been in touch with</p> <p>16 Gabriel's brother Adam?</p> <p>17 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. He then gives a wealth of information about messages he</p> <p>19 had been receiving on Facebook from Gabriel's friends,</p> <p>20 about a friend of Gabriel's called Jon Luck, about where</p> <p>21 Jon Luck lives in Dagenham and about information that</p> <p>22 Jon Luck had given Thierry about what Gabriel had been</p> <p>23 doing.</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. About meeting someone called Tony Fairy, who apparently</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 183</p>
<p>1 no, in fact, sorry, if we can go to page 3. No. Sorry,</p> <p>2 I did mean page 4. If we can go to page 4.</p> <p>3 I think it is right to say that the previous version</p> <p>4 of the document ended at the top there, "No suspicious</p> <p>5 circumstances", and then there is a heading "L/T [late</p> <p>6 turn] CID enquiries by DC Slaymaker"?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Is it right that what you have done is added on to the</p> <p>9 end of this document some things that he had discovered</p> <p>10 there about Gabriel's body, yes?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Then also, if we can go on to page 5, this is what</p> <p>13 I wanted to ask you about, you have added:</p> <p>14 "DC Adeyemo-Phillips received the following</p> <p>15 information ..."</p> <p>16 Do you see that?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. You have set out there the text of actually an email</p> <p>19 that you received from DC Adeyemo-Phillips, didn't you?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. In fact, we can look at that, it is -- let's look at</p> <p>22 that email and then we will come back to this document.</p> <p>23 If we can have on screen, please, IPC200, we see</p> <p>24 this email. It is the same day, it is the Sunday, it is</p> <p>25 at 5.45 in evening and it is an email from</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 182</p>	<p>1 lived in Kilburn and then even more importantly,</p> <p>2 perhaps, a suggestion that Gabriel had met someone</p> <p>3 called Dan, yes?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. And so on.</p> <p>6 Just casting one's mind back to that HAT return,</p> <p>7 Ms Turrell --</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. -- and what DS Denley had advised you, which was to find</p> <p>10 out all the unanswered questions relating to Gabriel's</p> <p>11 death, find out as much as you can about what was going</p> <p>12 on around the time he died back in August.</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. This must have struck you as a goldmine?</p> <p>15 A. I can't remember to be honest.</p> <p>16 Q. It must have done, mustn't it? Here was someone -- you</p> <p>17 didn't have to do any investigation, here was someone</p> <p>18 coming forward saying, "I've got all this information"?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Isn't this what a police officer wants to hear?</p> <p>21 A police officer wants to know the names of people that</p> <p>22 they can go and interview, details?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Investigative opportunities?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 184</p>

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

<p>1 Q. These people are going to have phones, these people are 2 going to have Facebook accounts, you can do some 3 searches? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. This is something you can build an investigation on, 6 isn't it? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. The first thing that you need to do, the very first 9 thing you need to do, is capture all of this material 10 that Thierry Amodio is offering to you on a plate, isn't 11 it? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. The way you do that is you take a statement from him? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. You might want to take more than one statement from him. 16 You might want to take one statement from him, think 17 about it and then tell the officer, "Go back and ask him 18 more questions, let's find out more details about this 19 person. Let's find out where he was on that time". 20 Then you can use all that information in all of the 21 investigative techniques that we have heard about, can't 22 you? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. You didn't take a statement from Thierry Amodio, did 25 you?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 185</p>	<p>1 Q. But why? 2 A. -- which I agree is wrong, yes. 3 Q. Why, Ms Turrell, just help the jury to understand what 4 on earth you mean -- 5 A. I don't know. 6 Q. -- by saying that because he lives in Spain, somehow -- 7 A. I didn't mean -- I thought at the time it was not 8 appropriate to take a statement, but to log it all and 9 then we would take a statement at a later date. 10 Q. Log it how? 11 A. By doing the enquiries I mean, by doing the enquiries on 12 the information that he gave us and like that Yinka had 13 written down the email. 14 Q. Let's look back at, please, IPC9, page 5. It will come 15 up on the screen. I said we would come back to this, so 16 you cut and pasted the content of the email and then you 17 say this: 18 "I have completed some IIP checks around all the 19 names above, Jon Lock, [that's actually not the name 20 that was given, that was Jon Luck] Tony Fairy ..." 21 Did you really think "Tony Fairy" was this man's 22 real name? 23 A. No, but a lot of people use other names on their social 24 media. 25 Q. "... there appears to be no information for these people</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 187</p>
<p>1 A. No, a statement was not taken. 2 Q. You didn't take a statement from him, and you didn't 3 tell anyone else to, did you? 4 A. No. 5 Q. Why not? 6 A. I don't know why at that time. 7 Q. This information was being offered to you on a plate. 8 The same day that DS Denley was telling you to 9 understand as much as you could about the circumstances 10 of Gabriel's life, how he died and who he was with when 11 he died. It is all being given to you, but you didn't 12 even instruct anyone to take a statement? 13 A. Not to take a statement, no. 14 Q. In fact, if we can call up on the screen IPC378, please, 15 this is your statement and the jury have already looked 16 at this today, but you refer there to this very contact 17 that DC Adeyemo-Phillips had with Thierry Amodio? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Three lines up from the bottom you say: 20 "As he [that's Thierry Amodio] resided in Spain, 21 there appeared no evidential value to obtaining 22 a statement." 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. That is why you didn't do it? 25 A. I think so at the time, yes --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 186</p>	<p>1 that can help with any enquiries at present." 2 So all of that information, all of the investigative 3 possibilities that that gave rise to, you do some checks 4 on these names, one of them not spelt right, and that is 5 the end of it? 6 A. I think that is just a typing error, to be honest. 7 Q. Why? 8 A. Well, it is easy to make a typing error. 9 Q. No, what I mean to say is seven years later, why do you 10 assume it is a typing error, isn't it possible you got 11 it wrong on the day? 12 A. No, I don't think so, I think that was a typing error 13 I am afraid. 14 Q. You think that you would have got it absolutely right, 15 do you? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. I see. 18 Let's move on to the next day, Monday, the 22nd. 19 I already mentioned your daybook, but could we have 20 a look at it, please, tab 22 in the jury bundle. For 21 the screen, it is IPC627, page 5. 22 If we can enlarge the middle section, please, we 23 have looked at this together more than once, although 24 not with you before, Ms Turrell. These are some notes 25 on the face of it that you made during a meeting with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 188</p>

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

<p>1 DCI Kirk on that Monday morning?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. I think we have now established that DI Schamberger may</p> <p>4 have been there as well.</p> <p>5 You clearly have discussed with Chief Inspector Kirk</p> <p>6 a number of investigative opportunities or enquiries</p> <p>7 that you were going to make and you have made notes of</p> <p>8 them; is that fair?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. The very first one that you have written down is, "Cell</p> <p>11 site and call data".</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. That is a very standard, very basic investigative</p> <p>14 technique, isn't it?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. It was important here to try and get hold of the cell</p> <p>17 site and call data for both Daniel and Gabriel, was it</p> <p>18 not?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. We know that their phones were not available but you</p> <p>21 don't need the phones to do this work, do you?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. You just need their phone numbers and you had those?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Did you understand why it was regarded as particularly</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 189</p>	<p>1 a FLO, so although I thought one should go in I had to</p> <p>2 wait for Mr Kirk and then I deployed the FLOs.</p> <p>3 Q. I understand, so that explains why you couldn't do it</p> <p>4 over the weekend?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. But you did do it on the Monday morning?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. We have heard all about Mr Slaymaker and the Whitworth</p> <p>9 family.</p> <p>10 This jury have also heard from Jacqueline Baxter.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. In a nutshell, her evidence was:</p> <p>13 "Yes, I was appointed FLO for the Kovari family.</p> <p>14 Yes, I was told to speak to them about Gabriel's</p> <p>15 lifestyle, contact them."</p> <p>16 I showed her the manual which required her to make</p> <p>17 touch with them, but she simply said that she had never</p> <p>18 done it. She had never made any contact at all with the</p> <p>19 Kovari family. Did you know that at the time?</p> <p>20 A. No, I didn't, no.</p> <p>21 Q. Why not?</p> <p>22 A. I thought she was doing it -- she is an experienced DC,</p> <p>23 an experienced FLO, so I just thought she was --</p> <p>24 I hadn't seen her log, so I just thought she was doing</p> <p>25 it and I know that Yinka had made contact with some of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 191</p>
<p>1 important to get hold of this data and information</p> <p>2 around the time of 27/28 August?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, because obviously to state whether Daniel was</p> <p>4 around that time in connection with Gabriel.</p> <p>5 Q. Whether Daniel was with Gabriel at the time he was</p> <p>6 supposed to have killed him, yes?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. If we move on in the bundle to tab 34. For the screen,</p> <p>9 it is IPC213. On that morning you sent an email</p> <p>10 allocating task/actions, didn't you?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Had there been a CRIS at the time, you wouldn't have</p> <p>13 needed to send an email like this, would you?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Why wasn't there a CRIS by the Monday morning?</p> <p>16 A. I don't know.</p> <p>17 Q. The very top of the page, you explained that you were</p> <p>18 not able to appoint FLOs over the weekend, does that</p> <p>19 explain why you are doing it first thing on the Monday</p> <p>20 morning?</p> <p>21 A. You should really have the authority of a senior officer</p> <p>22 to actually deploy FLOs.</p> <p>23 Q. I am sorry, Ms Turrell, I didn't hear what you said,</p> <p>24 could you say that again?</p> <p>25 A. You need the authority of a senior officer to deploy</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 190</p>	<p>1 the family, because obviously the way we were</p> <p>2 overlapping and I just thought Jackie was doing it and</p> <p>3 was tasked and she never said at any point that she</p> <p>4 wasn't doing it, even though she had been tasked to do</p> <p>5 it.</p> <p>6 Q. What does it tell us, Ms Turrell, you help us, help the</p> <p>7 jury understand, what does it tell us about the team</p> <p>8 that you were leading that one of the people in that</p> <p>9 team, having been told to do something, simply decided</p> <p>10 not to do it?</p> <p>11 A. I have no idea why she didn't do it and obviously it</p> <p>12 should have been my thing to follow up. And I would</p> <p>13 like to just say at this point I was a part-time</p> <p>14 officer, so I wasn't always there when they were on</p> <p>15 duty.</p> <p>16 Q. Look towards the bottom of this page. Do you see that</p> <p>17 the subject of cell site data is picked up again. There</p> <p>18 is a reference there:</p> <p>19 "Paul Slaymaker has already conducted some work on</p> <p>20 Whitworth's phone, please continue with this for</p> <p>21 incoming/outcoming calls going back to at least</p> <p>22 28 August."</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. You have selected 28 August. Is that for the reason</p> <p>25 that we discussed a moment ago, because that is the date</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 192</p>

<p>1 on which Gabriel Kovari's body was found?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. A small issue by the way, who was this action directed</p> <p>4 towards?</p> <p>5 A. Hmm, I think it was ...</p> <p>6 I think it was Paul Slaymaker.</p> <p>7 Q. Right, well he certainly was the one who took it on but</p> <p>8 he said he wasn't sure at the time whether it was</p> <p>9 supposed to be Yinka or not.</p> <p>10 We can see, Ms Turrell, let me state this shortly</p> <p>11 because it is ground we have covered with the jury</p> <p>12 a number of times, but there you are instructing</p> <p>13 Mr Slaymaker to get this phone data.</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. He had already got a week's worth of Daniel's call data</p> <p>16 over the weekend, if you recall --</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. -- when they were trying to work out who Gabriel Kline</p> <p>19 was?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. It is not a complicated action, is it?</p> <p>22 A. To get it for longer, no?</p> <p>23 Q. To get call data?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. We don't need to know the fine detail, but an officer</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 193</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. You understood how important it was, it was the very</p> <p>3 first item on that list with DCI Kirk?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. You could have done it yourself?</p> <p>6 A. I could have done.</p> <p>7 Q. But nothing happened?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. Let's move on to the email that you sent on the Tuesday,</p> <p>10 please, so it is tab 38 for the bundle. IPC224.</p> <p>11 More actions, here we see your actions that you</p> <p>12 addressed to DC Baxter, which I mentioned a moment ago.</p> <p>13 Liaise with the funeral director -- that is something</p> <p>14 she did, but as far as liaising with the family, as</p> <p>15 I say, that is something she didn't do.</p> <p>16 Then we see another action addressed to</p> <p>17 DC Slaymaker:</p> <p>18 "Obtaining a statement from Whitworth employer to</p> <p>19 cover when he commenced employment with them, hours,</p> <p>20 sickness, leave ..."</p> <p>21 Then this:</p> <p>22 "Confirm if he was at work on 28 August."</p> <p>23 We know why, don't we?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Tell us, there you are, you have set DC Slaymaker</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 195</p>
<p>1 simply needs to fill in a form, send it off to relevant</p> <p>2 people and the data comes back?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. There may be a question about whether the request is</p> <p>5 being approved or not --</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. -- but, beyond that, it is simply a practical</p> <p>8 administrative exercise, just get the data, anyone on</p> <p>9 your team could have done it?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. What we see is that, despite first of all DI Schamberger</p> <p>12 actually making this an action on the CRIS, when the</p> <p>13 CRIS was eventually set up, and then being chased for it</p> <p>14 once or twice, this data was simply never obtained;</p> <p>15 nothing happened.</p> <p>16 A. I wasn't aware of that, because it had been signed off</p> <p>17 as being a completed action.</p> <p>18 Q. Yes, and we know that DI Schamberger signed it off,</p> <p>19 despite the fact that absolutely no further data had</p> <p>20 been obtained whatsoever.</p> <p>21 The question for you is, you had set this task for</p> <p>22 Paul Slaymaker in this email on that day, shouldn't you</p> <p>23 have been aware of what was going on? Shouldn't you</p> <p>24 have made it your business to find out whether that data</p> <p>25 had been obtained or not?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 194</p>	<p>1 a task, go and obtain a statement.</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. It needs to cover these things.</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. How does the process work from there?</p> <p>6 A. He would have obtained the statement and then anything</p> <p>7 of any relevance he would raise with me, so I would have</p> <p>8 a resume of it, if it was of importance. If it was just</p> <p>9 a standard statement that didn't come out -- didn't</p> <p>10 really highlight anything, then he probably wouldn't</p> <p>11 have let me know.</p> <p>12 Q. I am going to ask you about that in a moment. But just</p> <p>13 help us, he goes away and takes a statement?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. He has then got in his hand a statement?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. What happens to that witness statement back at the</p> <p>18 office, is there somewhere he puts it, a filing cabinet?</p> <p>19 A. He put it on my desk, but I was away for several days</p> <p>20 after that. I wasn't there when he put it on my desk</p> <p>21 and I was away.</p> <p>22 Q. You say if there is anything of importance in there --</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Just to take this as an example, I imagine you were just</p> <p>25 describing a general practice there?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 196</p>

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Just to take this one as an example, you have asked him</p> <p>3 to address one very particular issue:</p> <p>4 "Was Daniel at work on the 28th? Yes or no."</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Whatever else is in the statement there is an answer to</p> <p>7 that, he either was or he wasn't?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Whichever answer it is, it is going to be important,</p> <p>10 isn't it?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Because if he was at work that would tend to suggest he</p> <p>13 wasn't involved in killing Gabriel Kovari the night</p> <p>14 before?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. But if he had suddenly taken the day off that morning,</p> <p>17 the reverse?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. You would have wanted to look at this statement</p> <p>20 whatever, wouldn't you?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. We can call it up on the screen, because although he was</p> <p>23 instructed to do it on the 23rd, we can see, if we call</p> <p>24 it up on screen, IPC335, this statement actually, six</p> <p>25 days later, 29 September, we don't need to read it all.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 197</p>	<p>1 seems, at any rate, there are records, there are entries</p> <p>2 on the CRIS suggesting that DC Slaymaker obtained some</p> <p>3 further documents from the employer.</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. It doesn't really address the fact that he has not</p> <p>6 included it in a witness statement but, be that as it</p> <p>7 may --</p> <p>8 A. I thought that person might not necessarily know.</p> <p>9 Q. All right, so can we take it then that when these</p> <p>10 documents arrived you had a look at them?</p> <p>11 A. I can't remember, to be honest.</p> <p>12 Q. Well, which was it? That binary issue, whether Daniel</p> <p>13 was at work or not, even if you cannot remember looking</p> <p>14 at the documents, what did they tell you?</p> <p>15 A. I can't remember.</p> <p>16 Q. One can imagine leafing through them, usually when you</p> <p>17 get documents like that, half of it is not of any</p> <p>18 interest, but then you can home in on the very line that</p> <p>19 you were thinking of, whatever it was that showed you</p> <p>20 his attendance, whether he was there on the 28th -- did</p> <p>21 you look for that?</p> <p>22 A. I really can't remember that.</p> <p>23 Q. You would have remembered if you had looked through it</p> <p>24 and seen that he was at work on the 28th, that perhaps</p> <p>25 would have left an impression, because that would have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 199</p>
<p>1 We can just cast our eyes through it. There is</p> <p>2 a description of who he was, in fact he was on the same</p> <p>3 team, didn't know him very well. Then can you see about</p> <p>4 six or seven lines up:</p> <p>5 "On Thursday, 18 September we were both in work as</p> <p>6 normal."</p> <p>7 I can tell you, if we just go over to the next page,</p> <p>8 the whole of the rest of the statement is all about what</p> <p>9 happened on Thursday, 18 September, which was the last</p> <p>10 day that Daniel was at work.</p> <p>11 Presumably you read this statement, it's a page and</p> <p>12 a half, and said to DC Slaymaker, why have you not</p> <p>13 obtained a statement covering the one thing that I asked</p> <p>14 you to cover, which is whether Daniel was at work on</p> <p>15 28 August?</p> <p>16 A. I think, although he didn't get it in his statement,</p> <p>17 I think that he had to make enquiries with the HR</p> <p>18 section, because the person -- I can't remember who</p> <p>19 Daniel -- was it O'Neill? Sorry, I can't read it very</p> <p>20 well.</p> <p>21 Q. O'Connell, I think.</p> <p>22 A. Sorry, my apologies, O'Connell. I can't remember if he</p> <p>23 knew exactly all of the HR and I think Paul had to go</p> <p>24 via HR to get details.</p> <p>25 Q. Well, you are right, at least that there were -- it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 198</p>	<p>1 been a very important discovery, wouldn't it?</p> <p>2 A. I would hopefully think so.</p> <p>3 Q. But you cannot remember?</p> <p>4 A. I can't remember. No.</p> <p>5 Q. Let's look back at that email, in fact let's not</p> <p>6 bother -- but also on that email on the Tuesday, you</p> <p>7 tasked DC Slaymaker with obtaining a witness statement</p> <p>8 from Ricky Waumsley, didn't you?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. He obtained that statement too, do you remember that?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, he did, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Let's just have a look at that one on screen, please.</p> <p>13 There is a manuscript version but let's just go</p> <p>14 straight to the typed version, it is MPS1173.</p> <p>15 Just tell us, Ms Turrell, would Mr Slaymaker have</p> <p>16 typed it up like this, or would you probably just have</p> <p>17 received the written version, the manuscript version?</p> <p>18 A. I probably would have just had the written version.</p> <p>19 Q. We will use this because it is easier, but we will bear</p> <p>20 in mind that you would have received the manuscript</p> <p>21 version.</p> <p>22 Let's go to page 3, please. This statement would</p> <p>23 have landed on your desk in the same way, would it?</p> <p>24 A. Again, I believe that was put on my desk when I wasn't</p> <p>25 on duty.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 200</p>

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

<p>1 Q. Yes, well, it would still have been there when you came 2 back on duty. 3 A. Yeah, hopefully. 4 Q. Because this witness statement did contain some very 5 important information, did it not? 6 A. Yes, again, I would have expected Paul to give me 7 a resume or to raise a resume, to raise it, if there was 8 anything significant come out of any statement, which 9 I said earlier. But any statement taken for whatever 10 reasons, for any investigation, if there is something 11 significant, it should be raised. 12 Q. Let's just look at that paragraph and then I will ask 13 you about it. You can see: 14 "On 27 August Daniel had left for work as normal and 15 we were texting until about 6.30 in the morning. 16 I don't get any signal at work, so we were Facebooking 17 each other until around 7.30. From my texts it seems 18 that I had a busy day. I do not have any more messages 19 from him that day, so I am pretty certain he would have 20 been at home when I got home at around 1645 hours on 21 27 August." 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. The day on which, according to the note, he was in 24 Barking killing Gabriel Kovari. 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 201</p>	<p>1 Q. That is not good enough, Ms Turrell, because this had 2 the potential to turn this investigation on its head. 3 So please try harder and help the jury to understand why 4 this was just overlooked. 5 A. I really can't remember as to what I was doing -- that 6 was left on my desk, so I might not have read it for 7 a week or so until I came back. It wasn't raised as 8 a significant issue which, you know, officers should do 9 when they take a statement. It is, as I said, anything 10 of significance. So that wasn't raised with me, so 11 I might have read it and overlooked it but I can't 12 recall reading it at that time or when I read it, or 13 what my thoughts were. 14 Q. We are trying our hardest to understand what happened 15 those years ago. But at the moment what we have is 16 DC Slaymaker saying: 17 "Well, I took the statement but I was just a DC, it 18 wasn't really my job to think about the case, I just put 19 it into the system." 20 We have DI Schamberger saying: 21 "I perhaps read it, but I was only a supervisor." 22 Was it really your job to read this statement and 23 understand its significance? 24 A. It was all part of everyone's, not just me. 25 Q. But you were one of the people who ought to have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 203</p>
<p>1 Q. That witness statement is dated 7 October. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. So it is within a fortnight or so of the start of this 4 investigation? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Did you read that paragraph? 7 A. I must have done at some stage. 8 Q. Well, what did you do when you read it? 9 A. I can't remember. 10 Q. This paragraph is telling you that Daniel Whitworth 11 didn't kill Gabriel Kovari. 12 A. It doesn't say that. He says that they didn't have 13 communication between those times -- 14 Q. Then it says he is pretty certain that Daniel would have 15 been at home when he got home in Gravesend at 16.45. 16 You are a police officer, that is pretty clear, is it 17 not, if there is any room for doubt, then the answer is 18 you go and find out some more from Ricky, isn't it? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. If you had, as we know, he would have said I've got 21 texts from Daniel leaving home at 5.30 the next morning. 22 Why was this not recognised, DS Turrell, in your 23 investigation as the absolutely critical evidence that 24 it was? 25 A. I can't remember.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 202</p>	<p>1 understood -- 2 A. I was one of the officers, yes. 3 Q. Right. 4 I just want to ask you one further set of questions, 5 Ms Turrell. To do that, we need to go in the bundle to 6 the CRIS, which was set up, as we said, a few days after 7 the start of the investigation. 8 In the bundle, it is tab 46, please. For the 9 screen, it is IPC33. It is page 72, please. The 10 entries we are looking at now are in December and then 11 January 2015. So after you had moved away from the main 12 office. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. You have explained that you carried on, what, running 15 this case in exactly the same way, is that fair? 16 A. It was very difficult, because the staff were still on 17 my old team and they worked a different shift to me, so 18 I didn't see them, but I was doing my best to try and 19 still run it. 20 Q. What we are looking at are the entries in January, where 21 this investigation was closed down. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. What we see, if we were to look on the page before -- 24 I don't suggest we do, we see Mr Berry who was the sort 25 of most junior officer on the case saying, "This case is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 204</p>

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

<p>1 now ready for closure". Then you agree with him and</p> <p>2 then finally DI Schamberger agrees with you, and then</p> <p>3 the case is closed, and I have asked him about that.</p> <p>4 Let me ask you. This was at a time when the special</p> <p>5 post mortem report had not even been released. You had</p> <p>6 been an officer for four years on a HAT team, or on</p> <p>7 a MIT team, yes?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. So you must have been involved in quite a number of</p> <p>10 special post mortems over that time?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. DI Schamberger told us he had never been to one before,</p> <p>13 but you -- I am not going to ask whether you had</p> <p>14 actually been to them, but you were familiar with the</p> <p>15 process of special post mortems, weren't you?</p> <p>16 A. I would, but when you were on a murder team, you don't</p> <p>17 get involved in any of the actual paperwork side of</p> <p>18 things, because they all go into the HOLMES system, and</p> <p>19 your thing, so any sort of like things like that,</p> <p>20 I wouldn't know about --</p> <p>21 Q. You understood that a special post mortem is something</p> <p>22 that takes place if there is a suspicious death, yes?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And that the outcome of that post mortem, the report</p> <p>25 from the pathologist --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 205</p>	<p>1 of the first things you would have thought of, you would</p> <p>2 say:</p> <p>3 "Why are we trying to close this investigation down?</p> <p>4 I am the officer in the case, I haven't even seen</p> <p>5 Dr Swift's report yet, where is it?"</p> <p>6 A. I can't remember.</p> <p>7 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, Ms Turrell, those are the</p> <p>8 questions I wanted to ask you.</p> <p>9 THE CORONER: We will take a short break then, members of</p> <p>10 the jury.</p> <p>11 Thank you.</p> <p>12 (3.17 pm)</p> <p>13 (A short adjournment)</p> <p>14 (3.28 pm)</p> <p>15 (In the presence of the jury)</p> <p>16 Questions from MR STOATE</p> <p>17 MR STOATE: Ms Turrell, I represent the bereaved families in</p> <p>18 this case, I have to be quite brief.</p> <p>19 Can we start, please, with your involvement in the</p> <p>20 Anthony Walgate investigation on 19 June.</p> <p>21 Could I please have on screen IPC35, internal</p> <p>22 page 64. Could we just zoom in a little bit on the</p> <p>23 middle section. Can you see this is dated 19 June 2014,</p> <p>24 so, as we all know, by now, the day that Anthony Walgate</p> <p>25 was discovered deceased, yes?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 207</p>
<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. -- is an absolutely critical part of the investigation?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. How was it that in January 2015, knowing that you hadn't</p> <p>5 yet had a report from Dr Swift, you agreed, with</p> <p>6 Mr Berry and Mr Schamberger, that this case should be</p> <p>7 closed down?</p> <p>8 A. I can't recall why the reasons for that. I know I never</p> <p>9 saw a pathologist's final report.</p> <p>10 Q. You would certainly have seen the report when it came</p> <p>11 out, you were officer in the case?</p> <p>12 A. No, I never saw it.</p> <p>13 Q. No, I know, but that is the point. You knew that there</p> <p>14 had been no report, because if it had, you would have</p> <p>15 seen it?</p> <p>16 A. They always go to the exhibits officers, because that is</p> <p>17 the person who the pathologist would know from the post</p> <p>18 mortems, so they wouldn't have had my name, so it</p> <p>19 wouldn't have come direct to me. So it would have come</p> <p>20 via Paul Berry.</p> <p>21 Q. It is not possible, is it, that when you were closing</p> <p>22 report, you just didn't know whether there had been</p> <p>23 a report or not?</p> <p>24 A. I can't remember if I --</p> <p>25 Q. You would have asked, wouldn't you? That would be one</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 206</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. We can see 18.02 and we can see "DS D Turrell", that is</p> <p>3 you, yes?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. There is an update here which says "PC Tracey Lindsay</p> <p>6 from Humberside Police rang ..."</p> <p>7 Then it says this:</p> <p>8 "I have spoken to Anthony's next of kin,</p> <p>9 Thomas Walgate ..."</p> <p>10 There are some details.</p> <p>11 It may not be clear from what is written, who spoke</p> <p>12 to Anthony Walgate's next of kin, Thomas Walgate?</p> <p>13 A. The Humberside officer.</p> <p>14 Q. The Humberside officer, all right. Could we go over the</p> <p>15 page, please, briefly and focus in on the top paragraph.</p> <p>16 Jury bundle, tab 2, in case the jury want to follow</p> <p>17 but it is all on the screen.</p> <p>18 Can we see the last bit of that:</p> <p>19 "Thomas Walgate has been updated with the brief</p> <p>20 circumstances."</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Did you understand that to mean that Anthony's father</p> <p>23 had been updated with the brief circumstances of</p> <p>24 Anthony's death?</p> <p>25 A. I think that was my thoughts.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 208</p>

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

<p>1 Q. That was your understanding?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Having typed this in, does it stand to reason that you</p> <p>4 also knew or understood the brief circumstances of</p> <p>5 Anthony's death?</p> <p>6 A. No, because when someone rings me up, I don't read</p> <p>7 a whole report or knew about the investigation in any</p> <p>8 depth. Literally by putting that on, for the officer in</p> <p>9 the case, it then flags it that I have put something on</p> <p>10 the CRIS report. So when they then come on duty, they</p> <p>11 will see there will be an update and will be able to</p> <p>12 read what I have put.</p> <p>13 Q. So a Humberside police officer rings up, you are there</p> <p>14 on duty?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. You go into the CRIS for Anthony Walgate?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Your evidence is this, is it, you didn't read anything</p> <p>19 before what you put in?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. You are told by this Humberside police officer, "I have</p> <p>22 just spoken to a father whose son has died, he has been</p> <p>23 updated with the brief circumstances" and at no stage</p> <p>24 did you seek or in fact understand the brief</p> <p>25 circumstances of that young man's death? Is that your</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 209</p>	<p>1 Sarah's currently on holiday in Turkey, not due</p> <p>2 back ..."</p> <p>3 And all of the rest of it, yes.</p> <p>4 Who is it who has spoken to Sarah Sak's sister</p> <p>5 Catherine, the deceased's auntie?</p> <p>6 A. That's Humberside -- that's an update from Humberside.</p> <p>7 Q. Humberside Police?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Still at this stage, is this correct, we have had two</p> <p>10 calls from the Humberside Police, an update about the</p> <p>11 Oyster card in which you have typed your own name in.</p> <p>12 Still by this time, is this your evidence, you don't</p> <p>13 know anything about the brief circumstances, even the</p> <p>14 briefest circumstances, of the death of Anthony Walgate?</p> <p>15 A. I know the brief circumstances.</p> <p>16 Q. You do? What were they then? What was it you</p> <p>17 understood at the time?</p> <p>18 A. Only because I deployed a family liaison officer, but</p> <p>19 apart from that I don't know anything else.</p> <p>20 Q. So you don't know who he was, where he had been found,</p> <p>21 how he died, nothing like that at all? That is your</p> <p>22 evidence?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Over the page. Page 67. This is your name again, just</p> <p>25 the top paragraph, please:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 211</p>
<p>1 evidence?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. The next entry, made 20 or so minutes later, there is</p> <p>4 a update re Oyster card, so there is a check, is this on</p> <p>5 Anthony's Oyster card?</p> <p>6 A. I can't remember to be honest. I presume it is.</p> <p>7 Q. It gives the date of it last being used as 17 June:</p> <p>8 "DS Turrell and DS O'Donnell will be updated with</p> <p>9 the results."</p> <p>10 So you are put down there as somebody being updated</p> <p>11 with the results. Yes?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, I am not sure why.</p> <p>13 Q. It seems to be your entry, so you appear to have typed</p> <p>14 yourself in as the person being updated with the Oyster</p> <p>15 card results.</p> <p>16 A. I am not sure -- it seems a bit of an error, sorry.</p> <p>17 I don't -- I can't explain that, I don't know why I put</p> <p>18 my own name.</p> <p>19 Q. Right. Let's carry on, shall we?</p> <p>20 Can we go over the page, please. Can we see</p> <p>21 an update from Humberside Police, this is internal</p> <p>22 page 66, yes? Again, this is about an hour or an hour</p> <p>23 and 20 later, your name again, same day:</p> <p>24 "Update from Humberside Police, I have managed to</p> <p>25 speak to Sarah's sister Catherine, deceased's auntie,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 210</p>	<p>1 "Sarah via social media and ask her to make contact</p> <p>2 so she can inform her as to what has occurred."</p> <p>3 What is this entry about, please? We know that</p> <p>4 Sarah is Anthony's mum, you are mentioning social media</p> <p>5 and asking her to make contact. With who, please?</p> <p>6 A. I don't know. I am sorry -- although I have put that,</p> <p>7 I can't remember why I have put that or the</p> <p>8 circumstances.</p> <p>9 Q. I know you said you were not trained in the use of</p> <p>10 a CRIS report, but it must occur to you that it is</p> <p>11 a pretty significant document in any investigation,</p> <p>12 isn't it?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Are you typing things into the CRIS about which you have</p> <p>15 no understanding, is that the evidence you want to leave</p> <p>16 this jury with?</p> <p>17 A. Obviously I have written it and then obviously not read</p> <p>18 back what I had written and then I can't interpret what</p> <p>19 I have done seven years later as to what I have actually</p> <p>20 put, and what I understood or what I meant it to</p> <p>21 understand to be.</p> <p>22 Q. All right. You cannot help us with that one, but there</p> <p>23 you are again typing something in.</p> <p>24 Can we go over the page to 69, please. This is just</p> <p>25 after 10.30. Page 69, the next one, please.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 212</p>

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

<p>1 Here we go, the middle one: 2 "I contacted Ellie ..." 3 Ellie Green we now know, yes? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. This is you, is it? 6 A. Yes, because that is -- that is a Met CAD. So a CAD 7 came in from Ellie Green, asking her to -- for contact 8 to be made, so I believe someone from the CAD room gave 9 they me that and said can someone contact her and out of 10 courtesy, because although it is quite late at night, 11 I was the one who rang her. 12 Q. All right, so you rang Ellie Green, who states -- you 13 have written it here -- she is "very good friends with 14 Anthony"? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. The first line, yes? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. When you called Ms Green, what did you have in your mind 19 about the circumstances of Anthony's death? 20 A. I didn't, I purely went on the CAD and for someone to 21 ring her from the office and I rang her. So I didn't 22 have any idea of the circumstances or what it was, it 23 was whatever was on the CAD to say, "Ring Ellie Green" 24 and that is who I rang up. 25 Q. Did you ring Ellie Green with the circumstances of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 213</p>	<p>1 "She has confirmed a lot of what China has stated." 2 What did you mean by that? 3 I am not trying to trick you officer, this is 4 your -- you typed it. 5 A. Well, I can't remember what I typed seven years ago, 6 I am afraid, so I can't remember what she said, but 7 there must have been something to say whatever China had 8 said. 9 Q. Well, you have literally written the words, "She has 10 confirmed a lot of what China has stated". 11 One reading of that might be that you had read what 12 China, we now know China Dunning, somebody from whom the 13 jury has heard, what she had said. In order to type 14 that sentence for it to make any logical sense, 15 Ms Turrell, it must mean that you had written, or heard 16 or perhaps understood what China had said. 17 A. I don't recall, I am afraid. 18 Q. Can we look back at the previous page, page 68. This is 19 something put in by PC Bewers, it looks like, but there 20 is lots about China Dunning here. Can you see at around 21 22 in the middle, yes, in fact just above that: 22 "Ms Dunning stated Walgate was nervous about the 23 meeting with Joe Dean, as he had immediately agreed to 24 the fee which was very unusual." 25 A. I don't recall reading that at all.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 215</p>
<p>1 an unexplained, potentially suspicious, young man's 2 death in mind? 3 A. No. I rang her because she asked to be called from -- 4 by someone. And she said she was a friend, so I rang 5 her out of courtesy, that we responded to a CAD and that 6 I had completed it. 7 Q. What if she had asked you really any basic questions at 8 all, about the death of a very good friend and how she 9 might be able to help? 10 A. I would have passed -- I would have said I would have 11 had to pass it on to investigating officer, because 12 I was not the investigating officer, I was purely just 13 an officer answering the phone. And, as you can see, 14 I was obviously the only one in the office answering all 15 these telephone calls. 16 Q. "Very good friends with Anthony, she was aware he was 17 meeting someone from the website where he advertised, he 18 would rarely meet people overnight." 19 You typed it in, what did you understand by that? 20 A. Literally as it says. 21 Q. Did you think that was suspicious or strange? 22 A. I just took it at face value what she was telling me to 23 pass on to the investigation officers. 24 Q. Let's look at the last sentence in that middle 25 paragraph:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 214</p>	<p>1 Q. Look later on, a couple of paragraphs later: 2 "2200 hours, Tuesday, the 17th Ms Dunning said 3 Ellie Green had messaged Walgate, said he couldn't talk 4 because he was about to do to do a job, believed to be 5 meeting Joe Dean." 6 A. I did not read that. 7 Q. You didn't read it? 8 A. No. 9 Q. So how do we make any sense of what you have written in 10 the entry I just showed you, where you say, "She has 11 confirmed a lot of what China has stated"? 12 A. She might have told me that, but I don't recall, I am 13 afraid. I can't remember why I put that or what my 14 thoughts were seven years ago as to -- 15 Q. No. 16 Let me make a suggestion. It is absolutely clear 17 from the face of these documents that you had more 18 involvement in the early stages of Anthony Walgate's 19 investigation than you seem prepared to admit to this 20 inquest today. 21 A. No, I didn't. 22 Q. Why are you not prepared to accept that? 23 A. Because I didn't. I took a lot of telephone calls, 24 purely because I was on duty at the time. My only 25 involvement was to deploy a family liaison officer.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 216</p>

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

<p>1 Q. So you prefer the jury to be left with the impression, 2 and the bereaved families, who are here listening, that 3 you made these calls with absolutely nothing of 4 Anthony Walgate in your mind, that is your evidence? 5 A. That is definitely true. 6 Q. That the best evidence you wish to give, is it? 7 A. That is definitely true. 8 Q. Very good. 9 Mr O'Connor, the barrister previously, has taken you 10 through the actions or inactions taken to link the 11 deaths of Gabriel and Daniel with Anthony Walgate. We 12 know that, if we look at IPC628, please, internal 13 page 2, this is what you described as the ongoing 14 enquiries log, yes? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Can we see the entry four paragraphs up from the bottom, 17 I know Mr O'Connor has taken you to this already: 18 "T/DC Adeyemo-Phillips is arranging for MIB, the Met 19 Intelligence Bureau, to conduct checks on subjects' 20 names that have come up for any possible links and also 21 to consider the Walgate death in May [in fact we know it 22 was June] 2014 that also involved the gay community and 23 links with the website Grindr and Bender." 24 Yes? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 217</p>	<p>1 two deaths, Kovari and Whitworth, yes? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. You might have found out, might you not, that the person 4 who had made the call about Anthony's dead body was 5 interviewed under caution, and had, to quote someone 6 else today, "dramatically changed his story about 7 finding that body". You might have found that out, 8 mightn't you? 9 A. Possibly, yes. 10 Q. A pattern of deaths of young gay men within a few 11 hundred yards of each other in the middle of Barking, 12 yes? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. There was a real possibility that these deaths were much 15 more sinister than simply being unexplained and not 16 connected, wasn't there? 17 A. If you look at it now, yes. 18 Q. Well, no. I am not looking at it now. You have written 19 it down at the time, you tell us. We are not hiding 20 behind hindsight anymore, thank you. You have written 21 it down here. We are looking for any possible links to 22 Walgate, the gay community, links with Grindr and 23 Bender. 24 You, officer, are one of the very few to have 25 actually written this down at the time, you tell us.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 219</p>
<p>1 Q. No MIB checks were made, were they? 2 A. No, since I have found out, no. 3 Q. You have since found out. If you had asked at the time, 4 the answer would have been, "No, I haven't done that". 5 No MIB checks were made, were they? 6 Can I just ask you this now, reflecting on the case 7 as you surely must have done, what would those links 8 have shown at that stage, so we are looking now at 9 21 September. You say you have typed this 10 contemporaneously. You say you have tasked one of your 11 officers to do these checks, we are looking at any 12 links, links to Walgate, the gay community, Grindr and 13 Bender, what do you think now that those links would 14 have shown you? 15 A. I don't know. 16 Q. You don't know? 17 Well, why are we here, the death of another young 18 gay man back in June 2014, yes, Anthony Walgate. Yes? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Likely involvement of GHB, yes? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. A young man outside in the street who seemed to have 23 been propped up, yes? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. A very similar age, build, look, perhaps to the other</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 218</p>	<p>1 Yes? That is what it would have shown you, had you 2 looked back at Anthony Walgate at that time, do you 3 accept that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Not now, at the time? Yes? 6 A. Hmm. 7 Q. Ms Adeyemo-Phillips gave evidence to this inquest under 8 oath, she said this: 9 "I was never asked to do those checks." 10 Mr O'Connor has taken you through some of the 11 emails -- I am going to do so very briefly, I'm 12 conscious of the time, can we look at IPC213 on the 13 screen, please. 14 Right, this is an email from you to your team on 15 22 September at 11.13 in the morning, can you see? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Where it says Yinka, that is Yinka Adeyemo-Phillips? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. I am not going to read it all out but look, Yinka is 20 asked to locate paperwork and photos? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Yinka is asked to liaise with Jayne Day, the coroner's 23 officer. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Nothing there about links to Walgate, is there?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 220</p>

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Nothing in the email about saying, "By the way, Yinka is</p> <p>3 also to do some research about Walgate, links, gay</p> <p>4 community, Grindr, Bender", no?</p> <p>5 Can I look at IPC224, please. Can we see, look:</p> <p>6 "T/DC Slaymaker to obtain a statement from</p> <p>7 Whitworth's employer."</p> <p>8 You have been through that.</p> <p>9 Next paragraph, Slaymaker is to obtain a statement</p> <p>10 from Ricky:</p> <p>11 "T/DC Adeyemo-Phillips is to arrange a download of</p> <p>12 CCTV, to obtain a witness statement from ..."</p> <p>13 We now know that is the person, the drunk person in</p> <p>14 the graveyard who is discounted pretty early on, doesn't</p> <p>15 have any relevance here.</p> <p>16 Again, nothing there, is there, for either officer</p> <p>17 about links to Anthony, nothing?</p> <p>18 No?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. In between those, IPC774 on the screen, please. A reply</p> <p>21 from Yinka Adeyemo-Phillips to your email, this is on</p> <p>22 22 September at 14.18:</p> <p>23 "Update on the action given to Yinka."</p> <p>24 I don't have time to read it all out, but she says,</p> <p>25 I have rang Barbara Denham, the dog walker, I've liaised</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 221</p>	<p>1 Q. Is there an email we haven't seen?</p> <p>2 A. No. We have got the emails.</p> <p>3 Q. Is there a phone call that you made to her that is not</p> <p>4 noted down anywhere or mentioned anywhere in your</p> <p>5 evidence?</p> <p>6 A. I wouldn't have phoned her.</p> <p>7 Q. You wouldn't have phoned her?</p> <p>8 A. No, she wouldn't have had her phone. We didn't have</p> <p>9 phones in the office, mobile phones, sorry.</p> <p>10 Q. This potential link is pretty important, isn't it?</p> <p>11 Yes?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. You would agree?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. You have written out the very basic policing actions to</p> <p>16 your officers. You are now telling us, for one of this</p> <p>17 import, linking the potential unexplained deaths in your</p> <p>18 borough, you walked over to her desk and told her to do</p> <p>19 so, is that your evidence?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. You are sure about that now, are you?</p> <p>22 A. I am pretty certain -- I wouldn't say definitely walked</p> <p>23 over to her desk, I would have been in the office with</p> <p>24 her and discussed it, yes, and told her.</p> <p>25 Q. When she told us quite categorically under oath that you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 223</p>
<p>1 with Jayne Day from the coroner's, there is no paperwork</p> <p>2 for the photo, it has been requested.</p> <p>3 Very, very basic policing actions, she comes back</p> <p>4 and says she has done. Nothing in there about links to</p> <p>5 Walgate, are there?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Did you not think at any point during this time, "I have</p> <p>8 written down on my ongoing enquiries log about these</p> <p>9 links, I have tasked DC Adeyemo-Phillips to do it, she</p> <p>10 has just replied to me with these basic tasks, but</p> <p>11 nothing about the links. I had better remind her"?</p> <p>12 A. I should have done, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Hmm.</p> <p>14 Recalling that she told the inquest under oath that</p> <p>15 you never tasked her to do it, can you help us, did you</p> <p>16 task her to do it in another way, in a different way</p> <p>17 that we have not seen any evidence for?</p> <p>18 A. I probably just told her to do it, rather than actually</p> <p>19 documenting it. Because there was so much going on,</p> <p>20 I might have said just said do this, do that, when fast</p> <p>21 tracking things on that day, do this and do that for</p> <p>22 other people and that where it has got missed and it has</p> <p>23 not been written down, but I told her to do it.</p> <p>24 Q. Are you guessing, are you speculating?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 222</p>	<p>1 never did that, whose evidence are we to prefer, please?</p> <p>2 A. Well, going back over seven years, we have obviously all</p> <p>3 got things we cannot remember and could have done but</p> <p>4 one of us has either got it wrong, but I am saying what</p> <p>5 I am saying and she is saying what she is saying, so --</p> <p>6 Q. Let me make another suggestion, the fact is that</p> <p>7 absolutely no one did any work at all to try and make</p> <p>8 that important link, did they?</p> <p>9 A. They didn't do it, no, but I did tell her to do it.</p> <p>10 Q. No, very well.</p> <p>11 Your statement, please, to Operation Lilford, is</p> <p>12 IPC375, internal page 3. Can we see, it is about</p> <p>13 halfway down, it begins:</p> <p>14 "On Monday, 22 September ..."</p> <p>15 Can we just focus in on that paragraph, please.</p> <p>16 This is the statement you made in 2015 for the murder</p> <p>17 enquiry, for the murder trial, and so forth?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. On Monday, 22 September -- in fact, forgive me, it is</p> <p>20 the bottom paragraph:</p> <p>21 "I was present when A/DCI Kirk had a meeting and</p> <p>22 I made brief notes in my daybook in relation to this</p> <p>23 meeting."</p> <p>24 Do you see that?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 224</p>

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

<p>1 Q. Can you scroll down please:</p> <p>2 "A DCI Kirk requested the cell site and call data,</p> <p>3 website Grindr and Bender to be explored and another</p> <p>4 statement to be obtained from the dog walker who found</p> <p>5 Kovari and Whitworth. Search Whitworth's home address</p> <p>6 and seize computer equipment, phones, drugs, diaries</p> <p>7 et cetera, voluntary search to be conducted by Kent</p> <p>8 Police. I recall instructing T/DC Adeyemo-Phillips to</p> <p>9 obtain a further statement from the dog walker who</p> <p>10 located the bodies and DC Slaymaker to conduct the intel</p> <p>11 on the websites as mentioned."</p> <p>12 Yes?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Which of this relates to the action that you have noted</p> <p>15 down in your ongoing enquiries log about making links</p> <p>16 back to Walgate, if any of it?</p> <p>17 A. It doesn't.</p> <p>18 Q. It doesn't. So this is separate stuff, is it?</p> <p>19 A. I can't remember exactly.</p> <p>20 Q. Where it says:</p> <p>21 "I recall instructing T/DC Adeyemo-Phillips."</p> <p>22 Can I just ask, why would you have to recall for the</p> <p>23 purposes of this statement what you had instructed?</p> <p>24 A. It was just my wording.</p> <p>25 Q. Wouldn't you have looked back at your ongoing enquiries</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 225</p>	<p>1 Are you referring there to the ongoing enquiries</p> <p>2 log, or is that something different?</p> <p>3 A. I don't know, I am afraid, I can't remember what I am</p> <p>4 referring to. It is not very clear -- I haven't made it</p> <p>5 very clear.</p> <p>6 Q. You mentioned a daybook in relation to the meeting with</p> <p>7 A/DI Kirk.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Is this typed log a daybook?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Is this typed log a HAT report just setting out what had</p> <p>12 happened since the body of Daniel Whitworth was found?</p> <p>13 A. It was the notes I was keeping, the chronological note,</p> <p>14 I was updating all the time throughout the day. So it</p> <p>15 might be what I am referring to, but I have not made it</p> <p>16 very clear exactly. So I can't be certain that is what</p> <p>17 I am referring to.</p> <p>18 Q. Is your evidence that this is something you do for every</p> <p>19 case you work on, you keep your own Word document, do</p> <p>20 you?</p> <p>21 A. Sometimes.</p> <p>22 Q. Is this just a document that you typed afterwards,</p> <p>23 a long time afterwards potentially --</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. -- not contemporaneously?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 227</p>
<p>1 log?</p> <p>2 A. Probably -- possibly.</p> <p>3 Q. You can't have done, can you because it doesn't say</p> <p>4 anything there about making the links to Walgate, which</p> <p>5 you have noted down in it?</p> <p>6 A. Then obviously I didn't. I can't remember to be honest.</p> <p>7 Q. Did you actually task anyone to do it? I will ask you</p> <p>8 one more time.</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Ms Adeyemo-Phillips gave evidence to the inquest not</p> <p>11 just that she wasn't tasked but that she had never seen</p> <p>12 your ongoing enquiries document.</p> <p>13 A. That is probably right, she wouldn't have seen it.</p> <p>14 Q. Not something you emailed to her, showing her, anything</p> <p>15 like that?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. She said she had worked with you many times and hadn't</p> <p>18 seen this type of document either.</p> <p>19 A. It is just my way of doing things.</p> <p>20 Q. Is it? Can we have a look at IPC376, internal page 11,</p> <p>21 please. This is your statement to the IPCC in 2017, it</p> <p>22 is that top bullet point:</p> <p>23 "The document produced as ongoing enquiries, I think</p> <p>24 that was a HAT report document just setting out what had</p> <p>25 happened since the body of Daniel Whitworth was found."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 226</p>	<p>1 Do you understand the suggestion I am making?</p> <p>2 A. No, I did not. I started it on that day.</p> <p>3 Q. The press conference. IPC378, internal page 4, please.</p> <p>4 This again is part of your 2017 statement. Can you see</p> <p>5 the last paragraph, all right, this is headed:</p> <p>6 "Reasons for undertaking and details of the</p> <p>7 enquiries undertaken by DC Adeyemo-Phillips to explore</p> <p>8 the links between Anthony Walgate, Gabriel Kovari and</p> <p>9 Daniel Whitworth as outlined in the ongoing enquiries."</p> <p>10 The title is misleading in that we know nothing was</p> <p>11 done, but A/DI Tony Kirk, referring to an email dated</p> <p>12 29/9/14, he asked for the Walgate name to be added to</p> <p>13 list with Ahmed, Whitworth and Kovari, re a press</p> <p>14 interview. Again as they were at that time the</p> <p>15 unexplained/sudden deaths in the borough.</p> <p>16 Yes?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, that what he asked for.</p> <p>18 Q. Do you remember this?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, because I was the DS in the office, so he asked me</p> <p>20 so I had to look up the other report, because he asked</p> <p>21 me for the detail. When he originally sent the email he</p> <p>22 asked me for the three, so I sent him the three that</p> <p>23 I was dealing with, the Ahmed, Whitworth and Kovari, and</p> <p>24 he then asked me for the Walgate and I had to look up</p> <p>25 the crime report for Walgate and email that off to him,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 228</p>

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

<p>1 because the other DS wasn't in at that time.</p> <p>2 Q. Can we look, please, at IPC252 internal page 2, right at</p> <p>3 the bottom.</p> <p>4 This is an email from Mr Kirk:</p> <p>5 "Debbie, Martin, Pete ..."</p> <p>6 You being Debbie, yes?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. "... can I have a summary of the three deaths, just</p> <p>9 dates, names, addresses, DOBs, I have a press interview</p> <p>10 imminently, ta."</p> <p>11 If we come out of that zoom, just look up the page,</p> <p>12 please.</p> <p>13 You have added Mr Ahmed, Mr Whitworth and Mr Kovari,</p> <p>14 as we can see.</p> <p>15 The reply from Mr Kirk is:</p> <p>16 "Thanks, can you stick Walgate's details on there as</p> <p>17 well, please ..."</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. "... I am going to be asked about it."</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. What do did you understand that to mean, please?</p> <p>22 A. Well, he obviously knew more than I did, so I don't know</p> <p>23 why he wanted it, but I have given it to him.</p> <p>24 Q. What did you understand the email to mean, please, "I am</p> <p>25 going to be asked about it", what did you think that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 229</p>	<p>1 A. No, it didn't ring any bells ...</p> <p>2 Q. Right.</p> <p>3 We have seen members of the public in their evidence</p> <p>4 here, Mr Amodio, Sarah Sak, making a link between</p> <p>5 Mr Kovari and Whitworth and Mr Walgate's deaths.</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Now, the press were making the link, weren't they?</p> <p>8 A. I don't know.</p> <p>9 Q. Why did you think you were doing this?</p> <p>10 A. Because Mr Kirk had asked for the details.</p> <p>11 Q. That was it, it didn't occur to you to speak to him</p> <p>12 about it, to think any more about it?</p> <p>13 A. No.</p> <p>14 Q. You just put the details on?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Went about your day?</p> <p>17 29 September, Mr Schamberger, we now know from his</p> <p>18 evidence was on a rest day. Were you asked to speak to</p> <p>19 Mr Mayhew, Freddy Mayhew, the journalist?</p> <p>20 A. No. No.</p> <p>21 Q. No.</p> <p>22 A. I don't know -- I have never heard of him.</p> <p>23 Q. Were you asked to speak to anyone, any members of the</p> <p>24 press?</p> <p>25 A. No. No, I have never spoken to any members of the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 231</p>
<p>1 meant?</p> <p>2 A. At the press conference, he would be asked about all of</p> <p>3 those deaths.</p> <p>4 Q. Right.</p> <p>5 Can we look further up the page, in fact the</p> <p>6 previous page now, please.</p> <p>7 252, internal page 1, yes. Is this is your email</p> <p>8 here:</p> <p>9 "Guv, Paul the FLO officer for the Walgate and</p> <p>10 Whitworth jobs is concerned about the names going out in</p> <p>11 the press."</p> <p>12 Yes?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Then what Mr O'Connor took you to, the mention of the</p> <p>15 gay connections in these matters as well, at least</p> <p>16 Walgate, Whitworth and Kovari are concerned, yes?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. By now that link must have been made in your mind, yes?</p> <p>19 A. About the -- yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Did it ring any bells going back to 19 June, when you</p> <p>21 were having these interaction when you were the duty</p> <p>22 officer, the name, any of the details, anything at all?</p> <p>23 A. 19 June as the duty officer?</p> <p>24 Q. Yes, remember I took you through the CRIS about</p> <p>25 Mr Walgate?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 230</p>	<p>1 press. As a DS you don't speak to press.</p> <p>2 Q. Stepping back a minute and looking at the links by now</p> <p>3 with Mr Walgate, Ms Adeyemo-Phillips told the court that</p> <p>4 she typed out -- that was her words -- a Merlin report</p> <p>5 on Anthony Walgate, which included details of Mr Port,</p> <p>6 the man who had found him. Did you know about that?</p> <p>7 A. No, I didn't.</p> <p>8 Q. Ms Adeyemo-Phillips in your team charged Mr Port with</p> <p>9 perverting the course of justice in relation to</p> <p>10 statements he made about moving the dead body of</p> <p>11 Anthony Walgate, did you know about that?</p> <p>12 A. No, I didn't.</p> <p>13 Q. You have spoken to a Humberside officer and you have</p> <p>14 spoken to Anthony's -- one of his good friends, who you</p> <p>15 say confirmed what one of his best friends said about</p> <p>16 their concerns and fears in relation to Anthony back on</p> <p>17 19 June, yes?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. You briefed DC Slaymaker, you accept now, I think, that</p> <p>20 there was a similar death, the link which required some</p> <p>21 investigation. I think you now say that may have been</p> <p>22 Mr Walgate, yes?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Can we look at IPC756 very briefly. I am going as</p> <p>25 quickly as I can.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 232</p>

<p>1 Have you seen this document before?</p> <p>2 A. I have only -- I didn't see it at the time. I have only</p> <p>3 seen it since reviewing this stuff to come to court.</p> <p>4 Q. You didn't see it at the time? This is Mr Slaymaker</p> <p>5 apparently emailing a draft to himself of an appraisal,</p> <p>6 can I call it an appraisal?</p> <p>7 A. I think, since I have read it, it is actually to do with</p> <p>8 his -- because he was a new family liaison officer, he</p> <p>9 would have had to do a workbook.</p> <p>10 Q. All right, he has done it in your voice, hasn't he:</p> <p>11 "I was Paul's line manager for around six months</p> <p>12 while working on the CID main office ..."</p> <p>13 He has done it in your voice, yes?</p> <p>14 A. He has.</p> <p>15 Q. Can we see the second paragraph there, just pausing on</p> <p>16 the date, this is 22 November, we have spooled forward</p> <p>17 to November now, yes:</p> <p>18 "There were some very difficult and diverse</p> <p>19 circumstances surrounding these deaths.</p> <p>20 "Firstly, all three men were gay and they were using</p> <p>21 dating websites to meet men and also attending parties</p> <p>22 that had been organised where it appeared that there</p> <p>23 were drugs actively being used and sexual activity</p> <p>24 happening. All three deceased men appeared to have died</p> <p>25 of an overdose of GHB, one that appeared to be suicide</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 233</p>	<p>1 somewhere else had been involved and that it had had to</p> <p>2 go to a higher level and there had been discussions.</p> <p>3 Nothing about that ever reached your ears?</p> <p>4 A. No.</p> <p>5 Q. Did you ever sign this off? Is there a version</p> <p>6 somewhere where you have signed off?</p> <p>7 A. No, I have never seen it, until obviously here but</p> <p>8 I have not seen it.</p> <p>9 Q. It is clear, isn't it, that there are links being made</p> <p>10 in November, in this document, one of your officers,</p> <p>11 Whitworth, Kovari and Walgate, yes?</p> <p>12 Yes?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. You have written a Word document allegedly tasking</p> <p>15 Ms Adeyemo-Phillips with it, you were asked by Mr Kirk</p> <p>16 to link Anthony and Gabriel, and yet still there was no</p> <p>17 work done at the time, was there, at all, to link these</p> <p>18 cases together?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. Finally this, Mr Whitworth. Getting the HAT car</p> <p>21 involved, Mr Denley from the MIT team gave evidence.</p> <p>22 The transcript of 2 November, at page 14, he said</p> <p>23 this, going to a different topic, I am asking you to</p> <p>24 recall your conversations with Mr Denley from the MIT</p> <p>25 team. He said this to the inquest:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 235</p>
<p>1 using this drug. It is unclear how much their families</p> <p>2 knew of their sexual orientation and their use of drugs</p> <p>3 and their meeting other men ..."</p> <p>4 Yes?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. I am sorry to use a colloquialism, but this is</p> <p>7 DC Slaymaker rather patting himself on the back for his</p> <p>8 work in these difficult and complex cases, isn't it?</p> <p>9 A. I can't answer that.</p> <p>10 Q. As an aside here, have you heard, have you seen the</p> <p>11 evidence of Sarah Sak, Tom Walgate, Mandy and</p> <p>12 Adam Whitworth, in relation to how satisfied they were</p> <p>13 with the ongoing FLO work of Mr Slaymaker?</p> <p>14 A. There was nothing ever raised, because as an FLC I would</p> <p>15 take on that role if there was any issues with the</p> <p>16 family liaison officers.</p> <p>17 Q. What about when Sarah Sak was so dissatisfied that she</p> <p>18 had to go to her MP in Hull?</p> <p>19 A. I was never made aware of that.</p> <p>20 Q. You were never made aware of that as the family liaison</p> <p>21 coordinator?</p> <p>22 A. Not that there was no issues, no.</p> <p>23 Q. Do you know about it now?</p> <p>24 A. I do know about it now.</p> <p>25 Q. You didn't know about that at the time, but an MP from</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 234</p>	<p>1 "DS Turrell said words to the effect, 'It is nothing</p> <p>2 to worry about, I don't need much from you, just one</p> <p>3 simple piece of advice, nothing to worry about. We have</p> <p>4 got this body, we are not really sure what has happened,</p> <p>5 he was found deceased in the graveyard, I have been</p> <p>6 asked by my DI to contact you and ask a question about</p> <p>7 the clothing and forensic value and whether we should</p> <p>8 seize it'."</p> <p>9 A. That is not how I spoke to him.</p> <p>10 Q. That is his sworn evidence to this inquest. You are</p> <p>11 saying now you don't agree with that characterisation of</p> <p>12 that conversation your conversation with DS Denley?</p> <p>13 A. I wouldn't say that.</p> <p>14 Q. How do remember it then?</p> <p>15 A. I can't remember the exact words or anything that</p> <p>16 I said, and obviously what I have said what we</p> <p>17 discussed, but I wouldn't say like, dead body and that,</p> <p>18 and I have only got this to discuss and that, that is</p> <p>19 not right. I wouldn't say that.</p> <p>20 Q. Hmm.</p> <p>21 Can you help us with the reason why he might have</p> <p>22 told the inquest that? Can you help us with any reason</p> <p>23 why that might have been?</p> <p>24 A. Because the HAT -- the MIT team should have taken on the</p> <p>25 job and I think they are trying to say that I said</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 236</p>

59 (Pages 233 to 236)

<p>1 different things that I didn't say.</p> <p>2 Q. An internal spat between Metropolitan Police officers?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Page 18, this is what he said:</p> <p>5 "I think it was a shame that we, as a MIT team, the</p> <p>6 HAT car, were not contacted sooner."</p> <p>7 Pausing there, Mr O'Connor has taken you through</p> <p>8 this, about whose role that might have been?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. "But I think it was a shame that we, as a MIT team, the</p> <p>11 HAT car, were not contacted sooner, because I think it</p> <p>12 would have been hugely beneficial to have been able to</p> <p>13 attend the scene and see it with my own eyes."</p> <p>14 Having been a MIT officer, would you agree with</p> <p>15 that?</p> <p>16 A. Looking back on it now, I admit that I wished I had</p> <p>17 called the HAT car on the day.</p> <p>18 Q. Again, not looking back on it now, as a MIT officer, as</p> <p>19 an experienced detective, attending a scene and having</p> <p>20 a look for yourself is basic policing, isn't it? It</p> <p>21 doesn't require hindsight and to look back, that is just</p> <p>22 basic policing at the time, isn't it?</p> <p>23 A. No, on the day I was quite happy with what I had made</p> <p>24 the decision, it was only when other stuff came to light</p> <p>25 that it would have been of benefit to have had the HAT</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 237</p>	<p>1 "I thought nothing in particular about the blue</p> <p>2 blanket."</p> <p>3 They are your words:</p> <p>4 "I thought nothing in particular ..."</p> <p>5 A. That's right.</p> <p>6 Q. An MIT officer at the same rank as you describes it as</p> <p>7 a huge opportunity and when it was tested, we know it</p> <p>8 had DNA matching Port making it more than one in</p> <p>9 a billion times more likely that it was his DNA, and you</p> <p>10 thought nothing of it. Can you help us? Why did you</p> <p>11 think nothing of it?</p> <p>12 A. Well, it is not that I didn't think anything of it --</p> <p>13 Q. They were your words, officer.</p> <p>14 A. Nothing of it at the scene, as anything unusual as to</p> <p>15 why he was sitting on a blue bedsheet.</p> <p>16 Q. Can I suggest this, it is a phrase the jury have heard</p> <p>17 a number of times, you totally lacked professional</p> <p>18 curiosity in relation to this enquiry?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. Looking back at Gabriel Kovari, Mr O'Connor has asked</p> <p>21 you questions about Thierry Amodio, the jury has heard</p> <p>22 from him, they might remember, from a rather windswept</p> <p>23 and nice looking Majorca, Gabriel's partner of three</p> <p>24 years. Do I need to ask this again, surely somebody</p> <p>25 with really important evidence to give you, surely? The</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 239</p>
<p>1 car there --</p> <p>2 Q. Really, again that is -- I will just give you a chance,</p> <p>3 that is the evidence you want to give about that?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. The bedsheet.</p> <p>6 DS Denley gave evidence about that as well, the</p> <p>7 bedsheet and the clothing found with Daniel, 2 November,</p> <p>8 page 68. He said:</p> <p>9 "Clearly the bedsheet, the clothing, that is a huge</p> <p>10 opportunity."</p> <p>11 The context of that is he is talking about</p> <p>12 opportunities for forensic and investigative work.</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. You would agree with that, yes?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. DI Richards will tell us tomorrow, of that blue</p> <p>17 bedsheet, it had:</p> <p>18 "An almost complete profile matching the profile of</p> <p>19 Stephen Port, such that is it one in a billion times</p> <p>20 more likely [one in a billion times more likely] that</p> <p>21 Port contributed to the DNA than not."</p> <p>22 Do you know that evidence now?</p> <p>23 A. I know that now.</p> <p>24 Q. I think Mr O'Connor has taken you to the line in your</p> <p>25 statement, IPC376, internal page 6:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 238</p>	<p>1 partner, the previous partner of somebody for a number</p> <p>2 of years, yes?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. We heard from him, he spoke perfect English, he pointed</p> <p>5 you to Jon Luck, do you now know who Jon Luck was?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. IPC4, please.</p> <p>8 You were sent a link to Jon Luck's Facebook profile</p> <p>9 by DC Slaymaker. Three minutes after he received it.</p> <p>10 Sends it to you at 17.47, he received it from</p> <p>11 Yinka Adeyemo-Phillips at 17.44. It is his Facebook and</p> <p>12 a whole chain about Jon Luck; did you click on it?</p> <p>13 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you do anything at all with it?</p> <p>15 A. I can't remember.</p> <p>16 Q. We know that Mr Amodio was, to use the phrase I put to</p> <p>17 her, batted away by Ms Adeyemo-Phillips. Can we have on</p> <p>18 screen, please, IPC248. The email second from the top:</p> <p>19 "Hi Thierry, [this is from Yinka Adeyemo-Phillips to</p> <p>20 Mr Amodio] the news on the Barking and Dagenham Post is</p> <p>21 nothing about Gabriel dying ..."</p> <p>22 You have been asked about that. Then this:</p> <p>23 "Have you contacted Jon Luck? Get Jon Luck to</p> <p>24 contact me.</p> <p>25 "Regards Yinka."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 240</p>

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

<p>1 Yes?</p> <p>2 A. I didn't know about that.</p> <p>3 Q. Right, you didn't know about it. This is one of your</p> <p>4 DCs asking a member of the public to contact</p> <p>5 a potentially suspicious person, who transpired</p> <p>6 ultimately to be Mr Port, a serial killer, get him to</p> <p>7 contact me. Totally and utterly inadequate, isn't it?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. You didn't know about that at the time, that is your</p> <p>10 evidence?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. What would you have done if you had known about it at</p> <p>13 the time?</p> <p>14 A. Make sure more stuff was done on it.</p> <p>15 Q. You would have made sure more stuff was done with it.</p> <p>16 Very good.</p> <p>17 Again, we know that you considered he had no</p> <p>18 evidential value as he resided in Spain, yes?</p> <p>19 A. At that time, no.</p> <p>20 Q. Yes.</p> <p>21 Can I ask this again, family and partners, this is</p> <p>22 a slightly different question to what Mr O'Connor asked</p> <p>23 and I am very conscious of the type. Family and</p> <p>24 partners are who you speak to in the aftermath of</p> <p>25 something like this, aren't they?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 241</p>	<p>1 understand?</p> <p>2 A. I wouldn't have done either.</p> <p>3 Q. IPC228, this is an email from you to DC Baxter on</p> <p>4 24 September. Can we have it on screen, please:</p> <p>5 "On speaking to Jayne Day, the coroner's officer, on</p> <p>6 the 23rd a Thierry Amodio from abroad rang them. He was</p> <p>7 fishing for information in relation to Kovari. He</p> <p>8 stated he was the partner."</p> <p>9 Is "fishing for information" a phrase you use for</p> <p>10 everyone who contacted the coroner about a loved one?</p> <p>11 A. No, I particularly remember that, because they were the</p> <p>12 words of the coroner's officer, not my words.</p> <p>13 Q. Hmm. That is your evidence now, is it?</p> <p>14 A. Yes, and it has always been my evidence.</p> <p>15 Q. Or do you just use that phrase in relation to gay</p> <p>16 people?</p> <p>17 A. No, I don't.</p> <p>18 Q. Finally this, not reaching out back to the MIT team.</p> <p>19 The coroner at the end of Mr Denley's evidence read out</p> <p>20 a question from the jury as follows:</p> <p>21 "We have seen [said the learned coroner] that there</p> <p>22 was an email from DS Turrell saying that effectively</p> <p>23 that they were overworked and needed further resources.</p> <p>24 But you, Mr Denley, have told us that she was offered</p> <p>25 further resources and declined them. Why do you think</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 243</p>
<p>1 A. Sorry, could you repeat that?</p> <p>2 Q. Family and partners, they can provide really valuable</p> <p>3 evidence, can't they?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, sorry.</p> <p>5 Q. Let me ask you this then, did you fail to speak to</p> <p>6 Mr Amodio, deal with him in a way that was suggested by</p> <p>7 Mr Amodio, allow him to be batted off by your officers</p> <p>8 because he was a gay unmarried partner of the deceased?</p> <p>9 A. No, not at all.</p> <p>10 Q. Ms Turrell, would you have ignored or batted away the</p> <p>11 boyfriend of a young woman who was murdered just because</p> <p>12 he lived abroad?</p> <p>13 A. I wouldn't do anything for anyone -- everyone is treated</p> <p>14 the same.</p> <p>15 Q. Do you understand the point I am making?</p> <p>16 A. I do, because you are trying to say what I am and I am</p> <p>17 not.</p> <p>18 Q. I am not trying anything, I am suggesting to you --</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. -- that the failure to speak to this obviously important</p> <p>21 witness, pointing you in the direction of vital leads,</p> <p>22 was because he was a gay unmarried partner?</p> <p>23 A. Absolutely not.</p> <p>24 Q. You simply would not have batted away the boyfriend of</p> <p>25 a young woman who died in similar circumstances, do you</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 242</p>	<p>1 that was? Did you know about her complaints that she</p> <p>2 was overworked and her team were overworked?"</p> <p>3 Mr Denley's answer:</p> <p>4 "No, nothing was communicated to me about high</p> <p>5 workloads. Obviously I am aware at the time that</p> <p>6 officers working on boroughs would have had extra case</p> <p>7 load, but I did offer assistance, repeatedly, and it was</p> <p>8 declined. The reason for that I didn't specifically ask</p> <p>9 and I don't actually know but, there could be a number</p> <p>10 of reason, pride, maybe potential fear of you looking as</p> <p>11 if you don't know what you are doing. A whole number of</p> <p>12 reasons."</p> <p>13 What reason can you give the jury please, for why it</p> <p>14 was --</p> <p>15 A. Because he --</p> <p>16 Q. Let me finish the question, please.</p> <p>17 For why you repeatedly declined Mr Denley's offer of</p> <p>18 assistance?</p> <p>19 A. Because he didn't repeatedly offer assistance.</p> <p>20 Q. He has got that wrong again, has he?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, he has.</p> <p>22 Q. Was it pride?</p> <p>23 A. No, it was not.</p> <p>24 Q. Was it fear of looking like you didn't know what you</p> <p>25 were doing?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 244</p>

61 (Pages 241 to 244)

<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. There was a serious set of failures here to follow up on</p> <p>3 basic lines of enquiry, wasn't there?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, I accept there was.</p> <p>5 Q. Yes.</p> <p>6 Those who have given evidence, both above you and</p> <p>7 below you in rank, have accepted their share of the</p> <p>8 responsibility for those serious failures. Will you</p> <p>9 accept yours, Ms Turrell?</p> <p>10 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>11 Q. Is there anything else you would like to say to these</p> <p>12 families, watching now?</p> <p>13 A. I would offer my condolences and to the family and</p> <p>14 friends and loved ones of them.</p> <p>15 MR STOATE: Thank you, madam.</p> <p>16 Questions from DR VAN DELLEN</p> <p>17 DR VAN DELLEN: Madam, a single question.</p> <p>18 Ms Turrell, I ask questions on behalf of</p> <p>19 Ricky Waumsley, the partner of Daniel Whitworth.</p> <p>20 Who made the decision not to show Ricky the note</p> <p>21 that was found with Daniel?</p> <p>22 A. I don't think there was -- it was ever discussed, to be</p> <p>23 truthful.</p> <p>24 DR VAN DELLEN: No further questions, madam, thank you.</p> <p>25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 245</p>	<p>1 it completely wrong, so we never had a supervisor's</p> <p>2 course.</p> <p>3 Q. Did you know how to use a CRIS though, having been</p> <p>4 working on the borough for over a year by the time these</p> <p>5 deaths came across your desk?</p> <p>6 A. I didn't know about actions and things like that, that</p> <p>7 is why I never used it. And I had never used it as</p> <p>8 a DC. Because on a MIT team you don't use CRIS reports.</p> <p>9 So I had not used them for four years.</p> <p>10 Q. You were managing a team of DCs that were using the</p> <p>11 CRIS?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Following actions set by a DI who was using the CRIS,</p> <p>14 but you didn't know how to use the CRIS?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. You didn't ask anyone how to use it either?</p> <p>17 A. I didn't realise until I started looking at it and by</p> <p>18 then it was sort of too late to start using action</p> <p>19 pages.</p> <p>20 Q. It wasn't too late, was it, you had weeks and weeks to</p> <p>21 learn or ask someone for help. Why didn't you?</p> <p>22 A. I just didn't think of it at the time.</p> <p>23 Q. I think you say in a statement you have given that you</p> <p>24 had done the family liaison training?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 247</p>
<p>1 Questions from MR SKELTON</p> <p>2 MR SKELTON: Ms Turrell, I ask questions on behalf of the</p> <p>3 Metropolitan Police.</p> <p>4 Can I just confirm some aspects of your training,</p> <p>5 please, as at 2014. I think you had worked as</p> <p>6 a detective constable for four years, from 2007 to 2011,</p> <p>7 on the MIT team?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. You had been a DS since 2011?</p> <p>10 A. End of 2011, yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Like DI Schamberger, had you done the DS course, initial</p> <p>12 management of serious crime?</p> <p>13 A. I can't -- I have done it, I can't remember when I have</p> <p>14 done it.</p> <p>15 Q. I think your records show it was around September 2012?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. That would have taught you, wouldn't it, how to oversee</p> <p>18 a team of DCs in investigation?</p> <p>19 A. Basically, yes. From memory.</p> <p>20 Q. I think you had also done the CRIS supervisor's course</p> <p>21 in November 2011, do you remember that?</p> <p>22 A. Do I remember that, very clearly, because when I came to</p> <p>23 that CRIS supervisor's course, which I had asked to go</p> <p>24 on, when I turned up they thought it was for specials.</p> <p>25 So they gave us no input for supervisors, they had got</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 246</p>	<p>1 Q. In fact you were the family liaison coordinator for CID?</p> <p>2 A. No, I was one of borough family liaison coordinators,</p> <p>3 not specifically for the CID.</p> <p>4 Q. Across the whole of the borough, you were one of the</p> <p>5 coordinators?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Your job was to manage the family liaison officers, the</p> <p>8 FLOs?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. I think you were also an advanced exhibits officer, or</p> <p>11 had done that training, is that right?</p> <p>12 A. I had done the training, but it had lapsed.</p> <p>13 Q. What did that training comprise?</p> <p>14 A. Doing exhibits basically from post mortems and scenes,</p> <p>15 that sort of thing.</p> <p>16 Q. How to seize them? How to keep them safe?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. How to send them off for analysis?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. I mean in summary, you were by far the most experienced</p> <p>21 detective in this CID team that had been investigating</p> <p>22 these two deaths, weren't you?</p> <p>23 A. In relation to exhibits yes.</p> <p>24 Q. In relation to family liaison?</p> <p>25 A. I am the family liaison side of things, yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 248</p>

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

<p>1 Q. Murder investigation?</p> <p>2 A. Not necessarily murder investigation, because as a DC on</p> <p>3 a murder team it is very different to when you are on</p> <p>4 a borough dealing with something, because you are just</p> <p>5 tasked actions on a murder team or you are just part of</p> <p>6 the bigger picture, you only get one role in it.</p> <p>7 Q. Was there anyone else who had been investigating murders</p> <p>8 for four years in your team of detective constables?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. As far as the family liaison is concerned, the jury have</p> <p>11 heard from DC Jackie Baxter, who I think was appointed</p> <p>12 to be the FLO for Mr Kovari's family?</p> <p>13 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Who appointed her?</p> <p>15 A. I did.</p> <p>16 Q. She told the inquest that she didn't actually do</p> <p>17 anything, she never contacted the family, she never</p> <p>18 opened a log. Did you know that at the time?</p> <p>19 A. No, she was an experienced DC and family liaison</p> <p>20 officer, so -- and she had been deployed before, so</p> <p>21 I had no reason to why she had thought -- sometimes</p> <p>22 I don't see logs for ages, months, and so because they</p> <p>23 are only meant to raise stuff to a supervisor if there</p> <p>24 is anything significance. And obviously I do sign them</p> <p>25 eventually, but -- and I just thought she was keeping</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 249</p>	<p>1 role before?</p> <p>2 A. She had.</p> <p>3 Q. You thought she had done previous cases, had you?</p> <p>4 A. She had done them.</p> <p>5 Q. Under your management?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. How many?</p> <p>8 A. I can't remember how many exactly. It wouldn't have</p> <p>9 been many, because boroughs never really use FLOs that</p> <p>10 much. But my feeling was, because I had been a family</p> <p>11 liaison officer, and people had been trained, I thought</p> <p>12 even if they use them for smaller cases and we got the</p> <p>13 authority for like a GBH or something, it would be</p> <p>14 beneficial for them to keep up their sort of role and</p> <p>15 their understanding of how a family liaison officer</p> <p>16 worked. So she did, because I definitely remember her</p> <p>17 being called in one weekend to do a family liaison role,</p> <p>18 not for me but for another officer, so it wasn't my job,</p> <p>19 so I can't remember the specific role of it, but she</p> <p>20 definitely did get called in.</p> <p>21 Q. Could I just move on now to another issue which is part</p> <p>22 of the investigation, which was open source</p> <p>23 intelligence.</p> <p>24 The inquests have heard that there was a decision by</p> <p>25 DI Schamberger that open source intelligence was needed</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 251</p>
<p>1 the logs, and she should know because you have to ...</p> <p>2 once you become a family liaison officer, you also have</p> <p>3 to submit what is known as a FLODEX(?), it is basically</p> <p>4 just to say that the main family liaison advisory team</p> <p>5 keep a log of how many jobs that each DC gets across the</p> <p>6 Met. So that, like, one officer doesn't get loads and</p> <p>7 loads. If that is understanding? So they keep the log,</p> <p>8 we don't keep it on like a borough system, they keep it</p> <p>9 as a Met-wide system.</p> <p>10 Q. Bearing in mind these were potential homicides, you had</p> <p>11 been told that by the MIT team and that had been agreed</p> <p>12 by your own detectives right up to high level of</p> <p>13 DCI Kirk. It is incompetent, isn't it, for the</p> <p>14 coordinator of the FLOs not to check that some contact</p> <p>15 with one of the families of one of the victims has not</p> <p>16 been had?</p> <p>17 A. I just thought she had been doing it.</p> <p>18 Q. You don't have an excuse for checking that?</p> <p>19 A. No, because she never said, she never raised anything.</p> <p>20 In my emails I have put that if there were any issues,</p> <p>21 to let me know or if she didn't feel competent, there is</p> <p>22 always refresher family liaison courses, and she could</p> <p>23 have had one of them. But she never mentioned anything,</p> <p>24 so I just thought she was getting on with it.</p> <p>25 Q. Did you know that Ms Baxter had a never done a full FLO</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 250</p>	<p>1 about the two men.</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Do you remember that?</p> <p>4 He added an action to the CRIS which set that in</p> <p>5 motion and DC Slaymaker, the action was added on</p> <p>6 25 September, three weeks later, DC Slaymaker contacted</p> <p>7 the MIB, the intelligence bureau, which is the central</p> <p>8 Met bureau, they were too busy but they said to contact</p> <p>9 the local bureau.</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. He did so and eventually one member of the local team,</p> <p>12 a Mr Baker, agreed to take on the task of checking open</p> <p>13 sources but said he couldn't do it urgently. Do you</p> <p>14 remember that or do I need to show you the documents?</p> <p>15 A. No, I do recall that.</p> <p>16 Q. In fact, Mr Slaymaker then chased Mr Baker, a couple of</p> <p>17 weeks later because the results had not come back. That</p> <p>18 was on 1 November, and he chased him by email, but did</p> <p>19 not receive a response.</p> <p>20 What I am interested in asking you about is your</p> <p>21 involvement in this process.</p> <p>22 On 3 November, we will see this on the CRIS at</p> <p>23 IPC33, page 50, under tab 46, you can see a supervision</p> <p>24 update by DI Schamberger. Including in that list of</p> <p>25 things you can see in the penultimate one is:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 252</p>

63 (Pages 249 to 252)

<p>1 "Open source around social networking site and 2 subjects." 3 This is on 3 October, do you see that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Some time after that, on 5 November, at page 64, going 6 into page 65, you went on the CRIS, so you did know how 7 to get on the system? 8 A. I knew how CRIS basically worked, but I didn't know, 9 I said, about the action pages. 10 Q. You when you say basically worked, it is incredibly 11 basic, you add in actions and then after that it prompts 12 you to fill in boxes about when it has been completed 13 and then it gets signed off at different levels. It 14 gets signed off for ready for completion and then 15 completion by the supervising officer. 16 That is the basic system, did you know that? 17 A. No. 18 Q. You went on it, notwithstanding your ignorance about how 19 it worked, and wrote down I think we can see here, 20 "Supervision, all actions have now been completed. The 21 FLO have updated the family. Await toxicology results". 22 Could you explain how on earth you came to write 23 that entry? 24 A. I thought all the actions had been completed. 25 Q. How?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 253</p>	<p>1 further investigations or indeed conclusions that 2 somebody needs to be arrested? 3 A. That's correct, yes. 4 Q. It is not just an action gets done and then it is ticked 5 off and you move on. You actually think about it. 6 Did you ever actually think about the evidence that 7 was obtained by your detective constables? 8 A. I should have gone through it with Mr Schamberger, to 9 review it properly at the end. 10 Q. As far as the jury is concerned, Ms Turrell, is there 11 any reason in light of that answer why they shouldn't 12 conclude that you were incompetent as a detective 13 sergeant managing these detective constables? 14 A. I can't answer for why I never properly reviewed it at 15 the end. I can only say it was an oversight of mine and 16 the current workload. 17 Q. There can be no good reason, can there -- 18 A. No. 19 Q. -- if you are managing a team of detective constables on 20 possible homicides not to check the actions had been 21 done and at least to think about the results? 22 A. Because I knew it was going to be reviewed by 23 Mr Schamberger, so ... 24 Q. But, as far as you are concerned, you are the direct 25 manager, he is managing you, you are managing them,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 255</p>
<p>1 A. Because they had been signed off, because whoever puts 2 the actions on, don't they have to be signed off by that 3 person? And they have been signed off. 4 Q. So you didn't check yourself? 5 A. No, that is my error. 6 Q. What about the FLO, because you were coordinating the 7 FLO, "... have updated the family". Which family, is 8 that Daniel Whitworth, presumably it must be? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. You didn't recall anything in respect of Mr Kovari? 11 A. No, I just thought it would have been both the families. 12 Obviously both the officers would have been notified 13 about stuff, so just a general update that they had been 14 kept up to date. 15 Q. Bearing in mind I think there are only eight actions and 16 that was not in fact all of the actions, there were 17 other ones that were not added to the CRIS, as far as 18 you were concerned, they had all been completed, but you 19 didn't feel it necessary to check? 20 A. No, it was my error, I should have checked that they had 21 and gone through it more methodically. 22 Q. I think it is fair to say it is not just a box-ticking 23 check exercise, is it? The reason actions are done is 24 because they reveal or gather information which actually 25 needs to be looked at and thought about to set in motion</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 254</p>	<p>1 there is no excuse, is there, for not checking those 2 actions and having a think about the results? 3 A. No, I accept that. I made errors. 4 Q. Lastly, can I just ask you about your liaison with the 5 MIT. I understand that your recollection is somewhat 6 different from DS Denley -- 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. -- but may I put this to you. It was clear from the 9 initial contact that you had initiated that they 10 considered these were deaths that needed to be 11 investigated at borough level. That was the headline 12 conclusion from the HAT return? 13 A. From the HAT return, and I was hoping that obviously 14 they had requested the special post mortem, then 15 I thought things may change throughout those coming days 16 from when -- I can't remember when the special post 17 mortem was on the Tuesday. 18 Q. I think Superintendent Wilson, who convened the gold 19 group the day after the HAT return, said that the 20 detectives present at that gold group didn't think the 21 two deaths were suspicious of murder, and those two 22 detectives were DCI Kirk and DI Schamberger. 23 So their mindset, as at the gold group, was that 24 they weren't dealing with murders. Do you remember 25 that? Did they ever speak to you about that?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 256</p>

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

<p>1 A. No, they never spoke to me about it.</p> <p>2 Q. As far as you were concerned, the way DI Denley had</p> <p>3 signed off from his contact, indeed on the 21st and</p> <p>4 23rd, was that you could go back to them for assistance</p> <p>5 and they did in fact provide assistance, they presented</p> <p>6 to the special post mortem on the 23rd.</p> <p>7 Do you remember that?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. But you never went back to them and said, (a) we need</p> <p>10 help or (b) you need to take this over?</p> <p>11 A. No, because it wouldn't have been at my level, it would</p> <p>12 have been higher up and because they had had the gold</p> <p>13 group meetings and the special post mortem, I thought</p> <p>14 they would have dealt with it and passed it on. So it</p> <p>15 wasn't my authority to say to them: you take it.</p> <p>16 Q. It wasn't your authority to tell them to take it. It</p> <p>17 was your authority or your capacity to take a view about</p> <p>18 whether they needed to and to initiate that discussion</p> <p>19 with your superiors?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I accept that and I know Mr Schamberger did go back</p> <p>21 to them, so I know he was liaising with them after the</p> <p>22 special post mortem.</p> <p>23 Q. He did liaise with them, but as far as we have seen, you</p> <p>24 never recommended that you needed to go back to MIT for</p> <p>25 specific assistance after 25 September?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 257</p>	<p>1 Q. Whose decision ultimately was it to conclude that there</p> <p>2 was not sufficient basis of suspicion of murder to</p> <p>3 categorise it as such?</p> <p>4 A. The duty inspector.</p> <p>5 Q. In terms of Detective Sergeant Denley, can you help, we</p> <p>6 have seen the HAT returns. Is there any reference in</p> <p>7 the HAT returns to the possibility of the Whitworth</p> <p>8 discovery being a staged scene?</p> <p>9 A. No, he never mentioned it and there was nothing.</p> <p>10 Q. Notwithstanding his assessment in evidence orally that</p> <p>11 the bedsheet offered a huge opportunity forensically, is</p> <p>12 there anything in the HAT return he prepared to give</p> <p>13 advice to take that huge opportunity?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Did he say anything to you about the bedsheet?</p> <p>16 A. No.</p> <p>17 Q. Have you seen any email correspondence from him about</p> <p>18 the bedsheet?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. Can you help, do you accept that very clear lines of</p> <p>21 investigation were not pursued or not taken in the first</p> <p>22 place in relation to Mr Kovari and Mr Whitworth?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>24 Q. Ultimately, you were the detective sergeant --</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 259</p>
<p>1 A. No, I didn't.</p> <p>2 Q. Nor did DI Schamberger, in fact.</p> <p>3 Are you aware that nobody was contacted in the MIT</p> <p>4 after the 25th?</p> <p>5 A. I am not sure of the exact date, but if that is what you</p> <p>6 are telling me, that's correct.</p> <p>7 Q. The last communication that the jury have seen from</p> <p>8 DS Denley is telling Mr Schamberger he needed to do</p> <p>9 a careful investigation, because they may potentially be</p> <p>10 dealing with unlawful killing.</p> <p>11 A. I wasn't aware of that at the time.</p> <p>12 Q. It is fair to say, isn't it, that it was open to you to</p> <p>13 contact the MIT if you saw fit. You had in fact done</p> <p>14 so, even as a DS, on the 21st?</p> <p>15 A. I could have done, yes.</p> <p>16 MR SKELTON: Thank you.</p> <p>17 Questions from MR DAVIES</p> <p>18 MR DAVIES: As you know, Ms Turrell, I am representing you.</p> <p>19 I am going to take questions effectively so that you</p> <p>20 are not kept there much longer, all right?</p> <p>21 A. Okay.</p> <p>22 Q. Can you help with this, at the scene of Mr Whitworth's</p> <p>23 discovery, you obviously had an input but ultimately</p> <p>24 whose decision was it not to call out the HAT car?</p> <p>25 A. It was obviously Mr Joyce's, the duty inspector.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 258</p>	<p>1 Q. -- sitting between the detective constables, or trainee</p> <p>2 detective constables, and A/DI Schamberger?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Do you accept that carries an ongoing duty of review in</p> <p>5 terms of the detail of the evidence?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>7 Q. Doing your best, can you explain why it is that those</p> <p>8 lines of investigation were not pursued, given your</p> <p>9 responsibilities?</p> <p>10 A. I think it was just a lack of communication between all</p> <p>11 of us.</p> <p>12 Q. Just taking this briefly, you said you were part time.</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. We have already covered an email where you had asked</p> <p>15 Mr McCarthy for additional overtime for the team.</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. What was the effect of you moving to CSU on 13 October</p> <p>18 on your ability to run investigations?</p> <p>19 A. It was very difficult. Obviously I had a new team to</p> <p>20 run. That was very demanding because, obviously, with</p> <p>21 the community safety unit you get prisoners in all time,</p> <p>22 every single day you went in there would be prisoners.</p> <p>23 Q. Did your CID workload reduce?</p> <p>24 A. It gradually reduced, but over a period -- you know, to</p> <p>25 start off with I still had quite a few investigations to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 260</p>

65 (Pages 257 to 260)

<p>1 sign off or deal with and it was very difficult to</p> <p>2 manage those and with the amount on my workbook, it was</p> <p>3 difficult for them all to ...</p> <p>4 Q. You do accept, you stayed as the detective sergeant for</p> <p>5 this ultimately?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. IPC376, please, page 2. Can we just look at paragraphs</p> <p>8 4 and 5.</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. If those could be highlighted, it will be faster for the</p> <p>11 jury to absorb them by reading them.</p> <p>12 You are indicating DC -- in fact at that time</p> <p>13 T/DC -- Adeyemo-Phillips worked compressed hours, you</p> <p>14 didn't work on Fridays. You have set out dates in July,</p> <p>15 August and September there. Multiple dates when you</p> <p>16 were not working.</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Correct?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. I think in fact you conducted a similar exercise in</p> <p>21 relation to the subsequent months, which again indicated</p> <p>22 the limitation of your attendance in the office.</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. I think 10 days in October you worked, 15 in November,</p> <p>25 15 in December?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 261</p>	<p>1 significant that should be raised", from their gathering</p> <p>2 of evidence in these investigations; is that how it</p> <p>3 worked?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. How did you define your duty to A/DI Schamberger</p> <p>6 then, most particularly given he wasn't even on site?</p> <p>7 A. It was -- it was very difficult. I think I hardly saw</p> <p>8 him. It would just be telephone calls or emails.</p> <p>9 Q. How do you define your duty to keep him updated in terms</p> <p>10 of the investigation? You have defined it from your</p> <p>11 junior officers, what about your duties to him?</p> <p>12 A. I should have kept him more updated of what was going</p> <p>13 on.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you think you discharged that duty, Ms Turrell?</p> <p>15 A. I think we should have asked for more resources to</p> <p>16 assist us more.</p> <p>17 Q. Do you think you kept him updated with relevant and</p> <p>18 significant developments in these investigations?</p> <p>19 A. To a degree, but not fully.</p> <p>20 MR DAVIES: That is all I ask you, thank you.</p> <p>21 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, I don't have any further questions for</p> <p>22 Ms Turrell.</p> <p>23 Questions from THE JURY</p> <p>24 THE CORONER: Again, there are some questions from the jury,</p> <p>25 many of which have been covered. The ones that I deemed</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 263</p>
<p>1 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>2 Q. If we can look to paragraph 20 of the same document,</p> <p>3 please.</p> <p>4 I should have given a page number, I'm sorry. Could</p> <p>5 you just highlight paragraph 20. In summary, in this</p> <p>6 paragraph, you are indicating the fact that you felt you</p> <p>7 were in an environment where there was too much work for</p> <p>8 the resources available?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And that you had raised this with senior management?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>12 Q. With any effect?</p> <p>13 A. No, I had actually written a report in March 2014 as</p> <p>14 well.</p> <p>15 Q. Thank you, that can come down.</p> <p>16 What was your understanding of A/DI Schamberger's</p> <p>17 experience as a CID officer at the time?</p> <p>18 A. I didn't know him, to be honest.</p> <p>19 Q. Were you aware he had no CID background?</p> <p>20 A. No, I didn't.</p> <p>21 Q. You indicated that you had an expectation from your</p> <p>22 detective constables -- three of whom of the four were</p> <p>23 trainee detective constables at the time?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. That they would, "report up to you if there was anything</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 262</p>	<p>1 to continue to be relevant are these.</p> <p>2 In relation to contact with MIT, obviously you had</p> <p>3 been a MIT trained officer so you knew what the murder</p> <p>4 investigation teams could offer to borough officers, did</p> <p>5 you?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, to a degree.</p> <p>7 THE CORONER: Mr Denley told us that he made it clear to you</p> <p>8 that you could go back to him for further assistance.</p> <p>9 You said he didn't do that repeatedly, but did he do it</p> <p>10 at all?</p> <p>11 A. No, he didn't.</p> <p>12 THE CORONER: I think it says it, doesn't it, in the HAT</p> <p>13 return?</p> <p>14 A. I think yes you can, but he didn't physically say,</p> <p>15 "I can offer you this, we can do this and that", he</p> <p>16 didn't actually -- he wasn't very forthcoming in -- he</p> <p>17 just gave us a basics of what is on the HAT return that</p> <p>18 he gave us. There wasn't -- he didn't really was</p> <p>19 forthcoming in saying that he would offer a lot of</p> <p>20 assistance.</p> <p>21 THE CORONER: Bearing in mind what you have said about your</p> <p>22 workload and lack of resources and so on, did it not</p> <p>23 occur to you to go back to the MIT?</p> <p>24 A. From my experience of working on a MIT team, then, no,</p> <p>25 they wouldn't have followed something like this up.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 264</p>

66 (Pages 261 to 264)

<p>1 I know what they are like as well and they are under 2 resourced and they wouldn't have given us the support we 3 needed. 4 THE CORONER: Then the other questions really relate to 5 liaison between you and Mr Schamberger and whether the 6 two of you sat down together and actually reviewed the 7 evidence so far or the investigation so far? Did you do 8 that? 9 A. No. 10 THE CORONER: Should you have done that? 11 A. That is what I said we should have done that, it was 12 lack of communication. 13 THE CORONER: Thank you very much. 14 Is there another note? (Pause) 15 The question relates to you being a part-time 16 detective sergeant. Did you ever say to somebody that 17 in those circumstances you shouldn't be in charge of 18 this case? 19 A. Well, they had already done reports, not just 20 specifically about this case but about general CID 21 I had, being a part-time officer. 22 THE CORONER: Did you think you were appropriate for this 23 role or not? 24 A. No. 25 THE CORONER: Because?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 265</p>	
<p>1 A. Being part time. 2 THE CORONER: All right, thank you very much. Thank you. 3 All right, members of the jury, sorry we have 4 overrun this afternoon, but it was clearly important to 5 finish Ms Turrell's evidence. 6 10.00 tomorrow. Thank you. 7 (4.37 pm) 8 (The inquests adjourned until 10.00 am the following day) 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 266</p>	

67 (Pages 265 to 266)

A				
A/DCI 224:21	accommodation	105:14 233:23	admitted 23:6	agreed 110:10,13
A/DI 91:25 102:8	96:4	actual 79:6 110:25	adopt 133:22	164:17 166:16
103:22 227:7	account 15:18	125:21 176:23	adult 99:24	206:5 215:23
228:11 260:2	47:23 52:20 53:4	205:17	adults 94:1	250:11 252:12
262:16 263:5	54:17 146:9	Adam 62:11	advanced 248:10	agrees 205:2
ability 260:18	accounts 185:2	109:23 110:13	advertised 214:17	Ahmed 37:4,20
able 53:3 68:23	accurate 32:17	111:5,5 183:16	advice 7:24 8:5,14	39:17 40:4,17
69:3 72:4 112:21	48:7	234:12	9:19 28:9,11	228:13,23 229:13
124:22 131:25	acknowledged	add 110:24 253:11	30:7,13,16,19	albeit 46:6 96:21
155:25 160:16	79:1	added 94:1,8	46:9 67:14,18	alerted 44:18
190:18 209:11	ACPO 70:12,16	102:8 181:19	70:16 104:12,19	all-embracing
214:9 237:12	132:11	182:8,13 228:12	107:5 136:4	108:13
abroad 242:12	act 90:13 91:8,11	229:13 252:4,5	137:4 152:15	allegedly 235:14
243:6	91:24	254:17	153:9 155:7,13	allocate 151:3
absence 128:21	acting 2:13,24 3:5	addition 102:10	155:16 156:1,7	allocated 148:20
absolute 10:9 44:7	3:7 4:12 9:23	additional 260:15	157:6 236:3	allocates 148:24
absolutely 86:18	75:15 103:4	address 24:15	259:13	allocating 162:4
103:24 111:18,18	104:9 148:16	197:3 199:5	advised 75:25	190:10
114:1 158:16	168:17	225:5	110:2 164:14	allow 242:7
160:4 162:20	action 14:19 49:24	addressed 54:9	184:9	allowed 133:3
188:14 194:19	74:21 76:19 77:1	195:12,16	advisory 250:4	allows 74:20
202:23 206:2	77:23 78:7,18,25	addresses 40:9	affirmed 1:7	Amanda 109:23
216:16 217:3	79:6,13,15,16,18	229:9	118:12	111:2,3
224:7 242:23	80:15 81:13 82:6	addressing 93:4	afraid 124:19,22	ambulance 15:20
absorb 261:11	193:3,21 194:12	Adeyemo-Phillips	137:17 142:7,9	16:1 127:2
absorbed 113:7	194:17 195:16	51:15 56:22 62:7	150:22 188:13	amended 181:23
abuse 93:12 94:13	221:23 225:14	63:8 127:22	215:6,17 216:13	Amodio 20:14
100:22	247:18 252:4,5	131:15 158:21	227:3	22:1,5,9,18,22
abusive 101:11	253:9 255:4	160:14 161:11	aftermath 241:24	23:5,9,21 56:12
accent 86:21	actions 73:6,8,10	164:8 165:14,23	afternoon 38:9,25	56:15,23 57:4,10
accept 58:13 59:3	74:13 76:10,22	166:4,12 169:1,6	47:24 53:11,17	62:6 183:14
59:5,13 60:22	77:10,15,22	170:19 171:9	56:21 63:1 150:2	185:10,24 186:17
69:6,10 79:23	78:16,21 80:20	172:21 175:24	266:4	186:20 231:4
141:23 216:22	80:23 82:24	180:13 182:14,19	age 218:25	239:21 240:16,20
220:3 232:19	83:10 87:25	183:1,13 186:17	agencies 92:19	242:6,7 243:6
245:4,9 256:3	93:22 116:5	217:18 220:7,17	ages 249:22	amount 116:12
257:20 259:20	133:19 136:7	221:11,21 222:9	ago 44:3 124:7,17	261:2
260:4 261:4	146:9 148:20,21	225:8,21 226:10	124:19 125:12	amplifying 129:16
acceptable 161:9	150:13 151:10,21	228:7 232:3,8	129:24 146:10	analysis 248:18
161:10	176:24 195:11,11	235:15 240:11,17	152:9 192:25	and/or 112:13
acceptances 70:8	217:10 222:3	240:19 261:13	195:12 203:15	anecdotal 113:19
accepted 51:15,18	223:15 247:6,13	adjourned 266:8	215:5 216:14	anniversary 64:7
75:1 80:23 114:3	249:5 253:11,20	adjournment	agree 9:15 10:1	answer 13:12
245:7	253:24 254:2,15	72:14 128:23	37:1 63:11	14:15 17:22 19:1
accepting 89:20	254:16,23 255:20	207:13	134:20 158:19	33:6 51:17 80:4
access 14:23 51:24	256:2	administrative	169:22 187:2	83:13,13 88:4,5
accident 134:5	active 98:8 101:25	78:22 194:8	205:1 223:13	105:11,16 179:23
accidental 84:25	actively 233:23	admit 216:19	236:11 237:14	197:6,9 202:17
	activity 18:13 74:2	237:16	238:14	218:4 234:9

244:3 255:11,14 answering 214:13 214:14 answers 33:5 113:24 115:12 Anthony 13:11,15 26:15 35:24 40:15 61:16 62:4 66:21 125:10 126:23 149:14 174:6 207:20,24 208:12 209:16 211:14 213:14 214:16 216:18 217:4,11 218:18 220:2 221:17 228:8 232:5,11 232:16 235:16 Anthony's 26:20 40:21 42:14 125:14,20,25 149:19 150:2 173:17,24 174:13 174:15 179:17 180:6 181:4 208:8,22,24 209:5 210:5 212:4 213:19 219:4 232:14 anticipated 90:3 anybody 8:9 anymore 219:20 anyone's 101:10 anyway 148:7 152:16 apart 15:10 163:23 176:24 211:19 apologies 198:22 apparently 28:20 145:23 183:25 233:5 appeal 64:4 appear 52:20 71:5 83:2 108:25 167:4 170:7 210:13 appeared 57:2 84:22 144:20	146:14 179:9 186:21 233:22,24 233:25 appears 21:21,24 23:24 40:4 60:7 83:10 94:7 147:19 172:3 174:1 187:25 application 31:14 81:22 applied 74:12 89:12 apply 70:17 appoint 190:18 appointed 33:15 76:2 96:20 149:7 159:5 164:23 165:1 176:16 191:13 249:11,14 appraisal 233:5,6 appreciate 40:18 66:17 114:21 115:1 118:25 146:2 appreciated 10:2 42:18 115:4 appreciating 7:4 approach 133:23 134:8 approached 22:23 110:23 appropriate 162:24 187:8 265:22 appropriately 87:20 approved 194:5 April 48:22 90:11 121:21 122:3 area 44:25 64:7 86:11 areas 95:7 96:17 areas/boroughs 40:9 arising 106:10 arms 31:9 106:17 arrange 110:16 221:11 arranged 50:14	arrangements 99:17 arranging 172:21 173:4 217:18 arrest 23:14 94:18 arrested 26:23 255:2 arresting 127:1 arrive 138:7 arrived 50:2 121:11 131:5,17 139:16 199:10 arriving 131:1 article 41:17 62:8 ascertain 157:18 ascertained 5:3 aside 70:3 146:12 166:2 179:16 234:10 asked 5:16 10:2,23 11:7 14:6,14 22:3 27:4 37:23 40:5,20,22,24 41:12,23,24 42:11,15,19,21 45:3 49:15 50:3 60:9 80:9 82:9 90:13 91:8,10 95:4 108:20 109:5 111:19 115:20 117:21 125:22 146:12 148:17 167:1 170:5 197:2 198:13 205:3 206:25 214:3,7 218:3 220:9,20 220:22 228:12,17 228:19,20,22,24 229:19,25 230:2 231:10,18,23 235:15 236:6 239:20 240:22 241:22 246:23 260:14 263:15 asking 16:13 41:5 41:7 43:9 88:21 89:8 114:23 119:2,6 122:6	124:6,24 125:8 129:4 149:13 150:7 154:2 162:8 212:5 213:7 235:23 241:4 252:20 aspect 86:13 aspects 246:4 assaults 121:16 assess 28:11 135:18 136:13 141:17,24 142:18 145:18 assessed 142:22 assessment 100:12 100:21 112:11,17 259:10 assist 10:2 54:12 66:3 110:21 263:16 assistance 244:7 244:18,19 257:4 257:5,25 264:8 264:20 assisting 66:7 assists 115:18 assume 11:22 188:10 assumed 71:2 attached 53:21 144:2 attachment 53:19 attempt 19:24 20:3 35:7 attempts 60:20 attend 28:11 130:23 237:13 attendance 199:20 261:22 attended 104:12 104:18,22 106:7 116:10 131:13,15 156:4 attending 105:1 133:19 136:8 143:22 233:21 237:19 attention 7:10,15 11:2 12:11	attribute 83:8 attributed 69:20 au 55:16 August 16:15 17:15 18:7,8,9 20:9 24:14 78:4 78:5,7 127:10,10 184:12 190:2 192:22,24 195:22 198:15 201:14,21 261:15 auntie 210:25 211:5 authorised 107:14 authorising 136:9 authority 6:2 50:13 92:18 96:3 97:9 99:21 103:16 111:5 190:21,25 251:13 257:15,16,17 automatically 80:5 available 7:1 67:14 102:24 108:16 116:19,25 155:18 159:4 189:20 262:8 Await 253:21 aware 13:2 20:2 20:20,21,24 27:12,15 30:5 34:18,18 36:7 37:3,6 47:7 51:22 63:17 64:3 74:10 84:14,15 86:23 87:2,4,7 103:6 111:23 112:4 113:17 117:11 127:17,24 132:17 138:21 150:23 151:1,1 181:6 194:16,23 214:16 234:19,20 244:5 258:3,11 262:19 awful 140:12 158:13 <hr/> B <hr/> b 257:10
--	---	---	--	---

back 6:20 9:13 35:2 39:9,24 42:4 49:10 66:10 78:4,6,11 79:4,5 81:17 83:20 84:11 87:22 90:7 91:22 96:22 100:15 102:25 103:21 107:20 109:6,9 128:19 134:22 137:3 153:21 157:3 159:21 162:2 163:11 166:4 171:18 178:21 179:15 182:22 184:6,12 185:17 187:14,15 192:21 194:2 196:17 200:5 201:2 203:7 211:2 212:18 215:18 218:18 220:2 222:3 224:2 225:16,25 230:20 232:2,16 234:7 237:16,18,21 239:20 243:18 252:17 257:4,9 257:20,24 264:8 264:23	122:16,22 123:22 123:23 161:6 201:24 219:11 240:20 barrister 69:24 217:9 barristers 119:2 base 123:2 based 6:1,11,12 16:5 87:10 97:9 103:17 114:6 155:18 basic 51:21 102:5 167:17 189:13 214:7 222:3,10 223:15 237:20,22 245:3 253:11,16 basically 131:9,10 132:17 246:19 248:14 250:3 253:8,10 basics 174:21,23 264:17 basis 96:21 157:24 259:2 batted 60:17 62:3 62:16 240:17 242:7,10,24 Baxter 38:3 53:12 60:5,21 62:15 63:14 82:9 191:10 195:12 243:3 249:11 250:25 bear 17:10 124:23 200:19 bearing 179:14 250:10 254:15 264:21 bearings 109:19 118:21 beat 121:13 becoming 93:10 98:7 bed 25:13 bedsheet 45:22 47:8 49:13 81:16 85:7 106:25 107:2 140:21	141:5,12,24 142:1,2 238:5,7,9 238:17 239:15 259:11,15,18 bedsheets 108:3 began 23:2 beginning 51:2 78:7 168:15 177:20 178:23 begins 13:19 224:13 behalf 2:8 71:11 72:19 88:21,22 89:3 245:18 246:2 behaviour 101:15 believe 12:2,12 13:2 14:13 26:16 68:16 70:10 76:24 90:6 92:8 95:14 108:12 113:25 146:1,8 147:7 154:25 161:4 200:24 213:8 believed 56:6 216:4 believes 11:25 bells 230:20 231:1 Bender 173:8 174:9,18 217:23 218:13 219:23 221:4 225:3 beneath 148:25 beneficial 28:6 237:12 251:14 benefit 28:8,17 29:7 237:25 benefits 28:4 bereaved 207:17 217:2 Berry 117:24 204:24 206:6,20 best 10:9 39:7 40:10 43:8 55:23 69:6 116:11 204:18 217:6 232:15 260:7 betraying 101:10	better 6:18 160:3 175:19 222:11 Bewers 215:19 beyond 194:7 bias 87:23 Bible 74:17 bigger 249:6 billion 238:19,20 239:9 binary 199:12 biro 117:25 birth 21:10 bit 7:2 14:9 36:4 52:25 53:10,16 57:20 58:1 68:3 90:2 120:11 126:16 129:16 159:17 168:25 174:13 207:22 208:18 210:16 bits 59:24 124:20 174:25 black 117:25 blanket 48:2 239:2 blankets 141:3 block 58:23 167:17 blue 48:2 140:7,15 141:12 144:1 238:16 239:1,15 blunt 101:19 bluntly 102:6 134:12 board 78:9 80:7 142:23 156:17 BOCU 136:4,16 136:23 137:5 bodies 117:3 140:21 225:10 body 2:17 15:19 15:25 21:6,9 23:3 27:18 32:3 53:2 64:14,17,18 64:22 65:2,9,21 65:24 66:6 110:3 110:15,17,19 117:3 125:20 126:2,25 127:9 129:6 130:4	137:21 138:17 140:7,20 147:22 149:20 150:2,8 153:19 155:24 156:3 173:2 182:10 193:1 219:4,7 226:25 227:12 232:10 236:4,17 boiling 54:14 borderline 10:16 borough 2:21 7:20 8:15,20 12:21 14:10 15:24 34:7 35:19 51:16,20 51:25 53:4 54:16 82:6 91:2 92:9 95:18,20,21 102:16,20 104:21 113:17 119:14 121:22 135:11 168:9 172:24 175:10 178:11,17 223:18 228:15 247:4 248:2,4 249:4 250:8 256:11 264:4 borough's 98:23 boroughs 96:19 108:17 244:6 251:9 boss 41:5 55:11 152:7 bother 200:6 bottle 46:5 bottom 17:19 18:6 18:11 21:2 33:22 36:13 52:18 53:20 60:10 77:4 93:12 157:4,13 168:14 172:20 186:19 192:16 217:16 224:20 229:3 Bowles 153:9 box 78:20 80:18 box-ticking 254:22 boxes 77:18,21 78:23 131:1,6
--	--	---	---	--

253:12	brother 21:9 57:1 183:16	126:9 131:1,7,9 131:12 136:9,16 136:20,23 142:20 145:20,21 149:16 149:23 162:9 183:14 186:14 189:11,17 193:15 193:23 197:22,23 219:4 223:3 225:2 233:6 258:24	carried 12:8 71:19 122:1 123:9,11 204:14 carries 260:4 carry 12:25 14:6 34:9 48:5 115:12 178:12,18 210:19 case 7:9,15 10:10 10:11,22 12:9 14:5,7 15:9,11,13 15:23 17:17 18:5 19:17 30:10 32:22 34:13 37:14,17 43:11 43:22 47:12,14 55:25 58:6 67:15 68:9 72:1 81:8 83:16,21 87:18 90:3 96:18 97:9 100:17 106:2 126:11,18 127:3 129:4 145:13,22 146:17 147:20 148:12,19,22 149:3,14 151:19 152:10 155:4 156:12 172:5 174:13,21 177:2 177:4,14,14,15 178:18 179:17 203:18 204:15,25 204:25 205:3 206:6,11 207:4 207:18 208:16 209:9 218:6 227:19 244:6 265:18,20	235:18 251:3,12 cast 58:19 198:1 casting 184:6 catch 30:10 44:14 categorically 223:25 categorise 259:3 category 95:16 101:1,20,21 Catherine 210:25 211:5 cause 132:22 causes 134:5 caution 219:5 cautions 97:18 cautious 134:15,17 CCTV 65:9 77:1 110:18 221:12 cell 189:10,16 192:17 225:2 central 32:16,22 51:23 81:2 116:6 164:18 252:7 ceremony 56:24 certain 14:9 93:19 179:10 201:19 202:14 223:22 227:16 certainly 11:25 15:1 19:24 69:19 89:24 147:5 193:7 206:10 certificate 135:16 cetera 140:18 225:7 chain 38:8,15,24 39:4,8 42:12 240:12 chains 40:11 chair 99:15 129:15 chairing 99:19 100:6,12 101:3 challenged 69:24 chance 118:20 238:2 change 256:15 changed 120:11 123:3 219:6 changing 15:18
boyfriend 22:3 242:11,24 brackets 40:6 170:15 brain 82:14 branches 122:21 bread 132:21 break 72:10 128:17,18 159:17 207:9 brief 40:8 54:9 116:16 207:18 208:19,23 209:4 209:23,24 211:13 211:15 224:22 briefed 34:3 109:25 178:1,5 232:19 briefest 116:17 211:14 briefing 16:8 33:22 34:22 148:7 177:21 178:7 179:12 briefly 22:15 25:8 57:14 58:12 63:2 64:14 123:15 125:18 132:4 154:16 173:9 175:17,18 208:15 220:11 232:24 260:12 bring 3:23 7:19 12:16 17:5 18:4 20:22 22:14 24:6 24:24 30:17 33:18 37:7 38:23 47:3,20 49:1 51:6 53:17 56:20 60:8 63:2,6 70:11 96:22 162:2 broad 9:21 40:13 61:6 64:17,19 155:7 broadly 7:2 68:22 70:7 120:12 broke 123:20	brought 26:24 42:8 93:1 Brown 130:22 bruising 31:9,11 31:21 106:16 build 113:14 185:5 218:25 building 6:2,16 58:23 68:6 87:11 92:18 97:9,13 167:17 buildings 103:16 built 94:24 bullet 24:10 49:2,6 49:11 157:5,16 226:22 bundle 17:6,9 22:14 33:18 41:18 52:16 63:3 76:14 117:17 130:6 131:23,24 132:2 133:9 134:22 137:19 148:3 152:3 168:6 172:1 177:12 188:20 190:8 195:10 204:5,8 208:16 bundles 130:8,8,14 137:18 bureau 81:23 217:19 252:7,8,9 business 11:3 95:7 194:24 busy 7:4 9:24 11:12 13:13 82:15,16 201:18 252:8 butter 132:21	called 15:20 16:1 22:11,18,24 24:4 24:13 26:3 27:24 28:5,21,22 30:8 39:14 59:15 116:2,15 127:1 134:24 149:19 168:16 183:20,25 184:3 213:18 214:3 237:17 251:17,20 calling 28:7 calls 124:16 126:5 192:21 211:10 214:15 216:23 217:3 263:8 capability 54:16 capable 98:5 capacity 5:24 29:13,15 54:16 79:9 80:2,6 96:25 100:6 102:22 113:1 257:17 capture 185:9 car 27:16,24 28:5 28:8 136:9,20 146:18 161:14 162:2 235:20 237:6,11,17 238:1 258:24 card 210:4,5,15 211:11 care 11:1 95:18 96:5 career 119:7 123:15,18 careful 35:7 258:9		
	C			
	C1 21:14 cabinet 196:18 CAD 130:4,6 213:6,6,8,20,23 214:5 call 27:16 30:2 50:18 115:6			

characterisation 236:11	38:24 104:1 108:7	238:9 246:22 266:4	81:17 87:14 89:14,16 92:12 93:6 95:20 100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	94:14 98:18 99:2 99:4,8 101:22 122:15,22 173:7 174:6 217:22 218:12 219:22 221:4 260:21
charge 10:9 96:18 116:21 265:17	chronology 23:20 25:25 170:18	click 240:12	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	compare 90:20
charged 26:23 127:4 232:8	church 110:12	clients 12:1	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	compared 84:18
chase 176:9	CID 3:13 10:7 16:6,20 17:1 91:4 107:17 121:8,22 122:2 122:12,20 123:10 125:16 126:15 128:1,12 131:6,9 131:12 135:7,11 135:17 143:10 147:15 182:6 233:12 248:1,3 248:21 260:23 262:17,19 265:20	clients' 11:14	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	competent 250:21
chased 180:13 194:13 252:16,18	circumstance 9:12 9:12	close 44:18,21 126:17 207:3	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	complaints 244:1
chatter 15:13	circumstances 84:2 95:20 140:15,17,22 147:22 155:6,15 157:8 182:5 186:9 208:20,23 209:4,23,25 211:13,14,15 212:8 213:19,22 213:25 233:19 242:25 265:17	closed 17:7 50:4 67:22 71:2 153:18 170:14 204:21 205:3 206:7	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	complete 29:12 39:7 102:10 114:2 116:12 179:10 238:18
check 12:8 13:3 14:14 15:7,10 53:3,3 72:22 80:3 157:9 210:4 250:14 254:4,19 254:23 255:20	clear 7:10,24 9:5,8 11:14 12:1 16:13 19:5 22:9 30:18 46:9 50:12 68:25 79:5,8 81:21 117:18 122:16 135:19 136:19 173:14 175:2 202:16 208:11 216:16 227:4,5 227:16 235:9 256:8 259:20 264:7	closely 10:12 87:12 98:14	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	completed 33:19 52:19 77:7 78:13 78:17,18 79:21 82:24 114:7 116:21 187:18 194:17 214:6 253:12,20,24 254:18
checked 77:6 78:2 117:24 149:22 158:10 254:20	clearer 9:19	closing 17:16 113:20 114:2 117:19 118:2 206:21	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	completely 68:1 247:1
checking 51:14,18 81:20 250:18 252:12 256:1	clearly 56:1 92:4 106:19 114:8 116:24 152:21 170:18 189:5	closure 205:1	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	completeness 111:1
checks 14:7,11 51:10,11,14 52:2 52:19 93:18 102:5 173:4 176:6 187:18 188:3 217:19 218:1,5,11 220:9		clothes 143:17 146:13 153:21	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	completing 89:11
chemsex 92:7		clothing 46:3,19 153:10,14,16,18 153:23,23,25 154:3,6,16 155:3 236:7 238:7,9	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	completion 77:3 253:14,15
chest 143:19		clue 26:2	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	complex 67:15 79:15 234:8
chief 2:24 4:2,7 168:17,18 176:18 189:5		cluster 108:10,15 108:16	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	complicated 193:21
child 6:6 87:13 90:25 93:10,12 94:12,19,21		cochairing 100:10	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	component 95:8
children 93:23 94:6,13 95:19 96:3 99:24		code 53:23	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	comprehension 29:11
children's 92:17 92:19		coercive 101:15	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	comprehensive 74:17
China 215:1,7,10 215:12,12,16,20 216:11		colleague 66:20 87:6	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	comprehensively 88:6
chosen 156:9		colleagues 5:9 71:21 86:3,5,10 86:13 87:10 113:16 125:15	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	compressed 261:13
chronological 116:2 227:13		combination 71:13 83:3	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	comprise 248:13
chronologically		combined 54:11	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	compromised 103:22 113:5
		come 9:22 21:22 29:1 35:2 38:12 42:4 55:13 64:14 71:24 72:5 80:4	100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	computer 80:12 93:1 225:6
			100:15 107:20 118:21 119:19 123:5 127:25 128:15 130:11 131:24 137:18 138:2 148:21 149:13 159:5,13 159:21 164:23 167:22 171:16 173:5 178:21 182:22 187:14,15 196:9 201:8 206:19,19 209:10 217:20 229:11 233:3 252:17 262:15	conceded 88:23 89:15

<p>concept 138:2</p> <p>concern 99:5 102:3 145:17</p> <p>concerned 4:14 44:4,12 74:13 87:22 99:3 136:19 146:3 147:21 156:20 159:12 230:10,16 249:10 254:18 255:10,24 257:2</p> <p>concerns 28:3 92:25 93:11 94:17,19 96:12 101:25 102:1 145:14 158:7 232:16</p> <p>concessions 89:1,9</p> <p>conclude 255:12 259:1</p> <p>conclusion 57:9,12 83:24 89:14 256:12</p> <p>conclusions 72:5 174:25 255:1</p> <p>conditions 96:7</p> <p>condolences 245:13</p> <p>conduct 17:21 75:2 89:5 101:5 173:4 217:19 225:10</p> <p>conducted 192:19 225:7 261:20</p> <p>conducting 175:25</p> <p>conference 33:16 36:8,15 61:15 100:13,21 108:21 108:24 228:3 230:2</p> <p>confessing 127:2 145:5 146:15</p> <p>confession 144:20 144:22</p> <p>confidence 101:10</p> <p>confidently 68:23</p> <p>confirm 40:3 195:22 246:4</p> <p>confirmation 83:4</p>	<p>confirmed 21:8 25:5 56:25 166:5 170:16 171:13 215:1,10 216:11 232:15</p> <p>confirming 155:23 166:14 167:10</p> <p>confusing 133:10</p> <p>connected 219:16</p> <p>connection 34:24 34:25 111:19 174:17 176:12 190:4</p> <p>connections 174:11 230:15</p> <p>conscious 87:24 89:11 113:3,8 159:11 220:12 241:23</p> <p>consequence 41:19 41:20</p> <p>consequences 50:19</p> <p>consider 100:5 138:12 146:4 173:6 180:15 217:21</p> <p>considerable 58:19</p> <p>considerably 75:16</p> <p>considerations 107:22</p> <p>considered 6:21 62:25 105:2 241:17 256:10</p> <p>consistent 48:17</p> <p>constable 5:4 18:18 29:4 117:24 119:14,18 119:22,24,25,25 120:4,19,25 160:19 246:6</p> <p>constables 34:16 61:23 94:23 103:8 249:8 255:7,13,19 260:1,2 262:22 262:23</p>	<p>consulted 117:12</p> <p>contact 15:8 20:7 20:19 21:3 22:23 38:4 46:14 54:24 60:20 63:15 79:20 81:3,15 99:11 114:8 186:16 191:15,18 191:25 212:1,5 213:7,9 236:6 240:24 241:4,7 250:14 252:8 256:9 257:3 258:13 264:2</p> <p>contacted 22:5 56:15 213:2 237:6,11 240:23 243:10 249:17 252:6 258:3</p> <p>contacting 109:5</p> <p>contain 144:20 201:4</p> <p>contained 138:19</p> <p>contemporaneo... 218:10 227:25</p> <p>content 132:7 155:15 159:15 187:16</p> <p>context 238:11</p> <p>continue 192:20 264:1</p> <p>continued 1:2 2:5 128:21</p> <p>contraire 55:16</p> <p>contributed 238:21</p> <p>contributor 95:19</p> <p>controlled 101:16</p> <p>convened 75:25 256:18</p> <p>convenient 128:17</p> <p>conversation 8:7 42:7 43:4 55:15 56:25 60:19,20 110:9,25 111:2 111:10 114:10 154:5 160:16 163:8 166:8,13 176:22 236:12,12</p>	<p>conversations 43:5 43:8 93:21 108:1 110:7 116:23 152:13 235:24</p> <p>Cooke 24:21 25:4 25:5</p> <p>coordinating 254:6</p> <p>coordinator 123:19 175:9 234:21 248:1 250:14</p> <p>coordinators 248:2,5</p> <p>copied 37:10 168:10 183:1</p> <p>core 70:16</p> <p>coroner 2:3 17:17 17:21 19:2,4,6,11 21:13 29:5 50:6 50:12 66:3,7 68:23 69:12 72:10,17 85:5 113:21 114:16,18 115:1,4,19,22 116:8,20 117:2,6 117:9,14,21 118:4,6,7,11 119:4 128:18 129:1 207:9 243:10,19,21 263:24 264:7,12 264:21 265:4,10 265:13,22,25 266:2</p> <p>coroner's 21:18 70:8 105:10,14 110:3,16 156:5 169:23 220:22 222:1 243:5,12</p> <p>correct 2:15,18,23 3:8,13,14 4:2,9 4:10,13,20 5:2 13:15 15:12 27:13 29:9 34:13 37:17,18 39:19 45:22,23,25 46:2 51:22 54:18 57:23,23 63:16</p>	<p>63:18 69:14 71:10 75:13 87:21 91:14 105:11,16 114:25 119:12 120:8 121:23 126:12 130:2 136:18 137:10 139:20 144:24 145:2 149:1 152:18 156:11 166:1 175:5 183:17 211:9 249:13 255:3 258:6 261:18 262:1</p> <p>corrected 12:7 45:20 48:3</p> <p>correctly 6:10 45:19 52:12</p> <p>correspondence 259:17</p> <p>counsel 3:10,24 5:13,25 11:12 12:7</p> <p>country 100:25</p> <p>couple 66:17 131:23 132:4 170:4 171:25 216:1 252:16</p> <p>course 4:5,17,18 4:19 15:2 30:16 38:3 42:9 44:6 50:20 56:11 73:17,19,22 81:14 91:13,15 91:18,21 124:5 124:23 125:3 127:4,8,25 132:19 167:7 175:11 232:9 246:11,20,23 247:2</p> <p>courses 250:22</p> <p>court 106:9 232:3 233:3</p> <p>courtesy 213:10 214:5</p> <p>courtroom 118:21</p> <p>courtrooms</p>
---	---	--	--	--

118:22 cover 95:8 96:25 195:19 196:3 198:14 covered 92:22 109:3 157:7 193:11 260:14 263:25 covering 102:18 102:20 108:7 198:13 covers 122:5 Covid 119:1 cracked 62:22 create 73:6 116:14 created 116:7 124:13 148:5 151:12 181:14 creating 115:20,22 Crikey 30:3,23 crime 70:20 91:16 116:6 121:15 126:7 130:19 150:25 228:25 246:12 crimes 88:1 120:11 121:13 criminal 94:24 97:24 CRIS 47:15 67:23 74:14,16,23 76:9 79:5,13,22 80:3 80:11,11,12,22 80:24 81:5 85:8 115:19,20,22 116:15,20 117:1 126:11 149:24 150:9,16,21,25 151:9,11,13,16 151:20 172:9,12 190:12,15 194:12 194:13 199:2 204:6 209:10,16 212:10,14 230:24 246:20,23 247:3 247:8,11,13,14 252:4,22 253:6,8 254:17 criteria 98:12	critical 27:16 133:20 144:11 202:23 206:2 criticism 175:2 criticisms 70:7 cross 143:23 172:14,16 crucial 157:23 crux 156:1 CSE 96:14,23 CSM 107:3,4 CSU 122:22 123:8 260:17 Culture 115:7 Cundy 70:9 curiosity 44:8 239:18 curious 57:20 current 255:16 currently 211:1 custody 26:24 custom 115:9 cut 187:16 <hr/> D D 208:2 DAC 70:9 Dagenham 86:14 91:1 95:18 103:17,18 120:23 121:5,9,12 122:3 122:17,22 183:21 240:20 Dan 184:3 danger 70:21 Daniel 2:10,13 16:15,22 18:6 23:22 26:10 30:24 31:23 32:18,19,24 33:7 40:15 42:15 45:2 46:3,5,15,20 47:9 48:14 50:8 52:21 53:6 54:21 57:24 58:16 64:5 65:16 66:12 68:24 71:7 83:14 110:12 111:16 112:16 122:8 125:5 129:3 137:20	144:22 153:10,15 154:4 157:19 158:14 165:11 173:17 180:1 189:17 190:3,5 197:4 198:10,14 198:19 199:12 201:14 202:10,14 202:21 217:11 226:25 227:12 228:9 238:7 245:19,21 254:8 Daniel's 2:17 23:3 28:14 31:9 43:18 53:2 61:1 64:13 72:23 75:3 78:2 117:3 130:4 138:17 140:6 143:17 144:3,5 150:8 153:19 158:12,18 163:15 163:20 164:11 166:6 167:10 171:13 179:9 193:15 dash 34:5 data 51:8 58:14 81:20,23 189:11 189:17 190:1 192:17 193:13,15 193:23 194:2,8 194:14,19,24 225:2 database 13:14 80:13 date 18:13 21:10 73:18 109:12 116:9 167:1 178:2 187:9 192:25 210:7 233:16 254:14 258:5 dated 135:1 202:1 207:23 228:11 dates 23:22 66:10 66:15 119:20 229:9 261:14,15 dating 233:21 Davies 1:5,12	88:17,18 114:12 114:23 258:17,18 263:20 day 7:7 10:6 14:18 18:25 20:8 27:23 33:4 36:7 38:9 41:14,15 42:5 50:13 51:17 53:1 59:3 64:10 97:14 97:14,16,16 99:10,10,11,11 106:19 108:18 109:1,4,4 112:14 112:14 113:21 125:19,21 126:2 126:14,20,20 129:6,8,25 135:20 146:22,24 149:8 150:8,13 150:13,14 151:8 152:12,15 154:24 156:7 158:1,5 160:15 161:16 164:20 165:5,9 165:11 169:14 172:6 173:14 175:20 176:16,19 177:17 181:15,20 182:24 183:5,12 186:8 188:11,18 194:22 197:16 198:10 201:18,19 201:23 207:24 210:23 220:22 222:1,21 227:14 228:2 231:16,18 237:17,23 243:5 256:19 260:22 266:8 day-to-day 98:20 daybook 85:14,17 188:19 224:22 227:6,9 days 23:2 24:14 33:14 65:12 66:17 68:16 127:6 132:22 147:2 148:5 152:9 196:19	197:25 204:6 256:15 261:24 daytime 102:18 DC 33:20 51:15 56:22 57:1 58:22 60:21 62:7,15 63:8,14 78:9 108:8 109:17,22 110:7,25 127:22 131:15 133:5 148:14 158:21 160:14 161:11 164:8 165:18,23 166:4,12 169:1,6 170:19 171:9 175:3,11 180:13 182:6,14,19 183:1,13 186:17 191:22 195:12,17 195:25 198:12 199:2 200:7 203:16,17 222:9 225:10 228:7 232:19 234:7 240:9 243:3 247:8 249:2,11 249:19 250:5 252:5,6 261:12 DCI 10:6 36:9,14 85:15 91:20 109:7 113:10 122:19 152:7 157:22 180:12 189:1 195:3 225:2 250:13 256:22 DCIs 103:4 DCM 39:11 DCs 62:3 160:11 241:4 246:18 247:10 dead 15:19,25 16:15,15 18:14 21:23 53:2 219:4 232:10 236:17 deal 54:8 97:18 115:7,13 242:6 261:1 dealing 83:7 95:16
---	---	---	--	--

97:23 99:17 109:14 121:15 228:23 249:4 256:24 258:10 deals 100:13 dealt 53:15 57:16 57:17 93:15 114:20 135:23 138:3 257:14 Dean 215:23 216:5 death 2:13 12:20 16:21 17:17 23:7 26:15,20 28:14 29:2 30:1,1 34:6 35:18,21 36:1 37:3 40:4,18,21 42:14 43:18 50:8 58:17 62:8 72:23 122:7 125:5,6,10 125:14 134:4 135:8,15,16,23 136:3,16,23 145:23 155:18 156:2,15 157:8 157:14 158:14,14 159:14 173:6,10 173:17,24 174:2 174:5,15 177:8 178:10,16 179:8 179:20 180:6 181:4 184:11 205:22 208:24 209:5,25 211:14 213:19 214:2,8 217:21 218:17 232:20 deaths 36:17,23 37:12 40:14 41:21 44:9,16 45:2 53:22 71:6 75:3 76:3 84:7 84:13,18,21 85:16 86:25 87:22 89:6 112:15 128:3,3 134:25 140:13 173:16 176:13 179:22 180:22 217:11 219:1,10	219:14 223:17 228:15 229:8 230:3 231:5 233:19 247:5 248:22 256:10,21 Debbie 37:11,16 40:8 148:14 153:4,8 170:5 229:5,6 Deborah 1:7 118:12,18 debriefing 106:21 decades 101:11 deceased 38:11 207:25 233:24 236:5 242:8 deceased's 170:16 210:25 211:5 December 204:10 261:25 decide 136:24 142:18 145:19 164:7 decided 192:9 decision 27:15 30:2 64:15 78:6 79:1 110:14 111:6 114:4,6 146:5 237:24 245:20 251:24 258:24 259:1 decisions 27:19 74:1 declare 27:16 declined 105:12 243:25 244:8,17 deemed 104:20 263:25 define 263:5,9 defined 263:10 definitely 32:7 62:20 217:5,7 223:22 251:16,20 degree 16:20 54:19,20 263:19 264:6 degrees 50:22 delay 151:4 deliberately 138:6	DELLEN 1:10 245:16,17,24 demanding 260:20 dementia 95:25 96:6 Denham 221:25 Denley 27:22 33:2 46:19 106:11,15 107:21 138:4 152:5,12,24 154:1,22 156:9 156:19 157:20 158:7 164:14 184:9 186:8 235:21,24 236:12 238:6 243:24 256:6 257:2 258:8 259:5 264:7 Denley's 243:19 244:3,17 department 39:11 113:17 depended 102:24 depending 13:24 116:13 depends 14:17 108:15 deplorable 82:21 deploy 123:20 190:22,25 216:25 deployed 191:2 211:18 249:20 deploying 174:20 depth 209:8 describe 156:10 described 7:21 29:13 32:18 50:25 58:22 69:18 77:21 85:5 89:21 127:16 217:13 describes 24:22 157:5 239:6 describing 161:13 196:25 description 53:24 69:21,22 140:13 198:2	descriptions 89:12 89:15 deserves 7:10,16 desk 68:9 101:20 102:6 196:19,20 200:23,24 203:6 223:18,23 247:5 deskilled 150:22 desks 89:25 126:17 desperate 139:18 despite 13:13 104:18 118:7 194:11,19 detail 3:10,25 9:17 13:18 21:25 24:5 43:6 44:2 50:21 53:19 68:21 74:8 79:10 82:9 90:3 90:9 124:18 132:17 149:13 152:23 160:12 193:25 228:21 260:5 detailed 174:11 details 16:3 20:4 36:10 37:6,19,22 38:1 40:8 106:18 116:1,1,1,2,16,17 159:12 184:22 185:18 198:24 208:10 228:6 229:16 230:22 231:10,14 232:5 detective 2:13,22 2:23,24 3:5,6 4:2 4:3,7,18 5:4 15:2 61:23 82:7 86:23 88:18 89:9 90:16 90:20,21,22,24 91:3 94:23 103:3 103:8 104:9 107:17 115:10 117:23 119:23,25 120:4,19,25 121:3 122:1 136:7 145:4 160:19 237:19 246:6 248:21	249:8 255:7,12 255:13,19 259:5 259:24 260:1,2 261:4 262:22,23 265:16 detectives 82:20 83:23 84:6 88:7 88:9 250:12 256:20,22 determine 134:4 develop 70:19 development 15:17 91:19 developments 263:18 device 25:1 DI 52:14 72:24 87:6 90:14 91:20 102:15 108:10 110:9 114:15 125:14 136:4,16 136:24 137:5 142:20 145:20,21 146:18 148:16,17 148:23 152:20 153:9 168:16 176:15 189:3 194:11,18 203:20 205:2,12 236:6 238:16 246:11 247:13 251:25 252:24 256:22 257:2 258:2 DI's 91:13 diaries 225:6 diary 59:8 104:3 did/the 172:5 died 23:22 24:14 26:5,10 29:6 32:4 33:7 69:4 83:15,16 84:23 184:12 186:10,11 209:22 211:21 233:24 242:25 different 3:11 7:22 8:1,17,18,19 9:11 16:5 33:12 38:7 39:17 40:11 47:20 57:19 58:3
--	---	--	--	--

58:10 68:1,6 86:19 92:18 99:21 103:11,19 104:4 115:25 123:4 126:21 138:9 140:15,16 140:17,22 147:20 162:11 171:24 181:14,22 204:17 222:16 227:2 235:23 237:1 241:22 249:3 253:13 256:6 differentiate 11:4 differently 111:16 134:11 difficult 7:4 10:13 29:22 31:5 35:4 64:15 71:23,25 82:6 129:18 133:2 134:4 204:16 233:18 234:8 260:19 261:1,3 263:7 difficulty 78:24 direct 15:8,11 34:24,25 98:14 206:19 255:24 directed 100:22 193:3 direction 74:1 105:4 106:15 107:23 242:21 directly 16:18 27:8 34:12 45:15 98:3 107:16 director 195:13 Dirty 25:18 disagree 35:10 162:25 discharged 263:14 discounted 221:14 discovered 125:20 126:2 127:9 129:7 130:5 172:5 182:9 207:25 discovering 151:23	discovery 200:1 258:23 259:8 discrepancy 169:22 discrimination 88:10 discuss 85:16 128:4,11 236:18 discussed 116:4 145:25 155:4 157:1 177:10 189:5 192:25 223:24 236:17 245:22 discussing 97:18 143:6 156:24 discussion 35:11 35:13,15 38:5,17 39:16 56:23 60:12 64:13 65:1 65:2,6 110:17 154:22,23 257:18 discussions 38:2 61:19 65:21 98:5 99:11 124:16 142:11 235:2 dissatisfied 234:17 distil 45:5 distinct 85:2 distinctive 170:23 170:25 171:14,14 171:18 distressing 163:24 disturbing 23:9 diverse 75:11,18 86:16,21 233:18 diversity 87:19 DNA 23:15 46:6 50:23 58:2 81:18 238:21 239:8,9 DOBs 229:9 doctrine 70:17 document 21:2 33:20 38:7 39:9 47:21 49:5,10 63:6 70:12 109:6 126:1 130:20 132:8,12,15,16 132:23 133:11,15	134:12,21,24 135:6 148:4,5 149:7 151:14 152:2,16,23 168:5 172:3,4 173:14 177:11 181:13,17,25 182:4,9,22 212:11 226:12,18 226:23,24 227:19 227:22 233:1 235:10,14 262:2 documenting 222:19 documents 16:8,9 124:12 130:11 131:23 132:5 147:1 171:25 180:21 181:21 199:3,10,14,17 216:17 252:14 dog 221:25 225:4,9 doing 15:10 34:22 39:7 40:10 43:8 51:10,14,19,22 109:9 139:19 151:4,9,19,22 160:12 175:7 180:14,22 183:23 187:11,11 190:19 191:22,24 192:2 192:4 203:5 204:18 226:19 231:9 244:11,25 248:14 250:17 260:7 domestic 99:25 100:13,22 dots 144:1 double 106:4,22 doubt 5:13,25 7:4 11:7 24:8 31:3 53:10 58:19 134:6 135:22 136:3,15,22 137:7 171:21 202:17 download 221:11 Dr 1:10 46:10	48:22 49:3,7,23 106:21,24 206:5 207:5 245:16,17 245:24 draft 233:5 drafted 148:7 dragged 15:19,25 dramatic 15:16 dramatically 219:6 draw 174:8 175:21 drawn 173:15 174:24 drive 161:6,8,14 driving 149:3 drug 83:25 84:25 234:1 drugged 82:12 drugging 60:1 drugs 32:1 84:7,13 84:22,23 225:6 233:23 234:2 drunk 221:13 DS 17:7,16 27:22 33:2,24 34:3,11 37:19 46:19 53:11 73:17 96:20 100:7 103:6 106:11,15 107:21 108:8 109:20,22 114:9 125:15 127:12,22 128:1 138:4 148:14 152:5,12 152:24 153:4,8 154:1,22 156:4,9 156:19 157:20 158:7 164:14 169:22,25 178:5 184:9 186:8 202:22 208:2 210:8,8 228:19 229:1 232:1 236:1,12 238:6 243:22 246:9,11 256:6 258:8,14 DS's 73:19 DSs 96:16,17 due 167:7 211:1	Dunning 215:12 215:20,22 216:2 duties 91:11 93:2 102:23 103:22 108:14,19 263:11 duty 52:14,17 102:15 104:9 108:10 109:3,9 126:5,6 129:8,25 131:2 143:10 145:25 147:15 159:3 168:19 175:6 192:15 200:25 201:2 209:10,14 216:24 230:21,23 258:25 259:4 260:4 263:5,9,14 dying 240:21 dynamic 94:25 95:4 96:9 dynamically 95:9 <hr/> E earlier 65:20 111:21 120:2,3 135:3 150:3 174:14 175:2 201:9 earlies 159:1,2 early 28:5 32:12 64:17,22 70:19 129:10 131:14 216:18 221:14 ears 235:3 earth 21:22 187:4 253:22 easier 130:12 200:19 east 108:10 easy 71:1 81:11 188:8 ECG 144:2 education 92:20 99:25 effect 22:2 23:13 33:23 62:2 83:4 114:10 117:23 144:25 168:1 236:1 260:17
---	--	---	---	--

262:12 effective 74:6 75:2 89:5 100:16 effectively 3:15 6:25 17:7,16 18:13 87:18 92:23 97:4 113:21 243:22 258:19 effort 83:19 egregious 45:12 eight 76:22 77:10 77:16 84:17 108:17 254:15 either 26:7,8,12,17 59:11 61:4 63:16 68:24 69:3 107:2 112:12 128:2 197:7 221:16 224:4 226:18 243:2 247:16 elderly 95:24 Eleanor 126:1 elements 139:14 181:15 elevated 58:5 elite 28:20 Ellie 125:25 149:17 151:15 213:2,3,7,12,23 213:25 216:3 email 7:20,25 8:3 12:12,17,18 17:6 17:11,15,19 38:10,15,22 40:11 41:3 42:12 42:16 43:21 53:18 55:6 61:18 65:3 67:25,25 82:16 127:16 152:19 154:15 160:22 161:22 162:9,19 163:5 163:21 165:14,23 166:13 168:15,21 169:1,2,6,9,19 182:18,22,24,25 183:7 187:13,16 190:9,13 194:22	195:9 200:5,6 220:14 221:2,21 223:1 228:11,21 228:25 229:4,24 230:7 240:18 243:3,22 252:18 259:17 260:14 emailed 62:7,15 154:13 163:13 226:14 emailing 233:5 emails 37:7,10 39:4,4,8 54:14 64:25 108:23 116:22 147:5 151:10 168:7,11 220:11 223:2 250:20 263:8 emerges 13:24 emphasises 156:14 employer 195:18 199:3 221:7 employment 195:19 ended 21:23 113:20 182:4 engage 67:8 82:11 82:13 101:13,14 engaged 21:19 engagement 64:1 England 84:12 English 240:4 enlarge 188:22 enquiries 19:10 61:3 176:7 177:9 182:6 187:11,11 188:1 189:6 198:17 217:14 222:8 225:15,25 226:12,23 227:1 228:7,9 enquiry 9:9 13:25 46:12 51:4 59:15 60:23 61:1 65:7 71:3,8 74:2 114:7 224:17 239:18 245:3 ensure 151:7 enter 151:21	entered 74:14,16 78:7 81:5 108:3 118:1 151:16 172:8 entirely 83:8 100:8 138:9 entirety 21:13 entries 18:8,9,10 76:12,13 85:17 116:3 199:1 204:10,20 entry 12:23 18:7 36:13 52:16 65:14 117:23 133:7 135:14 149:24 210:3,13 212:3 216:10 217:16 253:23 environment 7:9 31:5 262:7 episodes 128:14 equipment 225:6 error 137:11 151:2 167:4 188:6,8,10 188:12 210:16 254:5,20 errors 256:3 ESB 68:5 escaped 12:11 92:21 escapes 73:18 especially 28:4 110:1 essence 73:12 establish 79:19 94:23 155:22 established 112:6 170:18 189:3 establishing 30:19 51:3 et 140:18 225:7 ethnic 86:2 ethnicities 87:15 evaluation 113:4 evening 150:1 182:25 event 46:11 120:4 123:14 135:22 136:3 163:1	events 29:11 33:13 61:10 92:10 124:9 129:5 137:3 147:13 158:6,13 eventually 27:20 96:20 194:13 249:25 252:11 everybody 12:8 40:17 everybody's 86:18 everyone's 203:24 evidence 2:19 3:9 3:25 4:14 6:9,20 7:7,11 10:6 11:19,23 12:14 14:9 18:17,25 20:13 21:13 22:1 23:1 27:22,25 28:15 30:19 32:23,24 33:2 43:24 44:8,9,16 45:19 46:24 47:4 48:18,23 50:11 53:12 56:4 57:8 57:10 58:21 61:21,24,25 62:1 62:13 63:2,7,10 63:16,23 64:21 65:18 66:8,19,23 67:17,22 68:3,12 68:21,25 69:6,11 69:11,18 75:1 80:10 83:20 85:5 85:13 94:16,17 103:1 105:2 106:9 107:10 111:23 117:15 125:12 127:13,13 129:23 134:6 137:14 138:5 140:2 152:4,9 155:20 163:5 191:12 202:23 209:18 210:1 211:12,22 212:15 217:4,6 220:7 222:17 223:5,19 224:1 226:10	227:18 231:3,18 234:11 235:21 236:10 238:3,6 238:22 239:25 241:10 242:3 243:13,14,19 245:6 255:6 259:10 260:5 263:2 265:7 266:5 evidential 57:3 186:21 241:18 Evidently 80:19 evolution 78:1 evolves 74:18 Ewing 7:20 8:8 15:14 ex-boyfriend 183:15 exact 163:18 178:2 236:15 258:5 exactly 27:20 123:17 159:10 160:9,12 163:6 165:4 171:5,7 180:17 198:23 204:15 225:19 227:16 251:8 example 20:1 34:23 78:25 93:10 94:18,20 95:23,24 96:12 100:18 115:25 129:24 161:25 169:20 173:24 180:11 196:24 197:2 examples 167:22 excess 100:18 101:6 exchange 12:17 25:18 38:22 42:16 53:18 exchanges 174:19 excuse 79:25 174:16 250:18 256:1 exercise 69:15 194:8 254:23
---	--	---	---	--

261:20 exhibit 21:14 88:10 exhibits 81:4 106:13 206:16 248:10,14,23 exist 181:21 existence 117:11 expect 5:9 17:2 124:24 160:8 expectation 262:21 expected 5:1 8:15 167:20 201:6 experience 4:4,24 84:6 90:20,22 107:10 112:13 143:8 145:3 262:17 264:24 experienced 91:20 191:22,23 237:19 248:20 249:19 expert 70:8 experts 30:2 explain 34:6 68:23 71:6 99:15 173:18 178:10 190:19 210:17 253:22 260:7 explained 2:16 3:9 3:15 9:23 75:10 111:4 190:17 204:14 explains 191:3 explanation 48:11 75:8 85:3 143:24 explanations 75:6 exploitation 6:6 91:1 94:12 explore 75:5,9 90:2 228:7 explored 56:13 94:22 225:3 expressed 134:11 expressly 164:14 extent 44:2 83:9 86:17 90:4,8 106:23 112:23 extra 109:3 244:6	extract 70:12 eye 80:25 eyes 198:1 237:13 <hr/> F <hr/> face 8:3 21:17 22:4 31:24 35:16 67:4 94:7 144:22 160:16,17 180:1 181:8 188:25 214:22 216:17 Facebook 20:15 22:10,17 51:14 51:19 52:20 53:4 54:17,21,23 56:9 183:19 185:2 240:8,11 Facebooking 201:16 Facing 75:23 fact 2:22 6:12,15 6:23 9:23,24 12:7 21:15 23:13 23:18 26:19 31:22 32:2,20 37:3 41:19 44:16 48:17 49:24 55:16 56:22 62:1 67:1 73:16 78:17 79:17 80:11,17 80:22 82:3 85:16 104:17 111:16 114:7 132:14 138:8,8 142:1,4 142:23 143:1 146:13,15 150:13 159:4 160:23 163:23 165:1 169:10 170:13 173:24 174:12 176:6 179:6 181:2,12 182:1 182:21 183:5 186:14 194:19 198:2 199:5 200:5 209:24 215:21 217:21 224:6,19 230:5 248:1 252:16 254:16 257:5	258:2,13 261:12 261:20 262:6 factor 89:24 90:2 92:4 93:14 96:1 factors 29:13 86:9 facts 71:25 155:23 fail 242:5 failed 71:6 75:2 89:5 failings 9:8,18,22 45:1,8,12,13 51:1 57:16,19,21 69:16 71:14 89:18 failure 9:8 59:14 242:20 failures 50:17 89:21 245:2,8 fair 7:16 10:23 15:7 19:9,12 20:5 26:21,22 30:11,12 44:23 44:24 70:6,9 75:1 86:16 89:15 108:5 136:12 189:8 204:15 254:22 258:12 fairly 12:7 108:13 155:1 169:3 174:2 fairness 9:5 12:13 65:23 Fairy 183:25 187:20,21 familiar 33:21 64:10,11 86:10 86:14 106:9 117:9 121:24 124:11 132:8 133:24 134:9,13 205:14 familiarity 97:10 132:15 families 2:8 33:25 34:19 35:5,8,12 35:18 38:3 44:4 44:10 71:11,18 88:23 207:17 217:2 234:1	245:12 250:15 254:11 family 20:5,19 21:3,14,19 22:2,6 33:14 34:4 38:4 48:15 61:10 63:15,20 64:18 71:12 76:2 109:24 110:11,15 111:16 123:19 125:22 158:23 159:4,13,18,23 161:1,16 163:4,6 164:9 169:2 174:20,22 175:3 175:4,8,9 178:16 179:7,21 191:9 191:13,19 192:1 195:14 211:18 216:25 233:8 234:16,20 241:21 241:23 242:2 245:13 247:24 248:1,2,7,24,25 249:10,12,17,19 250:2,4,22 251:10,15,17 253:21 254:7,7 family's 110:10 far 4:14 5:12 11:12 14:8 30:5 47:7 51:22 53:12 64:2 64:6 67:7 74:13 136:19 144:6 195:14 248:20 249:10 254:17 255:10,24 257:2 257:23 265:7,7 fashion 93:16 fast 65:11,15 93:21 166:25 222:20 faster 261:10 father 163:15 170:17 208:22 209:22 Faulkner 18:18,24 22:9 fault 168:3 fear 244:10,24	fearing 101:12 fears 232:16 feature 18:21 featured 80:22 February 123:24 124:1 fed 93:18,20 fee 215:24 feeding 97:17 feel 44:12 72:2 250:21 254:19 feeling 251:10 felt 7:11,14,25 262:6 figures 100:8,24 file 68:19 filing 196:18 fill 194:1 253:12 filling 115:24 filtering 114:19 final 44:25 70:11 112:10 117:14 206:9 finally 205:2 235:20 243:18 find 126:13 133:2 149:7 154:18,19 155:5 166:25 184:9,11 185:18 185:19 194:24 202:18 finding 55:24 97:16 155:17 156:2 157:8 219:7 fine 66:16 175:11 193:25 fingerprints 46:6 50:23 finish 244:16 266:5 finished 83:11,21 123:17 128:6 fire 123:20 firm 29:9 first 4:6 5:19 7:6 29:23 33:13 40:14 45:8,11,22 49:11 51:1 75:9
--	---	---	---	--

76:16 85:14,16 117:8 119:21 126:14 128:14 130:20,22 133:18 133:19 135:9 137:12 140:6 147:8 153:1 155:12 157:16 159:18 168:13 172:1 174:16 175:20 183:12 185:8,8 189:10 190:19 194:11 195:3 207:1 213:16 259:21 Firstly 62:6 233:20 fishing 243:7,9 fit 258:13 five 21:15 77:9,15 78:16 86:24 flagged 62:6 flags 209:9 flat 24:15,21 25:5 25:9 165:2,11 FLC 234:14 FLO 33:19 63:19 109:17,19 110:5 164:23 165:2 177:17 191:1,13 191:23 230:9 234:13 249:12 250:25 253:21 254:6,7 FLODEX 250:3 FLOs 33:22 34:20 190:18,22 191:2 248:8 250:14 251:9 focus 66:7 70:21 80:6 96:23 97:14 105:9,13 146:5 208:15 224:15 focused 155:17 follow 9:8 20:3 26:1 59:14 63:12 74:20 133:17 192:12 208:16 245:2	followed 26:7,8 60:24 61:4 82:24 164:5 176:14 264:25 following 41:14,15 89:17 102:11 182:14 247:13 266:8 follows 23:20 122:11 243:20 foot 54:6 56:22 67:25 68:4 force 31:14 90:19 90:19 119:7 132:13,18 135:3 forced 11:22 forensic 44:14 46:20 49:20 50:17 64:18 74:6 134:19 141:15 236:7 238:12 forensically 27:17 28:13 259:11 forensics 45:21 57:16 153:24 forget 142:15 forgive 17:8,10,12 18:3 38:15,16,21 49:5 63:4 65:19 224:19 forgot 85:4 forgotten 85:19,21 142:12 form 26:2 53:21 194:1 formally 21:8 forms 181:22 forth 224:17 forthcoming 60:14 264:16,19 fortnight 202:3 forum 92:17 forward 73:4 127:8 129:15 149:3 157:25 184:18 233:16 forwarding 152:20 found 2:17 16:15 16:15,22 18:14	20:8 22:10 23:3 31:5 46:5 47:1,8 53:2 84:22 94:9 110:12 111:20 117:3,4,21,25 126:25 127:17 137:8,21 138:17 139:4,7 140:7,17 147:22 149:20 150:2,8 154:4 158:6 161:16 163:13 193:1 211:20 218:2,3 219:3,7 225:4 226:25 227:12 232:6 236:5 238:7 245:21 four 2:8 13:10 109:3 120:16 130:25 137:23 138:1,15 143:9 145:3 172:20 205:6 217:16 246:6 247:9 249:8 262:22 fourth 37:3 49:2,6 Freddy 39:21,23 39:24 41:2,4 42:6,24 231:19 frequently 95:22 Fresh 6:12 16:7 68:19 103:19 123:1,3 Friday 23:5 Fridays 261:14 friend 20:15 24:16 54:21 88:22 89:3 145:1 149:19 183:20 214:4,8 friends 20:4 22:17 52:21 53:6 54:23 125:25 183:19 213:13 214:16 232:14,15 245:14 front 14:18 80:17 119:4 129:16 fulfilling 91:12 135:20 full 29:11 56:18	58:14 66:12 84:2 112:21,22 118:17 155:15,22 159:10 167:7 183:12 250:25 fully 10:1,15 29:15 31:10 61:4,5 69:10 71:6 72:25 82:25 92:1 93:16 96:23 103:7 114:24 116:18 263:19 function 78:22 99:14 funeral 195:13 further 9:17 11:7 13:23 14:7 18:16 24:5 55:1 67:25 73:10 94:9 110:24 131:6 155:16,17 180:10 194:19 199:3 204:4 225:9 230:5 243:23,25 245:24 255:1 263:21 264:8 future 19:12	197:13 201:24 202:11 217:11 228:8 235:16 239:20 240:21 Gabriel's 16:21 17:17 19:19,25 20:4,15,19 21:3 21:20 22:2,3,17 29:2 30:1,20 50:8 51:3 53:4 54:17 58:17 59:13 60:23 117:3 157:14 179:19 182:10 183:16,19,20 184:10 186:10 191:14 239:23 gain 141:25 gang 97:24 gang-related 97:23 gangs 97:19,25 gap 77:17 gaps 19:15 61:7 gather 156:1 254:24 gathering 263:1 gay 92:7 111:17,17 173:7 174:5,6,17 217:22 218:12,18 219:10,22 221:3 230:15 233:20 242:8,22 243:15 GBH 5:2 251:13 genders 86:2 87:15 general 9:15 53:13 64:4 102:3 147:11 149:12 196:25 254:13 265:20 generally 32:15 68:19 86:7,7 generate 98:1 genuine 71:2 geography 103:10 getting 28:5 55:13 153:21 161:25 163:25 235:20
G				
Gabriel 16:12,14 18:5,14 19:5 20:8 21:9 23:6 23:15 24:14 25:2 25:3 26:4 28:14 30:24 40:15 42:14 43:18 45:2 46:1 51:12 52:6 52:19 57:24 64:5 66:11 68:24 71:7 72:23 75:3 83:15 112:15 122:8 125:6 127:9 153:11 154:17 155:18,24 156:2 156:15 157:9 158:14 173:16 178:20 179:20 180:2 183:14,22 184:2 189:17 190:4,5 193:1,18				

250:24 GHB 40:1 67:5 83:14 218:20 233:25 give 7:9 8:5,14 19:3 28:11 30:16 40:9 44:2 55:19 56:4 57:10 61:24 83:13 85:13 106:15 113:12,22 118:17 138:6 155:6 162:9,14 162:23 201:6 217:6 238:2,3 239:25 244:13 259:12 given 2:19 14:25 19:7 22:8 29:18 30:7 33:6 46:9 48:18 50:10 61:24 63:10,11 64:21 66:24 76:17 86:10 88:2 91:24 98:25 103:1 111:4,24 115:16,16 127:13 157:6 164:3 183:22 186:11 187:20 221:23 229:23 245:6 247:23 260:8 262:4 263:6 265:2 gives 22:16 84:16 178:6 183:18 210:7 giving 7:23 59:19 74:1 113:4 127:13 go 5:25 12:19 13:6 13:19 24:16 38:7 39:9,21 44:25 49:10 50:5 54:17 55:3,10 56:7 68:21 77:3 78:6 79:13 83:20 92:5 94:18 104:2 109:6 117:17 123:8 126:8	128:2 129:4 130:14,19 135:18 143:1 147:16 148:2,4 152:24 153:1 157:3,25 161:1,15,25 162:1 164:8 168:13 169:9 172:1,19 179:15 181:25 182:1,2 182:12 184:22 185:17 191:1 196:1 198:7,23 200:13,22 202:18 204:5 205:18 206:16 208:14 209:16 210:20 212:24 213:1 234:18 235:2 246:23 257:4,20 257:24 264:8,23 Goddard 19:4 goes 25:21 81:22 105:17 130:16 196:13 going 8:22,24 23:14 25:8 35:5 35:12 36:8 37:23 38:18 40:5,7,14 40:20,21 41:14 41:23 42:6 45:8 61:20,24 64:9 77:20 90:9 92:22 95:8,25 96:3,6 99:12 104:4 108:6 111:8 115:10 118:8 119:2,19 122:6 124:5 125:3 127:25 128:3,15 130:3,9 132:2 134:18,22 149:22 150:12 152:24 159:23 160:1 165:9 178:21 183:10 184:11 185:1,2 189:7 192:21 194:23 196:12 197:9	205:13 220:11,19 222:19 224:2 229:19,25 230:10 230:20 232:24 235:23 253:5 255:22 258:19 263:12 gold 75:24 82:25 104:22 105:21,23 256:18,20,23 257:12 goldmine 184:14 good 2:3,7 82:22 116:14 203:1 213:13 214:8,16 217:8 232:14 241:16 255:17 Goodness 29:8 Google 25:3 graded 93:16 gradually 260:24 graphic 23:9 Gravesend 161:5 161:6,15 165:9 202:15 graveyard 32:1 64:9 68:25 137:8 150:9 158:6 221:14 236:5 great 11:21 green 59:16 125:25 126:1 149:17 151:15 213:3,7,12,18,23 213:25 216:3 Grenfell 123:18 grieving 161:16 Grindr 173:8 174:8,18 217:23 218:12 219:22 221:4 225:3 grip 29:9 33:9 49:20 59:6,10 grooming 94:13 ground 50:5 90:8 193:11 group 37:4 40:17 45:8,9,11,13 50:25 51:1 57:15	57:18 75:24 82:25 104:22 105:23 256:19,20 256:23 257:13 groups 94:14,15 Gudgeon 54:5 guess 84:10 guessing 222:24 guidance 162:24 Guv 230:9 <hr/> H <hr/> half 8:12 21:15 159:2 161:15 198:12 199:17 half's 161:7 halfway 60:10 135:11 224:13 hand 126:15,18 160:21 173:17 196:15 handed 32:12 handled 51:25 handler 117:15 hands 76:1 81:24 handwriting 58:21 58:23 109:15 157:18,23 158:9 158:12,18 163:16 163:20 164:1,11 167:11 170:16,25 handwritten 51:6 Hang 29:2 43:1,9 58:1 happen 19:12 39:15 43:22 111:8 180:16,16 happened 17:3 18:22 29:17 30:24 31:22 32:8 52:1 55:24 60:6 67:1 69:13 83:10 116:9 124:6 127:18 154:18 157:14 162:21,23 163:7 166:14 167:5 173:25 181:19 194:15 195:7 198:9 203:14 226:25	227:12 236:4 happening 11:6 19:21 63:23 97:24 233:24 happens 41:20,23 67:18 108:16 196:17 happy 45:20 110:15 237:23 hard 11:4 45:2 144:3 harder 203:3 hardest 203:14 harm 93:23 101:9 101:21 HAT 27:16,24 28:5,7 29:7 30:6 85:10 107:5 136:9,20 138:4 138:15 143:9 146:18 184:6 205:6 226:24 227:11 235:20 236:24 237:6,11 237:17,25 256:12 256:13,19 258:24 259:6,7,12 264:12,17 HAT/MIT 106:1 head 203:2 headed 228:5 heading 182:5 headline 256:11 health 92:20 99:25 hear 11:23 15:13 16:20 24:8,25 34:11 47:23 50:21 53:10,16 56:21 63:1 127:6 128:2 129:19 184:20 190:23 heard 2:12,20 4:21 6:20 14:9 15:14 16:20 18:16 20:13,24 23:5 24:7 27:8,22 33:2 39:11 44:16 53:12 57:10 58:21 61:25
--	---	---	--	---

66:19 67:17,22 74:13 90:13 100:24 120:9 121:24 122:19 125:12 126:15 129:22,24 130:22 135:2 144:19 152:4,9 158:21 163:5,6 172:23 185:21 191:8,10 215:13,15 231:22 234:10 239:16,21 240:4 249:11 251:24 hearing 28:1,2 heavy 75:18 held 3:17 90:24 116:6 help 20:23 40:12 42:1 45:14 51:11 54:3,4 55:9 67:12 68:3 69:3 124:13,14 126:13 146:19 173:18 187:3 188:1 192:6,6 196:13 203:3 212:22 214:9 222:15 236:21,22 239:10 247:21 257:10 258:22 259:5,20 helpful 45:5 helping 97:18 helps 175:19 Hi 240:19 hiding 219:19 hierarchical 90:19 high 11:3,5 93:6,9 93:23 98:23 99:5 99:6,7 101:7,8,9 101:9,21,23 102:2,5 244:4 250:12 high-level 51:20 high-risk 94:8 96:9 98:18 100:22 101:12,17 112:25 higher 235:2	257:12 highest 99:1 100:13,25 highlight 196:10 262:5 highlighted 89:18 261:10 highly 76:2 Hill 1:3 2:4,6,7 72:9 81:9 88:22 90:3 91:9 hindsight 28:4,17 31:12 33:11 114:23 219:20 237:21 Hmm 193:5 220:6 222:13 236:20 243:13 hold 3:20 189:16 190:1 holding 46:5 138:17,19 holiday 211:1 HOLMES 205:18 home 48:15 162:1 199:18 201:20,20 202:15,15,21 225:5 homeless 48:4,14 48:16 homes 95:18 96:5 homicide 5:6,11 7:24 8:5 10:16 11:13 67:8 104:12 106:4,22 120:10 132:21 133:20 134:5,6 134:24 137:24 145:4,5 146:17 homicides 76:1 83:2 84:8,12,24 84:25 250:10 255:20 homophobia 11:22 69:24 70:3,3 homophobic 69:25 honest 31:1 112:17 138:3 158:2 169:16 184:15	188:6 199:11 210:6 226:6 262:18 honesty 112:21 hope 15:24 17:25 26:18 37:8 45:4 63:3 115:18 132:4 hopefully 200:2 201:3 hoping 12:17 256:13 hour 131:17,19 161:7,7,15 168:24,25 210:22 210:22 hours 13:13 14:16 100:10 102:18 104:23 108:11 109:3,3 162:5 195:19 201:20 216:2 261:13 house 6:1 15:19,25 97:10 103:14,15 103:19 housed 95:21 housing 92:20 99:24 HR 198:17,23,24 hub 90:25 92:15 92:17 93:4 hubs 54:11 huge 46:20 116:12 238:9 239:7 259:11,13 hugely 71:22 237:12 Hull 234:18 human 113:11 Humberside 12:22 208:6,13,14 209:13,21 210:21 210:24 211:6,6,7 211:10 232:13 hundred 219:11	identification 21:5 identified 11:21 15:17 20:14 21:8 22:2,18 26:12,17 58:10 163:15 identify 54:11 107:12 ignorance 253:18 ignore 41:10 135:9 ignored 60:5 61:12 62:16,17 242:10 IIP 187:18 illegal 84:7,13 image 25:3 imagination 96:2 imagine 36:10,11 41:13 43:5 72:2 196:24 199:16 immediate 86:5,8 immediately 72:6 179:4 215:23 imminently 229:10 impact 115:14 implication 89:20 implications 29:16 import 223:17 importance 73:25 144:11 196:8,22 important 9:9 10:4,14 11:5 31:18,19,21 32:9 47:17 51:3 59:19 75:24 76:4 79:19 94:11 134:15 158:17 166:17 176:12 189:16 190:1 195:2 197:9 200:1 201:5 223:10 224:8 239:25 242:20 266:4 importantly 184:1 impress 107:21 impression 19:7 55:19 199:25 217:1 inactions 217:10 inadequate 59:1	241:7 incident 27:16 127:17 133:20 inclement 96:1 include 156:3 included 73:25 74:9 199:6 232:5 including 9:14 16:4 29:13 75:12 87:6 92:19 93:17 115:25 123:12 136:8 252:24 incoming/outco... 192:21 incompetence 11:20 70:2 incompetent 69:25 70:4 88:24 250:13 255:12 inconceivable 26:18 incorporated 108:17 increasing 7:17 increasingly 14:23 incredibly 253:10 incumbent 19:16 independent 99:25 indicated 110:18 261:21 262:21 indicating 261:12 262:6 indication 84:17 180:7 indications 113:13 indicative 78:23 individual 11:16 101:22 128:10 131:8 136:8 141:5 146:14 individuals 89:25 94:14,15 98:18 inept 69:19 inevitable 42:15 58:8 inevitably 84:6 126:17 infer 86:20 104:17 influence 105:24
			I	
		idea 48:19 134:11 161:23 192:11 213:22		

inform 212:2	75:15 88:18 89:9	70:13 133:9	126:23 132:12	147:24 173:7,9
information 13:24	104:10 107:17	207:21 210:21	133:21 135:25	174:5,24 175:12
20:10 25:1 34:3	129:25,25 131:2	217:12 224:12	157:24 164:18	177:4 197:13
56:1 59:19,24	131:3,18 136:7	226:20 228:3	167:18 169:12	205:9,17 217:22
60:4,14 89:16	143:5 146:1	229:2 230:7	174:24 175:8,12	235:1,21
93:17 116:12,19	168:17,18 176:18	237:2 238:25	175:14,20 178:13	involvement 30:6
151:22 155:17,18	180:12 189:5	internet 53:24	178:19 181:3	41:25 72:6,7
156:2,15 175:22	258:25 259:4	interpret 212:18	183:12 184:17	96:22 104:2
182:15 183:18,21	inspector's 4:19	interpreted 162:15	185:5 201:10	122:7 125:4
184:18 185:20	inspectors 2:22	intervene 93:23	202:4,23 203:2	126:14 129:3,5
186:7 187:12,25	3:5 103:3	intervention 15:15	204:7,21 206:2	149:14 152:10
188:2 190:1	instances 181:12	interview 15:18	207:3,20 209:7	155:21 156:23
201:5 243:7,9	instruct 186:12	102:12 184:22	212:11 214:23	207:19 216:18,25
254:24	instructed 158:22	228:14 229:9	216:19 232:21	218:20 252:21
informed 84:5	197:23 225:23	interviewed 219:5	246:18 249:1,2	involving 36:9
135:15	instructing 179:20	intrinsically 96:9	251:22 258:9	38:22
inherently 95:11	193:12 225:8,21	introduced 170:4	259:21 260:8	IO 81:5
inherited 16:25	instruction 153:8	intrusive 11:1	263:10 264:4	IPC 18:2
initial 27:7 133:12	161:21 164:3	investigate 5:5,10	265:7	IPC00033 76:14
134:3 157:6	instructions	8:15 11:13 16:21	investigations	IPC1 70:13
177:21 246:11	162:14	134:6 153:10	70:18 71:19 72:3	IPC1042 20:22
256:9	insuperable 6:16	155:22	72:24 74:12 75:3	IPC11 152:3
initially 12:6,10	integrator 98:9	investigated 29:3	75:23 88:11,24	IPC127 132:3
78:2 127:1	intel 54:8 225:10	40:2 56:9 256:11	89:5,12,17,19,22	133:8
initiate 73:10 82:6	intelligence 172:25	investigating 4:17	92:3 104:18	IPC13 33:18 36:5
257:18	173:2 217:19	4:18 36:17 43:18	112:15 113:3	177:13
initiated 76:23	251:23,25 252:7	72:23 83:24	114:2 180:15	IPC141 12:16
80:20,21 256:9	intended 138:6	91:15 125:13	255:1 260:18,25	IPC146 109:16
initiative 160:10	165:14,23	147:21 214:11,12	263:2,18	IPC15 117:16
ink 117:25	intending 161:13	248:21 249:7	investigative 70:16	IPC172 67:25
input 29:7 246:25	163:21	investigation 3:16	93:2 133:22	IPC200 182:23
258:23	intention 160:4	5:2 10:3 11:21	144:12,13 177:1	IPC203 168:7
inquest 19:11	intentionally 69:9	12:5 16:12,19	180:22 184:24	IPC213 190:9
20:23,25 52:4	interaction 113:15	17:1,1,22 19:6,15	185:21 188:2	220:12
55:19 68:2,4,15	230:21	27:2,7 28:25	189:6,13 238:12	IPC224 195:10
68:22 85:6 89:17	interactions	30:24 32:10,15	investigators	221:5
113:24 216:20	106:18	32:17 33:10,14	70:19	IPC225 148:4
220:7 222:14	interest 199:18	34:9 35:3,4 45:1	invite 90:9	IPC228 243:3
226:10 235:25	interested 122:5	45:21 50:4,8	involve 39:9 63:22	IPC246 64:25
236:10,22 249:16	133:14 252:20	55:23 58:14	146:14	IPC248 240:18
inquests 251:24	internal 3:4,23	61:12 63:12 69:8	involved 10:7,19	IPC252 37:8 38:8
266:8	12:16 17:9,13	69:11,19 70:5	16:19 27:9,13	229:2
inquiry 65:19,20	18:2,4,7 20:22	73:2,4 74:18	34:23 36:4 37:16	IPC253 38:23
inside 24:22	22:15 24:9,24,24	75:23,25 80:24	38:14 39:16 66:3	IPC267 53:17
inspect 141:10,13	25:12 36:6 37:8	105:4,9,10,15	66:22 71:24 87:4	IPC28 18:4
inspector 2:14,24	47:3,5,21 49:1,5	107:23 112:6,9	87:8 96:14,22	IPC284 17:5,9
2:25 3:6 4:2,3,7	49:10 52:13	117:10 120:9	97:16 98:7 123:7	IPC324 22:15
4:25 10:12 54:10	56:20 60:8 63:3	122:12 123:7,18	125:13,18 127:23	IPC33 204:9
55:3,6,11 66:19	64:25 65:20	125:4,6,9,19	136:17 145:15,22	252:23

IPC335 197:24	January 204:11,20	117:21	Kent 161:4 225:7	209:4,7 229:22
IPC35 207:21	206:4	jury 1:6,13 2:2,3	kept 68:19 254:14	234:2 253:8
IPC375 224:12	Jayne 220:22	2:20 8:11 12:2	258:20 263:12,17	255:22 264:3
IPC376 47:21	222:1 243:5	17:9 18:25 22:14	key 32:9 45:5	know 2:7 5:12,16
226:20 238:25	Jigsaw 98:22,25	22:14 24:7 33:18	46:16 49:20	5:20,21 6:1 9:25
261:7	99:18 103:16	41:18 43:15 45:6	55:23	14:2 16:8 17:15
IPC378 56:20	job 29:18,22,22	52:16 56:20	KG1 131:1	18:17,24 20:7,11
186:14 228:3	41:7 42:10 79:9	57:10 58:21 62:2	KG5 131:6,7,9	20:13,15,17,18
IPC4 240:7	97:11 104:15	63:1,3 72:11,16	Kilburn 184:1	22:11 23:1,25
IPC408 3:4,23	136:20,23 141:17	74:13 97:15	kill 202:11	27:4,20 29:23
47:3 49:1,10	142:18 151:5	107:10 109:14	killed 29:6 71:15	30:16 32:20
60:8 65:20 90:7	161:12,13,14	114:17,19 117:16	72:1 82:12 180:2	33:14 35:4,23
104:8 108:7	165:19 180:14	117:17 118:25	190:6	37:5 38:3 40:2
IPC471 52:13	203:18,22 216:4	120:9 121:24	killer 241:6	41:17 42:23,23
IPC627 63:3	236:25 248:7	122:19 124:11	killing 144:23	43:10 50:10
188:21	251:18	128:19,21,25	145:5,23 146:15	51:25 52:8 56:16
IPC628 172:2	jobs 63:15,22	129:22 130:4,6,8	197:13 201:24	61:23 63:14,19
217:12	230:10 250:5	132:2 133:9	258:10	64:21 66:10,23
IPC63 134:23	Joe 39:14 215:23	137:19 148:2	kin 157:17 208:8	68:16 69:12
IPC756 232:24	216:5	152:4 156:20	208:12	81:14 86:2 88:18
IPC774 221:20	jog 124:13 130:25	172:23 186:15	kind 10:22 48:4	90:18 91:8 95:14
IPC81 17:13,13	joined 119:10	187:3 188:20	54:1 74:4 75:23	100:9 106:24
IPC86 24:6,23	joint 84:1	191:10 192:7	81:4 87:23 88:10	111:11 115:6
IPC9 181:18	Jon 22:24 23:2,22	193:11 203:3	107:16 116:5	118:19 121:8
187:14	26:7,18 56:12	207:10,15 208:16	Kirby 168:16	122:6 127:8
IPCC 70:13	111:19 183:20,21	208:16 212:16	169:15 171:10	132:12 140:2,16
226:21	183:22 187:19,20	215:13 217:1	Kirk 3:1 10:6	141:10 142:25
issue 39:5 59:6,10	240:5,5,8,12,23	239:16,21 243:20	29:20 36:9,14	144:8 145:16
61:15 62:4 63:9	240:23	244:13 249:10	37:10 39:15	147:1 153:23
65:4 84:1 99:13	journalist 40:24	255:10 258:7	40:20 41:5 42:4	154:9,12,19
135:16 136:5	41:8,16 42:11	261:11 263:23,24	42:25 43:13	160:24 161:3
193:3 197:3	43:10 109:6,7	266:3	50:12 65:4 85:15	162:3,10 163:3,6
199:12 203:8	231:19	jury's 17:6	107:11 109:7	164:12 165:4,20
251:21	journey 64:11	justice 127:5 232:9	113:10 122:19	166:15 167:16
issued 150:13	Joyce 129:25	justifies 95:9	176:18 180:12	172:14,16 173:22
issues 8:19 43:12	131:3,18 139:2		189:1,5 191:2	173:25 174:6,13
56:12 71:20	143:5	K	195:3 224:21	174:15 175:3,11
95:23 97:23	Joyce's 258:25	Kamgdom 24:4,13	225:2 227:7	177:17 179:23
113:1 116:24	judgment 107:18	24:20 25:2	228:11 229:4,15	184:21 186:6
146:4 234:15,22	July 73:20 261:14	Karl 22:11,18	231:10 235:15	187:5 189:20
250:20	June 12:19 68:10	23:25 24:4,11,13	250:13 256:22	190:16 191:19,25
it' 236:8	113:22 150:2	24:16 25:2,16	Kline 193:18	193:25 194:18
item 49:20 195:3	151:15 174:1	26:3,8,18 56:12	knew 6:17 11:10	195:23 196:11
items 50:22	207:20,23 210:7	keep 64:18 65:8,10	15:7 26:4 40:3	198:3 199:8
	217:22 218:18	80:25 141:7	43:2 47:25 48:14	202:20 203:8
J	230:20,23 232:17	227:19 248:16	48:16 104:12	205:20 206:8,13
Jack 71:14	junior 29:4 51:18	250:5,7,8,8	164:4 167:20	206:17,22 207:24
Jackie 192:2	204:25 263:11	251:14 263:9	174:20,21,23	210:17 211:13,15
249:11	juror 115:19	keeping 141:8	198:23 206:13	211:19,20 212:3
Jacqueline 191:10		227:13 249:25		

212:6,9 213:3	lack 8:17 44:7 84:1	155:2,10 159:17	limitation 261:22	list 52:21 116:2
215:12 217:12,17	158:5 260:10	170:11 177:11	limitations 5:23	136:7 172:3
217:21 218:15,16	264:22 265:12	178:2,21,22	92:6	195:3 228:13
221:13 227:3	lacked 239:17	181:12 182:21	Lindsay 208:5	252:24
228:10 229:22	landed 200:23	185:18,19 187:14	line 34:11 36:22	listed 19:20
231:8,17,22	landlord 24:20	188:18 195:9	47:6 51:4 97:12	listening 129:18
232:6,11 234:23	25:14	200:5,5,12,13,22	123:4 178:24	217:2
234:24,25 238:22	lapsed 248:12	201:12 210:19	199:18 213:16	literally 128:5
238:23 239:7	large 100:2 114:18	214:24	233:11 238:24	209:8 214:20
240:5,16 241:2,3	131:23 148:3	level 3:21 5:3	lines 9:9 13:10	215:9
241:9,17 244:1,9	151:6 168:10	11:20 93:3	21:14,15 40:13	little 7:2 9:17 34:5
244:11,24 247:3	largely 27:13	101:23 102:2,5	46:11 52:18	36:4 46:5 52:25
247:6,14 249:18	larger 130:7	112:19 235:2	59:14 60:9,23	53:10,16 58:1
250:1,21,25	LAS 143:21 144:1	250:12 256:11	61:1 71:3,8	107:15 128:16
253:6,8,16	lasted 77:12	257:11	114:7 170:14	129:15 159:17
257:20,21 258:18	Lastly 256:4	levels 93:6 253:13	178:22 183:4	160:12 169:5
260:24 262:18	late 83:17 150:1	LGB 87:1	186:19 198:4	174:13 178:4,6,9
265:1	161:25 182:5	LGBT 64:1 92:8	245:3 259:20	183:4 207:22
knowing 206:4	213:10 247:18,20	liaise 195:13	260:8	live 161:4
knowledge 150:22	lates 159:1	220:22 257:23	link 40:1 43:3	lived 48:15 161:3
167:12,14 176:10	lawyer 2:10	liaised 221:25	44:19 52:21 56:9	184:1 242:12
176:17	lazy 55:24 57:11	liaising 19:6 29:5	61:16 62:4,13,18	lives 57:7 183:21
known 116:16	lead 5:1,16 93:20	34:12 195:14	112:1 173:15,16	187:6
139:24 151:18	146:16	257:21	173:18 174:8	load 244:7
155:16 156:1	leader 5:19	liaison 33:15 76:2	177:8 180:22	loads 250:6,7
174:16 177:5	leading 192:8	86:23 87:8 97:25	181:4,5,10	local 6:2 15:14
241:12 250:3	leads 20:3 23:21	123:19 125:23	217:10 223:10	86:23 87:1 92:18
Kovari 3:16 10:3	74:23 242:21	158:24 159:4	224:8 230:18	96:3 97:8 99:21
16:12 27:2 36:16	leafing 199:16	174:20,23 175:3	231:4,7 232:20	103:16 161:4
36:23 37:20 38:4	learn 247:21	175:8,9 211:18	235:16,17 240:8	252:9,11
39:17 52:20	learned 51:18	216:25 233:8	linked 31:15 40:4	locate 147:24
56:24 57:1 63:15	88:22 89:3	234:16,20 247:24	40:18 42:14,14	220:20
63:19 93:3	243:21	248:1,2,7,24,25	43:11,11,25	located 154:17
112:15 122:8	leave 103:25 123:4	249:10,19 250:2	44:10 62:8 125:5	225:10
153:11 178:20	195:20 212:15	250:4,22 251:11	173:19	locater 25:3
191:13,19 197:13	leaving 123:10	251:15,17 256:4	linking 34:8 35:20	location 5:24 6:12
201:24 202:11	146:12 166:2	265:5	178:12,17 179:22	44:18 115:25
219:1 225:5	179:16 202:21	licences 14:24	223:17	locations 103:11
228:8,13,23	led 7:18 45:15	lie 57:22	links 44:14 62:23	Lock 187:19
229:13 230:16	50:22 58:3 92:17	lied 15:25	63:9 68:25 97:24	log 33:19 35:17
231:5 235:11	left 15:19 33:5	life 31:25 32:2,24	173:5,7,22	36:21 109:17,19
239:20 243:7	120:6 123:8,18	145:1 186:10	175:21 217:20,23	110:5 115:23
254:10 259:22	123:22,23 131:18	lifestyle 191:15	218:7,12,12,13	130:19 177:20
Kovari's 125:6	142:13 199:25	light 89:16 237:24	219:21,22 220:25	187:8,10 191:24
127:9 179:20	201:14 203:6	255:11	221:3,17 222:4,9	217:14 222:8
183:14 193:1	217:1	Likewise 81:20	222:11 225:15	225:15 226:1
249:12	lengthy 154:14	82:9	226:4 228:8	227:2,9,11
<hr/>	let's 5:20 133:7	Lilford 112:1	232:2 235:9	249:18 250:5,7
<hr/>	134:21 137:16	224:11	liquid 46:7	logged 126:10
L				
L/T 182:5				

logical 57:9 215:14	78:3,4 79:5	240:12,23,23	246:12 251:5	82:15 99:5
logs 174:23 175:13	141:20 171:21	Luck's 240:8	262:10	117:18 138:1
175:16 177:13,14	175:13 180:24	luggage 141:6	manager 233:11	149:23 155:19
249:22 250:1	183:10 186:15	lunch 126:8	255:25	171:24
London 119:14	188:23 199:23	128:18 149:13	managerial 175:6	matters 11:4 93:3
120:10	220:2 225:25	161:24	managing 16:5	94:10 96:23
long 44:2 72:6	254:25	Luncheon 128:23	97:12 98:23	124:8 230:15
78:19,24 101:11	looking 9:12 32:23	Lyons 58:22 152:7	247:10 255:13,19	Mayhew 39:23
110:15,20 120:15	43:2 53:24 84:21	154:9 157:22	255:25,25	41:4 42:24
124:6,8 132:16	87:22 91:22		Mandy 61:8 62:12	231:19,19
133:3 137:23	102:25 103:21	M	234:11	McCarthy 4:25
227:23	123:9 131:22	machine 144:2	manslaughter-s...	10:11 66:19,20
longer 193:22	133:9 135:4,25	madam 114:14,25	155:20 156:10	87:6 125:14
258:20	142:12 144:4	115:22 118:6,9	mantra 117:10	260:15
look 9:17,22 17:25	147:13 157:7	128:15 245:15,17	manual 117:10,12	mean 6:24 14:17
18:6 20:4 21:2	179:15 181:13	245:24 263:21	132:12 135:25	16:3 31:20 43:1
22:4 25:12 30:23	199:13 204:10,20	main 16:6 84:1	191:16	80:5 86:8,18
31:22 38:25	218:8,11 219:18	99:14 121:8,21	manuals 133:1	93:6 101:9 105:6
54:10,20,23 63:9	219:21 232:2	122:2,20 123:10	manuscript	106:18 117:11
76:13 79:13	237:16,18 239:20	125:16 126:15	200:13,17,20	123:1,9 141:1
84:24 110:19	239:23 244:10,24	128:1 204:11	map 25:3	161:20 173:25
117:2,4,22	247:17	233:12 250:4	MAPPA 99:15,16	182:2 187:4,7,11
118:22 130:3,12	looks 32:3 37:1	maintaining 74:1	100:6,8,10,16	188:9 208:22
130:13 131:23,25	137:13 183:7	major 58:23 120:9	102:2	215:2,15 229:21
132:2,7,22 133:1	215:19	133:20 145:18	MARAC 99:15	229:24 248:20
133:7 134:21	lose 134:18	Majorca 239:23	100:10,11,18,21	means 11:15 28:8
136:6 137:16,19	lost 28:15 81:1	making 49:25 74:6	102:2	44:9 64:9 138:8
140:6 143:11	lot 4:21 6:18 11:5	174:2 194:12	March 90:16	138:10
148:8 151:14	18:8,13 27:4	225:15 226:4	262:13	meant 55:6 63:19
155:10 157:4,17	44:2 58:21 74:14	228:1 231:4,7	marital 21:10	97:14 126:19
168:5,14 169:18	86:10 88:2 89:16	239:8 242:15	mark 145:10	180:3,5 212:20
170:11 177:11	114:20 120:9	male 146:3	marks 21:14 144:6	230:1 249:23
178:22 179:4,10	129:22 140:13	man 25:18 29:6	Martin 37:11,13	media 15:14 20:1
181:7,12,18	152:4 158:13	37:4 127:1	126:6 168:16	39:12 41:21,25
182:21,21 187:14	175:13,17 187:23	145:23 218:18,22	229:5	51:10,14 52:2
188:20 192:16	215:1,10 216:11	232:6	MASH 93:20	55:14 57:17
197:19 199:10,21	216:23 264:19	man's 187:21	96:23 97:17	187:24 212:1,4
200:5,12 201:12	lots 86:13 95:3	209:25 214:1	mask 118:15	medical 135:15
204:23 214:24	140:21 146:4	manage 6:24	master 101:4	meet 93:19 214:18
215:18 216:1	215:20	34:15 38:2,5	mat 142:5,7,17,24	233:21
217:12 218:25	loved 243:10	42:19,21 61:21	143:15,16 146:12	meeting 85:15
219:17 220:12,19	245:14	92:24 94:4 98:1	match 81:18	98:12 101:5,7
221:5,5 226:20	low 93:9	248:7 261:2	matched 26:19	104:22 105:1,19
228:20,24 229:2	low-level 121:15	manageable 45:10	matching 238:18	105:21,23 106:3
229:11 230:5	Luck 22:24 23:2	managed 99:1	239:8	106:6 107:3
232:24 237:20,21	23:22 26:7,18	164:4 210:24	material 49:21	110:11 176:18,23
261:7 262:2	56:12 111:19	management	70:21 172:13	176:24 177:1,10
looked 29:3 30:17	183:20,21,22	34:11 73:12	183:10 185:9	183:25 188:25
41:18 53:5,18	187:20 240:5,5	91:16 98:9,10,20	matter 50:6 65:7	214:17 215:23
		99:3 113:9		

216:5 224:21,23 227:6 234:3 meetings 6:22,22 94:25 95:3 100:6 100:9,16 103:15 104:5 124:16 257:13 member 60:16 159:13 241:4 252:11 members 2:3 44:11,15 62:3,18 62:21 72:11 117:16 128:19 168:8 207:9 231:3,23,25 266:3 memories 124:13 memory 20:23 109:9,16 110:21 124:15,19 130:25 154:21 246:19 men 10:19 38:11 38:18 60:1,1 69:4 79:20 82:12 84:21 88:12 219:10 233:20,21 233:24 234:3 252:1 men's 51:11 mentality 133:22 144:12,13 mention 41:22 85:6 230:14 mentioned 24:12 39:23 40:1 47:15 93:7 126:19 127:20 174:14 177:13 180:11 188:19 195:12 223:4 225:11 227:6 250:23 259:9 mentioning 212:4 Merlin 17:25 18:5 18:13,21 93:1 232:4 message 61:6 messaged 216:3	messages 23:9 24:23 183:18 201:18 messaging 23:1 met 11:16 28:21 30:3 54:8 55:2 103:2 172:24 173:1,2 184:2 213:6 217:18 250:6 252:8 Met's 11:15 Met-wide 250:9 methodically 254:21 methodology 58:22 Metropolitan 11:9 69:23 72:20 89:4 91:24 119:11 237:2 246:3 MIB 172:21,23 173:4 217:18 218:1,5 252:7 micromanage 160:9 167:19 microphones 129:16 mid 123:7 middle 66:1 68:10 149:2 188:22 207:23 213:1 214:24 215:21 219:11 mightn't 219:8 mind 12:11 68:13 80:6 124:23 129:21 143:23 156:6 165:24 172:14,16 173:15 173:19 176:13 180:8 184:6 200:20 213:18 214:2 217:4 230:18 250:10 254:15 264:21 minds 71:3 mindset 30:15 82:25 84:5,23 115:14 256:23	mine 255:15 minute 29:2 43:1,9 58:2 232:2 minutely 132:8 minutes 77:12 78:15,22 80:3 85:15 111:1 210:3 240:9 misinterpreted 162:11 misleading 69:7 228:10 missed 28:22 46:21 74:23 83:11 142:7,9,10 142:16 143:15 222:22 missing 6:11 75:12 87:13 90:25 95:12,15,16,22 95:25 96:3,6,11 96:11,21 103:18 177:7 mistake 17:12 162:18 165:16,22 165:24 mistaken 154:14 mistakes 8:22,24 9:2,4,5 74:24 misunderstand 164:4 MIT 7:23 8:5,14 15:16 46:10 58:8 66:22 67:8 83:1 91:6 104:17 106:11,13 120:7 132:19 150:23 205:7 235:21,24 236:24 237:5,10 237:14,18 239:6 243:18 246:7 247:8 250:11 256:5 257:24 258:3,13 264:2,3 264:23,24 mobile 223:9 modern 25:22 moment 54:3 56:17 118:15	128:17 178:18 181:7 192:25 195:12 196:12 203:15 Monday 147:6 150:14 152:21 159:6 162:2 165:3,19 177:18 177:23 180:20 183:5 188:18 189:1 190:15,19 191:6 224:14,19 month 16:25 months 34:7 35:19 35:21 36:2 83:17 113:14 121:20 135:3 173:12 178:11,17 179:14 179:17 233:11 249:22 261:21 months' 18:19 morning 2:3,7 77:10 118:20 129:13 130:5 136:13 137:4,9 138:12 141:17 143:11 144:14 150:3 152:20,21 159:5 168:22 183:6 189:1 190:9,15,20 191:6 197:16 201:15 202:21 220:15 mortem 10:8 31:3 33:3,6 106:7,20 153:21 154:20 155:24 205:5,21 205:24 256:14,17 257:6,13,22 mortems 205:10 205:15 206:18 248:14 mortuary 153:19 motion 76:10 77:24 81:12,13 252:5 254:25 motivation 110:21 move 12:4 16:11	27:1 73:4 121:7 121:21 122:14 129:15 146:21 155:23 171:23 188:18 190:8 195:9 251:21 255:5 moved 32:3 120:22 121:5 122:11 123:14 204:11 movement 31:15 movements 19:25 21:20 30:20 51:3 51:12 59:13 60:23 61:2 moving 123:1 127:8 137:3 232:10 260:17 MP 234:18,25 MPS 8:19 130:18 MPS1173 200:14 MPS779 7:19 multi-agency 14:12 75:12 86:13 90:25 92:14,16,17 93:4 98:13 99:16,17 99:23 100:12,21 multidisciplinary 6:5 multifactorial 89:24 multiple 103:2 261:15 mum 212:4 murder 5:5 46:16 48:19 75:25 83:7 107:25 117:9,10 132:11 133:4 134:12,16 135:25 145:13 205:16 224:16,17 249:1 249:2,3,5 256:21 259:2 264:3 murdered 2:9 10:20 32:20 57:24 242:11 murdering 61:9
--	--	---	--	--

murders 83:25 84:9,19 249:7 256:24	80:23,24 93:15 93:16 110:3 141:25 145:3	257:24 259:9	noted 223:4 225:14 226:5	263:21
mustn't 28:7 35:24 42:12 55:25 184:16	146:17,18 153:9 154:3 156:14 158:9 178:12,18 179:10 185:8,9 189:21,23 190:25 193:25 197:25 204:5 236:2 239:24 252:14 257:9,10	new 33:22 115:23 233:8 260:19 Newham 119:14 120:6 140:14 news 240:20 nice 239:23 night 52:14,17 53:1 58:17 107:13 149:20 197:13 213:10 nights 108:18 nine 68:16 non-intentional 71:20 non-suspicious 36:18 non-uniformed 122:21 normal 109:2 198:6 201:14 normally 4:1,6,15 10:11 91:20 108:18 150:23,24 not' 34:8 note 31:23,24 32:5 32:10,17,19 33:23 36:12 57:22,23 58:20 71:2,9 83:15 109:25 110:13,22 111:5,9,11 121:21 126:7 138:17,19 139:3 139:7,17 144:16 144:17,20 145:21 146:14 154:11 155:10,13,16 157:4,18 158:10 159:9,10,12,15 159:19,21,23 161:18 163:4,8 163:14 164:9 165:6,15,18 167:7 170:25 171:21 180:1 201:23 227:13 245:20 265:14 notebook 107:7	notes 51:6 100:17 100:17 107:3,4 176:25 188:24 189:7 224:22 227:13 notice 143:17,19 notified 254:12 notwithstanding 253:18 259:10 November 1:1 66:12 76:9 77:4 77:6 78:12 83:17 105:6 135:2 233:16,17 235:10 235:22 238:7 246:21 252:18,22 253:5 261:24 number 29:12 59:24 77:23 78:20,25 84:8,9 84:17,18,18 86:24 93:7 101:16 102:1 103:6 114:18 122:21 137:12 150:12 189:6 193:12 205:9 239:17 240:1 244:9,11 262:4 numbered 170:4 numbers 14:9 84:8 189:23 numerous 140:12 nutshell 154:1 191:12	O'Donnell 37:13 125:15 210:8 O'Donnell's 126:6 O'Neill 198:19 oath 220:8 222:14 223:25 objectively 89:21 obtain 57:3 156:14 167:9,25 196:1 221:6,9,12 225:9 obtained 25:1 58:16 94:9 104:19 194:14,20 194:25 196:6 198:13 199:2 200:10 225:4 255:7 obtaining 58:13 186:21 195:18 200:7 obvious 5:22 9:8 9:11,12,13 46:11 151:23 154:3 obviously 28:10 45:13 64:15 79:18 122:5 123:17,19,20 124:18 135:9 138:9 142:8 146:8 153:19,20 153:21 154:13,16 154:18,19 158:25 159:9,11 167:2,3 171:5 174:20,22 190:3 192:1,11 212:17,17 214:14 224:2 226:6 229:22 235:7 236:16 242:20 244:5 249:24 254:12 256:13 258:23,25 260:19 260:20 264:2 occasion 167:25 occasionally 4:3 occasions 128:7 occupation 21:10 occupied 117:13
<hr/> N <hr/>				
name 22:13 24:5 37:6 86:20 98:25 118:17 187:19,22 206:18 210:18,23 211:11,24 228:12 230:22	needed 5:5 10:25 50:13 73:1 74:12 75:19 76:3,4 87:25 114:11 136:16 142:20 144:5 153:14 166:18 190:13 243:23 251:25 256:10 257:18,24 258:8 265:3 needs 81:20 96:2 194:1 196:3 254:25 255:2 neighbourhoods 64:8 86:11 neither 28:14 63:24 nervous 215:22 net 41:19 networking 253:1 never 31:4 39:24 42:5,8 49:12 67:1 87:10 91:15 140:20 151:9 157:1 162:21 163:21 165:14 167:5,9,25 176:2 191:17,18 192:3 194:14 205:12 206:8,12 220:9 222:15 224:1 226:11 231:22,25 234:19,20 235:7 247:1,7,7 249:17 249:17 250:19,19 250:23,25 251:9 255:14 257:1,9			
named 22:10 37:4				
names 22:16,22 36:15 39:18 173:5 184:21 187:19,23 188:4 217:20 229:9 230:10				
narrow 53:14 155:1				
national 13:14 91:18 93:18				
nationalities 87:15				
nationally 95:21				
natural 134:5 177:6				
Naturally 17:21				
nature 6:5 9:15 59:22 69:18				
near 143:5				
nearly 144:5				
necessarily 9:13 14:17 33:21 34:21,21 77:18 94:8 116:12 151:5 168:11 199:8 249:2				
necessary 93:20 126:8 155:22 164:20 254:19				
need 7:19 13:17 14:2 15:15 23:14 24:23 29:8 30:17 30:23 34:9 35:20 40:12 51:6 52:24 54:9 64:23 67:12 68:8 70:14 74:16				
			<hr/> O <hr/>	
			O'Connell 198:21 198:22 O'Connor 1:8 77:22 78:11 81:9 92:22 96:13 114:14 118:7,9 118:13,14 129:2 207:7 217:9,17 220:10 230:14 237:7 238:24 239:20 241:22	

<p>occur 166:18,21 212:10 231:11 264:23</p> <p>occurred 49:12 70:20 71:14 75:6 212:2</p> <p>October 18:10,15 66:1,11,12 112:9 121:4 122:3 123:8,14 202:1 253:3 260:17 261:24</p> <p>odd 169:22</p> <p>offence 145:6</p> <p>offences 26:14 92:7 97:24</p> <p>offender 98:9,10 98:12</p> <p>offenders 98:14,24 99:1,12</p> <p>offending 97:5,7,7</p> <p>offer 244:7,17,19 245:13 264:4,15 264:19</p> <p>offered 75:8 186:7 243:24 259:11</p> <p>offering 59:15 185:10</p> <p>office 16:7 121:8 121:22 122:2,12 122:20 123:10 125:16 126:16 128:1,12 131:11 147:3 160:14 196:18 204:12 213:21 214:14 223:9,23 228:19 233:12 261:22</p> <p>officer 21:18,19 69:20 106:11,13 110:4,16 116:20 119:10 125:23 135:17 143:9,9 148:12,19,22 150:24 151:3 156:5 158:24 169:23 174:21 175:4 184:20,21 185:17 190:21,25</p>	<p>192:14 193:25 202:16 204:25 205:6 206:11 207:4 208:13,14 209:8,13,21 211:18 214:11,12 214:13 215:3 216:25 219:24 220:23 221:16 230:9,22,23 232:13 233:8 237:14,18 239:6 239:13 243:5,12 248:10 249:20 250:2,6 251:11 251:15,18 253:15 262:17 264:3 265:21</p> <p>officer's 4:17,18 91:15</p> <p>officers 11:16 33:15 53:15 76:2 86:24 87:3 97:22 98:11 113:16 121:25 123:21 125:13 126:22 128:10 130:23 133:6,19,22 135:10,11 138:23 139:2 140:4 142:11 148:24 154:8 156:4 203:8 204:2 206:16 214:23 218:11 223:16 234:16 235:10 237:2 242:7 244:6 248:7 254:12 263:11 264:4</p> <p>Oh 179:3</p> <p>OIC 81:5 148:11 149:6 150:19 180:15</p> <p>okay 7:21 8:6 16:19 18:22,23 21:1 24:13 27:6 53:7 56:18 57:22 58:7,11 67:3</p>	<p>75:7 84:14,20 102:13 112:3,8 129:20 135:5 149:21 150:4 258:21</p> <p>old 25:18 204:17</p> <p>older 59:25 96:6</p> <p>once 47:4 66:6 94:8 188:23 194:14 250:2</p> <p>one's 184:6</p> <p>one-on-one 94:12</p> <p>ones 45:13,14 99:3 131:10 245:14 254:17 263:25</p> <p>ongoing 67:18 122:9 126:18 217:13 222:8 225:15,25 226:12 226:23 227:1 228:9 234:13 260:4</p> <p>open 51:8,24 52:1 52:19 53:13,21 53:23 54:11 115:23 116:11,17 251:22,25 252:12 253:1 258:12</p> <p>opened 249:18</p> <p>Operation 98:22 98:25 112:1 224:11</p> <p>operationally 112:12</p> <p>opportunities 28:22 46:21 74:7 134:19 184:24 189:6 238:12</p> <p>opportunity 46:20 238:10 239:7 259:11,13</p> <p>opposite 181:2</p> <p>option 7:1 71:2 160:23 161:1</p> <p>options 160:21 170:12</p> <p>orally 156:7 259:10</p> <p>order 82:11</p>	<p>107:12 171:24 215:13</p> <p>organised 94:13 233:22</p> <p>orientate 65:22</p> <p>orientation 87:16 234:2</p> <p>originally 40:7 228:21</p> <p>others' 44:9</p> <p>ought 203:25</p> <p>outcome 105:3 205:24</p> <p>outlined 228:9</p> <p>outrageous 60:16</p> <p>outreach 97:25</p> <p>outset 72:22 133:21</p> <p>outside 15:19 141:2 181:3 218:22</p> <p>outweigh 84:8</p> <p>overall 71:5 83:6 83:12 84:6</p> <p>overarching 112:10</p> <p>overdose 83:15,16 233:25</p> <p>overdoses 83:25 84:18 85:1</p> <p>overlap 128:5</p> <p>overlapping 192:2</p> <p>overleaf 77:3</p> <p>overlooked 203:4 203:11</p> <p>overnight 214:18</p> <p>overrun 266:4</p> <p>oversee 10:23 246:17</p> <p>overseeing 112:14</p> <p>overseen 148:16</p> <p>oversight 92:2 112:19,22 255:15</p> <p>overtime 260:15</p> <p>overworked 243:23 244:2,2</p> <p>Oyster 210:4,5,14 211:11</p>	<p>package 107:21</p> <p>page 3:4,23 7:7 12:16 13:7 17:13 18:2,4,7,11,25 20:22 21:2 22:15 25:8 33:4,22 36:6 37:8,21 39:1,21 47:6,21 47:21 49:2,5,11 50:14 52:14 53:20 54:2 56:20 56:22 60:8,10 63:3 64:25 65:3 65:20,22,23 68:4 76:16,25 91:9 102:25,25 104:8 108:7 109:16 117:16,17,22 130:19,21 133:8 133:10,11 148:4 148:8,9 153:1 155:11 157:3 168:13 169:9 172:19 178:22 179:16 181:25 182:1,2,2,12 187:14 188:21 190:17 192:16 198:7,11 200:22 204:9,23 207:22 208:15 210:20,22 211:24,24 212:24 212:25 215:18,18 217:13 224:12 226:20 228:3 229:2,11 230:5,6 230:7 235:22 237:4 238:8,25 252:23 253:5,6 261:7 262:4</p> <p>pages 10:6 27:23 51:17 59:3 79:5 80:11 105:6 115:25 247:19 253:9</p> <p>panel 99:17 100:2</p> <p>Panning 150:5</p> <p>Pape 11:19 18:20 20:7,14 22:8</p>
P				

59:15 60:13 62:15 69:18 Pape's 19:1,18 20:3 22:16 24:12 26:1 82:10 papers 68:8 180:24 paperwork 205:17 220:20 222:1 paragraph 3:23 4:1,15 24:9 47:5 49:11 65:22 66:1 66:8 70:14 91:9 92:5,12 102:10 108:9 134:2 148:9,9 153:3 169:21,24 170:11 201:12 202:6,10 208:15 211:25 214:25 221:9 224:15,20 228:5 233:15 262:2,5,6 paragraphs 90:10 133:18 135:9 155:12 157:12 170:3 172:20 216:1 217:16 261:7 paraphrase 89:6 Pardon 88:14 parenthesis 90:18 parents 61:3,7 part 4:6 8:1 11:22 11:23 12:6 35:14 37:4 40:17 43:22 65:2 86:12 99:14 134:11 151:6 155:10,12 163:4 163:8 172:18,23 172:24 175:12 203:24 206:2 228:4 249:5 251:21 260:12 266:1 part-time 96:21 192:13 265:15,21 partially 117:18 particular 14:18 35:11 48:1 51:12	64:5,5 67:19 71:12 78:17 80:15 86:12 125:14 133:7 135:8 138:7 156:4 197:3 239:1,4 particularly 11:1 22:22 51:1,20 60:13 83:14 95:25 122:7 140:23 163:24 189:25 243:11 263:6 parties 59:22,25 60:1,15 233:21 partner 2:10 61:2 61:6 110:1 159:14 239:23 240:1,1 242:8,22 243:8 245:19 partners 241:21 241:24 242:2 parts 8:19 11:3 116:4 party 82:13 155:21 156:23 pass 214:11,23 passage 13:19 70:14 135:24 169:5 passed 18:6 30:25 214:10 257:14 passing 17:17 56:24 168:8 170:9 pasted 187:16 pathologist 32:2 205:25 206:17 pathologist's 206:9 pattern 219:10 patterns 126:19 patting 234:7 Paul 180:4 183:1 192:19 193:6 194:22 198:23 201:6 206:20 230:9	Paul's 233:11 pause 13:6 24:2 175:1 265:14 pausing 26:13 34:10 36:16 40:10 54:13 57:6 91:22 233:15 237:7 pavement 127:1 PC 18:18,24 22:9 38:3 53:12 60:5 130:21,22 140:14 208:5 215:19 PCs 144:17 Pearson 111:2 peer 66:20 pen 117:19,25 penultimate 252:25 people 6:17,22 9:14 14:10,11,20 26:4 34:22 39:12 44:21 45:3 48:4 64:9,10 95:24 96:6 99:7 101:13 101:15 116:3 123:20 129:17 138:7 141:2,20 151:7 160:8 181:2 184:21 185:1,1 187:23 187:25 192:8 194:2 203:25 214:18 222:22 243:16 251:11 people's 126:16 perceived 162:16 perfect 240:4 perfectly 131:25 perform 91:23 102:15 103:11 performance 103:22 performed 91:3 107:16 120:13 performing 147:19 149:8 150:19 period 16:14,25	44:17 119:21 120:19 132:18 260:24 periods 101:11 104:3 permission 14:10 permissions 14:20 permit 112:19 perpetrators 100:14 pers 95:12 96:14 person 23:5,18 26:3 95:15,16 96:11 111:13,14 112:14 145:5,15 145:15 146:15 147:15,24 148:22 149:2 160:3 167:3 185:19 198:18 199:8 206:17 210:14 219:3 221:13,13 241:5 254:3 personal 85:3 personally 5:17 86:9 108:4 persons 6:11 75:12 87:13 90:25 95:12 96:11,21 103:18 perspective 83:23 perverting 127:4 232:9 Pete 37:11,16 229:5 phase 16:24 243:9 phone 51:8,11 78:2 81:20 114:9 116:23 124:16 149:16 167:3 189:23 192:20 193:13 214:13 223:3,8 phoned 153:4,8 223:6,7 phones 77:23 78:2 78:3 79:14 185:1 189:20,21 223:9 223:9 225:6	photo 140:6 222:2 photograph 142:4 143:2 photographer 143:2 photographs 25:10 117:2 137:20 photos 24:15,21 25:4 220:20 phrase 116:15 239:16 240:16 243:15 physically 115:21 123:1 155:25 264:14 pick 31:17 picked 47:16 192:17 picking 99:12 picture 94:9 249:6 pictures 117:7,8 piece 19:25 40:11 52:13 54:13 236:3 pin 149:6 PIP 4:22 5:3 PIP1 4:21 5:8 PIP2 4:21 5:1,8,9 PIP3 4:21 5:5 place 3:6 6:23 25:21 29:12 31:16 45:15 52:2 56:25 92:9 94:18 103:15 116:25 121:25 124:17 142:17 147:8 205:22 259:22 placed 116:11 places 16:6 47:15 plainly 14:11,14 40:12 57:6 plan 36:21 plank 55:23 planning 36:25 173:20 plans 94:19 plastic 138:19,21 138:23 139:1,4,7
---	---	--	--	--

139:17,24 140:4 plate 81:24 185:10 186:7 play 87:24 169:5 played 11:22 12:6 15:10 please 3:23 5:15 12:4,16,18,24 13:3,6,10,17,19 14:5 17:5 18:4 18:16 20:22 22:15 24:6,6,8,10 24:24 25:12,24 27:1 33:18 36:6 37:23 38:7,23,25 39:10,21 45:1 47:3,5,20,22 49:1 49:10 52:14 53:17,21 56:20 60:8 63:2 64:25 67:25 70:11 75:9 76:25 79:11 85:25 90:7 92:15 97:14 104:8 108:6 109:14,16 112:11 117:15 118:14,17 128:19 130:7,18 132:3 133:8,11 134:21 137:19 148:3,5,8 152:3 153:1 155:11 157:4 168:6,13 170:12 172:2,19 177:11 181:12,25 182:23 186:14 187:14 188:20,22 192:20 195:10 200:12,22 203:3 204:8,9 207:19,21 208:15 210:20 211:25 212:3,5,24,25 217:12 220:13 221:5,20 224:1 224:11,15 225:1 226:21 228:3 229:2,12,17,21 229:24 230:6 240:7,18 243:4	244:13,16 246:5 261:7 262:3 plenty 23:21 44:14 44:15 121:24 137:14 167:22 pm 66:12 67:23 128:22,24 207:12 207:14 266:7 PND 12:8 13:3,11 13:24 14:7 15:1 93:17 point 5:14 6:10 9:21 12:14 14:6 14:25 19:5,12,24 21:25 24:10 26:23 29:1,8 30:5,16 33:10 34:21 38:14 42:25 43:24 49:2 49:6,11,25 67:7 67:11,15,19 68:17 70:4,11 83:17 91:19 92:5 92:25 94:1 103:25 105:9,14 108:9 115:15 159:4 174:2,5 175:12 192:3,13 206:13 222:7 226:22 242:15 pointed 240:4 pointing 242:21 points 34:4 45:5 46:24 104:4 112:10 157:5,16 170:4 178:6,9 police 6:2 13:14 16:1 20:8 21:19 23:14,21 26:2,7 26:19 29:4 36:17 36:25 44:18 45:14,15 53:23 56:9 57:20 59:19 66:18 69:8,23 70:17 72:20 86:3 87:18 89:4 90:10 91:24 92:19,25 97:8,22 103:17 103:18 119:7,10	119:11 122:22 130:22 143:9 149:20 168:9 184:20,21 202:16 208:6 209:13,21 210:21,24 211:7 211:10 225:8 237:2 246:3 police's 11:10 105:13 policies 135:3 policing 51:21 57:11 89:25 222:3 223:15 237:20,22 policy 132:5,7,10 134:21,25 136:19 137:3 141:20 144:11 Port 2:9 15:17 23:18 26:9,12,13 26:17 44:14,19 45:16 58:2,9 232:5,8 238:19 238:21 239:8 241:6 Port's 24:15,21 25:5 50:23 81:18 portfolio 75:11,15 95:10 112:22 portfolios 97:1 position 11:10,14 14:19 68:24 69:7 116:14 positions 140:18 possession 56:2 possessions 141:6 possibilities 188:3 possibility 32:7 82:11 85:2 106:21 138:12 153:11 156:22 219:14 259:7 possible 30:19 48:11 71:16 77:11 83:2,8 85:23 105:24 156:15 161:20 162:7 165:21	170:24 171:11 173:5,16 174:3 188:10 206:21 217:20 219:21 255:20 possibly 8:10 19:13 60:4 72:6 72:25 100:1 110:6 113:10 114:9 142:6,19 145:11,19 146:2 146:7 150:16 168:17 174:4 219:9 226:2 post 10:8 31:3 33:3 33:6 106:7,20 131:8 153:20 154:20 155:24 205:5,10,15,21 205:24 206:17 240:20 248:14 256:14,16 257:6 257:13,22 potential 7:24 8:4 59:22 61:16 75:5 76:1 99:7 106:16 203:2 223:10,17 244:10 250:10 potentially 98:18 101:21 111:20 137:14 145:12 146:17 176:12 214:1 227:23 241:5 258:9 practical 194:7 practicality 112:13 practice 70:16 196:25 practitioner 135:16 pre-criminal 94:15 preceded 125:9 preceding 113:14 prefer 217:1 224:1 preferably 135:17 preferences 86:3 prejudice 85:25	87:23 88:10 premature 114:3 preparation 68:15 100:15 prepare 68:3 113:20 prepared 216:19 216:22 259:12 preparing 68:2 presence 2:2 64:7 72:16 128:25 207:15 present 52:21 106:10 188:1 224:21 256:20 presented 115:8 146:6 257:5 preserve 27:17 139:14 preserved 28:10 28:14,15 30:13 press 33:16 34:23 36:8,15,22 41:17 42:1,3,21 61:15 61:20 79:11 108:20,24 228:3 228:13 229:9 230:2,11 231:7 231:24 232:1,1 pressure 9:7 79:25 presumably 14:21 87:13 102:22 198:11 254:8 presume 154:9 210:6 pretty 201:19 202:14,16 212:11 221:14 223:10,22 previous 65:23 73:17 182:3 215:18 230:6 240:1 251:3 previously 127:4 217:9 pride 244:10,22 primacy 105:13 primarily 66:3 125:13 principals 133:17
---	---	---	---	--

principle 70:15 133:24 134:8,13	progressing 104:21	143:19	2:6,8 11:7 12:5 16:12,13,24 27:2	115:11 117:18 155:2 176:24
print 133:4	promoted 90:10 106:21 121:1,2	put 12:6 19:17 23:23 27:4 30:22	27:3 33:12 35:5 45:4 49:14 50:3	201:11 203:7,10 234:14 250:19
printed 133:3	promptly 13:6	36:25 57:22 61:17 62:1,5	51:17 53:13 67:24 72:18,19	262:10 263:1
printout 80:12	prompts 253:11	70:2 81:22 91:18 94:18 95:7 98:22	88:17,21 89:8 109:5 114:14,17	raising 96:25 101:25 158:7
prior 86:25 123:10	proper 69:20 162:14	116:3,17 117:15 126:7 138:23	114:19,22 118:13 119:3,7 124:6,24	rang 6:22 41:2 153:13 163:7
priorities 101:19	properly 60:24 89:15 94:11	139:13 140:3,4 144:6 146:23	125:4 129:3 137:22 150:7	208:6 213:11,12 213:21,24 214:3
prioritise 75:19	165:19 255:9,14	148:2 154:15 173:21 176:1	152:25 157:10 158:13 171:23	214:4 221:25 243:6
prioritised 76:5	property 19:19 117:24	179:1 196:19,20 200:24 203:18	204:4 207:8,16 214:7 239:21	range 90:4 ranged 93:9
prioritising 74:2 95:5	proposition 32:23 32:25	209:9,12,19 210:10,17 212:6	245:16,18,24 246:1,2 258:17	rank 4:11,12 9:6 87:7 135:17
priority 65:8	propped 218:23	212:7,20 215:19 216:13 231:14	258:19 263:21,23 263:24 265:4	239:6 245:7 rank-based 90:19
prison 99:2,12	protect 93:23	240:16 250:20 256:8	quick 162:9 quickly 53:4	rare 10:7 rarely 214:18
prisoners 121:16 260:21,22	protection 87:14 94:19 95:10	puts 196:18 254:1	232:25 quite 4:21 6:21	rate 199:1 reach 15:20 16:2
private 96:5	99:16 102:4	putting 10:8,10,10 36:15 139:17	10:12 12:7,21 13:18 18:8,15	41:13 66:18 reached 235:3
probably 31:10,15 55:8 68:6 96:2	proven 48:20	209:8	20:20 29:23 31:5 46:16 51:24	reaching 41:4 243:18
98:3 116:9	proves 134:7	qualified 3:20 91:23 103:8	81:11 108:20 114:18,20 119:20	react 95:9 reactive 98:16
118:22 161:8	provide 20:5 34:3 60:4 67:18	112:12 114:24	124:12 126:25 129:22 152:4,23	read 24:17 82:16 100:17 110:5
196:10 200:16,18	112:21 242:2 257:5	quality 113:4	154:13 161:9 166:17 174:11	132:16 133:15 144:16,17 145:21
222:18 226:2,13	provided 13:12 14:15 37:19	quarter 131:17	175:13,24 181:2 205:9 207:18	145:21 154:12 175:17,18 183:5
probation 92:20 98:14 99:25	65:18,19	query 155:1	213:10 223:25 237:23 260:25	183:6,7,11 197:25 198:11,19
100:11	PS 19:4	question 19:1 32:16 42:13	quote 219:5	202:6,8 203:6,11 203:12,21,22
problem 6:16 8:13 8:17 54:8 151:8	public 38:12 44:11 44:15 60:17 62:3	44:21 50:7 70:1 86:25 96:25	quote 219:5	209:6,12,18 212:17 215:11
proceed 102:4	62:18,21 95:10	105:7,8,12 115:15 117:14,21	R	216:6,7 220:19 221:24 233:7
proceedings 111:24 128:21	99:5,16 102:4	143:7,7 147:12 149:12 153:13	raining 139:15 raise 62:4 158:12	243:19 read/signed 174:22
process 58:25 115:20,22 164:5	231:3 241:4	157:23 162:7 163:11 165:24	176:21 196:7 201:7,7 249:23	reading 7:25 40:13 48:23 66:15
173:22 180:18	pubs 61:10	194:4,21 236:6 241:22 243:20	raised 50:7 79:6 82:10 83:1 92:25	175:16 203:12
196:5 205:15	pull 45:18 144:5	244:16 245:17 265:15	94:17,19 96:12 96:19 113:9	
252:21	pulled 143:17,18 144:6	questioned 69:23		
processed 78:16	pulling 70:25	questions 1:3,4,5,6 1:8,9,10,11,12,13		
produce 176:6	purely 139:13 213:20 214:12			
produced 85:13 152:16 226:23	216:24			
professional 44:8 239:17	purposes 21:5 64:19 225:23			
professionally 5:17,21 57:20	pursued 259:21 260:8			
profile 238:18,18 240:8	pushed 68:12			
programme 91:19				
progress 169:11 175:14				
progressed 116:7				

215:11,25 261:11 reads 21:13 153:7 ready 205:1 253:14 real 19:10,21 59:10 93:15 95:14 187:22 219:14 real-time 93:14 94:6 realise 143:16 247:17 realised 145:8 151:11,13,20 165:15 realising 145:22 reality 83:2 112:18 really 7:21,22 9:6 10:4 16:21 29:9 31:8,18,19,21 33:9 43:24 47:12 56:4 57:18 59:6 59:10 69:3 77:20 83:6,19 86:14 108:3 110:24 111:10,12,12 124:24 128:9 132:9 135:24 137:12 157:7 158:17 164:10 187:21 190:21 196:10 199:5,22 203:5,18,22 214:7 236:4 238:2 239:25 242:2 251:9 264:18 265:4 reason 16:24 48:8 48:18 65:8 66:14 74:16 101:14 109:24 134:15 137:12 146:1 158:4 179:13 192:24 209:3 236:21,22 244:8 244:10,13 249:21 254:23 255:11,17 reasonable 17:2 156:6	reasons 11:8 66:21 82:23 137:12 163:23 201:10 206:8 228:6 244:12 recall 8:10 14:8,24 15:22 16:3,7 31:1 35:15 36:10 42:7 43:6 55:15 60:13 87:2,11 97:12 98:4,7 99:12 106:5,23 107:24 108:2 110:25 111:12,14 117:5,7,8 126:2 129:7 130:4 138:25 139:8 142:8,9 149:16 154:5,11 158:2,2 159:10 166:15 169:15 181:13 193:16 203:12 206:8 215:17,25 216:12 225:8,21 225:22 235:24 240:13 252:15 254:10 Recalling 222:14 receive 38:1 252:19 received 12:12 43:21 65:10 66:20 67:4,23 152:21 182:14,19 200:17,20 240:9 240:10 receiving 16:8 183:19 recognise 76:4 85:7 87:19 133:16 142:16 recognised 75:24 202:22 recollection 66:2 140:5 156:8,25 171:1,4 256:5 recommended 257:24 record 50:6 74:1	74:17 81:2 115:24 116:6,14 166:8,18 recorded 110:7 171:14 175:21 recording 155:13 177:21 179:6 records 116:24 172:4 199:1 246:15 rectified 151:8 redirected 107:22 reduce 93:2 260:23 reduced 260:24 reengage 170:5 ref 109:22 110:9 refer 77:17 124:21 135:10 173:24 186:16 reference 3:4 12:20 17:8,10 18:1 37:9 60:19 63:4 106:25 107:2,25 126:8 156:22 179:19 180:6 192:18 259:6 references 13:14 169:20 180:19 181:7 referred 92:14 117:19 138:4 144:11 referring 86:5 118:1 178:20 227:1,4,15,17 228:11 refers 78:19 reflecting 111:1 218:6 reflection 59:12 reflects 135:2,24 refresher 250:22 regarded 189:25 regarding 153:9 155:17 156:2 regards 110:2 147:20 240:25	regret 72:3 regretful 27:24 regrettable 44:13 71:22 regularly 16:7 102:15 relate 265:4 related 62:10 92:7 relates 25:1 225:14 265:15 relating 47:8 108:23 184:10 relation 3:19 24:19 26:20 29:25 30:1 46:9 60:14 104:5 114:22 115:19 153:17 154:10 224:22 227:6 232:9,16 234:12 239:18 243:7,15 248:23,24 259:22 261:21 264:2 relationships 101:11 relative 94:21 release 64:17 110:17 released 38:18 64:22 66:6 110:19 205:5 releasing 64:13 65:2,21,24 relevance 196:7 221:15 relevant 104:3 194:1 263:17 264:1 reliable 116:22 163:25 reliance 108:8 reluctant 101:14 relying 141:21 remain 10:7 96:22 remember 27:25 27:25 28:2 31:4 41:4,10,12 42:20 42:22,23 43:14 47:7 48:23,24	49:3,7,23 60:12 64:8 65:15,17 73:17,18,25 74:3 74:6 76:11,12 78:1 85:6,11,15 98:11 104:25 105:1 106:18,24 107:10 111:10,12 114:6,8 123:17 124:18,20,22,25 124:25 125:21,22 126:4,4,22 132:14 138:3 144:18 149:17 155:8 156:24 157:20 163:18 165:4,8 168:2 169:14,18 171:3 171:5,6 173:21 176:3,8,22,23 177:10 178:1 180:4,17 181:11 184:15 198:18,22 199:11,13,15,22 200:3,4,10 202:9 202:25 203:5 206:24 207:6 210:6 212:7 215:5,6 216:13 224:3 225:19 226:6 227:3 228:18 230:24 236:14,15 239:22 240:15 243:11 246:13,21,22 251:8,16,19 252:3,14 256:16 256:24 257:7 remembered 199:23 remind 130:20 168:24 222:11 reminding 12:8 remit 115:9 remotely 71:18 129:18 160:22 remove 27:17 reoffending 98:15 reopen 50:7
--	--	--	--	---

<p>repeat 27:3 45:3 47:3 163:2 242:1 repeatedly 244:7 244:17,19 264:9 repeating 166:16 replied 62:9 222:10 reply 13:6 54:1 221:20 229:15 report 17:25 41:21 48:22 49:3,7,23 50:2,5 52:17 66:15 67:23 70:13 94:8 113:20 115:22 116:6,11,17 117:1,19 118:2 126:7 150:25,25 151:9,11,13,16 163:11 178:24 205:5,24 206:5,9 206:10,14,22,23 207:5 209:7,10 212:10 226:24 227:11 228:20,25 232:4 262:13,25 reported 166:4 reporter 39:22 reporting 96:11 reports 47:15 56:3 93:6,8 94:11 101:24 247:8 265:19 represent 71:12,18 88:19 207:17 representing 258:18 request 12:23 13:1 13:2 14:13 51:23 55:1,14 194:4 requested 30:13 222:2 225:2 256:14 requests 109:23 110:10 require 94:25 237:21 required 14:12 57:19 58:1 93:18</p>	<p>100:16 102:15 112:20 141:15 191:16 232:20 requirement 176:3 requires 58:3 Requiring 98:20 requisite 4:5 research 13:11 94:8 221:3 researched 93:16 resided 57:2 186:20 241:18 resilience 54:12 resolve 136:5 resourced 265:2 resources 11:11 55:3 112:7 113:11 115:11 243:23,25 262:8 263:15 264:22 resourcing 8:18 98:6 112:24 respect 77:1,9 80:14 81:21 83:14 254:10 respond 87:20 responded 214:5 responding 82:9 response 41:2 49:14 55:2 73:10 75:17 91:1 133:12 134:3 252:19 responses 87:25 94:25 95:4 responsibilities 75:11 90:5 112:19 134:25 260:9 responsibility 75:21 89:21 245:8 responsible 3:12 54:10 55:5 70:20 88:6 122:20 149:2 rest 109:1,4 180:20 198:8 211:3 231:18</p>	<p>result 22:5 41:19 58:2 66:21 74:21 101:25 134:4 163:3 results 66:10 67:4 79:7 176:6 210:9 210:11,15 252:17 253:21 255:21 256:2 resume 196:8 201:7,7 retired 119:8 123:24 152:7 retirement 123:16 retro 6:21 return 52:14 85:10 123:24 184:6 256:12,13,19 259:12 264:13,17 returns 259:6,7 reveal 18:14 254:24 reveals 69:15 reverse 197:17 review 73:8 79:9 82:1 83:20 84:2 255:9 260:4 reviewed 76:10 78:21 255:14,22 265:6 reviewing 233:3 reviews 116:5 Richards 111:23 238:16 Ricky 48:15 61:6,8 110:1,14 111:6 200:8 202:18 221:10 245:19,20 Ricky's 165:2,11 ridiculous 57:7,11 right 2:14,21 3:7 3:18,25 4:19 5:11,17,18 6:3,7 8:1 9:9,19 10:9 11:2,15 12:9,10 12:19 13:19 14:3 15:5,10 16:10,13 16:16,22 18:20 19:3,17,17 21:15</p>	<p>23:10,16 24:2,3,6 25:16 27:10,11 28:7,9,13,21 30:20,23 31:19 34:10,16 35:16 36:12 37:7,8 38:9,25 39:5 41:17,22 42:10 42:12 43:15,16 44:25 45:6,12 46:1,7,8 47:1,10 47:11,24 48:2,8 48:20,24 49:22 50:9,16 52:15 54:15 55:21 56:1 56:16 57:6,12,13 57:16,21 58:6 59:8,9,17,23 60:2 61:16 62:4,19,24 63:4,11 64:11 66:11,13,13 67:9 67:10,20 68:22 70:3 71:1,9 72:5 73:16,21 75:17 76:23 77:4,9 78:6 79:16 80:4 80:6,17 84:3 99:19 100:20 101:18 103:5,25 112:10 119:1,4,8 119:15 121:3,7 123:5 124:3,23 125:1,18,19,20 125:24 131:13 147:23 153:13 157:2 164:7 168:19 172:17 175:11 180:24 182:3,8 183:6,9 188:4,14 193:7 198:25 199:9 204:3 208:14 210:19 212:22 213:12 220:14 226:13 228:5 229:2 230:4 231:2 233:10 236:19 239:5 241:3 248:11</p>	<p>250:12 258:20 266:2,3 rightly 35:6 93:2 rigorously 71:7 ring 42:25 102:4 160:22 213:21,23 213:25 230:20 231:1 rings 209:6,13 rise 188:3 risk 11:3,5 92:24 93:4,6,9,9,16,23 94:6,9 95:9 97:16 98:23 99:7 100:12,13,21 101:1,7,8,9,9,21 102:5 134:17 166:16 risky 95:11 RM 103:16 robbery 5:2 role 2:16 3:12,17 3:19,20 15:11 72:24 73:12 90:24 91:3,13,23 95:15 96:24 97:6 102:8,15 103:4 103:23 107:16 108:15 114:24 120:12 135:7,19 136:12 143:10 145:18 147:15,20 149:8 150:19 151:6 175:6,11 234:15 237:8 249:6 251:1,14 251:17,19 265:23 roles 92:12 96:15 103:2,7,11 104:5 112:18 117:12 134:25 Rolf 1:2 2:5 39:18 42:5 61:21 room 33:5 126:16 129:17 202:17 213:8 rough 141:2 roughly 129:12 route 58:10</p>
--	---	--	---	---

routes 26:8,13	159:16 179:13	114:15,22 118:5	80:3	193:10 194:11
routinely 67:17	184:18 187:6	148:16,17,23	section 170:15	195:11,16 197:23
Roycraft 6:1 97:10	203:16,20 204:25	152:20 176:15	188:22 198:18	198:3 201:13
103:14,15,19	221:2 224:4,5,5,5	180:12 189:3	207:23	204:18,23,24
run 94:22 204:19	236:11 243:22	194:11,18 203:20	secured 110:18	207:23 208:2,2
260:18,20	264:19	205:2,12 206:6	see 11:19 12:19,23	208:18 209:11
rung 42:6	says 11:12 17:20	231:17 246:11	12:25 13:4,7,21	210:20 214:13
running 123:16	24:19 25:16,17	251:25 252:24	15:1 17:19,23	215:20 217:16
126:11 204:14	37:2,10,20 54:2,5	255:8,23 256:22	18:6,8,9,10,11	220:15 221:5
rushed 113:23	135:11,14 148:10	257:20 258:2,8	21:3,6,11 22:14	224:12,24 228:4
	148:14 155:14	260:2 263:5	22:16 24:23 25:6	229:14 233:2,4
	157:16 169:21	265:5	25:7,10,11,14,17	233:15 237:13
S	178:9 202:12,14	Schamberger's	25:19,20 33:24	249:22 252:22,23
safe 7:8,14,25 8:4	208:5,7 214:20	262:16	36:19 37:24,25	252:25 253:3,19
8:13,15 248:16	220:17 221:24	school 94:20	38:12,13 39:8,10	seeing 48:24 49:3
safeguarding 6:6	222:4 225:20	screen 13:9,9 17:5	45:11 48:5,6	49:7,24 76:12
11:4 15:4 75:12	264:12	76:25 80:12 90:7	49:8 52:22 53:21	80:12 85:6
86:12 90:25	SC&O1 105:12	117:15 130:11,12	54:20 57:15	seek 67:14 137:4
92:15,16,24	scale 70:1,2	130:13,15,18	60:10 62:9,14	209:24
93:11 95:10	scanned 170:16	131:25 132:3	63:7 64:2,10	seeking 98:14
101:25 102:1	scene 17:7,16	133:8,10 134:23	65:3,8,9,13,14	seen 7:19 14:16
safer 64:8 86:11	27:17,25 28:9,10	137:19 148:3	66:4 70:23,24	33:20 50:4 64:4
safety 8:17 122:15	28:11,12,13 29:5	152:3 168:6	76:19,19 77:1,3	64:5 117:5 119:3
122:23 145:14,16	30:8,12 47:8	172:2 177:12	77:12 78:20	119:13 127:12,16
260:21	110:2 116:10	179:1 181:18	79:14 107:2	140:12,20,21
Sak 62:11 231:4	117:2 127:14	182:23 186:14	110:12 117:7	141:2 147:1,5
234:11,17	129:23 130:19,23	187:15 188:21	119:19 121:18	157:12 163:5
Sak's 211:4	131:13 133:20	190:8 197:22,24	123:6 124:11	168:12 174:18
Sarah 62:11 211:4	135:7,18 136:8	200:12 204:9	128:13 130:15,20	176:25 191:24
212:1,4 231:4	136:13 137:13	207:21 208:17	131:1,6,17	199:24 206:10,15
234:11,17	138:2,5,8,10,13	220:13 221:20	133:12 135:1,10	207:4 222:17
Sarah's 210:25	139:1,3,6,22	240:18 243:4	135:11 136:6,9	223:1 226:11,13
211:1	141:17,22,24	scroll 12:17 13:17	137:20 140:6	226:18 231:3
Sarge 13:2	142:8,13,13,18	24:9,24 25:8	142:4,14,16,22	233:1,3 234:10
sat 31:25 265:6	142:22 143:11	47:5 225:1	143:3,18 144:1	235:7,8 243:21
satisfied 111:7	144:18 145:11,12	search 53:14 165:2	150:12 151:6	257:23 258:7
234:12	145:18,19 147:14	165:10 225:5,7	152:1 153:2,5	259:6,17
Saturday 129:5	147:16 149:9	searched 165:4	157:5 159:5	seize 141:15 153:9
146:24 147:14	153:18 156:21,22	searches 173:2	161:1,15 164:8	153:14 154:3
save 2:9	170:14 237:13,19	185:3	164:23 165:1	225:6 236:8
saw 117:8 152:19	239:14 258:22	seat 118:15	168:14,15,21,22	248:16
167:2 168:11	259:8	second 17:11 30:1	169:9,12,19	seized 48:1
173:9 175:21	scenes 28:14	36:12 50:25	170:1,3 172:19	selected 192:24
206:9,12 258:13	170:13 248:14	57:15 130:19	176:18 177:15,20	Self-evidently 99:5
263:7	Schamberger 1:2	157:6 169:21	178:3,9,22,23,24	99:7
saying 8:3,13,14	2:5,7 18:17 39:2	172:19 233:15	179:2 180:21	send 159:11 162:9
9:25 22:7 31:25	72:19 79:11 80:9	240:18	181:2,8 182:16	162:19 163:21
62:7 69:25 82:19	85:4 88:18 104:3	secondly 174:17	182:23 183:4,11	164:7 165:23
101:3 111:12	110:9 112:11	seconds 79:13,15	188:17 192:16	190:13 194:1
115:2,3 140:19				
140:20 158:9				

248:18 sending 81:3 107:9 107:11 129:17 151:10 169:10 sends 24:20 25:4 39:18 240:10 senior 4:17 8:9 39:22 168:8 190:21,25 262:10 sense 28:18,23 61:10 105:19 106:3 215:14 216:9 sensible 62:17 sensitive 13:18 35:4,7 sent 17:6,15 19:2,4 23:9 24:15 25:3 39:4 53:19 81:15 81:16,17 116:23 152:19 154:15 163:7 165:10 168:21 169:1,2,6 190:9 195:9 228:21,22 240:8 sentence 60:11 123:6 135:24 179:4 214:24 215:14 sentences 92:15,16 separate 16:4,6 101:4 116:4 225:18 separately 35:2 38:3 49:18 September 16:16 18:20 20:14,18 21:4 22:8 23:2 24:12 26:1 27:9 27:19 37:9 39:1 42:13 44:22 53:1 54:17 65:6 77:24 83:1 90:13 102:8 104:9 108:9,21 108:23 109:17,19 110:8 112:2,7 122:9 127:25 128:16 129:6 135:4 146:24	150:5 197:25 198:5,9 218:9 220:15 221:22 224:14,19 231:17 243:4 246:15 252:6 257:25 261:15 sergeant 6:18 15:2 19:3 27:12 34:12 35:12 47:24 56:21 75:14 90:11,16,20,21 90:22,24 91:3 97:11,11,12,23 98:4 112:23 115:10 121:3 122:2 135:7,17 143:10 147:15 255:13 259:5,24 261:4 265:16 serial 241:6 series 24:21 69:16 152:13 168:7 178:4 serious 8:24 9:2 10:22 45:12 57:18 91:16 94:6 120:11 245:2,8 246:12 service 18:19 91:24 97:5 124:1 140:12 services 51:23 92:17,19 97:7 99:21,24,24 set 39:8 73:1 76:10 77:24 79:18 81:12 92:17 108:14 112:18 138:6 150:1,9,17 182:18 194:13,21 195:25 204:4,6 245:2 247:13 252:4 254:25 261:14 sets 150:25 setting 81:13 116:5 151:11 172:12 226:24	227:11 settle 118:16 seven 21:14 91:22 124:17,19 146:9 188:9 198:4 212:19 215:5 216:14 224:2 sex 23:6 45:24 46:15 59:22,25 60:14 81:3,15 107:9,11 sexes 86:2 87:15 sexual 6:6 86:3 87:15 91:1 94:12 94:13,13 98:23 99:1 233:23 234:2 sexuality 38:11,18 shame 27:23 237:5 237:10 share 28:3 89:20 245:7 sheer 44:16 sheet 46:14,19,25 47:13,25 48:2,12 48:19 49:17,25 50:14 140:7,16 141:8 146:13 shift 126:19 128:6 152:16 162:1 204:17 shifts 5:24 98:6 126:21 128:5 shocking 69:16 shoplifting 121:16 short 44:17 72:14 99:16 207:9,13 shortcomings 89:19 shortly 12:21 23:6 63:14 169:3 177:24 193:10 show 104:4,7 109:24 110:13 111:5,13,13 132:4 152:2 159:9,23 160:1 161:17 163:4 171:25 245:20	246:15 252:14 showed 138:24 191:16 199:19 216:10 showing 25:4 61:11 109:14 110:22 132:11 149:7 159:18 226:14 shown 57:22 58:16 71:3,9 111:9,11 167:7 218:8,14 220:1 shows 25:2,9 42:13 80:13 sickness 195:20 side 8:16 34:23 153:22 175:9 205:17 248:25 sight 68:13 sighted 61:15 sign 56:3 79:21 131:1,7 235:5 249:24 261:1 signal 201:16 signed 77:15 78:12 194:16,18 235:6 253:13,14 254:1 254:2,3 257:3 significance 31:9 31:11 49:12,19 56:8 106:16 203:10,23 249:24 significant 4:4 22:22 26:2 31:13 31:13 50:18 51:1 70:1 95:19 100:9 102:1 201:8,11 203:8 212:11 263:1,18 significantly 70:4 88:24 100:2 signing 78:15 signs 61:11 similar 34:6 35:18 35:21 36:1,16,24 44:17 96:7 178:10 179:9 218:25 232:20	242:25 261:20 Similarly 61:1 simple 76:3 80:8 89:24 146:5,13 236:3 simply 7:23 19:11 21:5 78:16 82:13 82:17 94:12 111:14 124:25 151:16 162:8 181:19 191:17 192:9 194:1,7,14 219:15 242:24 single 62:21 100:17 113:17 141:5 245:17 260:22 sinister 59:25 219:15 SIO 3:16,19,20 4:1 4:6,15 91:19,19 SIO's 91:18 sir 43:9 sister 210:25 211:4 sit 5:19 11:9 71:12 71:18 164:9 site 189:11,17 192:17 225:2 253:1 263:6 sitting 118:25 119:3,4 124:15 126:20 140:7,20 141:12 239:15 260:1 situation 9:14 135:18 136:13 155:23 situations 96:10 167:22 six 121:20 197:24 198:4 233:11 skeleton 116:15 Skelton 1:4,11 72:18,19 88:16 89:3 246:1,2 258:16 Slaymaker 33:20 33:23 35:17 36:12 53:20 54:9
---	---	--	---	--

55:9 62:12 68:2 78:9 81:21 108:8 109:22 110:7,25 165:1,18 175:3 179:6,21 182:6 183:1 191:8 192:19 193:6,13 194:22 195:17,25 198:12 199:2 200:7,15 203:16 221:6,9 225:10 232:19 233:4 234:7,13 240:9 252:5,6,16 Slaymaker's 36:21 109:17 175:11 177:13 sleep 25:13,16 sleeping 141:2,3 sleeps 24:19 sleeve 138:20,21 138:23,24 139:1 139:4,7,13,17,24 140:4 slight 86:21 slightest 135:22 136:15,22 137:7 slightly 89:6 119:16 169:22 241:22 small 21:25 43:22 94:22 97:8 98:10 98:13 131:24 163:8 193:3 smaller 137:17 251:12 social 19:25 51:10 51:14 52:2 55:14 57:17 94:20 99:23,24 187:23 212:1,4 253:1 sofa 24:19 solution 54:7 solve 88:1 solved 83:4,17 somebody 20:18 22:11,24 25:17 31:16 39:14 53:2 53:24 54:5 61:9	72:1 94:18 107:12 210:10 215:12 239:24 240:1 255:2 265:16 somewhat 256:5 son 21:9 159:14 161:17 209:22 son's 170:17 soon 151:2 165:15 sooner 237:6,11 sorry 17:13 24:2 71:22 76:18 162:16 163:2 172:15 179:3 182:1,1 190:23 198:19,22 210:16 212:6 223:9 234:6 242:1,4 262:4 266:3 sort 30:4 36:9 51:19 53:3 74:17 80:13 87:6 121:17 122:8 139:18,21 149:2 172:8 175:6 204:24 205:19 247:18 248:15 251:14 sorts 84:16 87:7 140:22 sought 104:13 136:5 sound 66:13 108:3 129:17 sounds 73:21 source 51:8,24 52:1,19 53:13,21 53:23 54:11 251:22,25 253:1 sources 252:13 spaced 119:1 Spain 57:2,8 186:20 187:6 241:18 spat 237:2 speak 40:24 41:7 42:24 43:9 55:3 63:22 71:20,21	88:3,5 110:3 146:18 154:8 160:16 171:6 176:15 180:18 191:14 210:25 231:11,18,23 232:1 241:24 242:5,20 256:25 speaking 41:4,16 42:11 68:19 120:12 126:22 129:12 154:21 156:3 169:14 170:7 171:11 243:5 special 10:8 31:3 33:6 106:7,20 205:4,10,15,21 256:14,16 257:6 257:13,22 specialist 4:16 8:20 91:12,21 106:10,13 specials 246:24 specific 3:21 61:19 83:9 87:25 93:14 131:7 251:19 257:25 specifically 3:17 3:19 15:6 23:13 42:19 59:25 62:5 63:9 92:8 106:5 126:4 153:16 244:8 248:3 265:20 specifics 176:23 spectrum 87:14 94:3 95:23 speculating 222:24 spelt 188:4 spent 78:15,25 137:23 split 96:15 159:1 SPM 106:16 107:22 spoke 56:23 106:19 109:22 125:24 128:10 151:15 154:11,16	169:3,6 208:11 236:9 240:4 257:1 spoken 169:20,23 170:19 208:8 209:22 211:4 231:25 232:13,14 spooled 233:16 staff 7:17 113:16 204:16 staffing 99:13 stage 28:5 32:14 72:10 73:23 120:25 139:22 155:21 164:3 171:21 202:7 209:23 211:9 218:8 staged 138:2,5,9 138:13 156:22 259:8 stages 27:7 32:12 79:9 94:16 216:18 stand 56:3 143:1 143:11 209:3 standard 153:20 189:13 196:9 standing 143:5 stands 158:4 Stanworth 83:13 start 90:9 109:16 115:24 118:8,9 119:6 125:8,19 181:9 202:3 204:7 207:19 247:18 260:25 started 83:11,18 85:9 93:7 112:9 128:6 129:12 155:1 228:2 247:17 starting 170:11 starts 43:21 153:3 179:4 state 179:8 190:3 193:10 stated 215:1,10,22 216:11 243:8	statement 2:19 5:15 18:19 19:2 19:19 20:4 21:17 22:8,16 24:12 26:1 56:16,18 57:3 98:22 102:11,11,14 105:8 108:6 119:13 138:22 140:3 156:19 166:9,11 167:10 168:1 185:13,15 185:16,24 186:1 186:2,12,13,15 186:22 187:8,9 195:18 196:1,6,9 196:13,15,17 197:6,19,24 198:8,11,13,16 199:6 200:7,10 200:22 201:4,8,9 202:1 203:9,17 203:22 221:6,9 221:12 224:11,16 225:4,9,23 226:21 228:4 238:25 247:23 statements 65:18 110:18 124:8,21 232:10 states 109:23 213:12 station 103:17,19 149:20 statistics 84:11,16 status 21:10 stay 123:7 stayed 68:17 261:4 stays 79:21 step 134:17 Stephen 2:9 23:18 26:9 238:19 Stepping 232:2 steps 177:2 stick 37:22 229:16 STOATE 1:9 207:16,17 245:15 story 219:6 straight 26:9
---	--	---	---	--

121:7 129:4 151:3 162:1 200:14 strange 214:21 strategy 73:1 95:3 stream 20:9 street 24:21 25:4,5 218:22 strength 155:24 stretched 11:13 54:4 112:24 113:18 115:11 stretching 7:17 strike 140:9 142:3 142:5 striking 156:9 struck 139:9 184:14 stuff 51:21 82:4 124:22 141:15 160:8 225:18 233:3 237:24 241:14,15 249:23 254:13 style 80:13 subject 83:4 101:15 192:17 subjects 253:2 subjects' 173:4 217:19 submit 45:22 250:3 submitted 46:6 50:15 submitting 46:11 48:8,18 subsequent 109:4 261:21 subsequently 96:15 110:6 116:25 substance 132:10 substantive 2:22 2:23 36:13 103:3 103:3 135:17 success 133:21 sudden 53:21 128:3 135:15 140:13	suddenly 197:16 sufficient 4:24 5:10 33:9 259:2 sufficiently 56:10 suggest 9:21 46:25 52:25 57:18 71:11 137:11,13 145:17 151:18 155:21 162:13 179:17 197:12 204:24 239:16 suggested 61:14 69:12 149:16 242:6 suggesting 16:18 64:21 151:5 181:3 199:2 242:18 suggestion 58:4 141:7 165:6 180:21 184:2 216:16 224:6 228:1 suggestions 62:17 suggests 52:24 53:8 suicide 96:12 109:24 110:13 111:5,9 134:5 139:19 154:11 155:16 157:18 161:17,18 163:4 233:25 suicide-manslau... 83:3 summarised 70:12 summary 15:8 37:11 70:15 100:17 136:12 154:22 156:6 163:19 229:8 248:20 262:5 summer 15:9 Sunday 147:3,9,19 149:9 150:7,19 151:8,19 152:12 164:20 165:22 168:22 172:6 173:15 180:20	181:14 182:24 183:12 sunglasses 46:1 Superintendent 83:5 105:2 113:10 148:8 169:10 256:18 superiors 170:9 257:19 supervised 27:8 supervising 10:12 35:3 133:6 253:15 supervision 11:1 252:23 253:20 supervisor 148:23 154:8 203:21 249:23 supervisor's 246:20,23 247:1 supervisors 246:25 supplied 40:8 supply 125:22 support 32:23 103:1 265:2 supported 148:20 148:21 supporting 19:11 97:17 105:10,14 supports 70:21 suppose 144:4 supposed 190:6 193:9 sure 3:9,24 16:1 20:20 24:25 51:24 56:5,17,18 80:25 85:2 100:8 114:21 115:14 116:21 117:11 153:16 171:19 175:1,24 177:23 193:8 210:12,16 223:21 236:4 241:14,15 258:5 surely 10:23 15:23 19:16 26:21 30:3 30:11 139:9 161:20 218:7	239:24,25 surprised 154:2 surprising 140:24 169:18 surrounding 158:13 233:19 suspect 46:16 116:1 suspicion 58:5 158:5 259:2 suspicious 57:25 67:12 135:23 136:4,16,23 137:9,15 139:10 140:9 141:22 142:6,19 143:3 143:12 145:12,20 146:7,9 182:4 205:22 214:1,21 241:5 256:21 swabs 45:24 81:3,3 81:3,15,16 107:9 107:12 Sweetman 17:7,11 17:16 127:12,22 156:4 Swift 46:10 49:3,7 106:21,24 206:5 Swift's 48:22 49:23 207:5 sworn 236:10 system 3:3 7:3,11 7:14,22,23 8:1,2 8:4,12 11:16 14:22 78:12 93:1 93:17 115:23 120:11 133:2 203:19 205:18 250:8,9 253:7,16 systems 8:21	76:18 109:14 117:17,22 130:7 132:2 134:22 137:20 148:3 152:3 168:6 172:1 177:12 188:20 190:8 195:10 204:8 208:16 252:23 table 142:5,7,23 143:15,16 146:12 take 3:10,24 5:13 5:15 12:21 13:9 14:5 25:24 29:9 45:8,21 47:20 49:1 55:10 57:8 57:14 58:12 63:7 63:14 66:17 72:10 74:21 76:13 80:7 96:20 103:15 104:1 105:13 113:22 118:14,15,15 125:16 128:16 130:3 131:21 134:16 136:8 137:2,17 142:23 149:22,23 155:24 162:5 165:10,18 177:2 185:13,15 185:16,24 186:2 186:12,13 187:8 187:9 196:24 197:2 199:9 203:9 207:9 234:15 252:12 257:10,15,16,17 258:19 259:13 taken 19:19 21:17 24:12 26:1,9 27:15 29:12 31:25 32:1,2,24 45:15 47:13,25 49:17 52:2 56:19 56:24 58:9 84:22 88:1 91:10 93:22 104:15,17 106:1 106:2 109:4 126:10 133:19
T				
T/DC 103:7 160:11 172:21 217:18 221:6,11 225:8,21 261:13 ta 229:10 tab 17:6,9,14 22:14 33:19 52:16 76:15,17				

149:16 153:19 180:10 186:1 197:16 201:9 217:9,10,17 220:10 236:24 237:7 238:24 259:21 takes 78:20 79:13 79:15 196:13 205:22 talk 42:3 123:5 128:8 139:3 159:18,21 161:11 175:13 216:3 talked 40:15 65:23 126:17 138:5 talking 32:14,14 35:22,23 38:10 41:25 43:3,21 67:5 157:20 162:4 170:13 177:1 178:15 238:11 talks 24:20 25:9,12 38:11 157:7,13 task 63:10 77:12 80:8 158:22 160:22 162:4 172:11 175:24 194:21 196:1 222:16 226:7 252:12 task/actions 190:10 tasked 50:11 63:9 167:9 177:17 192:3,4 200:7 218:10 222:9,15 226:11 249:5 tasking 63:6 64:8 178:15 235:14 tasks 116:21 148:24 179:11 222:10 taught 246:17 Taylor 71:12,14 teach 64:23 team 5:16,20 6:11 6:15 7:23 8:5,14	9:3 14:21 15:16 29:7 30:6 46:10 46:21 58:8,25 60:5,17 61:24 62:17 63:8 66:22 67:8 69:16 71:1 74:2 75:2,25 80:25 82:20 86:6 86:8,23 88:7 89:4 91:4 94:22 97:7,8,22 98:10 98:13,25 103:6 107:17 120:7 126:6 132:19 133:5 137:24 138:4,15 148:20 148:21 150:23 158:25 167:19,23 192:7,9 194:9 198:3 204:17 205:6,7,16 220:14 232:8 235:21,25 236:24 237:5,10 243:18 244:2 246:7,18 247:8,10 248:21 249:3,5,8 250:4 250:11 252:11 255:19 260:15,19 264:24 teams 3:11 6:11 11:9 64:8 120:10 128:11,11 264:4 technique 189:14 techniques 185:21 telephone 6:22 18:20 58:14 160:15 214:15 216:23 263:8 tell 19:18 33:25 34:19 35:18 61:20 63:22 110:14 111:6 119:17 121:14 123:15 131:8 145:4 151:6 160:7,8 161:6 163:1,17 179:21 185:17 186:3	192:6,7 195:25 198:7 199:14 200:15 219:19,25 224:9 238:16 257:16 telling 32:3 33:24 154:10 159:10 161:22 163:3 165:13 170:8 183:13 186:8 202:10 214:22 223:16 258:6,8 tells 130:6 temporary 33:19 103:4 109:19 117:23 ten 52:18 tend 197:12 tends 179:17 tension 64:17,19 terminal 14:18 terms 34:10 45:21 46:20 59:6 71:24 84:8 86:17 87:7 91:16 92:6,7 93:4 95:14,15 101:19 102:3 103:1 104:2 105:3 107:9 111:8 112:12 155:7 159:13 259:5 260:5 263:9 test 71:7 141:1 tested 46:7 49:25 239:7 text 181:20 182:18 texting 201:15 texts 201:17 202:21 thank 17:14 72:8,9 72:12 88:16 114:12,13,16 115:19 118:4,5,6 118:11,14,19 119:5 128:13 130:17 131:21 137:2 149:11 207:7,11 219:20	245:15,24 258:16 262:15 263:20 265:13 266:2,2,6 Thanks 229:16 theft 93:10 thefts 121:16 theme 32:22 thick 141:10 Thierry 183:14,22 185:10,24 186:17 186:20 239:21 240:19 243:6 thing 5:19,22 29:23 31:18,21 32:9 40:2 48:4 51:19 53:5 60:16 81:4,11 85:24 121:17 139:18,21 162:19 172:8 177:6 185:8,9 190:19 192:12 198:13 205:19 248:15 things 5:10 6:7 7:6 10:25 11:6,8 29:14 30:18,22 32:18 34:23 46:10,11 51:15 57:21 58:4 59:16 59:22 61:11 71:22 74:10,23 81:15 85:4,21 98:6 124:6,25 144:19 145:17 147:5,8,16 150:12 151:7 153:22 154:20 166:25 170:8 172:4,5 178:15 182:9 196:3 205:18,19 207:1 212:14 222:21 224:3 226:19 237:1 247:6 248:25 252:25 256:15 think 2:7,14,16,20 3:17 4:1 5:8 7:1 8:3 9:11 10:15	12:13 14:5,22 18:2,19 19:9,18 20:7,10 22:21 25:16 27:12 28:4 29:8,11,15,24 31:4,11,12,13 33:3,9 34:8,10 36:7 38:8,22 39:7,11,21 40:6 40:20 43:7,25 44:1 46:6 48:2 48:22,24 52:1,7,8 52:14,15,15 53:18 54:5 55:16 56:8,15,18 58:13 59:13 61:25 62:12 63:4 65:10 67:17 68:6 70:6 73:16,22 75:1,10 76:9,17,22 77:3,9 77:12 79:25 80:22 81:8 82:16 82:17,23 84:1,5 84:21 85:7,21 87:2,5,21,23 88:9 89:20 91:23 92:2 92:4 96:13 102:12 103:10,21 107:11 111:8 112:17,21 113:1 113:11,13 114:3 114:24 115:4 116:9 118:7,25 119:8,16 120:3 121:7,19 122:1 122:11 123:6 125:18 126:1,13 126:18 129:14,19 131:15 134:12,16 137:16 138:24,25 139:2,19,22 140:23 143:2,11 144:13 146:19 147:2 148:6 150:15 152:19 153:17,22 154:7 154:12,23 155:9 156:8 157:22 160:21 163:14
---	--	--	---	---

164:17 165:21 166:16,22 168:16 169:15,16 170:24 171:11 172:2,11 175:22 176:4,14 178:20 181:19 182:3 183:6 185:16 186:25 187:21 188:6,12 188:12,14 189:3 193:5,6 198:16 198:17,21,23 200:2 203:18 208:25 214:21 218:13 222:7 226:23 229:25 231:9,12 232:19 232:21 233:7 236:25 237:5,10 237:11 238:24 239:11,12 243:25 245:22 246:5,15 246:20 247:22,23 248:10 249:11 253:19 254:15,22 255:5,6,21 256:2 256:18,20 260:10 261:20,24 263:7 263:14,15,17 264:12,14 265:22 thinking 31:1 48:19 58:1 67:1 105:25 108:4 117:10 146:16 166:22 181:9 199:19 third 34:5 47:6 155:21 156:23 178:9 Thomas 62:11 208:9,12,19 thorough 84:1 thought 29:1 30:3 30:23 40:7 48:1 48:3 52:2 59:8 66:22 88:3 127:4 138:22 139:25 143:21 151:9 160:4 161:21	162:8 166:23 167:1 173:21,22 180:17 187:7 191:1,22,23,24 192:2 199:8 207:1 239:1,4,10 246:24 249:21,25 250:17,24 251:3 251:11 253:24 254:11,25 256:15 257:13 thoughts 158:3,4 162:10 203:13 208:25 216:14 thousands 94:3 threads 45:18 70:25 three 36:23 37:12 40:14 41:21 61:23,25 62:2 96:17 103:11 131:6 147:2 170:14 173:12 186:19 228:22,22 229:8 233:20,24 239:23 240:9 252:6 262:22 three-quarters 131:19 three-week 73:22 threshold 93:19 98:11 101:7 thrust 32:15 Thursday 149:5 150:16 198:5,9 tick 77:18 78:20 78:23 ticked 78:21 80:18 255:4 ticking 77:21 till 102:18 time 2:12,21,24 3:3,11 7:5 9:7,15 11:6 14:22 15:24 16:5,14 18:15 26:13 30:16 31:2 32:4 34:8 36:18 42:18 43:6 44:3 44:17 49:13	51:25 56:6 57:15 64:10 68:15 74:18 75:19 77:13,17 78:23 79:8,17,25 85:14 86:25 87:7 89:11 91:25 92:6,23 93:15,21 95:5 98:2 99:10 101:11 103:5 108:17 110:6 112:2 113:3,8,18 115:1,5 117:6,8 118:7 119:18,23 119:24 120:1,6 120:22 122:5 124:7,13 127:8 127:18 128:1,5 128:16 130:10 131:10,12 135:4 138:2 141:14 143:8 145:18 146:8,11 154:10 155:19 156:12 159:3 164:13 166:17 168:11 172:14,16 173:23 175:18 178:12 179:22 180:8 184:12 185:19 186:6,25 187:7 190:2,4,5,12 191:19 193:8 203:12 205:4,10 211:12,17 216:24 218:3 219:19,25 220:2,5,12 221:24 222:7 226:8 227:14,23 228:14 229:1 233:2,4 234:25 235:17 237:22 241:9,13,19 244:5 247:4,22 249:18 253:5 258:11 260:12,21 261:12 262:17,23 266:1 timeframe 78:19	timeliness 94:10 96:1 timely 93:15 times 6:14 64:5,6 84:17 95:1 96:19 103:20 119:1 193:12 202:13 226:17 238:19,20 239:9,17 timings 12:18 118:20 tirets 178:4 title 52:15 74:3 228:10 today 34:11 63:8 68:5 110:9 186:16 216:20 219:6 told 18:22 24:3 34:19 35:6,10,13 43:15 52:4 53:13 54:15 55:9 96:13 133:6 138:22 139:6,9 140:3 151:2,14,17 154:1 159:9 161:12 162:11,12 163:14 167:23,25 169:2 170:19,23 170:24 171:10,17 176:4 181:4 191:14 192:9 205:12 209:21 216:12 222:14,18 222:23 223:18,24 223:25 232:3 236:22 243:24 249:16 250:11 264:7 Tom 234:11 tomorrow 24:8,25 39:25,25 50:21 238:16 266:6 tongue 74:3 Tony 59:16 183:25 187:20,21 228:11 top 13:7,10 24:8,9 37:21 38:8 39:1 39:21 47:5,21	51:7 54:1 65:3 91:11 93:13 102:25 130:21 135:1 143:18 144:5 155:11 182:4 183:4 190:17 208:15 211:25 226:22 240:18 topic 33:13 45:22 235:23 total 76:22 totality 83:20 totally 59:1 239:17 241:7 touch 141:14,23 141:25 183:15 191:17 Tower 123:18 tox 65:8,10,16 66:10,12,21 67:4 toxicology 65:1 83:5,12 110:20 153:11 178:24 253:21 Toyota 59:16 Tracey 208:5 track 65:15 166:25 tracked 65:11 tracking 222:21 tragically 69:19 trained 212:9 251:11 264:3 trainee 160:19 260:1 262:23 training 3:21 4:5 4:14,16 5:10 9:6 29:14 70:15 73:22 91:12 92:6 92:8 112:13 246:4 247:24 248:11,12,13 transcript 7:7 20:23 105:6 235:22 transpired 71:23 241:5 travel 105:4 travelled 90:8
--	--	---	--	--

treated 242:13	203:2	123:6 129:24	23:4 24:16 25:22	unexplained 36:17
treating 36:18	turned 71:25	130:8,8,22	26:5,6,10,11	36:24 53:22
111:15	246:24	133:18 137:17	27:18 29:16	128:3 134:24
trial 224:17	turning 15:6	155:12 156:10	30:15 31:8 32:10	214:1 219:15
trick 215:3	turns 23:19 100:11	157:5,16 160:21	33:25 38:19,20	223:17
tried 4:24 31:17	Turrell 1:7 27:12	170:3 180:19	44:4,7,12 45:16	unexplained/sud...
62:12 101:13	33:24 34:3,11	211:9 219:1	45:17 46:25	228:15
180:13	35:12 37:19	248:22 252:1	47:12,14 49:17	unfortunately
trip 74:3	47:24 53:11	256:21,21 265:6	49:18 50:17	158:24 160:5
trolley 68:9	56:21 90:21	two-minute 77:17	52:24 56:8 59:7	unhappily 100:25
troubled 95:20	108:8 109:20,22	78:19	64:6 68:3 69:10	unhappy 7:21,23
trousers 143:19	114:9 118:10,12	two-thirds 153:2	70:14 72:21 73:1	uniform 168:18,19
true 32:10 56:6	118:14,18,19	type 8:21 141:11	73:14 78:24	uniformed 5:9
62:23 113:25	119:6 124:4	215:13 226:18	82:18,19 132:10	18:18 29:4
165:13 217:5,7	128:15 129:2,22	241:23	138:11 140:19	119:22 135:10
trusting 41:16	130:7,25 131:25	typed 200:14,16	141:21 158:17	150:24
truth 88:5	132:5 133:14	209:3 210:13	175:19 180:13	unit 28:20 97:19
truthful 245:23	136:12 137:4,18	211:11 214:19	181:21 186:9	98:4,9 121:13
try 25:16 27:3 29:9	137:22 144:12	215:4,5 218:9	187:3 189:25	122:15,23 260:21
39:25 41:6 45:2	146:11 148:14	227:9,11,22	191:3 192:7	units 16:4 98:3
45:4,18 57:14	152:4 153:4,8	232:4	203:3,14,23	103:17 112:25
58:12 71:24	168:7 169:22,25	types 8:17 74:10	208:22 209:24	122:23
82:11 104:1	170:5 171:24	99:21	212:21 214:19	unlawful 258:10
109:7 130:15	174:12 178:5	typically 95:16	228:1 229:21,24	unmarried 242:8
141:21 167:2	180:10 184:7	100:3	242:15 243:1	242:22
189:16 203:3	187:3 188:24	typing 18:3 188:6	256:5	unprecedented
204:18 224:7	190:23 192:6	188:8,10,12	understanding	15:15
trying 7:8 30:10	193:10 200:15	212:14,23	24:11 40:12	unsurprisingly
30:15 34:15	202:22 203:1		138:7 141:25	170:8
44:11 52:13	204:5 207:7,17	U	157:24 158:1	untrue 179:24
54:13 62:3 63:6	208:2 210:8	UK 21:22	209:1 212:15	unusual 29:22
66:3 79:19	215:15 236:1	ultimate 83:24	250:7 251:15	76:3 126:9,25
115:15 139:14	242:10 243:22	ultimately 62:23	262:16	140:24 142:3
140:19 146:4	245:9,18 246:2	241:6 258:23	understood 6:9	215:24 239:14
147:24 149:6	255:10 258:18	259:1,24 261:5	17:2 23:11 45:19	update 171:6
154:17,19 157:13	263:14,22	unable 135:16	51:2,10 52:12	208:5 209:11
166:24 180:22	Turrell's 103:6	unanswered 157:9	67:7 72:23	210:4,21,24
193:18 203:14	266:5	184:10	156:12 164:17	211:6,10 221:23
207:3 215:3	twice 194:14	unavailable 39:24	166:17 176:2	252:24 254:13
236:25 242:16,18	two 8:17,18,19	41:6,15	195:2 204:1	updated 116:18
Tuesday 1:1 147:6	10:19 13:12	unaware 50:2	205:21 209:4	208:19,23 209:23
150:15 195:9	14:16 22:21 40:1	unclear 234:1	211:17 212:20	210:8,10,14
200:6 216:2	43:3 53:21 63:15	unconscious 87:24	215:16	253:21 254:7
256:17	63:22 67:4 69:1	underneath 183:4	undertake 158:22	263:9,12,17
Turkey 211:1	77:12 78:15,22	understand 4:25	undertaken 73:16	updating 169:11
turn 57:14 91:12	79:19 82:12	9:24,25 10:14	73:19 228:7	227:14
104:8 129:10	83:17 87:22	11:17,18 12:2,3	undertakes 173:2	upload 172:13
130:6 131:14	94:23 96:15,17	17:3,4 19:16,22	undertaking 73:18	upsetting 31:6
182:6 183:15	98:11 108:18	19:23 20:10,12	143:10 228:6	110:1 159:12
		22:4,7,19,21 23:3		

urgency 19:10,21	vital 242:21	137:11,13 146:22	110:22 111:9,15	78:11,11 81:8
urgently 68:8	voice 233:10,13	161:10 171:23	111:17 200:8	95:22 120:6
252:13	volume 96:14 98:1	172:18 178:3	245:19	123:21 126:23
use 74:6 92:22	146:23	185:15,16 204:4	way 16:2 21:20,21	127:14 151:16
135:6 179:16,18	voluntary 225:7	208:16 212:15	21:24 23:20	152:23 165:2
185:20 187:23	volunteered 12:13	238:3	25:25 44:10 45:4	181:20 213:20
200:19 212:9	171:12	wanted 128:13	51:22 60:7 62:20	231:16 253:6,18
234:2,6 240:16	vulnerable 95:24	131:23 139:21	62:22 65:16 71:5	257:9 260:22
243:9,15 247:3,8		151:21 154:8	71:19 72:4 84:11	weren't 6:13 10:16
247:14,16 251:9	W	155:5 160:13	106:20 112:23	36:7 43:19 46:12
251:12	waist 144:3	161:24 182:13	116:22 132:1	46:16,21 56:5
user 115:23	wait 65:9 110:20	197:19 207:8	148:2 153:2	78:17 79:7 87:4
usually 199:16	110:20 191:2	229:23	156:9 160:9,10	103:7,12 148:19
utterly 241:7	waiting 83:12	wants 68:2 110:14	162:12 163:25	205:15 231:7
	Wales 84:12	184:20,21	166:23 185:13	248:22 256:24
V	Walgate 10:11	warm 141:7,8	192:1 193:3	Wharf 6:13 16:7
validate 71:8	12:5,9 13:11,23	wasn't 23:18 47:18	200:23 204:15	68:20 103:19
valuable 242:2	14:7 15:6,8,11	48:14,16 55:21	221:2 222:16,16	123:1,3
value 57:3 186:21	35:24 36:16,24	55:22 59:20	226:19 242:6	WhatsApp 25:2
214:22 236:7	37:13 39:17 40:4	61:12 63:17 66:7	257:2	whatsoever 194:20
241:18	41:22,23 43:1,11	80:8 81:10 86:14	wealth 183:18	Whichever 197:9
VAN 1:10 245:16	61:16 62:11	94:16 101:20	weather 96:1	whilst 71:20
245:17,24	126:11,23 149:14	112:5,6 113:17	website 173:7	white 130:8
various 59:14	173:6,10 175:4	113:18 127:24	214:17 217:23	137:18
89:18 181:14,22	177:4,8,14 180:3	135:20 136:20	225:3	Whitworth 3:16
varying 50:22	207:20,24 208:9	138:17,20,21	websites 174:8,18	10:3 27:2 36:15
vastly 84:7	208:12,19 209:16	139:15 141:17	225:11 233:21	36:23 37:20
veracity 58:20	211:14 215:22	145:10 150:9,21	Wednesday 149:5	39:17 61:8 62:11
verified 32:13	216:3 217:4,11	150:23,25 151:1	150:15	62:12 68:8 93:3
verify 58:25	217:21 218:12,18	151:13,20,24,24	week 2:17 11:20	111:3,15 112:16
version 181:17	219:22 220:2,25	152:12 153:16,23	20:13 22:1 27:22	113:21 122:8
182:3 200:13,14	221:3 222:5	153:24 158:7,12	33:2 65:11 78:3	129:3 153:10,15
200:17,17,18,21	225:16 226:4	160:15,19 162:18	82:3 127:14	155:25 157:17
235:5	228:8,12,24,25	163:24 164:20	129:24 148:7	163:6 164:9
victim 13:3 93:10	230:9,16,25	165:9 171:9,18	149:5 150:16	166:5,9,19 169:1
116:1	232:3,5,11,22	174:23 177:24	203:7	169:7 170:20
victims 100:14	234:11 235:11	181:6 190:15	week's 193:15	171:8,12 177:15
250:15	Walgate's 26:15	192:4,14 193:8	weekend 108:12	178:16 179:21
view 47:17 69:24	37:22 38:1	194:16 196:20	109:2 158:25	191:8 195:18
70:19,22 83:6	125:10 208:12	197:7,13 200:24	168:9,19 190:18	202:10 219:1
92:25 110:15	216:18 229:16	203:7,10,18	191:4 193:16	225:5 226:25
155:4 163:25	231:5	219:16 226:11	251:17	227:12 228:9,13
164:10 171:12	walked 223:18,22	229:1 245:3	weekends 108:18	228:23 229:13
175:19 257:17	walker 221:25	247:20 251:18	weeks 55:1 125:12	230:10,16 231:5
viewing 110:3	225:4,9	257:15,16 258:11	179:9,13,18	234:12 235:11,20
violence 100:1,14	want 25:13 39:25	263:6 264:16,18	247:20,20 252:6	245:19 254:8
violent 98:23 99:1	45:18 61:21	watch 9:2 71:18	252:17	259:7,22
virtually 29:25	64:18 68:21 90:2	watching 245:12	went 11:8 53:15	Whitworth's 2:10
visit 110:2	109:15 130:14	Waumsley 109:15	72:4 76:9 77:6	2:13 117:24
visits 99:12	132:4,9 135:6			

125:5 137:20	113:14 119:20	10:11 50:23	247:4	1.45 128:20
192:20 221:7	120:7 126:18	68:18 132:16,24	years 91:22 101:16	10 23:2 65:12,20
225:5 258:22	129:13 175:25	141:14 153:24	119:15 120:12,16	178:22 261:24
Whitworth-Kov...	180:14,22 189:21	158:15 171:17	124:17,19 137:23	10,000 93:8 101:24
37:16	192:19 193:18	177:5 179:24	138:1,15 143:9	10.00 2:1 266:6,8
Whitworths 167:7	195:22 196:5	190:12 196:10	145:3 146:10	10.12 12:23
wide 87:14	197:4,12 198:5	197:20 200:1	171:19 188:9	10.30 212:25
wide-ranging	198:10,14 199:13	205:20 206:18,19	203:15 205:6	10.52 169:2
155:7	199:24 201:14,16	206:25 223:6,7,8	212:19 215:5	11 18:19 226:20
wider 75:16	224:7 227:19	223:22 225:25	216:14 224:2	11.13 220:15
112:18 155:13	234:8,13 235:17	226:13 236:13,17	239:24 240:2	11.19 183:7
willing 56:3	238:12 261:14	236:19 242:13	246:6 247:9	11.20 72:13 130:5
Wilson 8:9 83:5	262:7	243:2 246:17	249:8	11.29 77:4,6,15
105:3 113:10	workbook 233:9	251:8 257:11	years' 124:1	11.31 77:15
148:8 169:10	261:2	264:25 265:2	yesterday 2:12 6:9	11.39 72:15
256:18	worked 6:17,18	wrist 144:2	7:6 8:11 12:14	11.42 168:21,24
windswept 239:22	86:11 90:16	write 253:22	23:1 75:10 77:22	11.44 131:5
wish 217:6	94:11 98:13	writing 33:24	78:11 79:2 85:13	114 1:6
wished 237:16	121:25 147:2	166:5 171:13,13	Yexley 130:21	118 1:7,8 27:23
witness 2:19 3:9	204:17 226:17	written 31:23 32:5	139:2	12 109:3
5:14 46:16 64:4	246:5 251:16	46:24 47:4 50:11	Yinka 159:3,9	12.00 37:9
65:18 105:8	253:8,10,19	68:18 133:16	171:16 187:12	12.03 13:7,12
118:8,10 156:19	261:13,24 263:3	166:8,18 180:4	191:25 193:9	131:18
166:9,11 167:9	worker 94:20	187:13 189:10	220:17,17,19,22	12.44 37:21
168:1 196:17	workers 100:1	200:17,18 208:11	221:2,21,23	12.50 131:18
199:6 200:7	working 2:17 3:3	212:17,18 213:13	240:11,19,25	12.56 128:22
201:4 202:1	7:3,12,14 8:12	215:9,15 216:9	YOS 97:5	13 22:14 260:17
221:12 242:21	16:3 87:11	219:18,20,25	young 10:19 29:6	14 110:8 137:20
witnesses 124:5	120:10 122:1	222:8,23 223:15	51:11 69:4 84:21	235:22
125:25	126:21 128:8	235:14 262:13	88:11 209:25	14.18 221:22
woman 242:11,25	150:23 160:14	wrong 11:8 17:8	214:1 218:17,22	142 59:3
wonder 117:14	233:12 244:6	17:10 18:2 29:10	219:10 242:11,25	149 130:18
174:12	247:4 261:16	45:20 48:3 54:15	younger 60:1	15 60:9 90:13
word 171:8 179:16	264:24	62:24 76:17 79:1	youth 97:5,7,7,18	108:9 261:24,25
179:18 181:21	workload 7:17	80:4,18 119:16		15.55 109:20
227:19 235:14	75:8,18 79:25	139:1 162:18	Z	16 49:11
wording 225:24	115:10 255:16	164:5 187:2	zoom 207:22	16.45 202:15
words 33:3 103:7	260:23 264:22	188:11 224:4	229:11	160 50:14
114:10 144:25	workloads 112:24	244:20 247:1		1600 104:23
163:18 178:23	244:5	wrote 138:22	0	1645 201:20
179:5 215:9	world 177:6	149:23 253:19	0183 24:6	166 51:17
232:4 236:1,15	worried 23:10,15		0700 108:10	167 51:17
239:3,13 243:12	worry 35:20 64:23	X		17 18:25 66:12
243:12	155:2 178:2		1	210:7
work 6:6 7:8 14:12	236:2,3	Y	1 12:16 17:13	17.44 240:11
15:3,4 61:2 65:7	worst 93:12	yards 219:11	20:14 56:20	17.47 240:10
87:13 92:21,24	worth 25:21	Yeah 201:3	64:25 76:19	171 10:7
96:14 97:8,25,25	193:15	year 73:17 93:8	90:10 230:7	17th 216:2
98:1 101:23	wouldn't 3:20	121:20 122:9	252:18	18 27:23 65:22
		123:25 124:1	1.44 128:24	

66:1,8 113:22 130:7 198:5,9 237:4 18.02 208:2 183 24:9 185 59:3 19 12:19 47:3,6 60:8 111:1 150:2 207:20,23 230:20 230:23 232:17 19.00 102:18 1900 108:11 195 70:13 1980s 80:13 199 10:6 1991 119:11,15 1a 114:20 1few 148:5	75:11 90:13 102:8 104:9 117:6 122:3 123:14 127:10 129:6 132:13 133:25 134:9 135:2 173:6,25 207:23 217:22 218:18 246:5 262:13 2014/2015 84:11 2015 93:8 112:9 204:11 206:4 224:16 2016 112:2,7 2017 226:21 228:4 2021 1:1 207 1:9 20th 52:17 116:10 21 18:10 27:23 33:4 49:2,5 53:1 59:3 66:12 218:9 21st 52:17 85:10 150:7 151:19 168:22 257:3 258:14 22 10:6 27:9 49:11 50:13 83:1 104:9 188:20 215:21 220:15 221:22 224:14,19 233:16 2200 216:2 22nd 28:25 104:22 148:6 183:8 188:18 22nd/23rd 148:6 23 24:14 108:7 132:2 23-page 102:14 23rd 106:7 197:23 243:6 257:4,6 24 243:4 245 1:10 246 1:11 25 7:7 52:16 65:6 252:6 257:25 252 230:7 253 39:1 258 1:12	25th 258:4 26 152:3 263 1:13 27 108:9 201:14,21 27/28 190:2 28 16:15 17:15 18:2,7,8,9 20:9 63:3 108:9 127:10 172:1 192:22,24 195:22 198:15 28th 197:4 199:20 199:24 29 24:24,24 37:9 39:1 42:13 44:22 108:21,23 109:17 109:19 197:25 231:17 29/9/14 228:12 29th 33:16 36:5,21 39:5 61:14 108:13,25	102:10 104:8 148:9 181:25 182:2,2 228:3 261:8 4.3 148:9 4.30 39:5 4.33 38:24 39:18 4.37 266:7 40 100:18 101:4,6 42 76:15 45/46 101:6 46 76:18 117:17,22 204:8 252:23	8 8 66:11 76:19 8,000 101:24 8.49 12:19 81 17:5,9 84 76:16 88 1:5
2 2 1:2,3 3:23 4:23 37:8 63:3 90:10 117:16 153:1 157:3 208:16 217:13 229:2 235:22 238:7 261:7 2,000 84:12 2.00 38:10 2.2.2 133:12 20 16:16 27:19 51:17 53:1 119:15 127:25 128:16 129:6 134:22 146:24 210:3,23 262:2,5 20/21 54:17 2006 132:11 2007 120:2,3,4,17 132:18 246:6 2010 90:11 2011 119:15 120:17 121:4 132:19 246:6,9 246:10,21 2012 121:21 122:3 246:15 2013 73:20 90:16 93:7 2014 6:21 15:9	20th 52:17 116:10 21 18:10 27:23 33:4 49:2,5 53:1 59:3 66:12 218:9 21st 52:17 85:10 150:7 151:19 168:22 257:3 258:14 22 10:6 27:9 49:11 50:13 83:1 104:9 188:20 215:21 220:15 221:22 224:14,19 233:16 2200 216:2 22nd 28:25 104:22 148:6 183:8 188:18 22nd/23rd 148:6 23 24:14 108:7 132:2 23-page 102:14 23rd 106:7 197:23 243:6 257:4,6 24 243:4 245 1:10 246 1:11 25 7:7 52:16 65:6 252:6 257:25 252 230:7 253 39:1 258 1:12	3 3 3:4,23 4:1,15 20:22 21:2 22:15 91:9 102:25 105:6 148:4 155:11 168:13 182:1 200:22 224:12 252:22 253:3 3.17 207:12 3.2.1 24:25 3.28 207:14 30 25:8,12 110:8 124:1 30th 108:24 109:10,12 31 25:9 168:6 34 190:8 35 133:10 37 133:8,11 38 195:10 39 148:3	5 5 36:6 77:23 78:25 182:12 187:14 188:21 253:5 261:8 5.30 202:21 5.45 182:25 50 252:23 500 84:12 57 33:19 177:12 58 109:14 59 105:6	9 9 1:1 17:6,9,14 9.00 110:8 916 70:14
		4 4 18:2,4,7 20:18 21:4 76:9 77:4,6 78:12 92:12	6 6 18:20 22:8 24:12 26:1 47:21 108:7 238:25 6,000 93:8 101:24 6.30 201:15 60 105:6 117:17,22 61 33:4 62 24:21 64 18:25 207:22 253:5 65 7:7 253:6 66 210:22 67 211:24 68 215:18 238:8 69 212:24,25	
			7 7 52:14 109:16 202:1 7.00 129:13,14 7.30 201:17 72 1:4 204:9	