

<p>1 Wednesday, 10 November 2021</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 I N D E X</p> <p>4</p> <p>5</p> <p>6 Statement of MR JOHN SWEENEY (read)2</p> <p>7 MR MARK RICHARDS (continued)12</p> <p>8 Questions from MR O'CONNOR12</p> <p>9 Statement of MS SHARON PORT (read)34</p> <p>10 Questions from MR O'CONNOR (continued)39</p> <p>11 Questions from MS HILL93</p> <p>12 Questions from MR DAVIES136</p> <p>13 Questions from MR SKELTON145</p> <p>14 Further questions from MR O'CONNOR150</p> <p>15 Statement of MR JOHN SWEENEY (read)1</p> <p>16 MR MARK RICHARDS (continued)11</p> <p>17 Questions from MR O'CONNOR11</p> <p>18 Statement of MS SHARON PORT (read)33</p> <p>19 Questions from MR O'CONNOR (continued)38</p> <p>20 Questions from MS HILL92</p> <p>21 Questions from MR DAVIES135</p> <p>22 Questions from MR SKELTON144</p> <p>23 Further questions from MR O'CONNOR149</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p>	<p>1 of truth.</p> <p>2 John Sweeney's statement falls into three parts. He</p> <p>3 starts with his career in the Metropolitan Police before</p> <p>4 moving on to talk about homicide command in 2014 to 2015</p> <p>5 and then the third section of his statement covers the</p> <p>6 investigations that were conducted in 2014 and 2015.</p> <p>7 Starting then with his career, he explains that he</p> <p>8 joined the Metropolitan Police in 1977, aged 18, and the</p> <p>9 first three years of his policing career were as</p> <p>10 a uniformed officer. He then became a detective in --</p> <p>11 a trainee detective first of all and then a substantive</p> <p>12 detective in 1983 and he was promoted to detective</p> <p>13 sergeant in 1983 also.</p> <p>14 In 1991 he became a uniformed inspector and then</p> <p>15 started as detective inspector in 1995.</p> <p>16 Then, in 1996, having worked on murder</p> <p>17 investigations, he was then promoted to deputy chief</p> <p>18 inspector and he worked at that stage on major</p> <p>19 investigation team at homicide command, until 2001.</p> <p>20 He was promoted to detective superintendent in 2001,</p> <p>21 he explains, and he says at that stage he then worked on</p> <p>22 Operation Trident, tackling gun crime in London, from</p> <p>23 2001 to 2004.</p> <p>24 He then moved to be one of four detective</p> <p>25 superintendents at homicide command in 2004. So between</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p>
<p>1</p> <p>2 (10.00 am)</p> <p>3 (In the presence of the jury)</p> <p>4 THE CORONER: Good morning, members of the jury.</p> <p>5 Yes, Ms Collier.</p> <p>6 MS COLLIER: Good morning, madam. The first piece of</p> <p>7 evidence we will hear this morning is a witness</p> <p>8 statement from John Sweeney.</p> <p>9 THE CORONER: Yes.</p> <p>10 Members of the jury, you may have noted from your</p> <p>11 witness list that the original plan was for Detective</p> <p>12 Superintendent Sweeney to be called to give evidence</p> <p>13 before you. However, I am now entirely satisfied that,</p> <p>14 for good and sufficient reasons, he is unable to give</p> <p>15 oral evidence. In those circumstances his evidence is</p> <p>16 going to be read to you now, but you will understand</p> <p>17 that, had he been here, there would have been questions</p> <p>18 for him, all right.</p> <p>19 Thank you, yes.</p> <p>20 MS COLLIER: Thank you, madam.</p> <p>21 Statement of MR JOHN SWEENEY (read)</p> <p>22 MS COLLIER: Members of the jury, I am going to read the</p> <p>23 witness statement of John Sweeney, which is dated</p> <p>24 19 August 2020. As with other witness statements that</p> <p>25 have been read to you, his is supported by a statement</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p>	<p>1 2004 and 2006 he explains he was working as a detective</p> <p>2 superintendent supervising a major investigation team,</p> <p>3 in addition to which he performed the role of on-call</p> <p>4 duty superintendent.</p> <p>5 Mr Sweeney explains in his witness statement that in</p> <p>6 addition to the roles that I have outlined to you he had</p> <p>7 a number of other policing roles that he also fulfilled</p> <p>8 at the same time on a number of specialist teams, which</p> <p>9 included, for example, the disaster victim</p> <p>10 identification team, the special enquiry team, which</p> <p>11 dealt with particularly sensitive and confidential</p> <p>12 enquiries and a number of other specialist roles.</p> <p>13 In 2006 he became the deputy OCU commander at</p> <p>14 homicide command. Then he served in that role as deputy</p> <p>15 OCU commander at homicide command for 10 years from 2006</p> <p>16 until 2016, at which point he retired. He explains in</p> <p>17 his statement that he received a number of commendations</p> <p>18 during his policing career, receiving in 2012 an award</p> <p>19 for excellence in policing and then in 2013 he was</p> <p>20 awarded the Queen's Police Medal.</p> <p>21 Moving on then from his career outline, he then</p> <p>22 explains in his statement about homicide command in 2014</p> <p>23 and 2015. This is what he says:</p> <p>24 "In 2014, the London homicide command, SC&O1, also</p> <p>25 referred to as an operation command unit or an OCU, was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p>

<p>1 split into three MITs, major investigation teams, at 2 three different locations, Hendon, Putney and Barking. 3 From these three locations the major investigation teams 4 were able to take on complex investigations themselves, 5 but in addition they provided support and assistance 6 across London for local homicide teams conducting murder 7 investigations. 8 "The east London MIT in Barking was known as 9 Hertford House, and though I was based at Hendon, 10 I would visit Hertford House as necessary. During this 11 period I was the deputy OCU commander, but I also still 12 made myself available for the on-call duty 13 superintendent rota for SC&O1 covering the whole of 14 London. 15 "Between the four or five superintendents on 16 homicide command during this period we operated 17 a seven-day period of being on call, which ran from 18 Tuesday to Monday. The designated superintendent would 19 be available 24 hours a day during that seven-day 20 period. 21 Each MIT base would deploy homicide assessment team 22 cars, known as HAT cars, which contained a team of two 23 to three specialist officers who would attend and review 24 all suspicious or unexplained deaths. These cars would 25 work on a three-shift basis, earlies, lates and nights</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 a location outside 59 Cooke Street in Barking, where 2 they found Anthony Walgate unresponsive on the pavement 3 outside. Stephen Port, of 62 Cooke Street, had called 4 999 and following the attendance of medical personnel 5 Mr Walgate was pronounced dead. Initial enquiries and 6 a special post mortem were inconclusive and the death 7 remained unexplained. I understand that initial HAT 8 advice was provided by a number of officers and I most 9 likely would have been aware of these at some stage, 10 although I cannot now recall the details due to the 11 passage of time. I recall that the attending HAT car 12 officers did not think that there was evidence of 13 a homicide and a drug overdose was suspected. 14 "To assist me in the preparation of this statement 15 I have been provided with an email from DCI Tony Kirk, 16 sent to me on 26 June 2014 enclosing a summary of a CRIS 17 report prepared by A/DI McCarthy on 26 June 2014." 18 Members of the jury, the email that Mr Sweeney is 19 referring to is found at tab 30 of your Anthony Walgate 20 jury bundle. The reference for the screen is IPC753. 21 Mr Sweeney says: 22 "I do not recall seeing this document but the email 23 of the same date shows that it was sent to me and I am 24 sure it would have been. The report summarises the 25 initial HAT reports and makes it plain that, whilst</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>
<p>1 and at the end of each shift the officers would submit 2 a HAT return detailing any cases of interest for review 3 by the command, borough or other senior officers. 4 "These returns were completed in a standard 5 pro forma format, tended to be quite detailed and could 6 be reviewed at the highest level within the Metropolitan 7 Police. Whether an investigation would be dealt with by 8 the relevant MIT or local borough officers would depend 9 upon the circumstances of any individual matter. 10 Obvious murders, for example shootings, tended to be 11 dealt with by the MIT. Other unexplained deaths would 12 be reviewed by the HAT cars and reported in the HAT 13 returns for review. Homicide command would generally 14 take charge of most homicide investigations. But in 15 some cases a MIT would offer support to an investigation 16 conducted on borough by local homicide teams and deploy 17 resources to assist local investigations. It very much 18 depended upon a range of factors, including the 19 circumstances of the death, the evidence, the 20 capabilities of local homicide teams and the resources 21 available both locally and on the MITs." 22 Turning then to the part of his statement that deals 23 with the investigations in 2014 and 2015, Mr Sweeney 24 says: 25 "On 19 June 2014 police officers were called to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>	<p>1 there were suspicions about Stephen Port, the death of 2 Anthony Walgate remained unexplained. I note that the 3 email from DCI Kirk refers to me having a conversation 4 with Superintendent [I think he means Chief 5 Superintendent] Andrew Ewing, who I understand was the 6 local borough commander at the time, during the evening 7 of 26 June 2014. But I am afraid I can't recall that 8 conversation at all. I have no reason to doubt that 9 I had such a conversation, I just do not remember it, 10 due to the passage of time. 11 "In relation to the email from DCI Tony Kirk, I see 12 from the email now provided to me that it was suggested 13 that the investigation would be reviewed in the morning 14 and a senior investigating officer, SIO, from SC&O1 was 15 sought to take ownership of the investigation. Again, 16 I do not have specific recollection of this email due to 17 the passage of time, although I do recall generally that 18 there was a request for resources from the borough 19 officers. I have also been sent a copy of an email 20 I sent the following morning at 1043 hours on 21 27 June 2014, to a number of senior officers, including 22 chief superintendent Michael Duthie, head of homicide 23 command and my line manager." 24 That email can come down please, and then, members 25 of the jury, the email that Mr Sweeney is referring to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

<p>1 is at tab 32 of the same jury bundle, and it is MPS544: 2 "Again, I have no recollection of this email due to 3 the passage of time but I can see from the content that 4 I had been informed of the arrest of Stephen Port and 5 had been asked to take over the investigation. I stated 6 that I had not made a decision on the future conduct of 7 the investigation but had appointed MIT officers to 8 assist the local officers and the matter would be kept 9 under review, which would be perfectly normal. I noted 10 that should the evidence indicate homicide, or should 11 the matter be beyond the capabilities of the BOCU 12 officers, I would make the decision for SC&O1 to take 13 over the investigation, and again this would be 14 perfectly normal. 15 "It seems clear to me that had there been 16 an indication that the death was a homicide or if the 17 local officers were unable to conduct a proper 18 investigation the matter would have been taken over by 19 SC&O1." 20 Then can we turn over the page, please, on that 21 email: 22 "I note that in the email I also expressed four 23 bullet-pointed concerns about moving an unexplained 24 death investigation to SC&O1 too early. These were 25 valid concerns. The email shown to me also shows that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p>	<p>1 who was a particularly good investigator, and that he 2 would be assisted by others. 3 "I have been asked to explain why SC&O1 did not take 4 over the investigations as soon as the four deaths were 5 linked. I am afraid I cannot recall what the timescales 6 involved were and whether or not SC&O1 did or did not 7 take over the investigations as soon as a link was 8 discovered between the four deaths. I have been shown 9 an email I sent to Detective Chief Superintendent 10 Sultan Taylor [can we see IPC294, please] and a number 11 of other senior officers at 2150 hours on 12 14 October 2015, enclosing a report from Detective 13 Inspector McKeeve indicating that MIT 24 would be 14 assisting local officers with the execution of a search 15 warrant and thereafter an assessment would be made as to 16 whether SC&O1 would be taking charge of the 17 investigation. I have not been provided with a copy of 18 DI McKeeve's report and I do not recall what was in it. 19 "Thereafter I allocated a MIT team to take control 20 of the investigations into all four deaths. The SIO was 21 DCI Tim Duffield and the IO was DI Luke Marks, who led 22 MIT 1 from Hendon. I selected this MIT team as they 23 were the most able team to deal with the issues at this 24 time. This was a complex case and DCI Duffield and 25 DI Marks were experienced and well-qualified officers.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p>
<p>1 1139 hours on 27 June, I forwarded the email to 2 Detective Superintendent Stuart Wratten, who was the MIT 3 manager for Hertford House, and DCI Chris Jones at 4 Hertford House." 5 If we go back to page 1 we can see that: 6 "This would have been a normal way to keep 7 colleagues informed, although again I have no 8 independent recollection of forwarding this email. 9 "Thereafter I have very little independent recall of 10 the investigation, although I remember Stephen Port 11 being sent to prison for conspiracy to pervert the 12 course of justice. I have very little recollection of 13 the investigations into the deaths of Gabriel Kovari and 14 Daniel Whitworth and I have not been provided with any 15 documents relating to those investigations. 16 "I do recall having a conversation with Detective 17 Chief Superintendent Sultan Taylor after the death of 18 Jack Taylor." 19 That document can come down, thank you: 20 "Although I cannot recall the conversation with 21 Detective Chief Superintendent Sultan Taylor after the 22 death of Jack Taylor, I do remember that DCS Taylor was 23 asking to use SC&O1 resources for the investigation. 24 I told Detective Chief Superintendent Taylor that 25 I would allocate DI Euan McKeeve from Hertford House,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p>	<p>1 Thereafter the investigations were conducted by SC&O1 2 officers." 3 That is the end of Mr Sweeney's statement. 4 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, may we now, please, call DI 5 Mark Richards. 6 MR MARK RICHARDS (continued) 7 Questions from MR O'CONNOR 8 MR O'CONNOR: DI Richards, you are still on oath. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. This is now the third time you have come to give oral 11 evidence to the jury. The previous occasion that you 12 gave evidence I asked you a series of questions about 13 the Operation Lilford investigation into matters 14 relating to Anthony Walgate's death. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. This morning, I am going to ask you a similar set of 17 questions, this time relating, first of all, to the 18 circumstances surrounding Gabriel Kovari's death. 19 Then on to questions relating to Daniel Whitworth's 20 death. 21 Then, at the end, I will just ask you a very few 22 questions about the extent to which, if at all, 23 Operation Lilford had any evidence that actually linked 24 the activities of those two people in their lives. 25 As before, I will be referring you to passages in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p>

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

<p>1 your witness statement. There is no mystery about this.</p> <p>2 You have prepared a very detailed witness statement and</p> <p>3 it refers to a number of very detailed documents. We</p> <p>4 need to take this carefully and if there is any point at</p> <p>5 which you want to be directed to a different document or</p> <p>6 just to be sure about a certain fact, then of course you</p> <p>7 will say so and either me or one of the people in the</p> <p>8 room will make sure we look at the right document.</p> <p>9 A. Thank you.</p> <p>10 Q. I am going to start, as I say, with the circumstances</p> <p>11 surrounding Gabriel Kovari's death. The jury have heard</p> <p>12 from John Pape, they have heard his evidence, they have</p> <p>13 looked at the witness statement that he gave to</p> <p>14 PC Faulkner in the week or so after Gabriel's body was</p> <p>15 discovered. I am sure they remember his evidence about</p> <p>16 meeting Gabriel and Gabriel going to live with him in</p> <p>17 his house in Deptford for some weeks in the summer of</p> <p>18 2014, July, and then into August of that month?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Let's pick up the story then, and we also of course</p> <p>21 heard from Mr Pape how, towards the middle or towards</p> <p>22 the end of August Gabriel left his flat and moved away</p> <p>23 from it.</p> <p>24 A. That's correct.</p> <p>25 Q. Were you able to investigate and obtain further evidence</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p>	<p>1 now this is IPC758. If we can go within that document</p> <p>2 to page 3, please.</p> <p>3 If we can enlarge the bottom half of the page, we</p> <p>4 see here this is an email from Mr Pape to PC Faulkner,</p> <p>5 and I think this picks up the stage of the story where</p> <p>6 PC Faulkner, having had the conversation on the phone,</p> <p>7 has sent Mr Pape a draft statement for him to approve,</p> <p>8 no doubt by email, in a Word document or something</p> <p>9 similar?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Do we see here Mr Pape replying to that, sending</p> <p>12 a version of the statement back but in fact not exactly</p> <p>13 the same as PC Faulkner had initially drafted,</p> <p>14 understandably.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. What Mr Pape is saying is:</p> <p>17 "I checked through my texts and messages to Gabriel</p> <p>18 and realised I got a few details wrong. It also enabled</p> <p>19 me to be more accurate about timings."</p> <p>20 So there are some categories of amendment that he</p> <p>21 made and then he goes on:</p> <p>22 "Also I have included a final paragraph about</p> <p>23 an online Facebook conversation I had last Monday with</p> <p>24 Gabriel's former boyfriend Thierry. I felt inhibited</p> <p>25 about mentioning it yesterday, but looking back at the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p>
<p>1 about Gabriel's movements in that time, around the time</p> <p>2 that he was moving away from John Pape's flat?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, we were. He had struck up a friendship with a man</p> <p>4 called Karl Kamgdom and Mr Kamgdom provided us with some</p> <p>5 electronic messages, text messages, photos from his own</p> <p>6 mobile phone and from his own recollection.</p> <p>7 Q. Yes, in fact let's just look -- before we get into the</p> <p>8 evidence that Karl Kamgdom provided to you, let's look</p> <p>9 if we can in the jury bundle at tab 15. For the screen,</p> <p>10 it is IPC324. The jury will remember that this is in</p> <p>11 fact the statement I referred to, the statement that</p> <p>12 Mr Pape gave to PC Faulkner. We see it is dated</p> <p>13 8 September. Do you have it there?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. The jury will remember that this was a statement taken</p> <p>16 over the phone, wasn't it? PC Faulkner described how he</p> <p>17 spoke to John Pape on the phone, drafted the email and</p> <p>18 then took the statement and then emailed it to Mr Pape</p> <p>19 to approve and sign.</p> <p>20 In fact, having just looked at this document, we</p> <p>21 will come back to it in a moment but just to remind</p> <p>22 ourselves of the email exchanges around the time that</p> <p>23 that statement was finalised. For those of you using</p> <p>24 the bundle, you might want to keep your finger in</p> <p>25 tab 15. If we can move on to tab 50, and for the screen</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p>	<p>1 conversation today I can see that there might be</p> <p>2 relevant information."</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Does it appear that John Pape has added to his statement</p> <p>5 to add some details about these recent communications he</p> <p>6 has had, which he thinks the police might find helpful?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. With that in mind, if we can go back to his statement,</p> <p>9 those of you who have his fingers in it, it is back at</p> <p>10 tab 15. For the screen, it is IPC324. If we can go to</p> <p>11 the last page of the statement, so it is page 3. It is</p> <p>12 actually that last paragraph I want to show you, so it</p> <p>13 would seem that this is the paragraph that he has added,</p> <p>14 because he thought it might be helpful.</p> <p>15 A. I believe so.</p> <p>16 Q. We don't need to read it all, we can see that there is</p> <p>17 a reference, as one would expect given the email, to</p> <p>18 Thierry Amodio.</p> <p>19 Perhaps we do, just for the context, need to pick it</p> <p>20 up. A couple of lines down, he says:</p> <p>21 "On Monday, 1 September I friended Gabriel's former</p> <p>22 boyfriend Thierry Amodio via Facebook. He immediately</p> <p>23 engaged me in an online messaging conversation.</p> <p>24 I didn't reveal that Gabriel was dead at this time but</p> <p>25 expressed concerns for his whereabouts. He says Thierry</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p>

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

<p>1 did not know and whilst I was trying to ascertain if he 2 was in contact with Gabriel's family, he mentioned that 3 he had not heard from Gabriel for a week. He also said 4 that Gabriel had been seeing two black males, Cosmo and 5 someone else called Karl. Gabriel said that he had 6 broken up with Gabriel a week ago because of Gabriel's 7 involvement with these two males." 8 We see there the reference to "Karl", is that in 9 fact a reference to Karl Kamgdom? 10 A. Yes, it is. 11 Q. That is obviously as far as the matter was taken in that 12 statement, but did you make enquiries and in fact make 13 contact with Karl Kamgdom? 14 A. Yes, we did, yes, we visited him. 15 Q. Did it prove that in fact Karl had indeed been someone 16 who Gabriel had had a brief relationship with over that 17 time? 18 A. Yes, that is fair. 19 Q. And someone with whom he had remained in contact with? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Was Karl Kamgdom able to give you details and evidence 22 relating to the contact that he had had with 23 Gabriel Kovari in the week or so before he died? 24 A. Yes, he did, yes. 25 Q. Did that information provide some depth of material</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 different place? 2 A. It was, yes. 3 Q. Did they suggest that the cost of the property was 4 an issue for Gabriel? 5 A. One of the properties he was looking at it was 6 an implication, yes. 7 Q. It seems that he found a place in Barking? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Did he indicate that, in fact, that was going to be free 10 for him to say in? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. When we look at it, will we see that he thought that he 13 was actually going to get a room there, but then, having 14 moved in, he discovered that wasn't the case? 15 A. Yes, and he would be on the sofa. 16 Q. Did it become clear that in fact that was Stephen Port's 17 flat? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Let's have a look at the first of those two documents, 20 please, and this is one of the schedules I think we will 21 find that Operation Lilford created of that material 22 that was downloaded. 23 It is not in the jury bundle, so can we have it on 24 the screen, please, IPC738. This is one of those, it is 25 a schedule that only shows half of the conversation, and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>
<p>1 relating to precisely what Gabriel was doing, when and 2 where, and what his impressions, for example, of 3 Stephen Port and Stephen Port's flat were? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. I am going to take you in a moment to a couple of 6 documents where we can actually see some of the 7 exchanges, but let me ask you before I go to that, were 8 you in fact able to recover Karl Kamgdom's phone? 9 A. Yes, he gave it to us, we downloaded it and returned it 10 to him. 11 Q. In a moment, what we will look at is the fruits of that 12 exercise. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Were there text messages -- I am not sure if they were 15 text messages or WhatsApp messages -- passing between 16 Karl and Gabriel? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. In summary, as I say, we will go and look at some of the 19 detail in a minute but, in summary, do these messages 20 show that, first of all, in the week or so before 21 Gabriel died, was he looking for somewhere to move away 22 from John Pape's flat? 23 A. Yes, the messages indicated that and Karl confirmed that 24 as well. 25 Q. Was he looking around, it would seem, at more than one</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>	<p>1 then later in the schedule we see the other half of the 2 conversation. So I am not going to go through it in 3 huge detail, but the page we are looking at, is it right 4 that this shows the messages sent by Gabriel to 5 Karl Kamgdom? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. We will look briefly in a moment at one part of the 8 messages going back the other way, from Karl Kamgdom to 9 Gabriel. 10 If we can look towards the left-hand side of the 11 document, we see a series of dates there, don't we? 12 A. That's correct. 13 Q. The first few messages are sent on 18 August, that was 14 the Monday of the week before Gabriel moved to Barking, 15 wasn't it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. We will go through them in a minute but we see, just 18 casting our eyes down that column, that after the 18th 19 there are a few messages sent on the 22nd, which is the 20 Friday, and then right towards the bottom, there is just 21 a clutch of messages on the Saturday, the 23rd and just 22 one on the Sunday. We will come on to those. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Then if we just look at the detail, over on the 25 right-hand side, the messages on the Monday, the 18th,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

<p>1 we see a reference there to 480 plus bills a month?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Was that actually a reference that Gabriel was making to</p> <p>4 a different property that he was looking at I think</p> <p>5 in --</p> <p>6 A. Canning Town.</p> <p>7 Q. In Canning Town I think he said?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Then we see just underneath that reference to 480:</p> <p>10 "But today [so that's Monday] I went to see one in</p> <p>11 Barking."</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. That is a reference to what we now know was</p> <p>14 Stephen Port's flat?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct.</p> <p>16 Q. If we look about four or five lines down, do you see the</p> <p>17 entry saying, "Nothing for now". Was that answer given</p> <p>18 in response to a query from Karl saying, "How much is</p> <p>19 the room in Barking?"</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Do we infer from that first of all that the</p> <p>22 understanding was that Gabriel was going to get a room</p> <p>23 and, secondly, do we see here from the answer that he</p> <p>24 replied actually I am going to get it for free?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1 the Saturday?</p> <p>2 A. Saturday.</p> <p>3 Q. Then, having noted that, dropping down the page, right</p> <p>4 towards the bottom, we see those few entries, if we look</p> <p>5 over on the left-hand side, made on the 23rd, the next</p> <p>6 day, on the Saturday, they are made, certainly the last</p> <p>7 three of them are made late at night, after 11.00 at</p> <p>8 night, aren't they?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. If we look over towards the right he is saying he has</p> <p>11 just moved?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Does it appear that he had moved on the Saturday and in</p> <p>14 fact arrived quite late on the Saturday night?</p> <p>15 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Then there is this. There is an entry saying, "Google</p> <p>17 map sent pinpointing Cooke Street"?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Was that in response to a request from Karl Kamgdom</p> <p>20 saying tell me where it is?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, it was.</p> <p>22 Q. We then go, then, to a different document. If we can</p> <p>23 have on screen, please, IPC631. I hope that is the</p> <p>24 right reference.</p> <p>25 Maybe we will come back to that one in a moment.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>
<p>1 Q. Did Karl express some concerns about the fact the room</p> <p>2 was going to be free?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, he did.</p> <p>4 Q. I think it is probably page 5 in this document, but it</p> <p>5 may not be, let's see what we find when we go to page 5.</p> <p>6 If we could call up -- yes, that is the right page.</p> <p>7 If we can go about a third of the way down, do you see,</p> <p>8 if we look at the numbers on the very right-hand side,</p> <p>9 line 360, do you see this is still on -- this is</p> <p>10 actually later, this is now into the end of the week,</p> <p>11 Friday. Is Karl Kamgdom saying:</p> <p>12 "I find it weird that he lets you stay for free.</p> <p>13 Are you sure he is safe? Some crazy people out there."</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. That is what Karl said and then if we could go back to</p> <p>16 the first page. If we look down the line, around a line</p> <p>17 sort of 365, 366, we see the other half of conversation</p> <p>18 with essentially Gabriel saying, "Don't worry, it is</p> <p>19 okay"?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. In fact I missed out a reference, if we go back up the</p> <p>22 page to line 349, do we see Gabriel -- this is now on</p> <p>23 Friday, 22nd -- saying, "Tomorrow I am moving".</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Indicating that he was planning at that stage to move on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>	<p>1 Let's leave those, we will come back to those in</p> <p>2 a moment. Just to cover it off, in case we can't, is it</p> <p>3 right, DI Richards, that we were referring to that map,</p> <p>4 and we are all familiar with Google Maps where a little</p> <p>5 red arrow drops down. Did Gabriel send to Karl Kamgdom</p> <p>6 a map a bit like that?</p> <p>7 A. Yes, it was a pin drop screen shot from Google Maps.</p> <p>8 Q. And the pin landed where?</p> <p>9 A. On Cooke Street.</p> <p>10 Q. That was late on the Saturday night?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Were there then exchanges between Karl and Gabriel the</p> <p>13 next day, on the Sunday?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Did, for example, Karl say, "How was your first night?"</p> <p>16 A. Yes, he asked him how it had gone.</p> <p>17 Q. What did Gabriel reply in brief?</p> <p>18 A. He replied that he had slept on the sofa, and --</p> <p>19 Q. He hadn't got a room?</p> <p>20 A. He hadn't got a room, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. In fact, let's go back to IPC738, please. If we can go</p> <p>22 on to the second page, do we see there at the top, these</p> <p>23 are the messages from Gabriel to Karl, we see</p> <p>24 a reference to:</p> <p>25 "I sleep in the living room on the sofa."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. Did Karl Kamgdom ask Gabriel to send him some photos of</p> <p>3 the flat?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, he did, he asked what it was like.</p> <p>5 Q. Is that what we see, just dropping down, that he has</p> <p>6 indeed sent photos, Gabriel has sent Karl some</p> <p>7 photographs?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, and they are 62 Cooke Street.</p> <p>9 Q. From your enquiries, you were satisfied that is the</p> <p>10 inside of Stephen Port's flat?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, that is the lounge and the bathroom.</p> <p>12 Q. These are photographs then that Gabriel sent to</p> <p>13 Karl Kamgdom along with the map --</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. -- the weekend before he died?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. Two more points, as far as this schedule is concerned.</p> <p>18 Could we just go again the part of the schedule that</p> <p>19 reflected Karl Kamgdom's messages to Gabriel, so to</p> <p>20 page 5 of the schedule, please. If we look down</p> <p>21 towards -- well, we see line 410, so about two-thirds of</p> <p>22 the way down, do you see there Karl Kamgdom saying:</p> <p>23 "Send me a pic of your new room, I want to see your</p> <p>24 pad."</p> <p>25 Those were the prompts for the photographs we have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. -- that he went round, having been invited by</p> <p>3 Stephen Port to come and see his new flatmate, he went</p> <p>4 round to Stephen Port's flat and met Gabriel. I think</p> <p>5 the evidence was that Ryan Edwards arrived at about</p> <p>6 10.00 at night and was there for a couple of hours or</p> <p>7 so.</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Then just looking back then, here, it is early the next</p> <p>10 morning, so 5.00 or so, that we see two or three further</p> <p>11 messages from Gabriel to Karl, "Wow, nice". In fact</p> <p>12 those were exchanges partly about some texts Karl sent</p> <p>13 about going to the carnival, weren't they?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Very short messages from Gabriel. Then in response to</p> <p>16 a text from Karl saying are you all right, around the</p> <p>17 same time, early on the Monday morning, a message from</p> <p>18 Gabriel's phone saying, "I'm fine"?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>20 Q. We see here what is written, that is the last known</p> <p>21 message sent from that device to Karl?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Nothing after the early hours of the Monday morning?</p> <p>24 A. Yes, sent to anybody.</p> <p>25 Q. Sorry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p>
<p>1 just been looking at?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>3 Q. Then just a little bit lower down, does Karl Kamgdom</p> <p>4 again express his concern about these living</p> <p>5 arrangements?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, he does, he questions the reason for it being free.</p> <p>7 Q. "He will try to sleep with you.</p> <p>8 "Dirty old man."</p> <p>9 And so on.</p> <p>10 Then if we can go back, this is the last reference,</p> <p>11 please, if we can now go back to page 3 in the schedule,</p> <p>12 so we are now going back to the messages going the other</p> <p>13 way from Gabriel to Karl, around lines 437, 328, are</p> <p>14 those Gabriel's responses to the "Dirty old man", "He</p> <p>15 will try and sleep with you", he laughs it off in other</p> <p>16 words?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, 437 and 438 are, yes.</p> <p>18 Q. By that stage, we are sort of mid afternoon, 1.18 on</p> <p>19 Sunday, 24th?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Then just let's look at the last few items here. So we</p> <p>22 then go to the Monday and we are going to come in</p> <p>23 a moment, but the jury will recall that between those</p> <p>24 two times, so the Sunday evening, we heard the evidence</p> <p>25 of Ryan Edwards --</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p>	<p>1 A. Sent to anybody, not just to Karl.</p> <p>2 Q. Two things, first of all, this is a schedule that we</p> <p>3 have been looking at containing exchanges between Karl</p> <p>4 and Gabriel.</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. That the last message that was sent to Karl?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Then I think you wanted to say that in fact, from your</p> <p>9 investigations much more broadly, was that in fact the</p> <p>10 last message as far as Operation Lilford could</p> <p>11 understand that Gabriel sent to anyone?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, absolutely. We didn't find anything after those</p> <p>13 three messages early on the Monday morning.</p> <p>14 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>15 Just give me a moment. We see -- I'm now looking at</p> <p>16 paragraph 165 of your statement, DI Richards, we have</p> <p>17 seen through the lens of those exchanges between Gabriel</p> <p>18 and Karl, it is clear that, first of all, Gabriel went</p> <p>19 to look at the flat in Barking some time around the</p> <p>20 Monday of that week?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Then he actually moved into it towards the end of the</p> <p>23 day on the Saturday he was there and of course we have</p> <p>24 heard from Ryan Edwards that he was there. It is</p> <p>25 obvious therefore that at some point Gabriel made</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p>

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

<p>1 contact with Stephen, or Stephen made contact with 2 Gabriel and there must have been an arrangement for 3 Gabriel to go and look at the flat? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Presumably some sort of communication between the two of 6 them leading to him moving in? 7 A. Correct. 8 Q. Were you able to find any -- or to recover any of that 9 messaging? 10 A. No, no evidence at all of how they first met or came in 11 contact. 12 Q. Thank you. 13 I am going to come on then to other movements of 14 Gabriel Kovari around that time. I have already 15 mentioned the evidence of Ryan Edwards. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. First of all, as we have said, there was that evidence 18 about Ryan Edwards going to see Gabriel on the evening 19 of the Sunday. 20 When Ryan Edwards gave evidence he did mention that 21 on that evening he hadn't been alone, he had gone with 22 a friend. Were you able to investigate that in any more 23 detail? 24 A. Yes, that's correct. He did go with a friend. We spoke 25 to the friend and he provided a statement exactly the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p>	<p>1 A. We looked into it but we couldn't find any evidence to 2 support it or to go against it. 3 Q. We have already looked at the schedule. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. For example there is no evidence either that 6 Gabriel Kovari, in terms of his messaging or texts or so 7 on, that there was any exchange of any messages of that 8 nature around that time? 9 A. No, nothing at all. 10 Q. I want to move to a slightly different angle on the 11 events of those few days. That is a statement that you 12 obtained from Stephen Port's sister. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. I am going to read out a reasonably lengthy section of 15 this. Stephen Port's sister is a lady named 16 Sandra Port, is she not? 17 A. Sharon. 18 Q. Sorry. There is a mistake on the face of the witness 19 statement. Her name was Sharon? 20 A. Sharon, yes. 21 Q. I am going to come on to the details of the statement in 22 a moment, but can you just give us an overview of how 23 you came to contact her and in broad terms the evidence 24 she provided about Stephen Port? 25 A. Yes. We contacted her as a routine enquiry through</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p>
<p>1 same saying he attended 62 Cooke Street, he had met with 2 Gabriel and then they had left. 3 Q. The jury will recall that although it was Stephen Port 4 who had initiated that meeting by inviting Ryan Edwards 5 round to meet his new flatmate -- 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. -- Stephen Port was not there when Ryan Edwards arrived 8 with his friend? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. There was a text messaging saying where are you and he 11 will not answer the door and so on? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Ryan Edwards's evidence was in fact Stephen Port was not 14 there at all for that meeting. Did his friend agree 15 with that? 16 A. He corroborated that, yes, he did. 17 Q. Then the jury will also recall that Ryan Edwards gave 18 evidence that the next day, the Monday, at some stage 19 during the day, I don't think he was very precise about 20 when, he said it was light, Gabriel came round to his 21 flat, the members of the jury may recall it was to see 22 "the girls", his hens? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Is that something about which you, Lilford, obtained any 25 evidence?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p>	<p>1 Operation Lilford. Obviously it was her brother that we 2 were investigating and were charging with the four 3 murders and associated offences. And we spoke to her, 4 of an overview of her relationship, the growing up, the 5 background information and then also spoke to her around 6 incidents around the times of the matters that we were 7 looking at. And she provided some evidence around her 8 recollection of an event, a time period that she could 9 pin down through other things, when she relayed that 10 Stephen had told her that there was a dead body within 11 his flat. 12 Q. I am going to read that part of the statement out, but 13 just so the jury are not too confused as I read it out, 14 by way of introduction. 15 First of all, I think is it right that the account 16 that Sharon Port gave to you, the first indication she 17 had had of anything to do with Stephen Port's problems 18 or involvement with any, for example, dead bodies 19 related to this event that you have just described 20 in August? 21 A. That's correct, yes. 22 Q. Nothing from his sister relating to any concerns back in 23 June, when we know that Anthony died? 24 A. No. 25 Q. Did it appear from the account that she gave you of what</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p>

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

<p>1 Stephen Port had told her at the time that the story 2 that Stephen Port told her at the time, as it were, 3 conflated some elements of what we now know had happened 4 regarding Anthony and other elements of what happened 5 regarding Gabriel? 6 A. Yes. It appears that Stephen had confused or compiled 7 the two stories to make it more believable to his 8 sister. He had used the name of Anthony, but he had 9 used a foreign national, saying he was a Lithuanian man 10 who had died in the flat, so it was almost a compilation 11 of the two stories that overran that time period. 12 Q. One period, as you have mentioned, which does emerge, 13 and I am going to read the passage in a moment is that 14 there was a reasonably precise timing that Sharon Port 15 was able to give, even though her statement was given in 16 October 2015, so over a year later, she was able to pin 17 these events down with some degree of accuracy? 18 A. Yes, she was. She remembers a period of time when she 19 started a new job and the telephone call from her 20 brother sticks in her mind, because clearly being told 21 that there was a dead body within her brother's flat, 22 she knows it was two weeks after she started her new 23 job. 24 Q. I am going to read a passage from the statement, I am 25 not asking this to come up on the screen, please, but</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p>	<p>1 called me from my dad's mobile about two weeks later." 2 On the face of it, some time around Monday, 25th, 3 would that be right? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. "He said he was using dad's phone number. I asked him 6 are you okay in general? He said no, he had some 7 problems. He would try and sort them out and speak to 8 me the next day. I rang him the next day but he still 9 didn't want to talk about it. So I said I would call 10 him the following day. The following day, it was the 11 afternoon ..." 12 Just pausing, at least on the sequence that is 13 given, that would appear to be the Wednesday afternoon? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. The following day, in the afternoon: 16 "I was sat in my car and I called him on dad's phone 17 I think. Stephen told me in this phone conversation 18 that there is a body in his flat. In his bed. He 19 couldn't wake him up. I asked what happened. Stephen 20 said they were both taking drugs and they went to sleep 21 in the early hours of the morning. Then when Stephen 22 woke up early to go to work he couldn't wake the guy up. 23 He told me that he realised he was dead. I asked him 24 when it was and Stephen said two days ago. I asked him 25 what did you do. He said he went to work, he had to be</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p>
<p>1 for the record it is IPC954. 2 The first words I read are going to relate -- she 3 will refer to an arrest, Stephen Port's arrest in August 4 that. That is not a mistake, is it, that is not her 5 mistake? 6 A. No. 7 Q. That is part of the tangled story that Stephen Port told 8 her? 9 A. Yes, that's correct. 10 Statement of MS SHARON PORT (read) 11 MR O'CONNOR: I am going to read this passage now, of the 12 statement that your team took from Stephen Port's 13 sister. It reads as follows: 14 "Following Stephen's arrest in August 2014 I became 15 aware of Stephen taking drugs, I found out via 16 a telephone conversation with Stephen. In order to try 17 and date the call, I know that I started a new job on 18 11 August 2014." 19 Just pausing for a moment, we have just been talking 20 about Monday, 18 August as the day when, on the face of 21 it, Gabriel went to look at Stephen Port's flat, so this 22 would have been a week before, on Monday, 11 August? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. She says: 25 "I started a new job on 11 August 2014 and Stephen</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p>	<p>1 there for 6.00 am. He came home the first day and the 2 person was still in Steve's bed. He said he left him in 3 the bed. He didn't say where he slept, but he was in 4 the flat with the body overnight. Then he went to work 5 again the next day. I know Stephen had said the person 6 had been in the flat for a couple of days, but I can't 7 be sure how many nights. I think he was in his flat 8 when I was speaking to him on the phone. So I think the 9 body would have been in there with him. He said he was 10 going to mum's. I was in shock. I told him that he 11 needed to go to the police, but I know he went to my 12 mum's because I rang him again to check what he was 13 going to do and making sure he was going to tell the 14 police. 15 "He was at my mum's when I called him. He said he 16 went upstairs to a bedroom to talk in private. I told 17 him that he was to leave straight away and walk to the 18 police station. He said okay. This was at about 19 5.00 pm. I am going to go -- I think rang him again to 20 make sure he was on his way to the police. I told him 21 to let me know what was happening when I got out. He 22 said he had left and was walking to the police station. 23 He then sent me a text saying, 'I am going in now, wish 24 me luck'. I didn't hear anything from him until the 25 next day. I didn't hear anything from him the next day</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p>

<p>1 until when I think I got a text from him saying that he 2 had just been let out. I had started making my way to 3 Barking as I was worried. His text said he had been in 4 custody about 20 hours and bailed. I then rang him. 5 Stephen said don't come down, I am tired and stressed. 6 There is no need for you to come down. I told him I was 7 nearly there and coming anyway. I made my way to the 8 flat. I was with my partner. Stephen was at the flat, 9 we went in. He seemed very tired and stressed. He 10 looked really ill. His nose was terribly crusty at the 11 nostrils, like he had been using drugs. We decided to 12 take him out for lunch. I think I asked him the same 13 questions as I had on the phone but he didn't give any 14 different answers. He didn't talk very much. He looked 15 very tired. We went to a cafe in the undercover 16 precinct in Barking by the escalators. 17 "We were with him for about four hours in all. 18 I formed the opinion that he had been bailed and it was 19 all a tragic accident and he had to report back to the 20 police station at a later time. I remember he was 21 having to go back to the police station several times. 22 At some point, I am not sure when, but it was before he 23 went to prison in March 2015, I had a conversation with 24 Stephen at my mum's privately in a bedroom, in which he 25 told me the person who had died in the house was named</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	<p>1 Questions from MR O'CONNOR (continued) 2 MR O'CONNOR: DI Richards, that is a part of a longer 3 statement -- 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. -- which gives further detail, doesn't it, about Port's 6 sister's memory of growing up together, their family 7 life and so on? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. That part of it, as we have said, clearly referred to 10 a memory she had. She was giving the statement, as 11 I have said, in October 2015. But she was able, as we 12 have heard, to pin in her mind the memory of those 13 obviously memorable conversations she had had with 14 Stephen Port to her starting that job on that day in 15 August. 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. It does appear, does it not, that those conversations 18 that she had had with Stephen Port about having the body 19 in the flat, and the conversations she had had before, 20 when he had said he was worried but hadn't told her what 21 was going on, seemed to have taken place in the first 22 few days of that week, of Monday, 25 August? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Perhaps there is only so far that one can take this, 25 particularly in light of her evidence that she couldn't</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p>
<p>1 Anthony and he was Lithuanian. Stephen said he had only 2 known him a week. I also recall that I received a text 3 message from Stephen that I no longer have on my phone. 4 The message was received about two weeks after his 5 arrest in August 2014. The message said, 'I never felt 6 such incredible fear and sadness before'. 7 "I later asked him about the text and Stephen said 8 he was talking about Anthony and the whole situation he 9 was in. He said he really liked him, even though he 10 didn't know him for that long. Stephen later told me 11 that he had been charged with perverting the course of 12 justice and was going to Snaresbrook Crown Court. He 13 didn't want me to go to court. He only told me about 14 this and none of his friends. I offered to go with him 15 but he didn't want me there. I got a text from his 16 solicitor on 23 March 2015 that told me that Stephen had 17 been imprisoned and asked me to clear Stephen's fridge 18 in his flat. 19 "I rang the solicitor, who told me that Stephen had 20 moved the body. I said to him, 'Rewind, what do you 21 mean he moved the body?' The solicitor repeated that 22 Stephen had moved the body and lied to the police and 23 ended up with a prison sentence. I was annoyed because 24 my brother had not done as I had asked about going to 25 the police but had apparently moved the body."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>	<p>1 remember -- although she remembered the calls day by 2 day, she couldn't remember how many nights Stephen Port 3 seemed to have been describing that the body had been in 4 the flat? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. I am going to go now just to ask you a few more 7 questions about the movements of Stephen Port around 8 this time. I am looking at paragraph 186 of your 9 statement. We saw, when we were looking at those texts 10 or WhatsApp messages between Gabriel and Karl Kamgdom 11 that he sent that message saying he had arrived quite 12 late on the Saturday night, after 11.00 at night? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Just looking at paragraphs 186, 187 and 188 of your 15 statement, were you able to recover any evidence around 16 what Stephen Port was doing at around that time? 17 A. We were, not hard and firm evidence but we could draw 18 the evidence from other factors that we uncovered. 19 Q. Yes, can you give us some detail about that? 20 A. Yes. Shift patterns that Stephen was working, the use 21 of his computer at the time, things that he told other 22 people that were going on within his life at the time. 23 Q. Did it appear that Stephen Port may well have been 24 working on that Saturday. We have heard about his 25 shift, one of the shifts he worked, ending at 10.00 at</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p>

<p>1 night?</p> <p>2 A. That's correct.</p> <p>3 Q. Having regard to those other sources of evidence that</p> <p>4 you had, did it seem that that was a likely reason why</p> <p>5 Gabriel had moved in late on a Saturday night?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, his shift pattern was 10.00 that week, finishing at</p> <p>7 10.00 pm that week and obviously he didn't want Gabriel</p> <p>8 moving in before that, because he wasn't there. So it</p> <p>9 was around the 10.30 mark that he would get home.</p> <p>10 Q. That would mean that the Sunday, the next day, was</p> <p>11 Gabriel's first full day living in the flat and we have</p> <p>12 seen the exchanges that he had with Karl Kamgdom during</p> <p>13 that day?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Then we have heard the evidence, we have reminded the</p> <p>16 jury of the evidence about the meeting that night with</p> <p>17 Ryan Edwards, which Stephen Port was not present at. Is</p> <p>18 that right?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. The jury will remember those exchanges of texts between</p> <p>21 Stephen Port and Ryan Edwards, for example, "Hey</p> <p>22 neighbour, come and see my new flatmate" and so on.</p> <p>23 That was what led to that meeting on that evening?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Just let me ask you about paragraph 37 of your</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p>	<p>1 A. No, I don't believe so.</p> <p>2 Q. No doubt Operation Lilford undertook many investigations</p> <p>3 trying from all sorts of angles to obtain more evidence</p> <p>4 about exactly what had happened over those few days?</p> <p>5 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>6 Q. In particular, no doubt the last few days, trying to</p> <p>7 understand when exactly it was that Gabriel had died.</p> <p>8 A. Yes, we found no further evidence to indicate when</p> <p>9 Gabriel had died, what had happened in those two interim</p> <p>10 days prior to his body being found. As I said earlier,</p> <p>11 his phone had no more connectivity after those messages</p> <p>12 with Karl, telephone records were not available to us</p> <p>13 due to the passage of time from Operation Lilford and no</p> <p>14 telephone material records were available from the</p> <p>15 original investigation.</p> <p>16 Q. I am sure you will remember Dr Swift saying that he was</p> <p>17 not able to assist in terms of precisely identifying the</p> <p>18 time of Gabriel's death. From everything you have said,</p> <p>19 is it the position that, other than the evidence we have</p> <p>20 discussed this morning, Operation Lilford are not able</p> <p>21 to help the jury narrow down that time period any</p> <p>22 further?</p> <p>23 A. No, not at all.</p> <p>24 Q. That is the evidence so far as Gabriel's movements are</p> <p>25 concerned, and we are focusing on that week, from</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p>
<p>1 statement, because that is where you refer to another of</p> <p>2 those text messages, on 26 August. That is the Tuesday</p> <p>3 of that week, what message do you refer to there?</p> <p>4 A. Stephen sends a text message to Ryan, his words:</p> <p>5 "My new number, delete the other one. Stephen, your</p> <p>6 neighbour."</p> <p>7 So he is effectively telling Ryan get rid of his old</p> <p>8 number, this is my new number that I am texting from.</p> <p>9 Q. The jury will recall that there was a pattern, was there</p> <p>10 not, of changing number, changing handset shortly after</p> <p>11 each of these deaths?</p> <p>12 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. At what time on the Tuesday was that text message sent?</p> <p>14 A. 2.53 pm.</p> <p>15 Q. So mid afternoon?</p> <p>16 A. Mid afternoon, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. That is Tuesday. We know from all of the evidence we</p> <p>18 have heard that it was on the Thursday morning, so the</p> <p>19 morning of Thursday, 28 August, that Gabriel's body was</p> <p>20 discovered?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Are there any other sources of evidence that we have not</p> <p>23 covered in the last half an hour or so that can assist</p> <p>24 in what happened regarding Gabriel or Stephen Port in</p> <p>25 those few days that we have been talking about?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p>	<p>1 Monday, 25th, through to the 28th, when Gabriel's body</p> <p>2 was discovered.</p> <p>3 Of course given what happened later, one of the</p> <p>4 factors that is very much in play is what</p> <p>5 Daniel Whitworth was doing over those few days?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. It is something that the jury have heard a fair amount</p> <p>8 of evidence about. One of the things we have heard from</p> <p>9 various officers is the intention when Daniel's body was</p> <p>10 discovered to try and obtain cell site phone data</p> <p>11 relating to both his and Gabriel's phone over that</p> <p>12 period, but, as the jury have heard, that wasn't done at</p> <p>13 the time.</p> <p>14 In light of the evidence you have previously given</p> <p>15 about the time period for which that sort of information</p> <p>16 remains available, was Operation Lilford, which, as we</p> <p>17 know, commenced in October 2015, were you able to obtain</p> <p>18 that phone data when you started looking at these cases?</p> <p>19 A. No. No, we weren't.</p> <p>20 Q. Not obtained at the time and you were unable to obtain</p> <p>21 it.</p> <p>22 Can I ask us just to look together, please, at in</p> <p>23 the jury bundle, it is tab 47. For the screen, IPC263.</p> <p>24 The jury will recall the bottom half of this page, this</p> <p>25 was an email sent by DC Slaymaker to DI Schamberger.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p>

<p>1 The middle of those two: 2 "We are in possession of Whitworth's work rota, 3 sickness record and holidays." 4 The jury will recall the tasking to go and get 5 a statement relating to whether or not Daniel Whitworth 6 was at work on the 28th. The statement from 7 Mr O'Connell which doesn't really address that, but 8 DC Slaymaker said he did recall, and this email supports 9 that, obtaining documentation from Daniel Whitworth's 10 employer. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. In fact we see another reference to it, just for 13 completeness, if we go back in the bundle to tab 46, to 14 the CRIS. 15 For the screen, it is IPC33, pages 46 to 47. This 16 is an entry made by Mr Slaymaker and at the bottom there 17 we can see he says: 18 "We then attended ISS Food ..." 19 That was Daniel's employer? 20 A. Yes, it was. 21 Q. He said he spoke to HR, no doubt human resources, a lady 22 called Siobhan: 23 "She is going to email me and DC Baxter Daniel's 24 shift pattern and attendance also sickness and holidays, 25 in particular if Daniel was on the work on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p>	<p>1 although it is closely related, which is the evidence 2 from Ricky Waumsley. The jury have seen more than once, 3 because I have asked several witnesses about it, the 4 statement that DC Slaymaker took where you recall his 5 memory, that he explained to DC Slaymaker, and in fact 6 the evidence from his phone that he was pretty certain, 7 to use his words, that Daniel Whitworth was at home with 8 him on the evening of the 27th. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Did Operation Lilford take a further statement from 11 Ricky Waumsley? 12 A. Yes, we did, yes. 13 Q. In fact you took more than one? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. One of them did actually cover this issue and went a bit 16 further than that? 17 A. In detail, yes. 18 Q. We have looked at it before, but just let's look at it 19 one more time, it is MPS527 please. If we can go over 20 to the second page, please, we see, picking up on the 21 second line: 22 "On the 27th [he is now talking about the Wednesday 23 morning] we texted each other between 5.50 and 6.43, 24 these were general texts mainly about him making cakes. 25 We had no more messages that day ..."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p>
<p>1 28 September 2014. She is also going to provide when he 2 started with employment." 3 That is an entry on 29 October. We don't need to go 4 back to it, but that email we were just looking at was 5 a couple of weeks later on 13 October. So at least as 6 far as those documents and DC Slaymaker's evidence, that 7 material was obtained? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. When Operation Lilford started in October 2015, was 10 there a process by which you, in a sense, took over all 11 of the material that had been obtained in the various 12 borough investigations? 13 A. Yes, we harvested reactively and proactively all of the 14 material held from the local borough, from the homicide 15 teams that had been involved, from everybody that had 16 been involved we harvested every piece of material, 17 electronic or hard copy, that we could. 18 Q. Was this material that we have just been looking at or 19 seeing references to, was that material amongst that 20 material? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Did you conduct your own enquiries as to whether Daniel 23 had been at work on that day? 24 A. I can't recall. 25 Q. Let me move on and ask you about a different subject,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 46</p>	<p>1 I think we saw an earlier passage where he said the 2 normal routine was that they would text each other while 3 they were on the way to work: 4 "... no more messages that day, so he will have come 5 home after work and spent the evening with me." 6 I think, again, in another part of one of his 7 statements he made the general observations that if they 8 weren't texting, that is because they were together. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. That was really as far as that earlier statement had 11 taken the matter, in regards to where Daniel was on the 12 evening of the 27th. But in this statement, 13 Ricky Waumsley went further, he said: 14 "On the 28th [so that is the Thursday morning, the 15 morning on which Gabriel's body was discovered] we have 16 messages between 05.50 and 06.15, Daniel again mentions 17 making cakes and said he would try and bring some home. 18 I remember from reading this text he did bring cakes 19 home that day and he stayed at home for the evening ..." 20 Amongst other things, there was some evidence that 21 in fact Daniel had been at work that day on the 22 Thursday? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Thank you. We can take that down. 25 Moving forward slightly in the chronology, and I am</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 48</p>

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

<p>1 now looking at paragraphs 199 and following of your 2 statement, the jury will recall that there were texts 3 exchanged between Ryan Edwards and Stephen Port after 4 Gabriel's body was discovered? 5 A. That's correct. Yes. 6 Q. Where Stephen Port essentially said that -- initially 7 said he had left, that Gabriel wasn't living with him 8 anymore, and then some time later said that, in fact, he 9 had died, is that right? 10 A. Yes, he was creating another story. 11 Q. The jury have heard all that from Ryan Edwards, I don't 12 want to go back over it with you now. 13 Can I ask you about paragraphs 204 and following, 14 please. 15 In his evidence, John Pape referred to 16 Gabriel Kovari having had an account on the gay dating 17 site Bender, is that something that Operation Lilford 18 investigated? 19 A. Yes, we did, yes. 20 Q. Just give us in brief outline what you were trying to 21 achieve and what you managed to achieve? 22 A. Yes, Bender again is another gay hook up, gay dating 23 site and we made enquiries with Bender, but found out 24 that it had been liquidated and closed down prior to our 25 involvement in the October. It had gone by</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, they had. 2 Q. In fact, as you say, 18 August, that was the Monday, 3 amongst other things when Gabriel Kovari went to look at 4 the flat in Barking? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. As far as your investigation showed, that was the first 7 date that they had communicated? 8 A. Yes, that we could find, 18 August. I think it is fair 9 to say also on Gabriel's account, we knew when it was 10 created and we could also find the last time it was ever 11 used, which was 24 August. 12 Q. So we are just switching focus for a moment. We were 13 talking about Daniel and Stephen Port -- 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. -- but you have just taken us back to Gabriel? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Sorry, could you say that again? 18 A. When I was describing that the company had gone and we 19 could not find any of the content, we found when the 20 account was created for Gabriel but we also found when 21 it was last used and that was 24 August, which fits with 22 the same time. But the first contact between Stephen 23 and Daniel was 18 August. So there was overlap on the 24 two conversations. 25 Q. Let's move to -- again a related topic but something</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p>
<p>1 January 2015. We did have his log in details or his 2 user name, Gabriel's user name for Bender, and we made 3 enquiries with the data that was still held. We could 4 find that that account did exist, which corroborated 5 John Pape's evidence. We found when it was created but, 6 because the company had liquidated, it was no longer 7 there, we couldn't find any of the content of those 8 messages. 9 Q. Thank you. 10 Before we leave the question of social media and 11 messaging, we are going to come, of course, to questions 12 relating to Daniel Whitworth and the circumstances 13 surrounding his death -- 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. -- but in the course of the Operation Lilford 16 investigation, did you discover the first time that on 17 the face of it Daniel Whitworth and Stephen Port had 18 started to make contact? 19 A. Yes, we did. That, again, was a messaging relationship 20 to start with and that began on 18 August. 21 Q. We will come to hear evidence about Daniel Whitworth's 22 death and his body being discovered on 20 September, but 23 it is right, then, is it that the evidence you obtained 24 showed that Stephen Port and Daniel Whitworth had been 25 in contact for some time before that?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p>	<p>1 slightly different, that is the whole question of 2 Jon Luck. The jury have heard of course that that 3 proved to be Stephen Port. 4 A. It did. 5 Q. We have heard about the communications between Jon Luck 6 and Thierry Amodio? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Of course there was a sort of a ripple effect, because 9 Thierry Amodio told others about what he had heard from 10 Jon Luck, including John Pape, and John Pape was 11 reporting them on to the borough officers. We have seen 12 all about that. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. It is also right, isn't it, that in fact the 15 communication between Jon Luck and Thierry Amodio was 16 initiated by Thierry Amodio, who saw Jon Luck as someone 17 on Gabriel's Facebook page. So it was Thierry Amodio 18 who contacted Jon Luck, saying, "Do you know anything 19 about Gabriel? Where he has gone?" That was on 20 10 September? 21 A. Yes, that's correct. 22 Q. I think it is right, and you describe this in your 23 statement, that it was Operation Lilford, in fact your 24 own -- starting with your own concerns, that identified 25 that Jon Luck was in fact Stephen Port?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p>

1 **A. Yes, that's correct.**
 2 Q. Can you, first of all, just explain in some senses --
 3 you set it out in some detail in your witness statement,
 4 but explain sort of when and how that link came to be
 5 made?
 6 **A. Initially when we took over Operation Lilford, or when**
 7 **Operation Lilford began, the main aim obviously for us**
 8 **was to investigate these deaths and to obtain enough**
 9 **evidence to charge Stephen Port with the four murders**
 10 **initially.**
 11 As soon as that was completed and the matters went
 12 public through the Magistrates' Court, then we had many,
 13 many more people contacting us for the sexual offences
 14 that we have heard of, the drugging offences and so
 15 Operation Lilford grew, hugely grew, over the
 16 forthcoming weeks. Part of the process of any
 17 investigation is to trace everybody, but you prioritise
 18 where you need to go to secure the evidence to achieve
 19 your end game, ultimately.
 20 In the build up to the trial, which was in
 21 October 2016, I personally was reviewing all of the
 22 people that we had yet to trace. Identifying whether we
 23 needed to trace them, identifying whether they were no
 24 longer needed in the enquiry and where they fitted in.
 25 One of these people that I was reviewing was Jon Luck.

Page 53

1 At the time, when we had taken over
 2 Operation Lilford, some officers had made some enquiries
 3 to trace Jon Luck through the conversation with Thierry,
 4 we established that Jon Luck had said that he worked at
 5 the Asda, locally. Enquiries were made there with their
 6 HR department and no Jon Luck had ever worked there, no
 7 Americans had ever worked there. He said he was a male
 8 porn star for a company in San Diego called Helix
 9 Studios, enquiries were made there without any
 10 positivity. He had also said he had studied at a local
 11 university and enquiries were made at the university and
 12 no Jon Luck had ever studied there.
 13 His Facebook account -- Jon Luck's Facebook account
 14 was closed down in the late part of 2014 and no longer
 15 existed. And essentially to any law enforcement or to
 16 public record Jon Luck didn't exist. He had no
 17 footprint, no bank account, anything like that. So we
 18 were quite assured at that point that Jon Luck didn't
 19 actually exist.
 20 When reviewing the material coming up to trial
 21 I noticed some very strong similarities between how
 22 Jon Luck composed his messages and how he spoke with
 23 that of how Stephen Port composed his messages and spoke
 24 in the written word, typed, and that some of the content
 25 of Jon Luck's conversation with Thierry Amodio

Page 54

1 overlapped with what Stephen Port was telling other
 2 people, through varying messages on gay dating sites
 3 about having people stay over, when he was having to go
 4 to work and there was a lot of overlap. So it spiked
 5 an interest in my mind that Jon Luck was actually
 6 Stephen Port.
 7 Q. Go on.
 8 A. I then set about a series of actions for my team to
 9 commence, to basically confirm or disprove that Jon Luck
 10 was Stephen Port. So I set some actions for my officers
 11 to identify the Facebook account where he was logging
 12 on. So when you log on to Facebook or any other webpage
 13 you create a footprint within that database, within that
 14 company. So we contacted Facebook to determine where
 15 the log ons to the account had been held, and where the
 16 person using that Facebook account was at the time.
 17 Each time you log on to the internet, whether it be via
 18 your phone, or a public router like in a library or
 19 a coffee shop or your home router, you have an IP
 20 address, which is a series of numbers which is
 21 essentially your address in cyberworld for that
 22 connection to the internet.
 23 Facebook were able to provide us reams and reams of
 24 log on addresses, IP addresses, to where the active user
 25 for the Jon Luck account had been at the time it was

Page 55

1 logged on.
 2 We then overlaid that with the IP addresses from
 3 Stephen Port's router from his house and his log ons to
 4 his own Facebook account and to other accounts and we
 5 could determine that it was the same IP address. So
 6 what that either means is Jon Luck is sitting in the
 7 same room at the same time as Stephen Port, using the
 8 laptop at the same time or it is one strand to say that
 9 Stephen Port is Jon Luck.
 10 So that was one side of it.
 11 We did some more comparison of the language used.
 12 People all use language differently, particularly when
 13 you write it and type it and you can identify, quite
 14 strongly at times, how somebody speaks. Certain words
 15 you would use, certain phrases you would use,
 16 particularly slang, particularly like we have seen in
 17 the suicide note, "BTW" for "by the way", things like
 18 that. And Stephen had repeatedly used in his messages
 19 shortened for "because", instead of "cos" or "coz", or
 20 "b'cos" he would use the word "cuss", C-U-S-S, and
 21 Jon Luck was also using that as well. For me that was
 22 quite strange, I have never seen the word "C-U-S-S" used
 23 to shorten the word "because" and here were two people
 24 that were using that phrase, overlapped with the
 25 Facebook log in data, and overlapped with the messaging

Page 56

<p>1 regarding who was in his house, whether he was going to</p> <p>2 work at what time, who he had staying over.</p> <p>3 Jon Luck was telling Thierry Amodio that he had</p> <p>4 spent the night with Gabriel, at certain times and that</p> <p>5 was overlapped with what Jon Luck was saying as well, so</p> <p>6 there was a lot of overlap, which led me to believe that</p> <p>7 Stephen Port was Jon Luck and ultimately Stephen Port</p> <p>8 admitted that he was Jon Luck and had created that</p> <p>9 persona.</p> <p>10 Q. Thank you, and I think the very last thing you said,</p> <p>11 that was during his trial, that Stephen Port admitted</p> <p>12 that he had been Jon Luck?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, he fully admitted it in court.</p> <p>14 Q. Let me move on and ask you a few questions about</p> <p>15 property, possessions, that were found with Gabriel's</p> <p>16 body.</p> <p>17 First of all, the jury will recall that there was</p> <p>18 a vodka bottle found in the graveyard next to Gabriel's</p> <p>19 body?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Paragraph 76 of your statement. Was that bottle -- I am</p> <p>22 not talking about the contents, I am talking about the</p> <p>23 bottle itself, was the bottle sent by Operation Lilford</p> <p>24 for any forensic examination?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, it was, for full forensic testing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 57</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. We can go back to the first document, now. Page 7,</p> <p>3 please, because that is in fact the document that</p> <p>4 PC Faulkner was asked to go through the property, if the</p> <p>5 jury recall. In fact on the instructions of the</p> <p>6 coroner.</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Given the circumstances of Gabriel's body being found,</p> <p>9 unsurprisingly he was asked to see if there was anything</p> <p>10 that basically equated to a suicide note?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Again, unsurprisingly he identified this as something</p> <p>13 that might be of interest.</p> <p>14 As far as this document is concerned, one can see</p> <p>15 that there is the main text written there and then there</p> <p>16 is also those words in the top right-hand corner, "In</p> <p>17 case I do not wake up"?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. I am going to ask you something about the text and any</p> <p>20 analysis you undertook of it in a moment, but first of</p> <p>21 all can I just ask you more practical question, which</p> <p>22 is, first of all, where was this document found, as far</p> <p>23 as Operation Lilford understands it?</p> <p>24 A. You used the phrase quite confusing. In Gabriel's</p> <p>25 property he had a considerable amount of paperwork,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p>
<p>1 Q. Was there any results from it?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, nothing was found, no useful marks or identifying</p> <p>3 features.</p> <p>4 Q. Let me move on and ask you about another item of</p> <p>5 property, and that is something the jury have seen</p> <p>6 something of in evidence already, it was a note, or</p> <p>7 a document at any rate, found amongst Gabriel's</p> <p>8 possessions.</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. We can just remind ourselves, it is in the jury bundle</p> <p>11 behind tab 8, and for the screen, it is IPC270. For the</p> <p>12 screen, can we please have -- the jury will -- at the</p> <p>13 back of the tab, can we first have page 7, please, on</p> <p>14 the screen.</p> <p>15 Just to remind ourselves, because this is a tail</p> <p>16 which is a little complicated, but to remind ourselves</p> <p>17 of the evidence, here is one document. If we, please,</p> <p>18 now go to page 8, the next page, the evidence from</p> <p>19 PC Faulkner was that the document we have just been</p> <p>20 looking at, the handwritten document, was written on the</p> <p>21 back of this typed letter, or whatever it is, from the</p> <p>22 University of Sydney?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Then what we see in this corner is another document that</p> <p>25 has just been photocopied with it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 58</p>	<p>1 whether that be handwritten foolscap paper like this,</p> <p>2 the letters from the university and things like that, he</p> <p>3 had quite a substantial amount of paperwork with him.</p> <p>4 The more official type paperwork, the proper</p> <p>5 letters, were within separate files as such, small thin</p> <p>6 plastic files and then there was the handwritten things,</p> <p>7 his notes, he had written some poetry and things like</p> <p>8 that, they were all sort of loosely put together and</p> <p>9 then that was all together.</p> <p>10 Q. That is a description of a sort of wad of documentation.</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. The jury will recall that Gabriel's body was found with</p> <p>13 two bags, a suitcase and a smaller bag?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Is it possible to say which of those bags that this</p> <p>16 material was found in or not?</p> <p>17 A. Yes and no. There is nothing concrete. When</p> <p>18 PC Faulkner has opened them, he hasn't recorded what he</p> <p>19 has taken from where, but from the photographs and the</p> <p>20 contents that we can see, the suitcase is open, but it</p> <p>21 is substantially full, effectively, whereas the -- it is</p> <p>22 a Calvin Klein sort of courier satchel has got a few</p> <p>23 bits and bobs in it, but nothing substantial. You could</p> <p>24 assume, we don't like to in our profession, that the</p> <p>25 paperwork filled within that, because otherwise he is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 60</p>

<p>1 carrying around an effectively empty satchel.</p> <p>2 Q. Can we assume it was in one or other of those bags?</p> <p>3 A. It was definitely in one or the other.</p> <p>4 Q. Of course we have in our minds all the evidence we have</p> <p>5 heard about the note that was found with Daniel's body.</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. We will remember the evidence that that was found in</p> <p>8 a plastic sleeve?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Is there any suggestion that this document was found in</p> <p>11 a plastic sleeve?</p> <p>12 A. No, none at all.</p> <p>13 Q. That is as far as the questions of where it was found,</p> <p>14 how it was found. This document is obviously something</p> <p>15 that came to your attention a year or so later when</p> <p>16 Operation Lilford started, when you took over all of the</p> <p>17 property relating to all the investigations. Did you</p> <p>18 send this document for any forensic analysis?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, we did, yes.</p> <p>20 Q. What type of analysis?</p> <p>21 A. There is no fingerprints, ridge detail available for</p> <p>22 this document.</p> <p>23 Q. All right.</p> <p>24 A. We also sent it for handwriting analysis.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay, and if we can -- as far as the handwriting, we</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. How did that work?</p> <p>3 A. We spoke to Gabriel's brother, who lives in the</p> <p>4 Czech Republic, and he provided us with a sample of his</p> <p>5 brother's handwriting.</p> <p>6 Q. Armed with that sample, did the expert consider that</p> <p>7 question of whether this document might have been</p> <p>8 written by Gabriel?</p> <p>9 A. Yes, he did.</p> <p>10 Q. What was his view?</p> <p>11 A. Again, just to separate, the main bulk of the document</p> <p>12 was written by Gabriel.</p> <p>13 Q. Then what about the same question in relation to those</p> <p>14 words at the top?</p> <p>15 A. He couldn't confirm who that was written by, whether</p> <p>16 that be Gabriel or not.</p> <p>17 Q. All right. Just in case there is any misunderstanding</p> <p>18 about this, no doubt he did consider whether those words</p> <p>19 might, just those few words at the top, might have been</p> <p>20 written by Stephen Port?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, he did.</p> <p>22 Q. The answer you gave before, which was a categoric no,</p> <p>23 covered that as well, did it?</p> <p>24 A. No, he couldn't -- the main bulk of the document was</p> <p>25 definitely not Stephen Port and was definitely Gabriel.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 63</p>
<p>1 will hear a little bit more about the handwriting when</p> <p>2 we come to hear some evidence about the other note --</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. -- but let me ask you, first of all then, you had</p> <p>5 a handwriting expert?</p> <p>6 A. Yes, we did, yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Who provided some expert views about this document and</p> <p>8 also about the other note that Daniel was found holding?</p> <p>9 A. That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Did you ask that expert to consider first of all the</p> <p>11 question of whether this document might have been</p> <p>12 written by Stephen Port?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, we did.</p> <p>14 Q. What was his view about that?</p> <p>15 A. Categorically, no.</p> <p>16 Q. Did you ask him to consider whether this document, and</p> <p>17 for these purposes we may have to think separately about</p> <p>18 the main body of the document on the one hand and the</p> <p>19 wording in the top right-hand corner, did you ask him</p> <p>20 whether this might have been written by Gabriel?</p> <p>21 A. Yes, we did, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Presumably, in order to do that -- we will come on to</p> <p>23 hear about how this expert had samples of Stephen Port's</p> <p>24 writing -- but he would have needed, would he, samples</p> <p>25 of Gabriel's writing?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 62</p>	<p>1 The part in the top corner, to my recollection, he</p> <p>2 couldn't determine either way for either sample.</p> <p>3 Q. I see. Okay, I think then that is clear, clearly</p> <p>4 separated.</p> <p>5 Thank you.</p> <p>6 Let's go to a different subject but still relating</p> <p>7 to Gabriel, that is the question of DNA analysis</p> <p>8 relating to his own possessions. We can remind</p> <p>9 ourselves that Gabriel did not have a special post</p> <p>10 mortem?</p> <p>11 A. No, a standard post mortem.</p> <p>12 Q. For that reason the quite numerous swabs and so on that</p> <p>13 we have already referred to relating to Anthony, and we</p> <p>14 will come on to discuss relating to Daniel, simply had</p> <p>15 not been taken?</p> <p>16 A. That's correct.</p> <p>17 Q. So there were not those samples available for you to</p> <p>18 send for analysis?</p> <p>19 A. No, they are not taken during a standard post mortem.</p> <p>20 Q. It is also the case, isn't it, and the jury have heard</p> <p>21 evidence about this, that by the time you became</p> <p>22 involved, the clothing that Gabriel was wearing at the</p> <p>23 time his body was found, was not available to you?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. Looking at paragraph 82 on page 14 of your statement, it</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 64</p>

<p>1 is right though, isn't it, that there was at least one 2 thing that you were able to send off for DNA analysis, 3 amongst the property that was available to you? 4 A. Yes, we did, we sent, if you recall the pictures, 5 Gabriel was wearing sunglasses when he was found and we 6 sent those sunglasses off to be tested within the lab. 7 Q. What did those tests reveal? 8 A. On the crook of the arms of the sunglasses DNA presence 9 was found, and that was analysed and it was 16 million 10 times more likely that Stephen Port had contributed to 11 that DNA than not and all but one of the components of 12 Stephen's DNA were present in there. 13 Q. Right, so we may hear a little bit more evidence about 14 the degrees of confidence of DNA analysis, but in 15 essence it wasn't an absolute, complete perfect fit but 16 it was something pretty close to it? 17 A. Yes, as you say, essentially it wasn't a 100 per cent 18 perfect fit but when we asked for Stephen's DNA profile 19 to be compared to that DNA profile, the DNA expert 20 scientist said all but one -- will we come on later to 21 explain the DNA and how it works. 22 Q. Why don't you give us a brief explanation now? 23 A. I am not a DNA expert, I am not a scientist but from 24 working knowledge and years of experience with it, in 25 anybody's DNA, we are all separate, we are all</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 65</p>	<p>1 Daniel Whitworth. 2 Madam, it has become warm again. I wonder if we 3 might have a break? 4 THE CORONER: Yes. 5 We will take a break, members of the jury. Thank 6 you. 7 (11.35 am) 8 (A short adjournment) 9 (11.54 am) 10 (In the presence of the jury) 11 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you, madam. 12 DI Richards, I am going to move then to ask you 13 questions relating to the circumstances of Daniel's 14 death. 15 I am looking at your witness statement now, at 16 paragraph 225, which if it helps is on page 43. I have 17 already asked you about what you discovered about the 18 initial contacts that were made between Daniel and 19 Stephen Port. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And you said that the initial contact was on that 22 Monday, 18 August. Can you tell us in a little bit more 23 detail then what you were able to discover about 24 communications between Daniel Whitworth and 25 Stephen Port, starting on 18 August and going forward</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 67</p>
<p>1 different, identical twins take away, but we are all 2 different, you have spikes and peaks within your DNA 3 when your DNA is looked at by scientists. I think they 4 are working on 16 different spikes, alleles, and if you 5 have got all of those present, then it is you, from your 6 saliva, your semen, your skin matter, bodily fluids, 7 things like that. The more complete your DNA profile is 8 within the sample, the more certain, the bigger the 9 number, that it is you and nobody else. We heard 10 yesterday about a billion to 1, huge number. 11 This one, there was all but one of Stephen's peaks 12 present within the DNA sample, which means it is 13 16 million times more likely to be him than it is 14 anybody else within the room, the world, the town. So 15 it is all down to that number and how big that number 16 gets, really. 17 Q. That was the single item -- was it in fact the single 18 item that was sent off for DNA or the only one that came 19 back with any -- 20 A. It was the only one that came back with a positive 21 result, I would have to check to see what we did send 22 off. 23 Q. DI Richards, that is the end of the questions I was 24 going to ask you about Gabriel Kovari, I am going to 25 move on next to a similar set of questions about</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 66</p>	<p>1 into September? 2 A. Yes. It appears that from everything that we found, 3 that is the first contact. They didn't know each other 4 before then and there had been no other means of contact 5 before then. They made contact through another site 6 called Fitlads and Stephen asked to see the private 7 photos of Daniel. Essentially, you can have your public 8 profile that anybody using the site could see and then 9 you can have some private pictures that are only 10 available when you authorise them to individual users 11 and Stephen asked to see Daniel's private photos and 12 then -- that was on the 18th. 13 Then, on 19 August, Daniel effectively agreed to 14 that and authorised and released those pictures to 15 Stephen to have a look and he also then requested the 16 private pictures of Stephen Port as well. So 17 effectively they were sharing private photographs with 18 each other. 19 Q. That took place over a few days of that week, starting 20 on the 18th, is that right? 21 A. The 18th and 19th the initial contact was over. 22 Q. Then I think you say in your statement that on 23 21 August, so that's towards the end of that week, the 24 Thursday of the week, there was more communication 25 between the two of them?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 68</p>

<p>1 A. Yes, they exchanged photographs and commented on each 2 other's photographs and just some general sort of small 3 talk, really. 4 Q. That of course is the week before Gabriel's body was 5 found. It is the week running up the weekend we have 6 been talking about, when Gabriel moved into 7 Stephen Port's flat. Then we know his body was found on 8 the Thursday morning and we have been discussing the 9 uncertainty around exactly when Gabriel died before 10 that. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Was there an interruption in the communication between 13 Daniel and Stephen Port at around at that time? 14 A. Yes, there was no contact at all between Stephen Port 15 and Daniel, between 26 August and -- 16 Q. Pausing there, that is the Tuesday, Tuesday the 26th? 17 A. That is the Tuesday, yes. And 2 September. 18 Q. So for about a week or so around that time? 19 A. Yes, no exchange of messages, no exchange of messages at 20 all between the two. 21 Q. After that, was there then more communication over the 22 following days? 23 A. Yes, almost daily for almost two weeks, for 13 days they 24 were exchanging messages daily, in total it was 40 25 individual messages between the two over those 13 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 69</p>	<p>1 Q. Which are very much to the same effect that it was 2 a normal day at work. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. On the one hand, we have heard that there was 5 communication between Daniel and Ricky, that afternoon, 6 where Daniel said words to the effect that he was busy 7 and might have to stay late. That is then to be set 8 alongside the evidence from Mr O'Connell, who said in 9 fact that Daniel left at his normal time of about 3.00 10 that afternoon, and they happened to be going in the 11 same direction for part of that journey, towards 12 Docklands? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. That then, as it were, appears to set Daniel on the way 15 towards Barking, having left his work? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Let me ask you this. We know, don't we, that after 18 Daniel's body was found, over that weekend, a week's 19 worth of phone data was recovered relating to his phone? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Although we don't have the data going back to August and 22 Gabriel's body being found, that week's worth of data 23 was discovered? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. We heard from DC Slaymaker that the primary purpose of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p>
<p>1 Q. Then did there come a time, looking at your statement, 2 it was 15 September where that line of communication 3 just stopped? 4 A. Yes, it did. It stopped on that platform. Daniel 5 provided his mobile phone number to Stephen and 6 suggested that they text each other to communicate 7 rather than that platform of Fitlads. 8 Q. So that line of communication stopped? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. If one assumes that there was then communication by that 11 means by texting, were you able to recover any of those 12 texts? 13 A. No, we weren't. No. 14 Q. Moving then to Daniel, and his movements in the days 15 before he died, we have seen various evidence about him 16 going to work on Thursday, 18 September? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. That is two days before his body was found on the 19 morning of Saturday, 20th. The jury have seen that 20 statement from Mr O'Connell, his colleague, which 21 although it doesn't talk about his movements in August, 22 it does talk about being with Daniel on that final day. 23 Nothing unusual. We have seen, also, some records that 24 were generated at the time he was reported missing? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 70</p>	<p>1 that was to try and identify who the Gabriel might be in 2 the note. 3 A. Right. 4 Q. But in fact, looking at the data, it really is just 5 texts between, and communications between Daniel and 6 Ricky, so it didn't help to answer who the Gabriel was? 7 A. No. 8 Q. But there would have been, and there was, was there not, 9 call data and cell site data that was obtained for that 10 week? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. If we cast our minds back a few weeks, we can recall 13 your evidence that similar data that was obtained 14 relating to Anthony was able to show his movements along 15 on his journey on the tube out to Barking? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Then you told us that you had that data which took 18 Anthony, as it were, to Cooke Street -- 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. -- or at least a mast close to Cooke Street? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. There was a similar request for data relating to the 23 last few days of Daniel's life, is then that material 24 available, that data available, to show Daniel going to 25 Barking on the afternoon of 18 September?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 72</p>

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

<p>1 A. No. What it shows is that Daniel's phone, again in the 2 week leading up to it was absolutely fine, but in the 3 day in question, he -- his phone travels to work but 4 then it doesn't connect with another mast at all -- 5 I think it is about 5.45 in morning, before sort of 6 6.00 am in the morning, it never connects to another 7 mast again.</p> <p>8 Now, we heard from Ricky that they communicated via 9 Facebook messaging because they didn't have signal where 10 they worked. When a mobile phone connects to 11 a traditional cell mast it will give you where it is for 12 the data usage, as we have said. So what we can 13 determine from that is the phone was either off, it was 14 in aeroplane mode or it was in a place where there was 15 no signal. That is not to say that they couldn't have 16 communicated, because if he was using say wi-fi within 17 the building they could still communicate via WhatsApp 18 or Facebook messages as they did. That would not show 19 up on the call data record.</p> <p>20 So essentially for his call data records from around 21 6.00 am on the morning he went to work, his phone has 22 never reconnected with a traditional cell site mast.</p> <p>23 Q. Just exploring that just a little bit, we have all heard 24 that Daniel worked as a chef in a kitchen.</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 73</p>	<p>1 MR O'CONNOR: We have heard he goes to work very early in 2 the morning, so could simply be him arriving at work and 3 going underground?</p> <p>4 A. Yes, potentially yes.</p> <p>5 Q. That is the position as far as evidence relating to 6 Daniel is concerned.</p> <p>7 I am now looking at paragraph 236 of your statement 8 and following, and asking you about movements of 9 Stephen Port around 18, 19 and 20 September.</p> <p>10 First of all, did you make some enquiries with his 11 employers, Stephen Port's employers?</p> <p>12 A. Yes, we did.</p> <p>13 Q. What was the evidence relating to Stephen Port's sort of 14 work pattern around that time?</p> <p>15 A. His HR officer within the company that he was working 16 for in the time provided us with statements saying that 17 Stephen had been on annual leave from work for a period 18 between 15 to 26 September, so he was not going to work 19 during this period.</p> <p>20 Q. I am looking at paragraph 238 of your statement, so on 21 that Thursday afternoon, where on the one hand we 22 remember Mr O'Connell's evidence of Daniel leaving work 23 and heading towards Barking, mid afternoon.</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Was there evidence from Stephen Port's computer usage</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p>
<p>1 Q. I think you know from your enquiries that that kitchen 2 was underground?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. That provides an explanation on its own for why his 5 phone was not connecting with a mast during the time he 6 was working that day; would that be fair?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. But we know from Mr O'Connell's statement that he did 9 leave work that day, travelled with him on the tube?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Assuming that he had his phone with him, is it fair to 12 say that he must, since it didn't connect to a mast, it 13 must have been either switched off or on aeroplane mode?</p> <p>14 A. Correct, yes.</p> <p>15 Q. In any event, we simply, for those reasons, don't have 16 any evidence of that nature showing him going to Barking 17 on that afternoon?</p> <p>18 A. No. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>19 THE CORONER: Sorry, can you just clarify the 5.00 in the 20 morning, Mr Richards?</p> <p>21 A. The last time Daniel's phone connects to a traditional 22 mast is about -- I would have to check to find the 23 detail, but I think it is just prior to 6.00 am in the 24 morning of the day he went to work, the last day he went 25 to work.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 74</p>	<p>1 which was of assistance?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, Stephen messaged somebody on Fitlads to say that he 3 was going offline for a while. That was at 3.32 on that 4 day, the 18th, to say he was going out to meet a friend. 5 At that time, it would coincide with Daniel travelling 6 to Barking train station with Stephen's MO of meeting 7 people at the train station to bring them back to his 8 house, so the times would correlate.</p> <p>9 Q. Just to be clear, he was not messaging Daniel, he 10 messaged someone else and referred to a friend?</p> <p>11 A. Yes, completely unconnected, just another male within 12 the Fitlads arena.</p> <p>13 Q. Then, if we take the story forward, I am now looking at 14 paragraph 251 of your statement, is the next piece of 15 useful evidence really about Stephen Port's activities, 16 the next day, Friday, 19 September?</p> <p>17 A. Yes, the next day, Stephen again was on Fitlads using 18 his same profile and again another user who is not 19 relevant to the story, but this is just another user of 20 the Fitlads platform to message. Stephen informed him 21 that he didn't want to use Fitlads anymore and that he 22 was going to delete his account, provided his mobile 23 phone number to this other user to say, "Let's 24 communicate on this now".</p> <p>25 Q. He is deleting his Fitlads account on the morning of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 76</p>

<p>1 Friday, the 19th?</p> <p>2 A. Yes, at 10.30 am.</p> <p>3 Q. Thank you. Just recalling something you said earlier,</p> <p>4 was it that Fitlads account that he had used with his</p> <p>5 first communications with Daniel Whitworth back in</p> <p>6 August?</p> <p>7 A. He had two Fitlads accounts. I would have to check</p> <p>8 which one, but it was through the Fitlads pro forma that</p> <p>9 he deleted both his accounts, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. I am just looking at paragraph 251 of your statement,</p> <p>11 last sentence, you say that he deleted the account that</p> <p>12 he had used to contact Daniel?</p> <p>13 A. That's correct, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. I have asked you on previous occasions about that other</p> <p>15 feature of these cases, about changing phone numbers and</p> <p>16 changing phone sets.</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. That was Friday, 19 September. If one looks at just</p> <p>19 back at paragraph 38 of your statement, that's on</p> <p>20 page 7, it would appear, and this is in fact a reference</p> <p>21 back to that, those communications that Stephen Port had</p> <p>22 with Ryan Edwards, a little bit later, about 10 days</p> <p>23 later, in fact, on 30 September, did Stephen Port change</p> <p>24 his phone number?</p> <p>25 A. Yes, he did, again messaged Ryan and swapped his handset</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p>	<p>1 Stephen Port's movements at around that time.</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Rather limited evidence, in fact, showing Daniel leaving</p> <p>4 work and going towards Barking, at least, on the</p> <p>5 Thursday afternoon.</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. His phone off and never on again.</p> <p>8 Stephen Port on that afternoon, and then some</p> <p>9 activity on the Friday.</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. And Daniel's body being discovered on the Saturday</p> <p>12 morning.</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Again, Dr Swift not able to narrow down the time when</p> <p>15 Daniel might have died. From all of the information</p> <p>16 that Operation Lilford obtained, are you able to say any</p> <p>17 more about narrowing down the time at which Daniel died?</p> <p>18 A. No, not at all.</p> <p>19 Q. Let me just ask you this -- I am going to come to ask</p> <p>20 you about the note and forensics and so on, but when you</p> <p>21 gave evidence the first time, you spoke about</p> <p>22 Stephen Port's MO, as it were, and the impression, the</p> <p>23 evidence that Operation Lilford obtained, across all of</p> <p>24 the cases, not just the four cases we are focusing on,</p> <p>25 but the other cases of the individuals who didn't die</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 79</p>
<p>1 and his number for a different one.</p> <p>2 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>3 Just going back to paragraph 251, 252, you have</p> <p>4 mentioned on that Friday morning the Fitlads, deleting</p> <p>5 his account, is there anything else relating to</p> <p>6 Stephen Port's computer usage or anything else that</p> <p>7 tells us what Stephen Port was doing on that day or so?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, later that day, again, around 10.30 am, this is on</p> <p>9 19 September, Stephen was again using his laptop, but</p> <p>10 then it goes offline until around 6.00 pm that evening,</p> <p>11 sorry, 8.00 pm that evening, sorry, and then he is again</p> <p>12 back online for three and a half hours watching drug</p> <p>13 rape pornography.</p> <p>14 Q. That is the evening of Friday, the 19th?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. The jury, of course, have heard a lot about the fact</p> <p>17 that it was the next morning --</p> <p>18 A. He was found.</p> <p>19 Q. -- that Barbara Denham found Daniel's body in the</p> <p>20 graveyard, on the morning of Saturday, the 20th?</p> <p>21 A. That's correct.</p> <p>22 Q. I am going to ask you the same questions I have asked</p> <p>23 you relating to both Anthony and Gabriel now. You have</p> <p>24 described I think the evidence that Operation Lilford</p> <p>25 was able to bring together about Daniel's movements and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 78</p>	<p>1 but were drugged by Stephen Port.</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. The meeting up online, the coming back to Barking, being</p> <p>4 administered with the drug, the sexual assault. What we</p> <p>5 know, and we are going to come on to look at, is that</p> <p>6 following Daniel's death, Stephen Port took advantage of</p> <p>7 that situation to try and implicate, if you like, Daniel</p> <p>8 in the death of Gabriel, or to put it another way to try</p> <p>9 to cover his tracks?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Was there any evidence that you obtained to suggest that</p> <p>12 in fact Stephen Port had deliberately killed Daniel with</p> <p>13 the intention of covering his tracks relating to Gabriel</p> <p>14 in that way?</p> <p>15 A. No.</p> <p>16 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>17 Let's move to the note then, and I am now looking at</p> <p>18 paragraphs 241 and following of your statement. I am</p> <p>19 sure we don't need to call it up on screen. The jury</p> <p>20 have it well in mind.</p> <p>21 I want to ask you about handwriting analysis.</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. You have already mentioned the fact that</p> <p>24 Operation Lilford did retain a handwriting expert.</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 80</p>

<p>1 Q. We have looked at what the expert did in relation to the 2 Gabriel Kovari note. Did that expert also analyse the 3 note that Daniel was found with? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Was he asked to reach a view as to whether that writing 6 was Stephen Port's writing? 7 A. Yes, he was. 8 Q. Was he provided for that purpose with a sample of what 9 was known to be Stephen Port's writing? 10 A. Yes, when Stephen was in custody in prison for the 11 perverting the course of justice in relation to 12 Anthony Walgate, he had written a number of letters to 13 friends. We seized those letters and that was the 14 sample that we knew that Stephen had written in his own 15 hand, to be used in comparison with that of the suicide 16 note. 17 Q. What was the answer that the expert gave you? 18 A. The expert answered unequivocally that is the same hand, 19 Stephen has written that suicide note. 20 Q. Did you in fact, just to be sure, perhaps go on and ask 21 the expert another question, which was a question asking 22 him to compare known handwriting of Daniel and the note? 23 A. Yes. Again, a reference sample was provided to the lab, 24 the graphology department, and, unequivocally, Daniel 25 did not write that suicide note.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 81</p>	<p>1 at the piece of paper underneath, do the test and see 2 what may have been written? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you submit those pads of paper for those sorts of 5 tests? 6 A. Yes, we did. 7 Q. What was the conclusion? 8 A. It showed that the pad that we had seized from Stephen's 9 house had been present when the suicide notepaper had 10 been on top of it and the suicide note written. So we 11 had the original pad from which a page had been torn to 12 provide the suicide note. 13 THE CORONER: Sorry, can I just ask, when was that searched 14 and seized, was that in October? 15 A. It was seized -- when we took it over in October 2015, 16 the house searches were conducted over those weeks 17 there. So it was a Lilford search. 18 MR O'CONNOR: It was a pad of paper that had been sitting 19 there for a year by that time? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Did you also undertake fingerprinting analysis on the 22 note? 23 A. Yes, we did. 24 Q. Did that yield any helpful results? 25 A. Nothing at all.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 83</p>
<p>1 Q. I think it is right to say that there were other sort of 2 forensic enquiries undertaken in relation to the note? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. I am now just looking at paragraph 246 of your report. 5 In the course of the searches of Stephen Port's flat in 6 Cooke Street, did you recover pads of paper that, shall 7 we say, looked similar to the paper that had been used 8 to write the note? 9 A. Yes, we did, visually to the human eye they looked 10 exactly the same. 11 Q. Were those pads submitted for examination? 12 A. Yes, they were. 13 Q. Tell us, please, about a type of analysis called 14 electrostatic detection apparatus, also known as ESDA, 15 we don't need the details, something that is fairly 16 readily explicable in layman's terms. 17 A. Effectively, if you remember back to your childhood, you 18 could put a piece of paper on top of it and run a pencil 19 over the top and see what was written underneath. This 20 is a very -- a slightly more technical way of doing that 21 with electrostatic material and essentially it will show 22 you what has been written underneath a pad that the 23 paper has been written on, if that makes sense. Does 24 it? Yes? 25 Q. If one simply presses on a piece of paper you can look</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 82</p>	<p>1 Q. We have heard that the note that Daniel was found 2 holding was contained in a plastic sleeve. 3 A. Yes, it was. 4 Q. Did you conduct any enquiries relating to that sleeve? 5 A. Yes, we did. 6 Again, on the same search we found a number, a small 7 number, three other effective -- exactly the same 8 plastic sleeves, they are Woolworths, hole-punched 9 plastic protective sleeves, exactly the same as the one 10 that the suicide note was contained within. 11 Q. When you say the same search, you mean the Lilford 12 search of 62 Cooke Street? 13 A. Yes, that's correct. 14 Q. You no doubt recovered the particular sleeve that the 15 note had been in, because that had been seized by the 16 borough officers? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Did you send that sleeve off for examination? 19 A. Yes, and nothing useful. 20 Q. Thank you. 21 The Coke bottle, I am sure we can all recall that 22 there was a Coke bottle actually just between Daniel's 23 legs where he was found. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. We have heard about the contents of that bottle being</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 84</p>

<p>1 sent of for examination and it looks like it contained 2 Coke, was the bottle itself sent off for examination? 3 A. No, it wasn't. 4 Q. Thank you. 5 I am going to turn now to ask about the DNA results, 6 relating to Daniel, so for these purposes it is 7 paragraph 82 of your statement. 8 I mentioned the fact that Gabriel didn't have 9 a special post mortem, and therefore there was a lack of 10 swabs. Daniel, on the other hand, did have a forensic 11 post mortem and we have heard quite a lot of evidence 12 about consideration or otherwise of those swabs being 13 sent off by the borough investigators. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Did Operation Lilford send those swabs off for, amongst 16 other things, DNA examination? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. In your statement there are quite a number of swabs. 19 I am not going to go through them all, but let me just 20 quickly read through the type of places that they were 21 taken from, so there are some swabs that were actually 22 taken from Daniel's body, so pieces of skin we see from 23 his hands. Also, of course, we have heard that what was 24 described as the sex swabs were taken from his anal 25 area.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 85</p>	<p>1 "A mixed DNA result was obtained indicating the 2 presence of DNA from at least three people." 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Do we infer from that that those were three people you 5 were not able to identify? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. But then was there also a DNA profile that seemed very 8 likely to be that of Stephen Port? 9 A. Yes, again, an almost complete profile, and this 10 particular one was 1 billion times more likely that it 11 was Stephen Port than not. 12 Q. As far as the clothing was concerned, I have mentioned 13 I think it was in fact just samples taken from various 14 parts of the hooded top. 15 A. Yes, and -- 16 Q. Without going through them, I think two of them, again, 17 provided very strong DNA profiles for Stephen Port? 18 A. Yes, absolutely. 19 Q. At the same level as the sheet, 1 billion? 20 A. 1 billion, yes. 21 Q. That leaves us with the bodily swabs. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Again, was there a range of results found that, to some 24 more or less strongly supported the presence of 25 Stephen Port's DNA on those various different samples?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 87</p>
<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. There were also swabs from his clothing; is that right? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. From the hooded top that we saw him wear and also, of 5 course, the bedsheet and from the bottle? 6 A. Yes. And from his neck. 7 Q. I'm sorry? 8 A. And from his neck. 9 Q. From his neck, that is another example of swabs taken 10 from his body? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Let's deal with them in turn then. 13 As far as the bottle was concerned, that is the 14 small brown bottle that was found to contain GHB or GBL, 15 I can't remember which now. Was that swab or that 16 sample found to contain Stephen Port's DNA? 17 A. Excuse me. Yes, it was, again, as before, it is almost 18 all of the component parts and that is 53 million times 19 more likely that it be Stephen Port -- that Stephen Port 20 has contributed, rather than not. 21 Q. It also contained Daniel Whitworth's DNA? 22 A. It did, yes, a full profile of Daniel Whitworth. 23 Q. The blue bedsheet that we have heard mention of. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. What we see here is it says:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 86</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, absolutely, from the hands and from the neck. 2 Q. Is it right that the strongest, as it were, in terms of 3 the strongest support for DNA samples being 4 Stephen Port's profile was in fact the swab from the 5 neck that you referred to? 6 A. Yes, it was. 7 Q. That is also at that 1 billion level, the same as the 8 sheet? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. What about the sex swabs? 11 A. The sex swabs, excuse me, didn't actually contain 12 Stephen Port's profile. There was no indication that 13 Stephen Port had contributed to any DNA within the sex 14 swabs. 15 Q. So not like some of the other ones where there was 16 a little bit of support or you could argue it one way or 17 the other, but nothing to suggest his profile at all? 18 A. No indication, no. 19 Q. Thank you. That is all I am going to ask you about the 20 DNA. 21 I am now going to ask you about a completely 22 separate matter, DI Richards, that relates to 23 a statement that Operation Lilford officers took from 24 Adam Whitworth, Daniel's father, who the jury will 25 recall gave evidence. It was a statement which was not</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 88</p>

<p>1 signed.</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. It was in his name but not signed?</p> <p>4 A. Correct.</p> <p>5 Q. The reason that it was of some interest when he was</p> <p>6 giving evidence is it contained words to the effect that</p> <p>7 he said, "We did admit that the writing looked like</p> <p>8 Daniel's", okay.</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. That was a reference that he made.</p> <p>11 First of all this was a statement that was taken</p> <p>12 from him, or drafted shall I say, obviously over a year</p> <p>13 after he had had a conversation with any borough</p> <p>14 officers about Daniel's writing.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Because it would have been in the autumn of 2015.</p> <p>17 But I want to ask you a little bit more about this</p> <p>18 because of course it wasn't signed. Is it right, first</p> <p>19 of all, that one of the Operation Lilford officers went</p> <p>20 to see Adam Whitworth and a little bit like we have seen</p> <p>21 with PC Faulkner and John Pape, although that took place</p> <p>22 over the phone, talked him through the matters that the</p> <p>23 statement was intended to cover?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. Having done that, did that officer then write up the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 89</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, you are right. He declined to sign it in its</p> <p>2 current form. He didn't want to at that time, for</p> <p>3 whatever reason for himself, adjust it, and then that</p> <p>4 was never pushed forward. It was, but it was never</p> <p>5 pushed forward greatly because we didn't need it at that</p> <p>6 stage.</p> <p>7 Q. No doubt understandably there was nothing to be gained,</p> <p>8 or at least not enough to be gained to press him to</p> <p>9 complete that statement where he clearly wasn't in</p> <p>10 a position to do so or didn't want to do so?</p> <p>11 A. Exactly, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Are we left in this situation that there is that draft</p> <p>13 statement which your officer took, in the circumstances</p> <p>14 I have described, which, back then, Mr Whitworth said he</p> <p>15 was not happy with the contents of it, without going</p> <p>16 into any detail, and that is really as far as we can</p> <p>17 take it?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>20 I just finally want to go back and ask you some</p> <p>21 questions about the question of linking Daniel Whitworth</p> <p>22 and Gabriel Kovari, I have asked you separately about</p> <p>23 the circumstances of their deaths.</p> <p>24 First of all, let me put it this way. The strongest</p> <p>25 material, the material that most clearly draws</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 91</p>
<p>1 statement, just like PC Faulkner had done with</p> <p>2 Mr Pape's?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Was the draft statement then sent back to Mr Whitworth</p> <p>5 with that, again, as we have seen with John Pape, "Read</p> <p>6 it through, see if it is okay, see if you want to make</p> <p>7 any changes, see if you want to add anything or take</p> <p>8 anything out"?</p> <p>9 A. Exactly that, yes.</p> <p>10 Q. Is it right that Adam Whitworth's response was to say</p> <p>11 that he was not happy with the statement?</p> <p>12 A. He wasn't happy with some of the content of the</p> <p>13 statement, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. I think without going into any detail, it was a time,</p> <p>15 understandably, that Adam Whitworth was generally</p> <p>16 finding it difficult to deal with the situation?</p> <p>17 A. Very much so.</p> <p>18 Q. Difficult to deal with the police?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Him having said that, that he wasn't happy with some of</p> <p>21 the contents of the statement and that he was struggling</p> <p>22 to engage, did the police at that point consider whether</p> <p>23 you actually needed to take that process forward and, as</p> <p>24 it were, ask him to complete the statement so that he</p> <p>25 was happy with it?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 90</p>	<p>1 a connection between Gabriel's death and Daniel's death</p> <p>2 is of course the note --</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. -- and everything that came from Jon Luck and that was</p> <p>5 then put into the police investigation by people who had</p> <p>6 seen or heard about what Jon Luck said.</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. Those, is it fair to say, it is now absolutely clear</p> <p>9 that those all emanated from Stephen Port himself?</p> <p>10 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>11 Q. There is also, is this fair, positive evidence that</p> <p>12 Gabriel and Daniel were not together around the time</p> <p>13 that Gabriel's body was found?</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. In the form of, amongst other things, Ricky Waumsley's</p> <p>16 witness statement?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Is it right to say that Operation Lilford did obtain at</p> <p>19 least one witness statement from someone who was</p> <p>20 a friend of Daniel's, which appeared to suggest that she</p> <p>21 did have a memory of Daniel having a friend called Gab</p> <p>22 or Gabriel?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. But when it actually came to that witness giving</p> <p>25 evidence, what did she say?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 92</p>

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

<p>1 A. She essentially said she wasn't sure. She wasn't sure 2 if that was the correct name. She wasn't sure if she 3 had heard correctly. I wouldn't say she went full 4 circle on herself, but it cast a considerable doubt 5 whether what she was saying she knew 100 per cent. 6 Q. I think it is right that what she had said was that it 7 was -- what she remembered was something that Daniel 8 said to her in a bar or something, so was one of the 9 things she said it was just very loud? 10 A. Exactly, she said it was very loud and she wasn't sure. 11 Q. In the end, was that evidence that you felt actually 12 took the matter further forward at all? 13 A. No, it didn't and coupled with the fact that we couldn't 14 find a single contact or digital footprint or any other 15 way to connect the two. 16 Q. That was going to be my last question. 17 In fact did Operation Lilford find any concrete 18 reliable evidence of any connection between these two 19 men? 20 A. Nothing whatsoever. 21 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, DI Richards. 22 Questions from MS HILL 23 MS HILL: Good morning, as you know from the last time 24 I asked you questions I ask questions on behalf of all 25 the families of those who were murdered by Stephen Port,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 93</p>	<p>1 This statement is dated 23 December 2015, all right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Does your recollection fit with this chronology, that 4 you first of all tested the note to see if Mr Port had 5 written it, and then later you looked at whether Daniel 6 had and then at the ESDA testing issue? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Just while we are in this document, before we leave it, 9 go, please, to internal page 5 of that document, 10 paragraph 15 there, the expert, Mr Thorne, has said: 11 "There is conclusive support for the proposition 12 that someone other than Daniel wrote the note." 13 Is that right? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Just so the jury understand this, paragraph 20, please, 16 at the bottom of that page, there is a scale by which 17 this expert can give conclusions, beginning with 18 "Conclusive" and ending with "No support" for 19 a particular proposition. Mr Thorne was satisfied there 20 was conclusive support for the proposition that someone 21 other than Daniel had written it? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. We can take that down, please, and go back to the first 24 of the statements from Mr Thorne, it's IPC941, please. 25 As we have established already, this was dated</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 95</p>
<p>1 save for Daniel Whitworth's partner. 2 I have some questions please about a few topics. 3 Can I start, first of all, dealing with the 4 handwriting that you have gone through. 5 Can I bring up on screen, please, the actual 6 statement that was obtained by Lilford. It is 7 IPC000941. Just to orientate the jury with this, this 8 is a section 9 statement in the usual form. I think it 9 is right, isn't it, that you obtained two statements 10 from Mr Thorne, who is the handwriting expert, is that 11 right? 12 A. A minimum of two. 13 Q. A minimum of two. Two for our purposes today are what 14 is referred to in your statement. 15 This first statement, 17 October, dealt with whether 16 or not Mr Port had written the note? 17 A. Okay. 18 Q. Then the second statement, we don't need to go to, dealt 19 with the flipside, if you like, of whether Daniel had 20 written it and also the ESDA testing. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. I don't need to go to that statement, but perhaps I will 23 just bring it up for the reference on the date, please, 24 not necessarily the detail, IPC000942. Can we just have 25 that one up on screen, please.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 94</p>	<p>1 17 October 2015. Can we also bring up on screen, 2 please, alongside that, IPC592. Just so the jury can 3 orientate themselves on this date. That is one of the 4 dates, isn't it, that Mr Port was in custody? 5 A. Yes, that was the Sunday. 6 Q. Because you had in him custody, if I have the dates 7 correct, from 15 October? 8 A. Yes, the evening of 15 October. 9 Q. He was interviewed on that date. He was interviewed 10 again on the 16th, again on the 17th and again on the 11 18th, does that sound right? 12 A. Yes, I will take your word, yes. 13 Q. On 18 October we have him being interviewed at IPC591, 14 do you take it from me that that is right? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. It follows from that, doesn't it, that getting the 17 handwriting analysis was something that was done while 18 Mr Port was in custody? 19 A. Absolutely. 20 Q. Because it was recognised that whether or not he had 21 written that note was absolutely crucial? 22 A. Yes, it linked a lot of the deaths. 23 Q. A few points of detail, please, on Mr Thorne's first 24 statement. Although you have explained to the jury 25 already how the methodology operated for Mr Thorne, is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 96</p>

<p>1 this right, that in fairness, if we look at the foot of 2 941, he sets out the different samples of writing he had 3 been given. Do you see that? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Go over the page, he has given at the top of internal 6 page 2 -- we can take down the interview now, it is just 7 the report of Mr Thorne we need. We can take down the 8 interview and look, please, at IPC941, the top of that 9 page. He has given the note and then in fact is this 10 right, there were a series of samples of Mr Port's 11 handwriting obtained, some of them you have explained 12 were the letters I think he had written from prison to 13 X13, that have no doubt been intercepted, scanned and 14 retained in some way? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Then he also, there had been seized from him, I am 17 assuming, TSB7 was a letter, a handwritten letter that 18 had been seized in a search of his property, is that 19 right? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. About his eviction or something to do with his housing. 22 Then it looks as if CRT4 and 4A were samples of his 23 handwriting taken in custody on the 15th and 16th; is 24 that right? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 97</p>	<p>1 look at that note and see whether he thought it was 2 Gabriel's writing? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. In this short section 9 statement, he looks at, I think 5 in fairness, TGF20 and 20(i) and (ii), there are two 6 documents, aren't there? 7 A. From that I would surmise that, yes. 8 Q. I think we have the document we looked at on screen and 9 then another note but broadly the point of this 10 statement is that Mr Pape is asked to say whether or not 11 he thinks it looks like Gabriel's writing. He says: 12 "I think 20(i) is not written by Gabriel as it is 13 all over the place, has doodles on it which I have not 14 seen before. I am not certain that he has not written 15 it though. The other shorter poem is much more similar 16 to something I have seen Gabriel write, as I have seen a 17 poem that he left in the flat before. It just seems 18 much neater and more like Gabriel, I can't be certain of 19 either though." 20 A. That is what it says, yes. 21 Q. Is it fair to say that that was obtained from Mr Pape as 22 an informal important, but then you then went to 23 an expert about the document that came up on screen? 24 A. I would have to trust you on the dates, which came 25 first, but yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 99</p>
<p>1 Q. So based on all of those different samples of Mr Port's 2 writing, is this right, that again, if we go to internal 3 page 5, please, the expert was able to give paragraph 12 4 at the top of that page, conclusive support for the 5 proposition that Port had written the note, is that 6 right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Again, the strongest possible evidence that that was the 9 case? 10 A. Absolutely. 11 Q. No doubt that was a very significant development during 12 the course of the time that Mr Port was in custody? 13 A. Very much so. 14 Q. No doubt contributed to the decision to charge him? 15 A. Very much so. 16 Q. Just before we leave handwriting, please, a small point, 17 perhaps. You have been shown the Gabriel Kovari 18 documents, all right, that's the one that you explained 19 had been analysed by the expert. Just for completeness, 20 is this right, can we bring up, please, IPC821. This is 21 a statement taken from Mr Pape by Lilford on 22 8 March 2016; is that right? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. I think this was perhaps done perhaps before any expert 25 analysis, but is this right, that Mr Pape was asked to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 98</p>	<p>1 Q. To be fair, I am just guessing that. If you cannot 2 confirm it, then I do not take it any further. That is 3 a statement taken from Mr Pape about his view of the 4 handwriting? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. On the note the dates, as we have them, are as I have 7 said already that Mr Port was interviewed on several 8 dates in the middle of October 2015. We don't know 9 exactly when on the 17th the report from Mr Thorne was 10 made available, and it may be that you cannot help with 11 us that? 12 A. It was early afternoon. 13 Q. Early afternoon. 14 Is it your recollection though that this issue of 15 whether he had written the note was put to Mr Port in 16 interview? 17 A. I believe so, yes. 18 Q. There is an interview that took place at 4.45 on the 19 17th, can we bring up, please, IPC592. If we can go, 20 please, to the foot of internal page 9, DC Thomas is 21 asking questions of Mr Port, as I say I don't know at 22 the moment whether he had Mr Thorne's report and it 23 perhaps doesn't matter, because what he puts to Mr Port 24 is this, he says in relation to Mr Whitworth: 25 "While we are talking about, we had spoken yesterday</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 100</p>

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

<p>1 about the handwriting, spoken about the samples of 2 handwriting you gave us and the letter we found in your 3 flat, so you gave us some samples here, I've got some 4 photocopies of them, I showed you the picture of the 5 writing of the actual letter, the apparent suicide 6 letter left with Mr Whitworth, the letter that was found 7 with him in any event. And then we showed you a letter 8 that was found at your home address, which is 9 an eviction letter to someone that you had met, who you 10 shared a cell with in prison, if you remember [forgive 11 me, that is the detail of the eviction letter]." 12 He says: 13 "That's correct." 14 DC Thomas says: 15 "I will just show you another photo of the letter." 16 Then he goes on to put various parts of this to him. 17 DS Thomas at the foot of internal page 10, can we go to 18 internal page 10, please, the passage which begins, 19 "They look very differently to the first handwriting 20 samples ..." 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. They do look strikingly similar and DS Thomas says: 24 "I am not an expert, but they do look strikingly 25 similar to the letter that was left with -- sorry, that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 101</p>	<p>1 Do you see that? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Port says: 4 "It is not my writing. Obviously this is [he must 5 be pointing to his own samples], but that is not." 6 Is that right? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Then is this your understanding from the Lilford 9 interviews, that when he was interviewed on the 10 following day, on the 18th, he was asked again about the 11 letter? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Can you go, please, to IPC591. At the beginning of that 14 interview he was cautioned and explained everything in 15 the usual way, "You do not have to say anything, but it 16 may harm your defence ..." That's the top of page 2. 17 The solicitor says, do we see this: 18 "Are you now at the stage when you are going to 19 start asking questions?" 20 DC Thomas says, "I am." 21 The solicitor says: 22 "I thought you may be, I can say at this stage, I am 23 duty bound to do it, I have had a consultation with this 24 gentleman and it is my advice to him that he should not 25 answer the questions you are going to put to him."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 103</p>
<p>1 was found with Mr Whitworth." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Can we scroll over, so we can see who is speaking on the 5 transcript, thank you: 6 "What do you think about that?" 7 Port says: 8 "I think it is neater than my writing. 9 "Stephen, did you write that letter and leave it 10 with him? 11 "No I did not." 12 DC Thomas says: 13 "Because you understand we are going to have 14 an expert look at this ..." 15 Now it may be the expert had already done this, it 16 matters not: 17 "... to see if the particular traits of your 18 handwriting are similar to letter and they can tell us 19 on a scale of whether they could be conclusively yours 20 all the way down to conclusively not yours. They will 21 provide a scale of the comparison, et cetera, and we are 22 in the process of arranging that. Now is your 23 opportunity to tell us before these reports' results 24 come back whether there is some sort of innocent 25 explanation."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 102</p>	<p>1 So he was asked questions about a series of things, 2 but from 18 October he didn't answer them in this 3 interview, is that right? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. Take that down, please, and deal now with some of the 6 details, please, around Karl Kamgdom, and move to that 7 topic, please. 8 I don't think I need to bring up the detail of the 9 witness statements but I can if it is necessary. Is 10 this right, that when Karl Kamgdom was approached he 11 gave right a detailed history of his contact with 12 Gabriel? 13 A. Yes, he did. 14 Q. Can I bring up just perhaps one internal page of it, it 15 is IPC412, internal page 3. At the very top of that 16 page, he explained in his witness statement that he had 17 called the police on 22 October 2015? 18 A. Yes, he contacted us. 19 Q. Just to orientate the jury on these dates, Mr Port had 20 been arrested on the 15th, I think charged on the 18th, 21 in court on the 19th? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. There had been a certain amount of publicity about him 24 appearing in court on 19 October? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 104</p>

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

<p>1 Q. So Mr Kamgdom had come forward voluntarily in response 2 to seeing information in the news about this case? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Just scrolling down, within that paragraph, please, he 5 explains in there that officers went to his home in 6 November 2015. Of course Mr Port is in custody by this 7 point, isn't he, so there is not perhaps a need to go 8 any sooner than that, but he explains in that, doesn't 9 he that he hands over his phone, authorised the data on 10 the handset to be downloaded and explained exactly what 11 the police were able to do, he caused no difficulty 12 whatsoever? 13 A. No, none whatsoever. 14 Q. I don't need to bring up the detail of it but can you 15 remember this from an earlier part of Mr Kamgdom's 16 statement, that broadly he indicated that Gabriel had 17 had some money troubles? 18 A. Yes, he had. 19 Q. Part of that was to do with not being paid for some work 20 he had done? 21 A. In a shop yes. 22 Q. That there had been some discussion about whether that 23 was part of why he was moving into the flat? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Just bring up, briefly, please, the actual messages on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 105</p>	<p>1 Q. The idea that Mr Port was going to work soon, that 2 message that is sent at 12.27, is broadly consistent 3 with Port's shift patterns, I think began at 2.00? 4 A. It is, yes. 5 Q. We can take that down, please. 6 In relation to Jon Luck, can I just bring up, 7 please, MPS573, internal 39, which is your evidence in 8 your witness statement about this, and just so that we 9 can be clear about this, Jon Luck had exchanged you say 10 at paragraph 278, nearly 1,000 messages with Mr Amodio? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Is it fair to say -- I don't want to go through all of 13 them plainly there is a lot of material here, but is it 14 fair to say that they exhibit Mr Port befriending 15 Mr Amodio? 16 A. Absolutely. 17 Q. And at various points trying to empathise with him over 18 Gabriel's death? 19 A. Empathise, yes. 20 Q. Empathise? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Essentially at various points in those exchanges Mr Port 23 fakes grief at Gabriel's death? 24 A. Yes, definitely. 25 Q. Is there fair, that at various points in those</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 107</p>
<p>1 Mr Kamgdom's device. Some points of detail from there, 2 please. It is IPC738. I think we have established 3 already, if we go to the foot of IPC738, I think it is 4 just three lines up from the bottom, isn't it, that the 5 information on Mr Kamgdom's phone, on Karl's phone, 6 about Cooke Street, the Google map pinpointing 7 Cooke Street, came pretty early on in the exchanges and 8 was on 23 August at 23.28, is that right? 9 A. That's correct. 10 Q. There was lots of discussion about the flat, about what 11 it looked like. We have obviously been through that 12 already. Just a point of detail, please on 738, 13 internal page 2, I am not sure we went through all of 14 this, but broadly there was discussion about where he 15 sleeps, he says doesn't he on 24th: 16 "My landlord is here watching TV. He is going to 17 work soon though. Yes, me too, but it is fine, it is 18 comfortable. I don't want to sleep with him in his bed, 19 he is kind of different." 20 Is what Gabriel said? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. This message chain from the 24th gave you some 23 reassurance that both Gabriel and Port were in his flat 24 on the 24th in the afternoon watching TV? 25 A. Yes, absolutely.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 106</p>	<p>1 exchanges, Mr Amodio says to Mr Port, "You need to 2 contact the police, because I have been contacted by CID 3 and you need to make contact with them"? 4 A. Yes, numerous times. 5 Q. We can perhaps just bring these up for some details but 6 this comes up more than once in the exchanges? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Another theme that emerges, is this right, is that 9 Mr Port, posing as Jon Luck, is quite inquisitive about 10 what Mr Amodio knows about the police investigation? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Can I bring up, please -- we have already looked at 561, 13 004, but can we bring up, please, MPS561, 004, just 14 briefly. 15 It is quite detailed I am afraid, trying to look at 16 the line numbers, can we scroll in, please, on line 140, 17 on 11 September. Is this right that Mr Port is saying: 18 "Will I get arrested for having sex with him?" 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. "Not that much then. 21 "No, why don't be silly. 22 "My DNA is on him." 23 And Thierry says: 24 "You didn't rape him, just bare sex. 25 "No, of course not, he was taller than me."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 108</p>

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

<p>1 Says Mr Port. 2 So quite early on, from 11 September, he is raising 3 questions about whether he might be arrested. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Can we continue just briefly, please, through that, to 6 561,008, line 284, is this an odd entry, perhaps, that 7 Mr Luck, Stephen Port, lays a completely false trail 8 about Gabriel, doesn't he, and he says: 9 "He cheated on me, just used me for sex, never took 10 me out anywhere, all we did was stay in watching 11 television and he would be on the laptop, never even 12 took me out to dinner." 13 Is that your understanding of the sort of things he 14 was saying, completely untrue things? 15 A. Absolutely, yes. 16 Q. He tries to befriend Mr Amodio, as we see, because there 17 is discussion about them even meeting up, isn't there? 18 A. There is. 19 Q. Then just another couple of examples really, line 348 on 20 internal page 10, on 15 September, internal page 10, 21 line 348, Mr Amodio has been told [redacted], is that 22 right? And he says: 23 "Hey [redacted]." 24 To Mr Port: 25 "It has been all right, just been hanging out with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 109</p>	<p>1 A. I will take your word for it, yes. 2 Q. I think we established that with Mr Amodio in fairness, 3 I think Ms Collier established that with him, but I may 4 be wrong. Is this right, that just to be very clear 5 about the timings, on 19 September he mentions Dan and 6 we know that it is on the morning of 20 September that 7 Daniel Whitworth's body is found? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Is it your understanding that broadly what Mr Port was 10 doing here was beginning to set up a trail around Dan? 11 A. Yes, building a story. 12 Q. He carries on giving information about Dan over the next 13 few days? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. In fact, just to look very closely please at the dates, 16 19 September, the last message is at just before 17 midnight, that is line 380, is that right? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. We know now, don't we, that on that night Port took 20 Daniel Whitworth's body to the graveyard? 21 A. We believe so. 22 Q. We believe so, well his conviction for murder stands, 23 doesn't it? 24 A. Just semantics around the timing, but yes. 25 Q. Forgive me, but we know that around this time</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 111</p>
<p>1 my flatmates ..." 2 Forgive me, it is the other way round, it is 3 [redacted]: 4 "It has been all right, just been hanging out with 5 my flatmates." 6 Then Mr Port says: 7 "Thank you very much, it will be a bit different 8 without him, but it is life." 9 Is that right? 10 A. Yes, that's correct. 11 Q. He is continuing with this tissue of lies, isn't he, 12 about feeling sad about Gabriel's passing, is that 13 right? 14 A. Absolutely. 15 Q. Can I take you then to a couple more entries on the next 16 page, please, internal page 11, because these are now 17 the entries that come very close to around the time 18 Daniel Whitworth is found. Is this right, that if we 19 look, please at line 379 on internal page 11, that there 20 is mention of "Dan" on 19 September? 21 A. Yes, there is. 22 Q. See that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. I think that this is the first time that Dan is 25 mentioned, is that your understanding?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 110</p>	<p>1 Daniel Whitworth's body is found and there is no 2 evidence I think that it had been there the night before 3 or anything like that? 4 A. Yes, absolutely. Yes. 5 Q. Is this right, that on 20 September at 1.25 in the 6 afternoon, Mr Port is again messaging Amodio? 7 A. Yes, he is. 8 Q. He continues by now asking questions more and more about 9 the police; is that fair? 10 A. He does, yes. 11 Q. He says, on 20 September at 2.07: 12 "I thought the police were in touch with you ..." 13 And they begin to have a discussion about it and 14 then he says this at line 388: 15 "Please let me know as I am so hoping it was natural 16 causes and nothing sinister, could not bear the thought 17 of anyone hurting him." 18 Then they start talking then about Dan, about the 19 orgy in Barking, about Tony and so on, but he continues 20 to press, doesn't he, for information about the police 21 investigation? 22 A. He does. 23 Q. Go, please, to internal page 13. Is this right, if we 24 look at line 450, Mr Amodio on 21 September, as we know, 25 had a discussion with DC Adeyemo-Phillips and he tells</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 112</p>

<p>1 Mr Port that?</p> <p>2 A. He does.</p> <p>3 Q. He says:</p> <p>4 "Just had a phone call from the criminal</p> <p>5 investigations department, CID, so there is obviously</p> <p>6 something wrong with Gabriel's death.</p> <p>7 "What did they say?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. "They want to speak with his brother and ask if his body</p> <p>10 has been buried or cremated."</p> <p>11 Just pausing there, this is the period of time,</p> <p>12 isn't it, when suddenly there is a bit of a panic, isn't</p> <p>13 there, about whether Gabriel's body has been cremated,</p> <p>14 because there is a concern about the link with</p> <p>15 Daniel Whitworth's body?</p> <p>16 A. The enquiries are being raised within the CID, yes.</p> <p>17 Q. "Why would they ask that? Do you think that these ...</p> <p>18 do you think there is new evidence?"</p> <p>19 They discuss about why this might be.</p> <p>20 Mr Port then says at 459:</p> <p>21 "I have been expecting them to come to my door any</p> <p>22 second because of my DNA and my messages on his phone."</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Thierry Amodio says:</p> <p>25 "I don't think you should worry about that."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 113</p>	<p>1 police called me, I told them about that Tony."</p> <p>2 He continues at the foot of that exchange, 5.20:</p> <p>3 "If you could call Yinka Adeyemo-Phillips on [and</p> <p>4 then gives the number] probably the same thing happened</p> <p>5 to him what happened to Gabriel."</p> <p>6 So really Mr Amodio does all he can, doesn't he, to</p> <p>7 encourage Mr Port to volunteer information?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, he does.</p> <p>9 Q. Just to complete this chain, over the page, please, he</p> <p>10 begins to give reasons why he might not, he says:</p> <p>11 "I do not have credit for out-of-UK calls ..."</p> <p>12 Because he is pretending to be an American student,</p> <p>13 isn't he?</p> <p>14 A. He is.</p> <p>15 Q. Elsewhere in this exchange he talks about Christmas is</p> <p>16 different, because I am American, things like that.</p> <p>17 Then Thierry says in the UK and say what though, says</p> <p>18 Port:</p> <p>19 "Call her please, say that you are Jon Luck and</p> <p>20 about that Tony and that you are not involved."</p> <p>21 Port says:</p> <p>22 "I am scared, mate.</p> <p>23 "Don't please, you don't have anything to be scared</p> <p>24 of."</p> <p>25 Says Thierry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 115</p>
<p>1 Tries to reassure him, no doubt because he doesn't</p> <p>2 know of course what is going on. Then Port continues to</p> <p>3 say things like:</p> <p>4 "If only I had known he was in Barking I would have</p> <p>5 phoned him to meet up with him and maybe he wouldn't</p> <p>6 have gone to that orgy."</p> <p>7 So he is continuing to lay this trial, isn't he?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, he is.</p> <p>9 Q. Then we see, don't we, on this page there is discussion</p> <p>10 about specifically Mr Port, Jon Luck, speaking to the</p> <p>11 police. Look, please at line 467 and scroll in on that,</p> <p>12 please, at 5.05 on the 21st Mr Amodio specifically says</p> <p>13 to Luck/Port:</p> <p>14 "You will have to talk to the police."</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. "What did he die of, was it the same as Gabriel?"</p> <p>17 Then they discuss about that and a few lines further</p> <p>18 down that afternoon, 5.10, a few minutes later:</p> <p>19 "Why do I have to talk to the police? Was my name</p> <p>20 mentioned? Can I call you?"</p> <p>21 Do you see that carries on?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Then Thierry tries to explain in this exchange:</p> <p>24 "It is what I told you before, that guy Dan is dead</p> <p>25 too, they know he knew Gabriel, I don't know how, the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 114</p>	<p>1 Then he says:</p> <p>2 "Do it for Gabriel."</p> <p>3 And Luck/Port says:</p> <p>4 "How do they know Dan knew Gab? I don't understand.</p> <p>5 What is the connection in these deaths two weeks apart?"</p> <p>6 Do you see that?</p> <p>7 A. I do.</p> <p>8 Q. Just a few more references, please, line 522, later</p> <p>9 that -- still all 21 September, I think.</p> <p>10 17.37, he says:</p> <p>11 "Please don't let them arrest me.</p> <p>12 "You will be fine."</p> <p>13 Says Thierry.</p> <p>14 524:</p> <p>15 "Okay, please let me know if you have any more info</p> <p>16 from the police."</p> <p>17 Do you see that?</p> <p>18 A. I do.</p> <p>19 Q. Then just a few more references, please, internal 15,</p> <p>20 line 541, Port says this:</p> <p>21 "I could not sleep last night, keep expecting to be</p> <p>22 arrested because I told you first about Dan. [I think</p> <p>23 it should be 'They'] might think I did it. Just</p> <p>24 I mention him then you are telling him he is dead."</p> <p>25 Then Thierry says this at line 549:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 116</p>

<p>1 "If you don't want call that woman [I think he means 2 DC Adeyemo-Phillips] give me your number and she will 3 call you. She asked me again today." 4 Then Port refuses to do saying: 5 "I will give you my number when I know what is going 6 on, as I don't want get involved. Now you say these two 7 deaths, I only wanted to know what happened to Gab and 8 help you find some answers." 9 Port then says: 10 "I know what the police are like. They just lock 11 you in a cell until you get questioned, that is what 12 they do here." 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Not perhaps entirely fair, but he is clearly talking to 15 Mr Amodio, isn't he -- 16 A. He is. 17 Q. -- expressing reticence about contacting the police? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Then a few more entries, please, the conversation 20 continues on 24 September, internal page 16, line 610, 21 he starts asking more questions, line 610, 24 September, 22 3.16: 23 "Do you know if Gab was cremated or buried?" 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Over the page, the next exchange:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 117</p>	<p>1 I know if I hurt someone I would kill myself, that is 2 for sure, wouldn't be able to live knowing if hurt 3 Gabriel or anyone. There is a lot of Dans on there, 4 I don't know who it was." 5 Just pausing there, on the face of these messages, 6 Luck is saying, isn't he, Port is saying to Amodio that 7 theory that is in the note? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. He is saying it specifically in terms on 24 September? 10 A. Yes, he is. 11 Q. He is giving the account on the 24th that is in the note 12 on the 21st? 13 A. Yes, he is. 14 Q. So it must follow, as I am sure you have done, that on 15 reading this, even if you weren't sure, 100 per cent 16 sure, even 50 per cent sure who Jon Luck was, you would 17 read that and you would think, "Hang on a minute, that 18 is what is in the note", is that right? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. I don't think -- I will be told if I am wrong -- that 21 the detail of the note was put into the public domain, 22 was it? 23 A. No. No. 24 Q. There would be no other way for someone called Jon Luck 25 to know those details?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 119</p>
<p>1 "I just hope he was cremated." 2 The top of internal 17, do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. "Just hope he was cremated, so his body is not going to 5 be messed with again after his funeral, that is just not 6 right. I can't bear the thought of him being dug up 7 again, it would be horrible, let him rest." 8 Thierry says: 9 "Yes, you are right." 10 Then I think finally on these exchanges this, on 11 24 September, Amodio sends Port the news reporting about 12 the two dead bodies that Barbara Denham had found. They 13 carry on discussing this, and Port says: 14 "In the same place, that's so odd. 15 "Yes, so weird, and in a church. 16 "So Dan found in the same spot, that is very odd. 17 Sounds so wrong. 18 "Like a sect or very nasty people." 19 Says Mr Amodio: 20 "Do you think Dan knew what happened to Gab?" 21 Then they have some discussion about it. 22 Then look at this line, please, scroll in on 639, 23 24 September 10.08, this is Port: 24 "Maybe he killed Gab [this is Daniel] and couldn't 25 live with the guilt and did the same to himself. As</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 118</p>	<p>1 A. Not the specific details, no. 2 Q. But it is an astonishing coincidence, isn't it, on the 3 24th that that is what he is saying? 4 A. We now know it was not a coincidence, but, yes. 5 Q. Exactly, of course, but reading it for the first time, 6 I am trying to imagine if someone had gone to Mr Amodio 7 and said, "Can I have your messages?" And they had seen 8 that on 24 September, any reasonable police officer, 9 hopefully, would have looked at that and said, "That is 10 very similar to the note", surely? 11 A. You would hope. 12 Q. Just a couple more tiny points, please. 13 There is various day-to-day references about 14 Mr Port, it is a small point, but I think it does give 15 some insight, we have heard evidence, haven't we, about 16 his childlike nature, you have talked about that before. 17 Look, please, at 561 internal, page 18, he says at line 18 683, doesn't he: 19 "Just sitting in my Spongebob PJs, now watching 20 Corrie, an English soap." 21 Do you see that? Line 683, so he is just trying to 22 create an impression of life as normal, relatively 23 normal? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Over the page finally, internal 19, line 722. On</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 120</p>

<p>1 30 September, 5.06: 2 "Have they let Gab be buried yet? Want him to rest 3 in peace, no more probing his body, it is not right." 4 Again bringing up the issue of Gabriel and the 5 burial, is that right? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Just the line above that, from him, it is 718, he says: 8 "Has Gabriel finally been buried yet, I promise when 9 she tells you what happened to this damn guy [he is 10 saying] I will contact the police." 11 Do you read that? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Then at the foot of the exchange, I think on 14 30 September, at line 726: 15 "I will phone this lady and give her my number and 16 address, but can only tell her what I have told you, 17 I know a few other guys went to this party but it is all 18 hush hush." 19 He leaves the exchange with Mr Amodio saying he will 20 contact the police? 21 A. Yes, he does. 22 Q. I know I have said it before, but really finally on 23 these documents, he then starts to lay a different trail 24 about someone called [redacted], is that right? 25 A. He does.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 121</p>	<p>1 Q. Having failed to establish who he was through Asda and 2 through the university and so on, you went through the 3 internet protocols and so on and you have explained 4 that? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Is this right, you have also given evidence today that 7 you understood that the Facebook account for Jon Luck 8 had been closed down in late 2014? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Right. 11 A couple more points of detail on that sort of issue 12 and I hope I am not repeating what has happened before. 13 Is this right, that there is that pattern, isn't there, 14 of Mr Port deleting accounts? 15 A. There is. 16 Q. Point of detail that I am not sure we had before, but 17 paragraph 239, internal page 45, he deleted his Fitlads 18 account on 19 September 2014, is that right? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Again, we don't need to go through too much of the 21 detail of it, but is this fair, that on the issue of the 22 porn that Mr Port was watching, that he continued to 23 watch what we have described colloquially as "drug rape 24 porn" throughout this period? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 123</p>
<p>1 Q. That continues over several pages? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Take that down, please. 4 I think your evidence to Mr O'Connor was to this 5 effect, was it, that although you have explained how you 6 piece together Jon Luck's identity, you were doing at 7 that from a position in October 2015? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Having to do the best you could with what you had? 10 THE CORONER: Wasn't it October 2016? 11 A. 2016. 12 MS HILL: Forgive me, sorry, because you have explained in 13 your witness statement, haven't you, that you were 14 preparing for the trial and you looked at these issues 15 and you thought hang on a minute, there are some 16 similarities between their writing and so on, style of 17 writing, style of typing. The point I am making is that 18 Lilford worked with what it had from October 2015. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Just perhaps just bring up the detail on this point for 21 the jury, it is MP5573, internal 39, paragraph 209, 22 I think you have anchored in fact this issue about 23 Jon Luck from September 2016, that is when you say you 24 started setting in train the enquiries about him? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 122</p>	<p>1 Q. We understand, I think, do we that the key points around 2 that can be elicited from the Lilford evidence and from 3 the prosecution material from the trial, but if we look, 4 please at IPC685, internal 14, and scroll in please on 5 paragraph 83, that around the time he is spending time 6 with Gabriel Kovari, paragraph 83, the evidence 7 indicates that he was watching drug rape pornography on 8 the following day, I think that is 19 August, is that 9 right? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. We know from a separate piece of evidence, please, can 12 we bring up IPC86, internal page 37, that there is 13 a similar pattern, is there not, at the time he is with 14 Daniel Whitworth, because if we look at 3.5.1 on that 15 page, he is looking again, isn't he, at drug rape porn 16 on 19 September? 17 A. Yes, he is. 18 Q. Is this right, finally on this topic, going through that 19 document to internal 42, please, that Daniel Whitworth 20 having been found on 20 September, if we look at 21 internal 42, line 136, it is four lines down from the 22 top, that there is then evidence that he continues 23 looking at drug rape porn from early October, bearing in 24 mind that you are piecing this together? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 124</p>

<p>1 Q. The evidence you have given already about the social 2 media profiles, just very briefly, is to this effect, 3 isn't it, that you contacted the gay website Bender? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. You were told that the company had gone into 6 liquidation. Bring up, please, MPS 573, internal 38, 7 you understood that Bender, it's paragraph 204, please, 8 had gone into liquidation in January 2015? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Transferred over some of their historic data. The data 11 that you had was what is at 205, which I think was 12 Gabriel's screen name but not much more. It follows, 13 doesn't it, that you had to work with what was available 14 from January 2015 and you don't know now what data might 15 have been held before that date? 16 A. No. 17 MS HILL: Madam, I see the time, I have about three or four 18 more minutes worth of questions, I am content to stop 19 now or continue if you wish? 20 THE CORONER: Can I just enquire of others how long they are 21 going to be because this is the only witness today. 22 Mr Davies. 23 MR DAVIES: Mr Skelton and I estimate between us we will be 24 about 15 plus minutes, something of that order. 25 THE CORONER: Members of the jury, if you could cope with</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 125</p>	<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. They set out all the communication at 104, (a) through 3 to (i). Then at (j) they say: 4 "It was after these early exchanges that the 5 defendant sent the text message to Edwards about Gabriel 6 having died in Spain. If as the prosecution allege Luck 7 is indeed Port, it shows that on any view he 8 deliberately lied to Edwards about what had happened to 9 Gabriel. He knew the circumstances surrounding 10 Gabriel's death from Amodio. The prosecution say the 11 defendant also knew about the circumstances because he 12 was the person who had killed Gabriel. Of course 13 Edwards knew that Gabriel had been staying with the 14 defendant shortly before he died, so there was a good 15 reason for the defendant to lie to him." 16 That is how it was put at trial, isn't it? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Then (m) please on that page, it now moves on to 19 an exchange on 12 September. It says: 20 "This exchange took place on the 12th, just over 21 a week before the body of a third young male was 22 discovered." 23 Then it goes on to say that Gabriel was discovered 24 in the same spot as Daniel: 25 "And it was believed he was wearing [is this right]</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 127</p>
<p>1 another 120 minutes that would be the end for today. Is 2 that a vote for that? Yes, we will carry on. 3 MS HILL: A few more questions for you, please. 4 We have heard a lot of evidence already in separate 5 chunks, if I can call it that, about the messages with 6 Mr Amodio -- 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. -- and the messages with Mr Edwards. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Can I just assist the jury in understanding how if you 11 put them together the case against Mr Port came to be 12 made, can you help me with that if I show you some 13 documents? 14 A. Yes, please, yes. 15 Q. All right, very broadly, can I bring up, please, IPC685, 16 internal 17. I should have said before that this 17 document is the prosecution opening note, this 18 summarises the Crown case against Mr Port before the 19 trial, and at 104 of that document, it is internal 20 page 17, the prosecution summarised all of the messages 21 with Mr Amodio. Is that right? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. I think what they do here, if you can see the text, in 24 italics they write their comment. The not italics 25 typing is what the evidence shows, correct?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 126</p>	<p>1 the H&M light blue hoody." 2 A. Yes, he was. 3 Q. Point of detail, but if we go back please to internal 4 page 17, (l), is this right, that some of the exchanges 5 between Mr Amodio and Port were about that hoody? 6 A. They were. 7 Q. He was offering, wasn't he, to send it back to 8 Mr Amodio? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Broadly, go back, please to, internal 18, (q), this was 11 the case against Port, wasn't it, that the prosecution 12 say that the purpose of this misinformation was to lay 13 the groundwork implicating Daniel in the death of 14 Gabriel, to deflect attention from himself in respect of 15 both killings? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. They go on to summarise further evidence about this 18 point, the other point at paragraph (u) of this 19 document, please, is this. On 31 January 2015, so that 20 is at the very end of these messages, Amodio asked for 21 Port's WhatsApp number: 22 "Not till you tell me the reason, sounds like you 23 want to get me questioned by the fuzz. You know, I have 24 nothing to do with whatever happened to Gab, he was my 25 friend and he was perfectly fine when he left here."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 128</p>

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

<p>1 The way that is described by the Crown at 105 is</p> <p>2 that this Facebook conversation shows just how</p> <p>3 manipulative and cruel Port could be. Just as he had</p> <p>4 done with Edwards, he had spun a tissue of lies to</p> <p>5 Amodio to conceal his involvement in Gabriel's death.</p> <p>6 That's right, isn't it?</p> <p>7 A. That's correct.</p> <p>8 Q. When he was interviewed in October 2015, is this right,</p> <p>9 that he first said that the names Gabriel Kovari and</p> <p>10 Gabriel Klein did not ring any bells with him?</p> <p>11 It is not a test.</p> <p>12 A. He was interviewed so many times.</p> <p>13 Q. Let's see if we can summarise in this way. The</p> <p>14 interviews are very long and I do not want to go through</p> <p>15 them. IPC685, it's internal page 19 of this document.</p> <p>16 Scroll in please on 107 and 108.</p> <p>17 107, when he was interviewed about Gabriel, he</p> <p>18 claimed first that the names didn't ring any bells, he</p> <p>19 said he had not had a Slovakian male around to the flat,</p> <p>20 never had any reason to go to the area near the walls of</p> <p>21 the Abbey where the body was found, no involvement in</p> <p>22 his death and had not taken his phone.</p> <p>23 This account was a pack of lies say the prosecution</p> <p>24 because, is this right, port changed his account again</p> <p>25 in the defence case statement?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 129</p>	<p>1 the note was found matched other sleeves found at Port's</p> <p>2 flat, another small point of detail, is that right?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, it did.</p> <p>4 Q. The suggestion put by the Crown at trial, if we look at</p> <p>5 paragraph 130 on that page, was the blue hooded top --</p> <p>6 the suggestion made at trial was that the light blue</p> <p>7 hooded top that Mr Whitworth was wearing in the</p> <p>8 churchyard appears to match the top belonging to Gabriel</p> <p>9 that had been described in the messages. It rather</p> <p>10 suggests that Port must have put it on Whitworth before</p> <p>11 he was found, perhaps to suggest a false link with</p> <p>12 Kovari. Is that right?</p> <p>13 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>14 Q. As he planted the note and the small bottle?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Again, for completeness, final parts of this document,</p> <p>17 please, internal 23, paragraph 134, again when he was</p> <p>18 first interviewed about Daniel, another pack of lies</p> <p>19 about how he had known Daniel, is that right?</p> <p>20 A. That's correct.</p> <p>21 Q. Then, again just to jump back to it, please, can we</p> <p>22 bring up the defence case statement, MPS18, internal</p> <p>23 page 9, is the part of defence statement dealing with</p> <p>24 Daniel. Again, came up with a long and detailed account</p> <p>25 of how he did know Daniel, is that right?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 131</p>
<p>1 A. He changed it repeatedly, but definitely in the defence</p> <p>2 case statement, yes.</p> <p>3 Q. First of all, knew nothing about Gabriel. Then put in</p> <p>4 what is a defence case statement, bring up, please,</p> <p>5 MPS18, internal page 7.</p> <p>6 In relation to the counts relating to Gabriel, count</p> <p>7 7 through 9, gave a long explanation that broadly</p> <p>8 amounted to, "Yes, I knew him but didn't have sex with</p> <p>9 him"?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Then, also, go back, please, to IPC685, internal</p> <p>12 page 19. 109, also in relation to Gabriel, he lied</p> <p>13 about location about an alibi, the jury will know</p> <p>14 an alibi saying, "I am not where you think I was on the</p> <p>15 night of the crime, or the day of the crime". Raised</p> <p>16 the defence of alibi, claimed he was elsewhere when</p> <p>17 Gabriel was killed and talked about a party in Ilford,</p> <p>18 is that right?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. Then just taking the rest of this quite shortly if</p> <p>21 I may, detail about the sleeve, please of the note,</p> <p>22 paragraph 127, it is internal page 022, you have been</p> <p>23 asked about the ESDA testing and so on and you have been</p> <p>24 asked about the pad, the notepad of paper, but is this</p> <p>25 right at 126 on internal 22, the plastic sleeve in which</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 130</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. And continued with the proposition that Daniel had been</p> <p>3 somehow feeling terrible about the death of Gabriel?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Is that right?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Then tried to explain away the handwriting?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Paragraph 50 on internal page 11, Daniel apparently</p> <p>10 wanted to make peace with Gab and say how sorry he was</p> <p>11 so began to dictate and Port wrote down what Daniel</p> <p>12 dictated. As Port was writing, Port realised it sounded</p> <p>13 like a suicide note, Port protested that he didn't want</p> <p>14 to continue to write but Daniel persuaded him and he was</p> <p>15 just getting feelings off his chest and so Port finished</p> <p>16 the note.</p> <p>17 Resoundingly rejected by the jury?</p> <p>18 A. Absolutely.</p> <p>19 Q. He tried to provide some explanation, didn't he, for the</p> <p>20 pathology about the bruising under the arms of Daniel?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Paragraph 51 he went on to say Daniel told Port he</p> <p>23 planned to ditch the phone and because he had swapped</p> <p>24 numbers with Gabriel, Daniel asked that Port wrote in</p> <p>25 the note that the phone had been dropped, so Port wrote</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 132</p>

<p>1 the note and put it in Daniel's pocket or sleeve. As he</p> <p>2 did so Daniel got up fast and fell forward onto knees</p> <p>3 and hit his head. Port helped him by lifting him up</p> <p>4 under his arms and moved him to the sofa, is that right?</p> <p>5 A. That's correct.</p> <p>6 Q. Did you understand that was an attempt to explain the</p> <p>7 bruising?</p> <p>8 A. Absolutely, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Again resoundingly rejected by the jury?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Final point, please, on the trial, just so the jury</p> <p>12 understand and can fill in the chronology, Mr Richards,</p> <p>13 between the death of Daniel Whitworth and what they are</p> <p>14 going to start hearing about the death of Jack Taylor.</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Just for a quick way of doing it, can we bring up,</p> <p>17 please, MPS247, internal page 1.</p> <p>18 This document is an agreed facts document where the</p> <p>19 prosecution and the defence agree certain things to save</p> <p>20 evidence being called about it, correct?</p> <p>21 A. At the criminal trial, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. This first section deals with all of the victims and</p> <p>23 their ages?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. It lists, as we see here, Anthony, Gabriel, Daniel and</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 133</p>	<p>1 Q. Allegations then in relation to X6 in July 2015, 4 July?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Just pausing there, just bring up on screen, please,</p> <p>4 MPS247, internal page 2, which is another part of the</p> <p>5 agreed facts material.</p> <p>6 Paragraphs 15 and 16, Port had been in custody,</p> <p>7 hadn't he, between 27 January and 23 March?</p> <p>8 A. Yes, he had.</p> <p>9 Q. Forgive me, I have those dates wrong, sorry, 23 March</p> <p>10 and 4 June?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. He pleaded guilty on 23 March, he is released on a tag</p> <p>13 on 4 June, is that right?</p> <p>14 A. He went to prison on 23 March.</p> <p>15 Q. Yes, so he is in custody on 23 March and he is released</p> <p>16 on 4 June?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. I'm sorry, I think we understand each other.</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. My point is this, isn't it, if we have that date of</p> <p>21 4 June, but go back, please, to MPS659, internal page 6.</p> <p>22 By 4 July 2015 he had raped somebody else?</p> <p>23 A. Yes, he had.</p> <p>24 Q. Then taking it shortly, we can see that the counts on</p> <p>25 the indictment continue in relation to X7 throughout</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 135</p>
<p>1 Jack and we see do we at paragraphs 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11</p> <p>2 the living victims between Daniel's death and Jack's</p> <p>3 death?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Five men there, for whom there were counts on the</p> <p>6 indictment for criminal offences that Port committed</p> <p>7 after the death of Daniel Whitworth and before the death</p> <p>8 of Jack Taylor?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. We can see broadly, can't we, that they are all in their</p> <p>11 early 20s, save for one or two?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Finally on this, is this right, bring up, please,</p> <p>14 MPS659, internal page 5. Just so the jury have the</p> <p>15 dates here, Mr Whitworth as we know was found on</p> <p>16 20 September but is this right, if you look, please at</p> <p>17 the counts on the indictment, go to internal page 5,</p> <p>18 please, the trial involved allegations that Port had</p> <p>19 raped X4 on 31 December 2014?</p> <p>20 A. Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Again on 26 January 2015?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Over the page, two allegations of rape of X5, is that</p> <p>24 right, between dates of 9 and 31 January, is that right?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 134</p>	<p>1 August 2015, is that right?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. In relation to X8, right up to 13 September 2015, so</p> <p>4 a date between 10 and 13 September?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Then we see, don't we, that count 23 is when we get to</p> <p>7 the counts in relation to Jack?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. All of those allegations of rape, assault by penetration</p> <p>10 and administering drugs to young men occurred between</p> <p>11 the death of Daniel Whitworth and the death of</p> <p>12 Jack Taylor?</p> <p>13 A. That's correct.</p> <p>14 Q. Just to be clear, as I should have made apparent, the</p> <p>15 period of time when he was in custody and therefore</p> <p>16 unable to rape or drug anybody between March and</p> <p>17 June 2015 was for the offence of perverting the course</p> <p>18 of justice in relation to Anthony?</p> <p>19 A. Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>20 MS HILL: Thank you very much.</p> <p>21 Questions from MR DAVIES</p> <p>22 MR DAVIES: Could I just explore a procedural point with</p> <p>23 you, please, Mr Richards, to start with.</p> <p>24 We are cementing this back in 2014, the relevant</p> <p>25 time. If a member of the public has information that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 136</p>

<p>1 potentially relates to the circumstances in which 2 someone has gone missing, and goes to a police officer 3 with it, whether it is at a police station or otherwise, 4 that sort of information would qualify for recording on 5 the CRIMINT system in the Met at the time, wouldn't it? 6 A. I am not sure if it would go on CRIMINT, it depends if 7 the missing person was already reported missing and then 8 there should be a Merlin for that missing person. 9 Q. The Merlin is narrowly for missing persons type data, 10 but it would go on there? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. It would go on there with not only presumably the name 13 of the missing person, but the name of anyone the 14 reporting member of the public says is or may be 15 connected to that disappearance. 16 To cut to the chase, Gabriel Kovari, Stephen Port, 17 you would expect Merlin to record both details if 18 a member of the public said something potentially 19 useful? 20 A. If a member of the public had, yes. 21 Q. If a member of the public had background information -- 22 and you heard me question Mr Edwards as to what he knew 23 about Mr Port, pimping out his boyfriend, drugs, 24 changing his telephone number, suspicions about 25 Gabriel's disappearance and other features. That type</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 137</p>	<p>1 all parties who come to notice, particularly Whitworth, 2 Kovari. To go back to the point, had the information 3 been reported that Mr Edwards had, it would have gone on 4 CRIMINT? 5 A. It should have done. 6 Q. We can see on page 52 of the same document, on 7 10 October 2014, T/DC Berry is putting on CRIS intel 8 checks on IIP, integrated intelligence platform, on 9 persons including Mr Kovari. Of course it having not 10 been reported the CRIMINT, and for that matter the 11 Merlin, did not have the benefit of Mr Edwards's 12 information? 13 A. Yes, it wasn't there, so it couldn't be found, yes. 14 Q. Therefore the police didn't know it and couldn't act on 15 it? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Can we have two statements up side by side, please, 18 because I asked Mr Edwards about what he had said to the 19 police and what he hadn't. 20 Can we have MPS523 up, please. This is his 21 statement of 19 October 2015. This was given to 22 Lilford, I think, because he had come forward by this 23 stage? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. If we could go to the second page of that, please, where</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p>
<p>1 of information would either go on Merlin or a CRIMINT or 2 both, wouldn't it? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. It doesn't have to be somebody reporting a crime, it is 5 information, criminal intelligence, as it sounds? 6 A. In that content, CRIMINT, definitely. 7 Q. Once it is on the CRIMINT system, anybody putting in the 8 name of "Kovari" or "Port", the system would generate 9 a search result under the CRIMINT system, it is 10 a searchable database? 11 A. Yes, so if you search for the name "Kovari", it would 12 bring up every report that Kovari was mentioned and 13 likewise with Port, yes. 14 Q. Yes. 15 You are aware, you heard the evidence, that 16 Mr Edwards did not provide that information to the 17 police until October 2015? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Although he had it all at the time Gabriel went missing? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. I think you are also aware, and you have been in court, 22 clearly, that as part of the Daniel Whitworth 23 investigation by borough, whatever else wasn't done, at 24 IPC33, page 50, on 3 October, DI Schamberger set 25 an action or set of actions including intelligence on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 138</p>	<p>1 he is talking about halfway down the page, the passage 2 starting, "X1 was in his early 20s ..." 3 This is where he is talking about X1 and the 4 pimping. Could we go on to page 3. 5 Juxtaposing please with INQ8, page 9, which is the 6 account given to the 2017 documentary, so go to page 9 7 of that document, please. 8 We can see that in terms of reference to drugs, we 9 have taken Mr Edwards to this point, he is describing in 10 the middle of the page: 11 "A massive clear plastic container that actually 12 filled the whole circumference of the coffee table and 13 it was full of vials of clear liquid and sachets of 14 white powder. I was completely take aback, there were 15 dozens and dozens of clear bags of white powder and 16 again dozens of these vials of clear liquid. It really 17 disturbed me because it was a huge, it was obviously 18 a huge amount of drugs, far, far beyond personal use for 19 someone, so, you know, what on earth was he doing with 20 them?" 21 His account in 2015 was nothing to the same extent, 22 was it? 23 Perhaps go to page 4, I am just searching myself on 24 screen for the right reference. Page 4 of the -- that 25 is it -- we will get there. We may come back to it in</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 140</p>

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

<p>1 your evidence in future.</p> <p>2 It is back to page 3, thank you, to Mr -- page 4,</p> <p>3 please. I do apologise for this, especially as everyone</p> <p>4 wants lunch.</p> <p>5 Yes, so the second paragraph:</p> <p>6 "Once in the living room I noticed a tupperware box</p> <p>7 on the coffee table with what looked like drugs inside.</p> <p>8 There were small bags of white powder, both Stephen and</p> <p>9 X10 looked out of it so I did not stay long and left."</p> <p>10 That was his account in his sworn witness statement</p> <p>11 in 2015 and you see the account in 2017 to the</p> <p>12 documentary.</p> <p>13 There is a difference, isn't there?</p> <p>14 A. There is a difference.</p> <p>15 Q. There is nothing at all in his 2015 statement to the</p> <p>16 police about violence to partners by Mr Port?</p> <p>17 A. I would have to check it.</p> <p>18 Q. Whereas in the documentary, we can see at the bottom of</p> <p>19 page 9, and I took Mr Edwards to this:</p> <p>20 Narrator:</p> <p>21 "Revealing a violent and abusive character."</p> <p>22 Mr Edwards:</p> <p>23 "On one occasion I asked why, what had happened and</p> <p>24 he said Stephen had pushed me into the TV and I cut my</p> <p>25 head much. There was another time when one of his</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p>	<p>1 Q. The Jon Luck enquiries, could we go to page 38, please</p> <p>2 of your statement, starting at paragraph 2. It is the</p> <p>3 bottom of the page, so perhaps page 39. You have made</p> <p>4 the point very fairly that your enquiry into Jon Luck</p> <p>5 started following Stephen Port being charged with four</p> <p>6 murders. Paragraph 209, it was really you reviewing</p> <p>7 everything just before the criminal trial started and,</p> <p>8 13 September, having, to put it bluntly, something of</p> <p>9 a eureka moment, that Jon Luck could be Stephen Port.</p> <p>10 Is that a fair way of putting it?</p> <p>11 A. That is fair, yes.</p> <p>12 Q. How long had Lilford had the Jon Luck related text</p> <p>13 messaging and other media in its possession before you</p> <p>14 had this insight in mid September 2016?</p> <p>15 A. I know the original enquiries to trace Jon Luck began at</p> <p>16 the start of the year. We took it mid October 2015, and</p> <p>17 we started the enquiries early 2016 into Jon Luck.</p> <p>18 I can only presume, without checking HOLMES, that we</p> <p>19 would have had them then, early 2016.</p> <p>20 Q. Yes.</p> <p>21 No criticism but, you know, one accepts you had</p> <p>22 a far greater set of human and technical resources than</p> <p>23 borough. Even nine months after you had the material,</p> <p>24 it really took you to have this insight, almost by</p> <p>25 chance as you looked at it again.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 143</p>
<p>1 boyfriends said that Stephen had actually been violent</p> <p>2 to him."</p> <p>3 Nothing of that in his witness statement to the</p> <p>4 police?</p> <p>5 You can check, of course, Mr Richards, but there is</p> <p>6 not.</p> <p>7 A. I don't doubt it.</p> <p>8 Q. That can come down, thank you.</p> <p>9 Turning to Mr Whitworth, in your witness statement,</p> <p>10 please, a number of matters, so MPS573, starting at</p> <p>11 paragraphs 37 and 38, please. Perhaps go back to the</p> <p>12 previous page.</p> <p>13 This is the text messaging between Port and</p> <p>14 Mr Edwards at relevant times. Just looking at</p> <p>15 paragraph 35, you were setting out that having been</p> <p>16 released on bail on 27 June, on 1 July he began using</p> <p>17 a new number, using a phone sourced through his sister</p> <p>18 in some way. Amongst those he starts to use it to on</p> <p>19 that date, the very first date he starts to use the</p> <p>20 phone, is Mr Edwards. Is that correct?</p> <p>21 A. That's correct.</p> <p>22 Q. In terms of text messaging, Port was throughout, really,</p> <p>23 using a sign off kiss to Mr Edwards, wasn't he?</p> <p>24 A. Again, I would have to check, but I don't doubt you if</p> <p>25 you say so.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 142</p>	<p>1 How many man hours do you think had gone in before</p> <p>2 then to looking at the Jon Luck material?</p> <p>3 A. It was assigned to one detective constable who conducted</p> <p>4 the enquiries that I said with the shopping centre, with</p> <p>5 the porn studio in San Diego. I could check with HOLMES</p> <p>6 with that was relayed, I can't put a number of it.</p> <p>7 A few, not great, enough enquiries to establish that he</p> <p>8 didn't exist in reality.</p> <p>9 Q. Yes, but the extra work, once you had had this insight</p> <p>10 in September?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. There is a whole set of perfectly sensible enquiries you</p> <p>13 set in train at that point.</p> <p>14 A. Yes.</p> <p>15 Q. Multiple different types. To come to the ultimate</p> <p>16 conclusion Port was Jon Luck, how many hours' work do</p> <p>17 you think that took across the team to get to that</p> <p>18 definite conclusion?</p> <p>19 A. I couldn't give you a number but, in answer to it,</p> <p>20 a considerable time, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Involving a considerable number of officers?</p> <p>22 A. I would say three.</p> <p>23 Q. If we scroll down, I don't want to read it all out</p> <p>24 because of the timing, but you can see at paragraph 211,</p> <p>25 you have given evidence through Mr O'Connor today as to</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 144</p>

<p>1 this, you list seven different categories of enquiry 2 that you required to be done. Really it is obvious, 3 a lot of that would be a lot of work? 4 A. It is a considerable amount of work, yes. 5 Q. Thank you, that can come down. 6 Final point, without getting you into the issue of 7 whether a sex swab should have been sent earlier, 8 whether it would have been authorised, those are for 9 other people to address. It is a fact though that when 10 Mr Whitworth's sex swabs were sent, they did not produce 11 any results for Stephen Port? 12 A. That's correct. 13 MR DAVIES: Thank you, Mr Richards. 14 Questions from MR SKELTON 15 MR SKELTON: Mr Richards, I will be as brief as I can, I am 16 conscious everyone wants to have their lunch. I have 17 three issues to ask you. 18 The first is about Gabriel's contact with his friend 19 Karl Kamgdom. 20 The second is his contact with Stephen Port's friend 21 Ryan Edwards. 22 Lastly DNA. 23 Firstly, the contact with Mr Kamgdom, can I have on 24 the screen IPC738, please. 25 These are just by way of reminder the messages</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 145</p>	<p>1 Q. Thank you. 2 Turning to Ryan Edwards he, as you will recall, was 3 a friend of Stephen Port's who gave evidence on 4 28 October to these inquests. 5 He said, when being questioned by Mr O'Connor, that 6 he first met Gabriel on the night of 24 August 2014, and 7 then saw him or had invited him round the next day for 8 coffee to his flat and he came round in fact with 9 Mr Port to the flat, do you remember that evidence, on 10 the 25th? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. The two questions I have for you are, firstly, is it 13 right that when Operation Lilford took a statement from 14 Mr Edwards in 2015, he didn't mention the fact that 15 Stephen Port accompanied Gabriel to his flat? 16 A. That's correct. 17 Q. The second question I have for you at this stage, at 18 least, is during Operation Lilford, did you find any 19 evidence that after that meeting, if you remember, 20 Mr Edwards said that he had communicated with Gabriel on 21 Grindr that evening -- that is what he told 22 Mr O'Connor -- did you find any evidence of those 23 communications of those conversations having taken 24 place? 25 A. None whatsoever.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 147</p>
<p>1 between Gabriel and Karl. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Just if you look at page 1, line 365, the numbers on the 4 far left, you can see that on 22 August 2014 Gabriel 5 uses the word "haha" to signify amusement at the 6 exchange they are having. 7 If you go forward to page 3, please, line 437. We 8 see the exact word again, "haha", at 13.18 pm? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. On that same page, further down, you can see at line 11 462, the phrase, "Nice he he", which is a slight change 12 of terminology. 13 I appreciate that this is a tentative point, but is 14 it right that Operation Lilford suspected that this kind 15 of change of language was indicative that Stephen Port 16 by this stage may possibly have been using Gabriel's 17 phone, because "he he" was something he tended to use 18 and "ha ha" was something Gabriel said? 19 A. That is firmly my belief, that it was Stephen Port. 20 Q. So Gabriel may not in fact have sent these messages? 21 A. My belief that he didn't, but there is no evidence to 22 support that either way. 23 Q. For the purposes of this jury, you cannot say that is 24 probably the case, but it may possibly be the case? 25 A. Exactly that.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 146</p>	<p>1 Q. Thank you. 2 Finally, the issue of DNA, you have described in 3 detail the profiling that was done in respect of Daniel, 4 and that included -- if you want to remind yourself, it 5 is on page 14 onwards of your statement -- swabs from 6 Daniel's body, samples from his clothing and of course 7 the blue bedsheet? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Can I ask you to look on screen at IPC533. This is 10 a printout of the PNC in respect of Stephen Port, as at 11 25 June 2014. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. If you just want to orient yourself, which is when he 14 was under investigation in respect of Anthony's death? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. It refers to the incident specifically on 17 31 December 2012, New Year's Eve, when Port had been 18 arrested on suspicion of rape as a result of allegations 19 made by X1. In fact, as the jury have already heard, 20 those allegations were withdrawn and no further action 21 was taken in respect of that allegation or charges? 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Just below Port's name at the top left, you can see the 24 word "DNA"? 25 A. Yes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 148</p>

1 Q. Does that indicate that Port's DNA was taken at the time
 2 of his arrest on or around this time?
 3 **A. Yes, this is his only arrest. Next to it is ASI, arrest**
 4 **summons 1, the first time and it is DNA 1, yes.**
 5 Q. That is standard police practice with these sorts of
 6 allegations on arrest?
 7 **A. Yes.**
 8 Q. Does it follow from that that Port's DNA was on the
 9 police system in 2014 -- or on the system?
 10 **A. Yes. Yes.**
 11 Q. Does it also follow that if the same swabs and samples
 12 from Daniel had been sent for DNA testing in 2014, the
 13 same samples that were sent by Lilford in 2015, Port
 14 would have been identified?
 15 **A. Yes.**
 16 MR SKELTON: Thank you.
 17 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, I do have a few questions. I hope
 18 everyone can manage their hunger.
 19 THE CORONER: Yes, 11 nods.
 20 MR O'CONNOR: I have informally asked the transcribers
 21 whether they are happy to carry on and I understand that
 22 they are. Perhaps they understand the rewards as well
 23 as we all do.
 24
 25

Page 149

1 Further questions from MR O'CONNOR
 2 MR O'CONNOR: Mr Richards, I have a few questions for you.
 3 The first question is back to the question of
 4 Mr Edwards. You were asked by Mr Davies to recall the
 5 evidence that Mr Edwards gave to the jury and in
 6 particular to the evidence that he gave about his
 7 memories of Stephen Port in the period before he met
 8 Gabriel on that weekend.
 9 **A. Yes.**
 10 Q. Mr Edwards gave some evidence about various incidents
 11 that raised concerns with him at the time.
 12 **A. Yes.**
 13 Q. Including, and in particular, a couple of incidents
 14 involving Stephen Port and his boyfriend, X1, the
 15 pimping out, violence and so on, and also another
 16 occasion where he saw drugs?
 17 **A. Yes.**
 18 Q. You were asked to imagine what would have happened if
 19 Ryan Edwards instead as he says, and explained, had not
 20 reported those to the police, he had reported them to
 21 the police --
 22 **A. Yes.**
 23 Q. -- and you explained how that information would then
 24 have been put on to the various police databases, all
 25 right?

Page 150

1 **A. Yes.**
 2 Q. You were then asked to look at the CRIS, the Whitworth
 3 CRIS, and to look at some searches.
 4 **A. Yes.**
 5 Q. I am going to take you back there in a moment. Before
 6 I do, carrying on with that hypothetical idea that
 7 Mr Edwards had in fact come to the police in that
 8 period, the earlier period, when, for example, he went
 9 to McDonald's and there was a suggestion that
 10 Stephen Port had pimped out X1, or one of those other
 11 incidents. If he had reported those incidents,
 12 presumably what the police would have captured as well
 13 as the detail would have been for example Mr Edwards's
 14 name?
 15 **A. Yes.**
 16 Q. Stephen Port's name?
 17 **A. Yes.**
 18 Q. X1's name, who was not actually called X1, but his real
 19 name?
 20 **A. Yes.**
 21 Q. And no doubt the dates and details as well?
 22 **A. As much as he knew, yes.**
 23 Q. Let's just then look back at the CRIS, for the jury
 24 bundle it is tab 46. For the screen it is IPC33. If we
 25 go to page 52, this was one of the references you were

Page 151

1 taken to. If we can scroll it so we see the bottom of
 2 52 and top of 53. You were taken to the action first of
 3 all and then this entry which shows DC Berry completing
 4 the action?
 5 **A. Yes.**
 6 Q. The checks that were asked to be made were made against
 7 the name Kovari, John Pape, Daniel Whitworth,
 8 Ricky Waumsley and Barbara Denham?
 9 **A. Yes.**
 10 Q. Now, just going back and plugging that hypothesis into
 11 this situation, if those earlier reports had been made,
 12 and what had been captured was Ryan Edwards's name,
 13 Stephen Port's name, X1's name, these searches would not
 14 have landed on any of that early material, would they?
 15 **A. No, because that report wouldn't have contained any of**
 16 **those search criteria.**
 17 Q. Correct.
 18 To finish the story, of course, Ryan Edwards also
 19 mentioned a concern about what Gabriel told him?
 20 **A. Yes.**
 21 Q. Of course had he gone to the police and mentioned that,
 22 then that would have been triggered by name of
 23 Gabriel Kovari?
 24 **A. Yes.**
 25 Q. But the earlier information would not have been

Page 152

<p>1 triggered by these searches, would it?</p> <p>2 A. Correct.</p> <p>3 Q. Thank you, we can take that down.</p> <p>4 There are just two other matters I want to ask you</p> <p>5 about, which in fact stem from questions that have been</p> <p>6 asked by the jury.</p> <p>7 First of all, and to some extent this point has been</p> <p>8 addressed in some later questions you were asked, but</p> <p>9 can I just ask you to recap in general terms on the</p> <p>10 evidence you gave, I think it was on the first occasion</p> <p>11 you gave evidence, about the range of allegations and</p> <p>12 victims, allegations against Stephen Port and his</p> <p>13 victims. Ms Hill took you to the indictment, so we</p> <p>14 looked at some of that. I am not going take through it</p> <p>15 in detail but just to be clear, of course we know about</p> <p>16 the four individuals who died. It is right, isn't it,</p> <p>17 that there were also a number of living victims?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Many of those living victims were individuals whose</p> <p>20 names appeared on the indictment?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. You have been taken to that, but not all of them, you</p> <p>23 told us there were some -- did you say from memory two</p> <p>24 or three people?</p> <p>25 A. There were allegations made -- it depends on the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 153</p>	<p>1 living victims that actually postdated Jack Taylor's</p> <p>2 death?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Thank you.</p> <p>5 Last question, of course it is a feature of this</p> <p>6 case that Stephen Port had drugs, GHB --</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. -- which he used against both the living and the dead</p> <p>9 victims.</p> <p>10 I remember asking you whether when Lilford</p> <p>11 investigators conducted that search of 62 Cooke Street</p> <p>12 you found any drugs. I recall your answer was that you</p> <p>13 didn't?</p> <p>14 A. No, nothing.</p> <p>15 Q. Did you make any enquiries into where Stephen Port may</p> <p>16 have obtained the drugs that we know he had?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Are you able to give us a general summary of what</p> <p>19 information you found in that regard?</p> <p>20 A. Yes. Through numerous enquiries we identified drug</p> <p>21 dealers that were supplying to Stephen Port, varying</p> <p>22 drugs that you have heard of, crystal meth, mephedrone</p> <p>23 and G. We searched, arrested and prosecuted two</p> <p>24 separate people who were both convicted of the supply of</p> <p>25 drugs involved in this matter and yes, they have both</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 155</p>
<p>1 strength of the evidence as to how much you include them</p> <p>2 as Stephen Port's victims, but, yes, there is a small</p> <p>3 number, yes, over and above the eight that we charged</p> <p>4 from the indictment.</p> <p>5 Q. Eight on the indictment and a few others, varying</p> <p>6 degrees of confidence, who made allegations against</p> <p>7 Stephen Port of this nature?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. Then in terms of the range, again we have looked at that</p> <p>10 but in broad terms, we of course are very familiar with</p> <p>11 the dates involving Anthony, Gabriel, Daniel and we will</p> <p>12 come to Jack's case, but in terms of the range of the</p> <p>13 allegations made by the living victims, is it fair to</p> <p>14 say that they started before the incident involving</p> <p>15 Anthony?</p> <p>16 A. Yes, February 2012.</p> <p>17 Q. We have heard as well about X3?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. They went on, as Ms Hill showed you, during the time</p> <p>20 period that we are concerned with?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Of course not when Stephen Port was in prison, as you</p> <p>23 have seen, but at other times?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. In fact there were allegations relating to one of the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 154</p>	<p>1 been convicted, so two of the suppliers for Stephen, the</p> <p>2 main people.</p> <p>3 MR O'CONNOR: Thank you very much, DI Richards.</p> <p>4 Madam, those are all the questions I have.</p> <p>5 THE CORONER: Thank you very much, Mr Richards.</p> <p>6 Members of the jury, depriving you of lunch now</p> <p>7 means that is all we need you for today, which I hope is</p> <p>8 good news. As you know tomorrow is a day off and Friday</p> <p>9 is a 9.00 start, so see you at 9.00 on Friday.</p> <p>10 Thank you.</p> <p>11 (1.46 pm)</p> <p>12 (The inquests adjourned until 9.00 am on Friday,</p> <p>13 12 November 2021)</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 156</p>

A				
A/DI 7:17	active 55:24	aim 53:7	angles 43:3	arena 76:12
aback 140:14	activities 12:24 76:15	alibi 130:13,14,16	annoyed 38:23	argue 88:16
Abbey 129:21	activity 79:9	allegation 148:21	annual 75:17	Armed 63:6
able 5:4 11:23	actual 94:5 101:5 105:25	allegations 134:18 134:23 135:1 136:9 148:18,20 149:6 153:11,12 153:25 154:6,13 154:25	answer 21:17,23 30:11 63:22 72:6 81:17 103:25 104:2 144:19 155:12	arms 65:8 132:20 133:4
13:25 17:21 18:8 29:8,22 33:15,16 39:11 40:15 43:17,20 44:17 55:23 65:2 67:23 70:11 72:14 78:25 79:14,16 87:5 98:3 105:11 119:2 155:18	Adam 88:24 89:20 90:10,15	allege 127:6	answered 81:18	arrangement 29:2
absolute 65:15	add 16:5 90:7	alleles 66:4	answers 37:14 117:8	arrangements 26:5
absolutely 28:12	added 16:4,13	allocate 10:25	Anthony 7:2,19 8:2 12:14 32:23 33:4,8 38:1,8 64:13 72:14,18 78:23 81:12 133:25 136:18 154:11,15	arranging 102:22
73:2 87:18 88:1 92:8,10 96:19,21 98:10 106:25 107:16 109:15 110:14 112:4 132:18 133:8	address 45:7 55:20 55:21 56:5 101:8 121:16 145:9	allocated 11:19	anybody 27:24 28:1 66:14 68:8 136:16 138:7	arrest 9:4 34:3,3 34:14 38:5 116:11 149:2,3,3 149:6
absolute 65:15	addressed 153:8	alongside 71:8 96:2	anybody's 65:25	arrested 104:20 108:18 109:3 116:22 148:18 155:23
absolutely 28:12	addresses 55:24 55:24 56:2	amendment 15:20	anymore 49:8 76:21	arrived 23:14 27:5 30:7 40:11
73:2 87:18 88:1 92:8,10 96:19,21 98:10 106:25 107:16 109:15 110:14 112:4 132:18 133:8	Adeyemo-Phillips 112:25 115:3 117:2	American 115:12 115:16	anyway 37:7	arriving 75:2
abusive 141:21	adjourned 156:12	Americans 54:7	apart 116:5	arrow 24:5
accepts 143:21	adjournment 67:8	Amodio 16:18,22 52:6,9,15,16,17 54:25 57:3 107:10,15 108:1 108:10 109:16,21 111:2 112:6,24 113:24 114:12 115:6 117:15 118:11,19 119:6 120:6 121:19 126:6,21 127:10 128:5,8,20 129:5	apparatus 82:14	AS1 149:3
accident 37:19	adjust 91:3	amount 44:7 59:25 60:3 104:23 140:18 145:4	apparent 101:5 136:14	ascertain 17:1
accompanied 147:15	administered 80:4	amounted 130:8	apparently 38:25 132:9	Asda 54:5 123:1
account 32:15,25 49:16 50:4 51:9 51:20 54:13,13 54:17 55:11,15 55:16,25 56:4 76:22,25 77:4,11 78:5 119:11 123:7,18 129:23 129:24 131:24 140:6,21 141:10 141:11	administering 136:10	amusement 146:5	appear 16:4 23:13 32:25 35:13 39:17 40:23 77:20	asked 9:5 11:3 12:12 24:16 25:4 35:5,19,23,24 37:12 38:7,17,24 47:3 59:4,9 65:18 67:17 68:6 68:11 77:14 78:22 81:5 91:22 93:24 98:25 99:10 103:10 104:1 117:3 128:20 130:23,24 132:24 139:18 141:23 149:20 150:4,18 151:2 152:6 153:6,8
accounts 56:4 77:7 77:9 123:14	admit 89:7	analyse 81:2	appeared 92:20 153:20	asking 10:23 33:25 75:8 81:21 100:21 103:19 112:8 117:21 155:10
accuracy 33:17	admitted 57:8,11 57:13	analysed 65:9 98:19	appearing 104:24	assault 80:4 136:9
accurate 15:19	advantage 80:6	analysis 59:20 61:18,20,24 64:7 64:18 65:2,14 80:21 82:13 83:21 96:17 98:25	appears 33:6 68:2 71:14 131:8	assessment 5:21 11:15
achieve 49:21,21 53:18	advice 7:8 103:24	anal 85:24	appointed 9:7	assigned 144:3
act 139:14	aeroplane 73:14 74:13	analyse 81:2	appreciate 146:13	
action 138:25 148:20 152:2,4	afraid 8:7 11:5 108:15	analysed 65:9 98:19	approached 104:10	
actions 55:8,10 138:25	afternoon 26:18 35:11,13,15 42:15,16 71:5,10 72:25 74:17 75:21,23 79:5,8 100:12,13 106:24 112:6 114:18	analysis 59:20 61:18,20,24 64:7 64:18 65:2,14 80:21 82:13 83:21 96:17 98:25	approve 14:19 15:7	
	aged 3:8	anchored 122:22	area 85:25 129:20	
	ages 133:23	Andrew 8:5		
	ago 17:6 35:24	angle 31:10		
	agree 30:14 133:19			
	agreed 68:13 133:18 135:5			

48:18 call 5:17 12:4 22:6 33:19 34:17 35:9 72:9 73:19,20 80:19 113:4 114:20 115:3,19 117:1,3 126:5 called 2:12 6:25 7:3 14:4 17:5 35:1,16 36:15 45:22 54:8 68:6 82:13 92:21 104:17 115:1 119:24 121:24 133:20 151:18 calls 40:1 115:11 Calvin 60:22 Canning 21:6,7 capabilities 6:20 9:11 captured 151:12 152:12 car 7:11 35:16 career 3:3,7,9 4:18 4:21 carefully 13:4 carnival 27:13 carries 111:12 114:21 carry 118:13 126:2 149:21 carrying 61:1 151:6 cars 5:22,22,24 6:12 case 11:24 19:14 24:2 59:17 63:17 64:20 98:9 105:2 126:11,18 128:11 129:25 130:2,4 131:22 146:24,24 154:12 155:6 cases 6:2,15 44:18 77:15 79:24,24 79:25 cast 72:12 93:4 casting 20:18 categoric 63:22 Categorically	62:15 categories 15:20 145:1 caused 105:11 causes 112:16 cautioned 103:14 cell 44:10 72:9 73:11,22 101:10 117:11 cementing 136:24 cent 65:17 93:5 119:15,16 centre 144:4 certain 13:6 47:6 56:14,15 57:4 66:8 99:14,18 104:23 133:19 certainly 23:6 cetera 102:21 chain 106:22 115:9 chance 143:25 change 77:23 146:11,15 changed 129:24 130:1 changes 90:7 changing 42:10,10 77:15,16 137:24 character 141:21 charge 6:14 11:16 53:9 98:14 charged 38:11 104:20 143:5 154:3 charges 148:21 charging 32:2 chase 137:16 cheated 109:9 check 36:12 66:21 74:22 77:7 141:17 142:5,24 144:5 checked 15:17 checking 143:18 checks 139:8 152:6 chef 73:24 chest 132:15	chief 3:17 8:4,22 10:17,21,24 11:9 childhood 82:17 childlike 120:16 Chris 10:3 Christmas 115:15 chronology 48:25 95:3 133:12 chunks 126:5 church 118:15 churchyard 131:8 CID 108:2 113:5 113:16 circle 93:4 circumference 140:12 circumstances 2:15 6:9,19 12:18 13:10 50:12 59:8 67:13 91:13,23 127:9 127:11 137:1 claimed 129:18 130:16 clarify 74:19 clear 9:15 19:16 28:18 38:17 64:3 76:9 92:8 107:9 111:4 136:14 140:11,13,15,16 153:15 clearly 33:20 39:9 64:3 91:9,25 117:14 138:22 close 65:16 72:20 110:17 closed 49:24 54:14 123:8 closely 47:1 111:15 clothing 64:22 86:2 87:12 148:6 clutch 20:21 coffee 55:19 140:12 141:7 147:8 coincide 76:5 coincidence 120:2 120:4	Coke 84:21,22 85:2 colleague 70:20 colleagues 10:7 Collier 2:5,6,20,22 111:3 colloquially 123:23 column 20:18 come 8:24 10:19 12:10 14:21 20:22 23:25 24:1 26:22 27:3 29:13 31:21 33:25 37:5 37:6 41:22 48:4 50:11,21 62:2,22 64:14 65:20 70:1 79:19 80:5 102:24 105:1 110:17 113:21 139:1,22 140:25 142:8 144:15 145:5 151:7 154:12 comes 108:6 comfortable 106:18 coming 37:7 54:20 80:3 command 3:4,19 3:25 4:14,15,22 4:24,25 5:16 6:3 6:13 8:23 commander 4:13 4:15 5:11 8:6 commence 55:9 commenced 44:17 commendations 4:17 comment 126:24 commented 69:1 committed 134:6 communicate 70:6 73:17 76:24 communicated 51:7 73:8,16 147:20 communication 29:5 52:15 68:24	69:12,21 70:2,8 70:10 71:5 127:2 communications 16:5 52:5 67:24 72:5 77:5,21 147:23 company 50:6 51:18 54:8 55:14 75:15 125:5 compare 81:22 compared 65:19 comparison 56:11 81:15 102:21 compilation 33:10 compiled 33:6 complete 65:15 66:7 87:9 90:24 91:9 115:9 completed 6:4 53:11 completely 76:11 88:21 109:7,14 140:14 completeness 45:13 98:19 131:16 completing 152:3 complex 5:4 11:24 complicated 58:16 component 86:18 components 65:11 composed 54:22 54:23 computer 40:21 75:25 78:6 conceal 129:5 concern 26:4 113:14 152:19 concerned 25:17 43:25 59:14 75:6 86:13 87:12 154:20 concerns 9:23,25 16:25 22:1 32:22 52:24 150:11 conclusion 83:7 144:16,18 conclusions 95:17 conclusive 95:11
---	--	---	--	--

95:18,20 98:4 conclusively 102:19,20 concrete 60:17 93:17 conduct 9:6,17 46:22 84:4 conducted 3:6 6:16 12:1 83:16 144:3 155:11 conducting 5:6 confidence 65:14 154:6 confidential 4:11 confirm 55:9 63:15 100:2 confirmed 18:23 conflated 33:3 confused 32:13 33:6 confusing 59:24 connect 73:4 74:12 93:15 connected 137:15 connecting 74:5 connection 55:22 92:1 93:18 116:5 connectivity 43:11 connects 73:6,10 74:21 conscious 145:16 consider 62:10,16 63:6,18 90:22 considerable 59:25 93:4 144:20,21 145:4 consideration 85:12 consistent 107:2 conspiracy 10:11 constable 144:3 consultation 103:23 contact 17:2,13,19 17:22 29:1,1,11 31:23 50:18,25 51:22 67:21 68:3 68:4,5,21 69:14 77:12 93:14	104:11 108:2,3 121:10,20 145:18 145:20,23 contacted 31:25 52:18 55:14 104:18 108:2 125:3 contacting 53:13 117:17 contacts 67:18 contain 86:14,16 88:11 contained 5:22 84:2,10 85:1 86:21 89:6 152:15 container 140:11 containing 28:3 content 9:3 50:7 51:19 54:24 90:12 125:18 138:6 contents 57:22 60:20 84:25 90:21 91:15 context 16:19 continue 109:5 125:19 132:14 135:25 continued 1:6,9,15 1:18 12:6 39:1 123:22 132:2 continues 112:8,19 114:2 115:2 117:20 122:1 124:22 continuing 110:11 114:7 contributed 65:10 86:20 88:13 98:14 control 11:19 conversation 8:3,8 8:9 10:16,20 15:6,23 16:1,23 19:25 20:2 22:17 34:16 35:17 37:23 54:3,25 89:13 117:19	129:2 conversations 39:13,17,19 51:24 147:23 convicted 155:24 156:1 conviction 111:22 Cooke 7:1,3 23:17 24:9 25:8 30:1 72:18,20 82:6 84:12 106:6,7 155:11 cope 125:25 copy 8:19 11:17 46:17 corner 58:24 59:16 62:19 64:1 coroner 2:4,9 59:6 67:4 74:19 83:13 122:10 125:20,25 149:19 156:5 correct 13:24 19:11 20:12 21:15 23:15 26:2 27:19 29:7,24 32:21 34:9 41:2 42:12 43:5 49:5 52:21 53:1 62:9 64:16 74:14,18 77:13 78:21 84:13 89:2,4 93:2 96:7 97:20 101:13 103:7 104:4 106:9 110:10 126:25 129:7 131:13,20 133:5,20 136:13 136:19 142:20,21 145:12 147:16 148:22 152:17 153:2 correctly 93:3 correlate 76:8 Corrie 120:20 corroborated 30:16 50:4 cos 56:19 Cosmo 17:4 cost 19:3	count 130:6 136:6 counts 130:6 134:5 134:17 135:24 136:7 couple 16:20 18:5 27:6 36:6 46:5 109:19 110:15 120:12 123:11 150:13 coupled 93:13 courier 60:22 course 10:12 13:6 13:20 28:23 38:11 44:3 50:11 50:15 52:2,8 61:4 69:4 78:16 81:11 82:5 85:23 86:5 89:18 92:2 98:12 105:6 108:25 114:2 120:5 127:12 136:17 139:9 142:5 148:6 152:18,21 153:15 154:10,22 155:5 court 38:12,13 53:12 57:13 104:21,24 138:21 cover 24:2 47:15 80:9 89:23 covered 42:23 63:23 covering 5:13 80:13 covers 3:5 coz 56:19 crazy 22:13 create 55:13 120:22 created 19:21 50:5 51:10,20 57:8 creating 49:10 credit 115:11 cremated 113:10 113:13 117:23 118:1,4 crime 3:22 130:15 130:15 138:4 criminal 113:4	133:21 134:6 138:5 143:7 CRIMINT 137:5,6 138:1,6,7,9 139:4 139:10 CRIS 7:16 45:14 139:7 151:2,3,23 criteria 152:16 criticism 143:21 crook 65:8 Crown 38:12 126:18 129:1 131:4 CRT4 97:22 crucial 96:21 cruel 129:3 crusty 37:10 crystal 155:22 current 91:2 cuss 56:20 custody 37:4 81:10 96:4,6,18 97:23 98:12 105:6 135:6,15 136:15 cut 137:16 141:24 cyberworld 55:21 Czech 63:4
D				
D 1:3 dad's 35:1,5,16 daily 69:23,24 damn 121:9 Dan 110:20,24 111:5,10,12 112:18 114:24 116:4,22 118:16 118:20 Daniel 10:14 12:19 44:5 45:5,9,25 46:22 47:7 48:11 48:16,21 50:12 50:17,21,24 51:13,23 62:8 64:14 67:1,18,24 68:7,13 69:13,15 70:4,14,22 71:5,6 71:9,14 72:5,24 73:24 75:6,22 76:5,9 77:5,12				

79:3,15,17 80:7 80:12 81:3,22,24 84:1 85:6,10 86:21,22 91:21 92:12,21 93:7 94:1,19 95:5,12 95:21 110:18 111:7,20 112:1 113:15 118:24 124:14,19 127:24 128:13 131:18,19 131:24,25 132:2 132:9,11,14,20 132:22,24 133:2 133:13,25 134:7 136:11 138:22 148:3 149:12 152:7 154:11 Daniel's 44:9 45:19,23 61:5 67:13 68:11 71:18 72:23 73:1 74:21 78:19,25 79:11 80:6 84:22 85:22 88:24 89:8 89:14 92:1,20 133:1 134:2 148:6 Dans 119:3 data 44:10,18 50:3 56:25 71:19,21 71:22 72:4,9,9,13 72:17,22,24 73:12,19,20 105:9 125:10,10 125:14 137:9 database 55:13 138:10 databases 150:24 date 7:23 34:17 51:7 94:23 96:3 96:9 125:15 135:20 136:4 142:19,19 dated 2:23 14:12 95:1,25 dates 20:11 96:4,6 99:24 100:6,8 104:19 111:15	134:15,24 135:9 151:21 154:11 dating 49:16,22 55:2 Davies 1:11,20 125:22,23 136:21 136:22 145:13 150:4 day 5:19 23:6 24:13 28:23 30:18,19 34:20 35:8,8,10,10,15 36:1,5,25,25 39:14 40:1,2 41:10,11,13 46:23 47:25 48:4 48:19,21 70:22 71:2 73:3 74:6,9 74:24,24 76:4,16 76:17 78:7,8 103:10 124:8 130:15 147:7 156:8 day-to-day 120:13 days 31:11 35:24 36:6 39:22 42:25 43:4,6,10 44:5 68:19 69:22,23 69:25 70:14,18 72:23 77:22 111:13 DC 44:25 45:8,23 46:6 47:4,5 71:25 100:20 101:14 102:12 103:20 112:25 117:2 152:3 DCI 7:15 8:3,11 10:3 11:21,24 DCS 10:22 dead 7:5 16:24 32:10,18 33:21 35:23 114:24 116:24 118:12 155:8 deal 11:23 86:12 90:16,18 104:5 dealers 155:21 dealing 94:3	131:23 deals 6:22 133:22 dealt 4:11 6:7,11 94:15,18 death 6:19 7:6 8:1 9:16,24 10:17,22 12:14,18,20 13:11 43:18 50:13,22 67:14 80:6,8 92:1,1 107:18,23 113:6 127:10 128:13 129:5,22 132:3 133:13,14 134:2 134:3,7,7 136:11 136:11 148:14 155:2 deaths 5:24 6:11 10:13 11:4,8,20 42:11 53:8 91:23 96:22 116:5 117:7 December 95:1 134:19 148:17 decided 37:11 decision 9:6,12 98:14 declined 91:1 defence 103:16 129:25 130:1,4 130:16 131:22,23 133:19 defendant 127:5 127:11,14,15 definite 144:18 definitely 61:3 63:25,25 107:24 130:1 138:6 deflect 128:14 degree 33:17 degrees 65:14 154:6 delete 42:5 76:22 deleted 77:9,11 123:17 deleting 76:25 78:4 123:14 deliberately 80:12 127:8	Denham 78:19 118:12 152:8 department 54:6 81:24 113:5 depend 6:8 depended 6:18 depends 137:6 153:25 deploy 5:21 6:16 depriving 156:6 Deptford 13:17 depth 17:25 deputy 3:17 4:13 4:14 5:11 describe 52:22 described 14:16 32:19 78:24 85:24 91:14 123:23 129:1 131:9 148:2 describing 40:3 51:18 140:9 description 60:10 designated 5:18 detail 18:19 20:3 20:24 29:23 39:5 40:19 47:17 53:3 61:21 67:23 74:23 90:14 91:16 94:24 96:23 101:11 104:8 105:14 106:1,12 119:21 122:20 123:11,16 123:21 128:3 130:21 131:2 148:3 151:13 153:15 detailed 6:5 13:2,3 104:11 108:15 131:24 detailing 6:2 details 7:10 15:18 16:5 17:21 31:21 50:1 82:15 104:6 108:5 119:25 120:1 137:17 151:21 detection 82:14	detective 2:11 3:10 3:11,12,12,15,20 3:24 4:1 10:2,16 10:21,24 11:9,12 144:3 determine 55:14 56:5 64:2 73:13 development 98:11 device 27:21 106:1 DI 10:25 11:18,21 11:25 12:4,8 24:3 28:16 39:2 44:25 66:23 67:12 88:22 93:21 138:24 156:3 dictate 132:11 dictated 132:12 die 79:25 114:16 died 17:23 18:21 25:15 32:23 33:10 37:25 43:7 43:9 49:9 69:9 70:15 79:15,17 127:6,14 153:16 Diego 54:8 144:5 difference 141:13 141:14 different 5:2 13:5 19:1 21:4 23:22 31:10 37:14 46:25 52:1 64:6 66:1,2,4 78:1 87:25 97:2 98:1 106:19 110:7 115:16 121:23 144:15 145:1 differently 56:12 101:19 difficult 90:16,18 difficulty 105:11 digital 93:14 dinner 109:12 directed 13:5 direction 71:11 Dirty 26:8,14 disappearance 137:15,25
---	---	---	--	---

disaster 4:9	documentation	9:2 43:13	73:13 74:13	143:4 145:1
discover 50:16	45:9 60:10	Duffield 11:21,24	99:19 138:1	entirely 2:13
67:23	documents 10:15	dug 118:6	146:22	117:14
discovered 11:8	13:3 18:6 19:19	Duthie 8:22	electronic 14:5	entries 23:4
13:15 19:14	46:6 98:18 99:6	duty 4:4 5:12	46:17	110:15,17 117:19
42:20 44:2,10	121:23 126:13	103:23	electrostatic 82:14	entry 21:17 23:16
48:15 49:4 50:22	doing 18:1 40:16		82:21	45:16 46:3 109:6
67:17 71:23	44:5 78:7 82:20	E	elements 33:3,4	152:3
79:11 127:22,23	111:10 122:6	E 1:3	elicited 124:2	equated 59:10
discuss 64:14	133:16 140:19	earlier 43:10 48:1	email 7:15,18,22	escalators 37:16
113:19 114:17	domain 119:21	48:10 77:3	8:3,11,12,16,19	ESDA 82:14 94:20
discussed 43:20	doodles 99:13	105:15 145:7	8:24,25 9:2,21,22	95:6 130:23
discussing 69:8	door 30:11 113:21	151:8 152:11,25	9:25 10:1,8 11:9	especially 141:3
118:13	doubt 8:8 15:8	earlies 5:25	14:17,22 15:4,8	essence 65:15
discussion 105:22	43:2,6 45:21	early 9:24 27:9,17	16:17 44:25 45:8	essentially 22:18
106:10,14 109:17	63:18 84:14 91:7	27:23 28:13	45:23 46:4	49:6 54:15 55:21
112:13,25 114:9	93:4 97:13 98:11	35:21,22 75:1	emailed 14:18	65:17 68:7 73:20
118:21	98:14 114:1	100:12,13 106:7	emanated 92:9	82:21 93:1
disprove 55:9	142:7,24 151:21	109:2 124:23	emerge 33:12	107:22
disturbed 140:17	downloaded 18:9	127:4 134:11	emerges 108:8	establish 123:1
ditch 132:23	19:22 105:10	140:2 143:17,19	empathise 107:17	144:7
DNA 64:7 65:2,8	dozens 140:15,15	152:14	107:19,20	established 54:4
65:11,12,14,18	140:16	earth 140:19	employer 45:10,19	95:25 106:2
65:19,19,21,23	Dr 43:16 79:14	east 5:8	employers 75:11	111:2,3
65:25 66:2,3,7,12	draft 15:7 90:4	Edwards 26:25	75:11	estimate 125:23
66:18 85:5,16	91:12	27:5 28:24 29:15	employment 46:2	et 102:21
86:16,21 87:1,2,7	drafted 14:17	29:18,20 30:4,7	empty 61:1	Euan 10:25
87:17,25 88:3,13	15:13 89:12	30:17 41:17,21	enabled 15:18	eureka 143:9
88:20 108:22	draw 40:17	49:3,11 77:22	enclosing 7:16	Eve 148:17
113:22 145:22	draws 91:25	126:8 127:5,8,13	11:12	evening 8:6 26:24
148:2,24 149:1,4	drop 24:7	129:4 137:22	encourage 115:7	29:18,21 41:23
149:8,12	dropped 132:25	138:16 139:3,18	ended 38:23	47:8 48:5,12,19
Docklands 71:12	dropping 23:3	140:9 141:19,22	enforcement 54:15	78:10,11,14 96:8
document 7:22	25:5	142:14,20,23	engage 90:22	147:21
10:19 13:5,8	drops 24:5	145:21 147:2,14	engaged 16:23	event 32:8,19
14:20 15:1,8	drug 7:13 78:12	147:20 150:4,5	English 120:20	74:15 101:7
20:11 22:4 23:22	80:4 123:23	150:10,19 151:7	enlarge 15:3	events 31:11 33:17
58:7,17,19,20,24	124:7,15,23	152:18	enquire 125:20	everybody 46:15
59:2,3,14,22	136:16 155:20	Edwards's 30:13	enquiries 4:12 7:5	53:17
61:10,14,18,22	drugged 80:1	139:11 151:13	17:12 25:9 46:22	eviction 97:21
62:7,11,16,18	drugging 53:14	152:12	49:23 50:3 54:2	101:9,11
63:7,11,24 95:8,9	drugs 34:15 35:20	effect 52:8 71:1,6	54:5,9,11 74:1	evidence 2:7,12,15
99:8,23 124:19	37:11 136:10	89:6 122:5 125:2	75:10 82:2 84:4	2:15 6:19 7:12
126:17,19 128:19	137:23 140:8,18	effective 84:7	113:16 122:24	9:10 12:11,12,23
129:15 131:16	141:7 150:16	effectively 42:7	143:1,15,17	13:12,15,25 14:8
133:18,18 139:6	155:6,12,16,22	60:21 61:1 68:13	144:4,7,12	17:21 26:24 27:5
140:7	155:25	68:17 82:17	155:15,20	29:10,15,17,20
documentary	DS 101:17,23	eight 154:3,5	enquiry 4:10	30:13,18,25 31:1
140:6 141:12,18	due 7:10 8:10,16	either 13:7 31:5	31:25 53:24	31:5,23 32:7
		56:6 64:2,2		

39:25 40:15,17 40:18 41:3,15,16 42:17,22 43:3,8 43:19,24 44:8,14 46:6 47:1,6 48:20 49:15 50:5 50:21,23 53:9,18 58:6,17,18 61:4,7 62:2 64:21 65:13 70:15 71:8 72:13 74:16 75:5,13,22 75:25 76:15 78:24 79:3,21,23 80:11 85:11 88:25 89:6 92:11 92:25 93:11,18 98:8 107:7 112:2 113:18 120:15 122:4 123:6 124:2,6,11,22 125:1 126:4,25 128:17 133:20 138:15 141:1 144:25 146:21 147:3,9,19,22 150:5,6,10 153:10,11 154:1	127:20 146:6 exchanged 49:3 69:1 107:9 exchanges 14:22 18:7 24:12 27:12 28:3,17 41:12,20 106:7 107:22 108:1,6 118:10 127:4 128:4 exchanging 69:24 excuse 86:17 88:11 execution 11:14 exercise 18:12 exhibit 107:14 exist 50:4 54:16,19 144:8 existed 54:15 expect 16:17 137:17 expecting 113:21 116:21 experience 65:24 experienced 11:25 expert 62:5,7,10 62:23 63:6 65:19 65:23 80:24 81:1 81:2,17,18,21 94:10 95:10,17 98:3,19,24 99:23 101:24 102:14,15 explain 11:3 53:2 53:4 65:21 114:23 132:7 133:6 explained 47:5 96:24 97:11 98:18 103:14 104:16 105:10 122:5,12 123:3 150:19,23 explains 3:7,21 4:1 4:5,16,22 105:5,8 explanation 65:22 74:4 102:25 130:7 132:19 explicable 82:16 explore 136:22 exploring 73:23 express 22:1 26:4	expressed 9:22 16:25 expressing 117:17 extent 12:22 140:21 153:7 extra 144:9 eye 82:9 eyes 20:18 <hr/> F <hr/> face 31:18 34:20 35:2 50:17 119:5 Facebook 15:23 16:22 52:17 54:13,13 55:11 55:12,14,16,23 56:4,25 73:9,18 123:7 129:2 fact 13:6 14:7,11 14:20 15:12 17:9 17:12,15 18:8 19:9,16 22:1,21 23:14 24:21 27:11 28:8,9 30:13 45:12 47:5 47:13 48:21 49:8 51:2 52:14,23,25 59:3,5 66:17 71:9 72:4 77:20 77:23 78:16 79:3 80:12,23 81:20 85:8 87:13 88:4 93:13,17 97:9 111:15 122:22 145:9 146:20 147:8,14 148:19 151:7 153:5 154:25 factors 6:18 40:18 44:4 facts 133:18 135:5 failed 123:1 fair 17:18 44:7 51:8 74:6,11 92:8,11 99:21 100:1 107:12,14 107:25 112:9 117:14 123:21 143:10,11 154:13 fairly 82:15 143:4	fairness 97:1 99:5 111:2 fakes 107:23 falls 3:2 false 109:7 131:11 familiar 24:4 154:10 families 93:25 family 17:2 39:6 far 17:11 25:17 28:10 39:24 43:24 46:6 48:10 51:6 59:14,22 61:13,25 75:5 86:13 87:12 91:16 140:18,18 143:22 146:4 fast 133:2 father 88:24 Faulkner 13:14 14:12,16 15:4,6 15:13 58:19 59:4 60:18 89:21 90:1 fear 38:6 feature 77:15 155:5 features 58:3 137:25 February 154:16 feeling 110:12 132:3 feelings 132:15 fell 133:2 felt 15:24 38:5 93:11 files 60:5,6 fill 133:12 filled 60:25 140:12 final 15:22 70:22 131:16 133:11 145:6 finalised 14:23 finally 91:20 118:10 120:25 121:8,22 124:18 134:13 148:2 find 16:6 19:21 22:5,12 28:12 29:8 31:1 50:4,7	51:8,10,19 74:22 93:14,17 117:8 147:18,22 finding 90:16 fine 27:18 73:2 106:17 116:12 128:25 finger 14:24 fingerprinting 83:21 fingerprints 61:21 fingers 16:9 finish 152:18 finished 132:15 finishing 41:6 firm 40:17 firmly 146:19 first 2:6 3:9,11 12:17 18:20 19:19 20:13 21:21 22:16 24:15 28:2,18 29:10,17 32:15 32:16 34:2 36:1 39:21 41:11 50:16 51:6,22 53:2 57:17 58:13 59:2,20,22 62:4 62:10 68:3 75:10 77:5 79:21 89:11 89:18 91:24 94:3 94:15 95:4,23 96:23 99:25 101:19 110:24 116:22 120:5 129:9,18 130:3 131:18 133:22 142:19 145:18 147:6 149:4 150:3 152:2 153:7,10 firstly 145:23 147:12 fit 65:15,18 95:3 Fitlads 68:6 70:7 76:2,12,17,20,21 76:25 77:4,7,8 78:4 123:17 fits 51:21
--	---	---	--	--

fitted 53:24	form 91:2 92:15 94:8	friend 29:22,24,25 30:8,14 76:4,10 92:20,21 128:25 145:18,20 147:3	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:12,14 12:10 17:21 28:15 31:22 33:15 37:13 40:19 49:20 65:22 73:11 95:17 98:3 115:10 117:2,5 120:14 121:15 144:19 155:18 given 16:17 21:17 33:15 35:13 44:3 44:14 59:8 97:3 97:5,9 123:6 125:1 139:21 140:6 144:25 gives 39:5 115:4 giving 39:10 89:6 92:24 111:12 119:11 go 10:5 15:1 16:8 16:10 18:7,18 20:2,17 22:5,7,15 22:21 23:22 24:21,21 25:18 26:10,11,22 29:3 29:24 31:2 35:22 36:11,19 37:21 38:13,14 40:6 45:4,13 46:3 47:19 49:12 53:18 55:3,7 58:18 59:2,4 64:6 81:20 85:19 91:20 94:18,22 95:9,23 97:5 98:2 100:19 101:17 103:13 105:7 106:3 107:12 112:23 123:20 128:3,10 128:17 129:14,20
five 5:15 21:16 134:5	forma 6:5 77:8	friended 16:21	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:12,14 12:10 17:21 28:15 31:22 33:15 37:13 40:19 49:20 65:22 73:11 95:17 98:3 115:10 117:2,5 120:14 121:15 144:19 155:18 given 16:17 21:17 33:15 35:13 44:3 44:14 59:8 97:3 97:5,9 123:6 125:1 139:21 140:6 144:25 gives 39:5 115:4 giving 39:10 89:6 92:24 111:12 119:11 go 10:5 15:1 16:8 16:10 18:7,18 20:2,17 22:5,7,15 22:21 23:22 24:21,21 25:18 26:10,11,22 29:3 29:24 31:2 35:22 36:11,19 37:21 38:13,14 40:6 45:4,13 46:3 47:19 49:12 53:18 55:3,7 58:18 59:2,4 64:6 81:20 85:19 91:20 94:18,22 95:9,23 97:5 98:2 100:19 101:17 103:13 105:7 106:3 107:12 112:23 123:20 128:3,10 128:17 129:14,20
flat 13:22 14:2 18:3,22 19:17 21:14 25:3,10 27:4 28:19 29:3 30:21 32:11 33:10,21 34:21 35:18 36:4,6,7 37:8,8 38:18 39:19 40:4 41:11 51:4 69:7 82:5 99:17 101:3 105:23 106:10,23 129:19 131:2 147:8,9,15	formed 37:18	friends 38:14 81:13	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:12,14 12:10 17:21 28:15 31:22 33:15 37:13 40:19 49:20 65:22 73:11 95:17 98:3 115:10 117:2,5 120:14 121:15 144:19 155:18 given 16:17 21:17 33:15 35:13 44:3 44:14 59:8 97:3 97:5,9 123:6 125:1 139:21 140:6 144:25 gives 39:5 115:4 giving 39:10 89:6 92:24 111:12 119:11 go 10:5 15:1 16:8 16:10 18:7,18 20:2,17 22:5,7,15 22:21 23:22 24:21,21 25:18 26:10,11,22 29:3 29:24 31:2 35:22 36:11,19 37:21 38:13,14 40:6 45:4,13 46:3 47:19 49:12 53:18 55:3,7 58:18 59:2,4 64:6 81:20 85:19 91:20 94:18,22 95:9,23 97:5 98:2 100:19 101:17 103:13 105:7 106:3 107:12 112:23 123:20 128:3,10 128:17 129:14,20
flatmate 27:3 30:5 41:22	forwarded 10:1	friendship 14:3	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:12,14 12:10 17:21 28:15 31:22 33:15 37:13 40:19 49:20 65:22 73:11 95:17 98:3 115:10 117:2,5 120:14 121:15 144:19 155:18 given 16:17 21:17 33:15 35:13 44:3 44:14 59:8 97:3 97:5,9 123:6 125:1 139:21 140:6 144:25 gives 39:5 115:4 giving 39:10 89:6 92:24 111:12 119:11 go 10:5 15:1 16:8 16:10 18:7,18 20:2,17 22:5,7,15 22:21 23:22 24:21,21 25:18 26:10,11,22 29:3 29:24 31:2 35:22 36:11,19 37:21 38:13,14 40:6 45:4,13 46:3 47:19 49:12 53:18 55:3,7 58:18 59:2,4 64:6 81:20 85:19 91:20 94:18,22 95:9,23 97:5 98:2 100:19 101:17 103:13 105:7 106:3 107:12 112:23 123:20 128:3,10 128:17 129:14,20
flatmates 110:1,5	forwarding 10:8	fruits 18:11	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:12,14 12:10 17:21 28:15 31:22 33:15 37:13 40:19 49:20 65:22 73:11 95:17 98:3 115:10 117:2,5 120:14 121:15 144:19 155:18 given 16:17 21:17 33:15 35:13 44:3 44:14 59:8 97:3 97:5,9 123:6 125:1 139:21 140:6 144:25 gives 39:5 115:4 giving 39:10 89:6 92:24 111:12 119:11 go 10:5 15:1 16:8 16:10 18:7,18 20:2,17 22:5,7,15 22:21 23:22 24:21,21 25:18 26:10,11,22 29:3 29:24 31:2 35:22 36:11,19 37:21 38:13,14 40:6 45:4,13 46:3 47:19 49:12 53:18 55:3,7 58:18 59:2,4 64:6 81:20 85:19 91:20 94:18,22 95:9,23 97:5 98:2 100:19 101:17 103:13 105:7 106:3 107:12 112:23 123:20 128:3,10 128:17 129:14,20
flipside 94:19	found 7:2,19 19:7 34:15 43:8,10 49:23 50:5 51:19 51:20 57:15,18 58:2,7 59:8,22 60:12,16 61:5,7 61:10,13,14 62:8 64:23 65:5,9 68:2 69:5,7 70:18 71:18,22 78:18,19 81:3 84:1,6,23 86:14 86:16 87:23 92:13 101:2,6,8 102:1 110:18 111:7 112:1 118:12,16 124:20 129:21 131:1,1 131:11 134:15 139:13 155:12,19	fulfilled 4:7	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:12,14 12:10 17:21 28:15 31:22 33:15 37:13 40:19 49:20 65:22 73:11 95:17 98:3 115:10 117:2,5 120:14 121:15 144:19 155:18 given 16:17 21:17 33:15 35:13 44:3 44:14 59:8 97:3 97:5,9 123:6 125:1 139:21 140:6 144:25 gives 39:5 115:4 giving 39:10 89:6 92:24 111:12 119:11 go 10:5 15:1 16:8 16:10 18:7,18 20:2,17 22:5,7,15 22:21 23:22 24:21,21 25:18 26:10,11,22 29:3 29:24 31:2 35:22 36:11,19 37:21 38:13,14 40:6 45:4,13 46:3 47:19 49:12 53:18 55:3,7 58:18 59:2,4 64:6 81:20 85:19 91:20 94:18,22 95:9,23 97:5 98:2 100:19 101:17 103:13 105:7 106:3 107:12 112:23 123:20 128:3,10 128:17 129:14,20
fluids 66:6	forwarded 10:1	full 41:11 57:25 60:21 86:22 93:3 140:13	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:12,14 12:10 17:21 28:15 31:22 33:15 37:13 40:19 49:20 65:22 73:11 95:17 98:3 115:10 117:2,5 120:14 121:15 144:19 155:18 given 16:17 21:17 33:15 35:13 44:3 44:14 59:8 97:3 97:5,9 123:6 125:1 139:21 140:6 144:25 gives 39:5 115:4 giving 39:10 89:6 92:24 111:12 119:11 go 10:5 15:1 16:8 16:10 18:7,18 20:2,17 22:5,7,15 22:21 23:22 24:21,21 25:18 26:10,11,22 29:3 29:24 31:2 35:22 36:11,19 37:21 38:13,14 40:6 45:4,13 46:3 47:19 49:12 53:18 55:3,7 58:18 59:2,4 64:6 81:20 85:19 91:20 94:18,22 95:9,23 97:5 98:2 100:19 101:17 103:13 105:7 106:3 107:12 112:23 123:20 128:3,10 128:17 129:14,20
focus 51:12	forwarding 10:8	fully 57:13	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:12,14 12:10 17:21 28:15 31:22 33:15 37:13 40:19 49:20 65:22 73:11 95:17 98:3 115:10 117:2,5 120:14 121:15 144:19 155:18 given 16:17 21:17 33:15 35:13 44:3 44:14 59:8 97:3 97:5,9 123:6 125:1 139:21 140:6 144:25 gives 39:5 115:4 giving 39:10 89:6 92:24 111:12 119:11 go 10:5 15:1 16:8 16:10 18:7,18 20:2,17 22:5,7,15 22:21 23:22 24:21,21 25:18 26:10,11,22 29:3 29:24 31:2 35:22 36:11,19 37:21 38:13,14 40:6 45:4,13 46:3 47:19 49:12 53:18 55:3,7 58:18 59:2,4 64:6 81:20 85:19 91:20 94:18,22 95:9,23 97:5 98:2 100:19 101:17 103:13 105:7 106:3 107:12 112:23 123:20 128:3,10 128:17 129:14,20
focusing 43:25 79:24	found 7:2,19 19:7 34:15 43:8,10 49:23 50:5 51:19 51:20 57:15,18 58:2,7 59:8,22 60:12,16 61:5,7 61:10,13,14 62:8 64:23 65:5,9 68:2 69:5,7 70:18 71:18,22 78:18,19 81:3 84:1,6,23 86:14 86:16 87:23 92:13 101:2,6,8 102:1 110:18 111:7 112:1 118:12,16 124:20 129:21 131:1,1 131:11 134:15 139:13 155:12,19	future 9:6 141:1	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:12,14 12:10 17:21 28:15 31:22 33:15 37:13 40:19 49:20 65:22 73:11 95:17 98:3 115:10 117:2,5 120:14 121:15 144:19 155:18 given 16:17 21:17 33:15 35:13 44:3 44:14 59:8 97:3 97:5,9 123:6 125:1 139:21 140:6 144:25 gives 39:5 115:4 giving 39:10 89:6 92:24 111:12 119:11 go 10:5 15:1 16:8 16:10 18:7,18 20:2,17 22:5,7,15 22:21 23:22 24:21,21 25:18 26:10,11,22 29:3 29:24 31:2 35:22 36:11,19 37:21 38:13,14 40:6 45:4,13 46:3 47:19 49:12 53:18 55:3,7 58:18 59:2,4 64:6 81:20 85:19 91:20 94:18,22 95:9,23 97:5 98:2 100:19 101:17 103:13 105:7 106:3 107:12 112:23 123:20 128:3,10 128:17 129:14,20
follow 119:14 149:8,11	four 3:24 5:15 9:22 11:4,8,20 21:16 32:2 37:17 53:9 79:24 124:21 125:17 143:5 153:16	fuzz 128:23	63:12,16,25 64:7 64:9,22 65:5 66:24 69:6,9 72:1,6 78:23 80:8,13 81:2 85:8 91:22 92:12 92:22 98:17 99:12,16,18 104:12 105:16 106:20,23 109:8 114:16,25 115:5 116:2 119:3 121:4,8 124:6 127:5,9,12,13,23 128:14 129:9,10 129:17 130:3,6 130:12,17 131:8 132:3,24 133:25 137:16 138:19 146:1,4,18,20 147:6,15,20 150:8 152:19,23 154:11	8:17 90:15 generate 138:8 generated 70:24 gentleman 103:24 getting 96:16 132:15 145:6 GHB 86:14 155:6 girls 30:22 give 2:1

130:11 134:17 135:21 137:6,10 137:12 138:1 139:2,25 140:4,6 140:23 142:11 143:1 146:7 151:25 goes 15:21 75:1 78:10 101:16 127:23 137:2 going 2:16,22 12:16 13:10,16 18:5 19:9,13 20:2,8 21:22,24 22:2 26:12,12,22 27:13 29:13,18 31:14,21 32:12 33:13,24 34:2,11 36:10,13,13,19 36:23 38:12,24 39:21 40:6,22 45:23 46:1 50:11 57:1 59:19 66:24 66:24 67:12,25 70:16 71:10,21 72:24 74:16 75:3 75:18 76:3,4,22 78:3,22 79:4,19 80:5 85:5,19 87:16 88:19,21 90:14 91:15 93:16 102:13 103:18,25 106:16 107:1 114:2 117:5 118:4 124:18 125:21 133:14 151:5 152:10 153:14 good 2:4,6,14 11:1 93:23 127:14 156:8 Google 23:16 24:4 24:7 106:6 graphology 81:24 graveyard 57:18 78:20 111:20 great 144:7 greater 143:22 greatly 91:5	grew 53:15,15 grief 107:23 Grindr 147:21 groundwork 128:13 growing 32:4 39:6 guessing 100:1 guilt 118:25 guilty 135:12 gun 3:22 guy 35:22 114:24 121:9 guys 121:17	150:18 happening 36:21 happy 90:11,12,20 90:25 91:15 149:21 hard 40:17 46:17 harm 103:16 harvested 46:13 46:16 HAT 5:22 6:2,12 6:12 7:7,11,25 head 8:22 133:3 141:25 heading 75:23 hear 2:7 36:24,25 50:21 62:1,2,23 65:13 heard 13:11,12,21 17:3 26:24 28:24 39:12 40:24 41:15 42:18 44:7 44:8,12 49:11 52:2,5,9 53:14 61:5 64:20 66:9 71:4,25 73:8,23 75:1 78:16 84:1 84:25 85:11,23 86:23 92:6 93:3 120:15 126:4 137:22 138:15 148:19 154:17 155:22 hearing 133:14 held 46:14 50:3 55:15 125:15 Helix 54:8 help 43:21 72:6 100:10 117:8 126:12 helped 133:3 helpful 16:6,14 83:24 helps 67:16 Hendon 5:2,9 11:22 hens 30:22 Hertford 5:9,10 10:3,4,25 Hey 41:21 109:23	highest 6:6 Hill 1:10,19 93:22 93:23 122:12 125:17 126:3 136:20 153:13 154:19 historic 125:10 history 104:11 hit 133:3 holding 62:8 84:2 hole-punched 84:8 holidays 45:3,24 HOLMES 143:18 144:5 home 36:1 41:9 47:7 48:5,17,19 48:19 55:19 101:8 105:5 homicide 3:4,19 3:25 4:14,15,22 4:24 5:6,16,21 6:13,14,16,20 7:13 8:22 9:10 9:16 46:14 hooded 86:4 87:14 131:5,7 hoody 128:1,5 hook 49:22 hope 23:23 118:1,4 120:11 123:12 149:17 156:7 hopefully 120:9 hoping 112:15 horrible 118:7 hour 42:23 hours 5:19 8:20 10:1 11:11 27:6 27:23 35:21 37:4 37:17 78:12 144:1 hours' 144:16 house 5:9,10 10:3 10:4,25 13:17 37:25 56:3 57:1 76:8 83:9,16 housing 97:21 HR 45:21 54:6 75:15 huge 20:3 66:10	140:17,18 hugely 53:15 human 45:21 82:9 143:22 hunger 149:18 hurt 119:1,2 hurting 112:17 hush 121:18,18 hypothesis 152:10 hypothetical 151:6
I				
				idea 107:1 151:6 identical 66:1 identification 4:10 identified 52:24 59:12 149:14 155:20 identify 55:11 56:13 72:1 87:5 identifying 43:17 53:22,23 58:2 identity 122:6 ii 99:5 IIP 139:8 Ilford 130:17 ill 37:10 imagine 120:6 150:18 immediately 16:22 implicate 80:7 implicating 128:13 implication 19:6 important 99:22 impression 79:22 120:22 impressions 18:2 imprisoned 38:17 incident 148:16 154:14 incidents 32:6 150:10,13 151:11 151:11 include 154:1 included 4:9 15:22 148:4 including 6:18 8:21 52:10 138:25 139:9 150:13

inconclusive 7:6	inside 25:10 141:7	investigating 8:14	IPC685 124:4	107:6,9 108:9
incredible 38:6	insight 120:15	32:2	126:15 129:15	114:10 115:19
independent 10:8	143:14,24 144:9	investigation 3:19	130:11	119:16,24 122:6
10:9	inspector 3:14,15	4:2 5:1,3 6:7,15	IPC738 19:24	122:23 123:7
indicate 9:10 19:9	3:18 11:13	8:13,15 9:5,7,13	24:21 106:2,3	143:1,4,9,12,15
43:8 149:1	instructions 59:5	9:18,24 10:10,23	145:24	143:17 144:2,16
indicated 18:23	integrated 139:8	11:17 12:13	IPC753 7:20	Jones 10:3
105:16	intel 139:7	43:15 50:16 51:6	IPC758 15:1	journey 71:11
indicates 124:7	intelligence 138:5	53:17 92:5	IPC821 98:20	72:15
indicating 11:13	138:25 139:8	108:10 112:21	IPC86 124:12	July 13:18 135:1,1
22:25 87:1	intended 89:23	138:23 148:14	IPC941 95:24 97:8	135:22 142:16
indication 9:16	intention 44:9	investigations 3:6	IPC954 34:1	jump 131:21
32:16 88:12,18	80:13	3:17 5:4,7 6:14	ISS 45:18	June 6:25 7:16,17
indicative 146:15	intercepted 97:13	6:17,23 10:13,15	issue 19:4 47:15	8:7,21 10:1
indictment 134:6	interest 6:2 55:5	11:4,7,20 12:1	95:6 100:14	32:23 135:10,13
134:17 135:25	59:13 89:5	28:9 43:2 46:12	121:4 122:22	135:16,21 136:17
153:13,20 154:4	interim 43:9	61:17 113:5	123:11,21 145:6	142:16 148:11
154:5	internal 95:9 97:5	investigator 11:1	148:2	jury 2:3,4,10,22
individual 6:9	98:2 100:20	investigators	issues 11:23	7:18,20 8:25 9:1
68:10 69:25	101:17,18 104:14	85:13 155:11	122:14 145:17	12:11 13:11 14:9
individuals 79:25	104:15 106:13	invited 27:2 147:7	italics 126:24,24	14:10,15 19:23
153:16,19	107:7 109:20,20	inviting 30:4	item 58:4 66:17,18	26:23 30:3,17,21
infer 21:21 87:4	110:16,19 112:23	involved 11:6	items 26:21	32:13 41:16,20
info 116:15	116:19 117:20	46:15,16 64:22		42:9 43:21 44:7
informal 99:22	118:2 120:17,25	115:20 117:6	J	44:12,23,24 45:4
informally 149:20	122:21 123:17	134:18 155:25	j 127:3	47:2 49:2,11
information 16:2	124:4,12,19,21	involvement 17:7	Jack 10:18,22	52:2 57:17 58:5
17:25 32:5 44:15	125:6 126:16,19	32:18 49:25	133:14 134:1,8	58:10,12 59:5
79:15 105:2	128:3,10 129:15	129:5,21	136:7,12 155:1	60:12 64:20 67:5
106:5 111:12	130:5,11,22,25	involving 144:21	Jack's 134:2	67:10 70:19
112:20 115:7	131:17,22 132:9	150:14 154:11,14	154:12	78:16 80:19
136:25 137:4,21	133:17 134:14,17	IO 11:21	January 50:1	88:24 94:7 95:15
138:1,5,16 139:2	135:4,21	IP 55:19,24 56:2,5	125:8,14 128:19	96:2,24 104:19
139:12 150:23	internet 55:17,22	IPC000941 94:7	134:21,24 135:7	122:21 125:25
152:25 155:19	123:3	IPC000942 94:24	job 33:19,23 34:17	126:10 130:13
informed 9:4 10:7	interruption 69:12	IPC263 44:23	34:25 39:14	132:17 133:9,11
76:20	interview 97:6,8	IPC270 58:11	John 1:5,14 2:8,21	134:14 146:23
inhibited 15:24	100:16,18 103:14	IPC294 11:10	2:23 3:2 13:12	148:19 150:5
initial 7:5,7,25	104:3	IPC324 14:10	14:2,17 16:4	151:23 153:6
67:18,21 68:21	interviewed 96:9,9	16:10	18:22 49:15 50:5	156:6
initially 15:13 49:6	96:13 100:7	IPC33 45:15	52:10,10 89:21	justice 10:12 38:12
53:6,10	103:9 129:8,12	138:24 151:24	90:5 152:7	81:11 136:18
initiated 30:4	129:17 131:18	IPC412 104:15	joined 3:8	Juxtaposing 140:5
52:16	interviews 103:9	IPC533 148:9	Jon 52:2,5,10,15	
innocent 102:24	129:14	IPC591 96:13	52:16,18,25	K
INQ8 140:5	introduction 32:14	103:13	53:25 54:3,4,6,12	Kamgdom 14:4,4
inquests 147:4	investigate 13:25	IPC592 96:2	54:13,16,18,22	14:8 17:9,13,21
156:12	29:22 53:8	100:19	54:25 55:5,9,25	20:5,8 22:11
inquisitive 108:9	investigated 49:18	IPC631 23:23	56:6,9,21 57:3,5	23:19 24:5 25:2
			57:7,8,12 92:4,6	25:13,22 26:3

40:10 41:12 104:6,10 105:1 145:19,23 Kamgdom's 18:8 25:19 105:15 106:1,5 Karl 14:4,8 17:5,8 17:9,13,15,21 18:8,16,23 20:5,8 21:18 22:1,11,15 23:19 24:5,12,15 24:23 25:2,6,13 25:19,22 26:3,13 27:11,12,16,21 28:1,3,6,18 40:10 41:12 43:12 104:6,10 145:19 146:1 Karl's 106:5 keep 10:6 14:24 116:21 kept 9:8 key 124:1 kill 119:1 killed 80:12 118:24 127:12 130:17 killings 128:15 kind 106:19 146:14 Kirk 7:15 8:3,11 kiss 142:23 kitchen 73:24 74:1 Klein 60:22 129:10 knees 133:2 knew 51:9 81:14 93:5 114:25 116:4 118:20 127:9,11,13 130:3,8 137:22 151:22 know 17:1 21:13 32:23 33:3 34:17 36:5,11,21 38:10 42:17 44:17 52:18 68:3 69:7 71:17 74:1,8 80:5 93:23 100:8	100:21 111:6,19 111:25 112:15,24 114:2,25,25 116:4,15 117:5,7 117:10,23 119:1 119:4,25 120:4 121:17,22 124:11 125:14 128:23 130:13 131:25 134:15 139:14 140:19 143:15,21 153:15 155:16 156:8 knowing 119:2 knowledge 65:24 known 5:8,22 27:20 38:2 81:9 81:22 82:14 114:4 131:19 knows 33:22 108:10 Kovari 10:13 17:23 29:14 31:6 49:16 51:3 66:24 81:2 91:22 98:17 124:6 129:9 131:12 137:16 138:8,11,12 139:2,9 152:7,23 Kovari's 12:18 13:11	laughs 26:15 law 54:15 lay 114:7 121:23 128:12 layman's 82:16 lays 109:7 leading 29:6 73:2 leave 24:1 36:17 50:10 74:9 75:17 95:8 98:16 102:9 leaves 87:21 121:19 leaving 75:22 79:3 led 11:21 41:23 57:6 left 13:22 30:2 36:2,22 49:7 71:9,15 91:12 99:17 101:6,25 128:25 141:9 146:4 148:23 left-hand 20:10 23:5 legs 84:23 lengthy 31:14 lens 28:17 let's 13:20 14:7,8 19:19 22:5 24:1 24:21 26:21 47:18 51:25 64:6 76:23 80:17 86:12 129:13 151:23 letter 58:21 97:17 97:17 101:2,5,6,6 101:7,9,11,15,25 102:9,18 103:11 letters 60:2,5 81:12,13 97:12 level 6:6 87:19 88:7 library 55:18 lie 127:15 lied 38:22 127:8 130:12 lies 110:11 129:4 129:23 131:18 life 39:7 40:22 72:23 110:8	120:22 lifting 133:3 light 30:20 39:25 44:14 128:1 131:6 liked 38:9 likewise 138:13 Lilford 12:13,23 19:21 28:10 30:24 32:1 43:2 43:13,20 44:16 46:9 47:10 49:17 50:15 52:23 53:6 53:7,15 54:2 57:23 59:23 61:16 78:24 79:16,23 80:24 83:17 84:11 85:15 88:23 89:19 92:18 93:17 94:6 98:21 103:8 122:18 124:2 139:22 143:12 146:14 147:13,18 149:13 155:10 limited 79:3 line 8:23 22:9,16 22:16,22 25:21 47:21 70:2,8 108:16,16 109:6 109:19,21 110:19 111:17 112:14,24 114:11 116:8,20 116:25 117:20,21 118:22 120:17,21 120:25 121:7,14 124:21 146:3,7 146:10 lines 16:20 21:16 26:13 106:4 114:17 124:21 link 11:7 53:4 113:14 131:11 linked 11:5 12:23 96:22 linking 91:21 liquid 140:13,16 liquidated 49:24	50:6 liquidation 125:6 125:8 list 2:11 145:1 lists 133:25 Lithuanian 33:9 38:1 little 10:9,12 24:4 26:3 58:16 62:1 65:13 67:22 73:23 77:22 88:16 89:17,20 live 13:16 118:25 119:2 lives 12:24 63:3 living 24:25 26:4 41:11 49:7 134:2 141:6 153:17,19 154:13 155:1,8 local 5:6 6:8,16,17 6:20 8:6 9:8,17 11:14 46:14 54:10 locally 6:21 54:5 location 7:1 130:13 locations 5:2,3 lock 117:10 log 50:1 55:12,15 55:17,24 56:3,25 logged 56:1 logging 55:11 London 3:22 4:24 5:6,8,14 long 38:10 125:20 129:14 130:7 131:24 141:9 143:12 longer 38:3 39:2 50:6 53:24 54:14 look 13:8 14:7,8 18:11,18 19:12 19:19 20:7,10,24 21:16 22:8,16 23:4,10 25:20 26:21 28:19 29:3 34:21 44:22 47:18 51:3 68:15 80:5 82:25 97:1
	L			
	l 128:4 lab 65:6 81:23 lack 85:9 lady 31:15 45:21 121:15 landed 24:8 152:14 landlord 106:16 language 56:11,12 146:15 laptop 56:8 78:9 109:11 Lastly 145:22 late 23:7,14 24:10 40:12 41:5 54:14 71:7 123:8 lates 5:25			

97:8 99:1 101:19 101:23,24 102:14 108:15 110:19 111:15 112:24 114:11 118:22 120:17 124:3,14 124:20 131:4 134:16 146:3 148:9 151:2,3,23 looked 13:13 14:20 31:1,3 37:10,14 47:18 66:3 81:1 82:7,9 89:7 95:5 99:8 106:11 108:12 120:9 122:14 141:7,9 143:25 153:14 154:9 looking 15:25 18:21,25 19:5 20:3 21:4 26:1 27:9 28:3,15 32:7 40:8,9,14 44:18 46:4,18 49:1 58:20 64:25 67:15 70:1 72:4 75:7,20 76:13 77:10 80:17 82:4 124:15,23 142:14 144:2 looks 77:18 85:1 97:22 99:4,11 loosely 60:8 lot 55:4 57:6 78:16 85:11 96:22 107:13 119:3 126:4 145:3,3 lots 106:10 loud 93:9,10 lounge 25:11 lower 26:3 Luck 52:2,5,10,15 52:16,18,25 53:25 54:3,4,6,12 54:16,18,22 55:5 55:9,25 56:6,9,21 57:3,5,7,8,12 92:4,6 107:6,9 108:9 109:7	114:10 115:19 119:6,16,24 122:23 123:7 127:6 143:1,4,9 143:12,15,17 144:2,16 luck' 36:24 Luck's 54:13,25 122:6 Luck/Port 114:13 116:3 Luke 11:21 lunch 37:12 141:4 145:16 156:6 <hr/> M <hr/> m 127:18 madam 2:6,20 12:4 67:2,11 125:17 149:17 156:4 Magistrates' 53:12 main 53:7 59:15 62:18 63:11,24 156:2 major 3:18 4:2 5:1 5:3 making 21:3 36:13 37:2 47:24 48:17 122:17 male 54:7 76:11 127:21 129:19 males 17:4,7 man 14:3 26:8,14 33:9 144:1 manage 149:18 managed 49:21 manager 8:23 10:3 manipulative 129:3 map 23:17 24:3,6 25:13 106:6 Maps 24:4,7 March 37:23 38:16 98:22 135:7,9,12,14,15 136:16 mark 1:6,15 12:5 12:6 41:9 marks 11:21,25	58:2 massive 140:11 mast 72:20 73:4,7 73:11,22 74:5,12 74:22 match 131:8 matched 131:1 mate 115:22 material 17:25 19:21 43:14 46:7 46:11,14,16,18 46:19,20 54:20 60:16 72:23 82:21 91:25,25 107:13 124:3 135:5 143:23 144:2 152:14 matter 6:9 9:8,11 9:18 17:11 48:11 66:6 88:22 93:12 100:23 139:10 155:25 matters 12:13 32:6 53:11 89:22 102:16 142:10 153:4 McCarthy 7:17 McDonald's 151:9 McKeeve 10:25 11:13 McKeeve's 11:18 mean 38:21 41:10 84:11 means 8:4 56:6 66:12 68:4 70:11 117:1 156:7 Medal 4:20 media 50:10 125:2 143:13 medical 7:4 meet 30:5 76:4 114:5 meeting 13:16 30:4,14 41:16,23 76:6 80:3 109:17 147:19 member 136:25 137:14,18,20,21 members 2:4,10	2:22 7:18 8:24 30:21 67:5 125:25 156:6 memorable 39:13 memories 150:7 memory 39:6,10 39:12 47:5 92:21 153:23 men 93:19 134:5 136:10 mention 29:20 86:23 110:20 116:24 147:14 mentioned 17:2 29:15 33:12 78:4 80:23 85:8 87:12 110:25 114:20 138:12 152:19,21 mentioning 15:25 mentions 48:16 111:5 mephedrone 155:22 Merlin 137:8,9,17 138:1 139:11 message 27:17,21 28:6,10 38:3,4,5 40:11 42:3,4,13 76:20 106:22 107:2 111:16 127:5 messaged 76:2,10 77:25 messages 14:5,5 15:17 18:14,15 18:15,19,23 20:4 20:8,13,19,21,25 24:23 25:19 26:12 27:11,15 28:13 31:7 40:10 42:2 43:11 47:25 48:4,16 50:8 54:22,23 55:2 56:18 69:19,19 69:24,25 73:18 105:25 107:10 113:22 119:5 120:7 126:5,8,20 128:20 131:9	145:25 146:20 messaging 16:23 29:9 30:10 31:6 50:11,19 56:25 73:9 76:9 112:6 142:13,22 143:13 messed 118:5 met 27:4 29:10 30:1 101:9 137:5 147:6 150:7 meth 155:22 methodology 96:25 Metropolitan 3:3 3:8 6:6 Michael 8:22 mid 26:18 42:15 42:16 75:23 143:14,16 middle 13:21 45:1 100:8 140:10 midnight 111:17 million 65:9 66:13 86:18 mind 16:8 33:20 39:12 55:5 80:20 124:24 minds 61:4 72:12 minimum 94:12 94:13 minute 18:19 20:17 119:17 122:15 minutes 114:18 125:18,24 126:1 misinformation 128:12 missed 22:21 missing 70:24 137:2,7,7,8,9,13 138:19 mistake 31:18 34:4,5 misunderstanding 63:17 MIT 5:8,21 6:8,11 6:15 9:7 10:2 11:13,19,22,22 MITs 5:1 6:21
--	---	--	---	--

<p>mixed 87:1 MO 76:6 79:22 mobile 14:6 35:1 70:5 73:10 76:22 mode 73:14 74:13 moment 14:21 18:5,11 20:7 23:25 24:2 26:23 28:15 31:22 33:13 34:19 51:12 59:20 100:22 143:9 151:5 Monday 5:18 15:23 16:21 20:14,25 21:10 26:22 27:17,23 28:13,20 30:18 34:20,22 35:2 39:22 44:1 51:2 67:22 money 105:17 month 13:18 21:1 months 143:23 morning 2:4,6,7 8:13,20 12:16 27:10,17,23 28:13 35:21 42:18,19 43:20 47:23 48:14,15 69:8 70:19 73:5 73:6,21 74:20,24 75:2 76:25 78:4 78:17,20 79:12 93:23 111:6 mortem 7:6 64:10 64:11,19 85:9,11 move 14:25 18:21 22:25 31:10 46:25 51:25 57:14 58:4 66:25 67:12 80:17 104:6 moved 3:24 13:22 19:14 20:14 23:11,13 28:22 38:20,21,22,25 41:5 69:6 133:4 movements 14:1</p>	<p>29:13 40:7 43:24 70:14,21 72:14 75:8 78:25 79:1 moves 127:18 moving 3:4 4:21 9:23 14:2 22:23 29:6 41:8 48:25 70:14 105:23 MPS 125:6 MPS18 130:5 131:22 MPS247 133:17 135:4 MPS523 139:20 MPS527 47:19 MPS544 9:1 MPS561 108:13 MPS573 107:7 122:21 142:10 MPS659 134:14 135:21 Multiple 144:15 mun's 36:10,12 36:15 37:24 murder 3:16 5:6 111:22 murdered 93:25 murders 6:10 32:3 53:9 143:6 mystery 13:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <hr/> <p>N 1:3 name 31:19 33:8 50:2,2 89:3 93:2 114:19 125:12 137:12,13 138:8 138:11 148:23 151:14,16,18,19 152:7,12,13,13 152:22 named 31:15 37:25 names 129:9,18 153:20 Narrator 141:20 narrow 43:21 79:14 narrowing 79:17 narrowly 137:9</p>	<p>nasty 118:18 national 33:9 natural 112:15 nature 31:8 74:16 120:16 154:7 near 129:20 nearly 37:7 107:10 neater 99:18 102:8 necessarily 94:24 necessary 5:10 104:9 neck 86:6,8,9 88:1 88:5 need 13:4 16:16,19 37:6 46:3 53:18 80:19 82:15 91:5 94:18,22 97:7 104:8 105:7,14 108:1,3 123:20 156:7 needed 36:11 53:23,24 62:24 90:23 neighbour 41:22 42:6 never 38:5 56:22 73:6,22 79:7 91:4,4 109:9,11 129:20 new 25:23 27:3 30:5 33:19,22 34:17,25 41:22 42:5,8 113:18 142:17 148:17 news 105:2 118:11 156:8 nice 27:11 146:11 night 23:7,8,14 24:10,15 27:6 40:12,12 41:1,5 41:16 57:4 111:19 112:2 116:21 130:15 147:6 nights 5:25 36:7 40:2 nine 143:23 nods 149:19 normal 9:9,14</p>	<p>10:6 48:2 71:2,9 120:22,23 nose 37:10 nostrils 37:11 note 8:2 9:22 56:17 58:6 59:10 61:5 62:2,8 72:2 79:20 80:17 81:2 81:3,16,19,22,25 82:2,8 83:10,12 83:22 84:1,10,15 92:2 94:16 95:4 95:12 96:21 97:9 98:5 99:1,9 100:6,15 119:7 119:11,18,21 120:10 126:17 130:21 131:1,14 132:13,16,25 133:1 noted 2:10 9:9 23:3 notepad 130:24 notepaper 83:9 notes 60:7 notice 139:1 noticed 54:21 141:6 November 1:1 105:6 156:13 number 4:7,8,12 4:17 7:8 8:21 11:10 13:3 35:5 42:5,8,8,10 66:9 66:10,15,15 70:5 76:23 77:24 78:1 81:12 84:6,7 85:18 115:4 117:2,5 121:15 128:21 137:24 142:10,17 144:6 144:19,21 153:17 154:3 numbers 22:8 55:20 77:15 108:16 132:24 146:3 numerous 64:12 108:4 155:20</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>O'Connell 45:7 70:20 71:8 O'Connell's 74:8 75:22 O'Connor 1:7,9,13 1:16,18,22 12:4,7 12:8 34:11 39:1 39:2 67:11 75:1 83:18 93:21 122:4 144:25 147:5,22 149:17 149:20 150:1,2 156:3 oath 12:8 observations 48:7 obtain 13:25 43:3 44:10,17,20 53:8 92:18 obtained 30:24 31:12 44:20 46:7 46:11 50:23 72:9 72:13 79:16,23 80:11 87:1 94:6 94:9 97:11 99:21 155:16 obtaining 45:9 obvious 6:10 28:25 145:2 obviously 17:11 32:1 39:13 41:7 53:7 61:14 89:12 103:4 106:11 113:5 140:17 occasion 12:11 141:23 150:16 153:10 occasions 77:14 occurred 136:10 October 11:12 33:16 39:11 44:17 46:3,5,9 49:25 53:21 83:14,15 94:15 96:1,7,8,13 100:8 104:2,17,24 122:7,10,18 124:23 129:8 138:17,24 139:7</p>
---	---	--	--	---

139:21 143:16 147:4 OCU 4:13,15,25 5:11 odd 109:6 118:14 118:16 offence 136:17 offences 32:3 53:13,14 134:6 offer 6:15 offered 38:14 offering 128:7 officer 3:10 8:14 75:15 89:25 91:13 120:8 137:2 officers 5:23 6:1,3 6:8,25 7:8,12 8:19,21 9:7,8,12 9:17 11:11,14,25 12:2 44:9 52:11 54:2 55:10 84:16 88:23 89:14,19 105:5 144:21 official 60:4 offline 76:3 78:10 okay 22:19 35:6 36:18 61:25 64:3 89:8 90:6 94:17 94:21 116:15 old 26:8,14 42:7 on-call 4:3 5:12 once 47:2 108:6 138:7 141:6 144:9 ones 88:15 online 15:23 16:23 78:12 80:3 ons 55:15 56:3 onwards 148:5 open 60:20 opened 60:18 opening 126:17 operated 5:16 96:25 operation 3:22 4:25 12:13,23 19:21 28:10 32:1 43:2,13,20 44:16	46:9 47:10 49:17 50:15 52:23 53:6 53:7,15 54:2 57:23 59:23 61:16 78:24 79:16,23 80:24 85:15 88:23 89:19 92:18 93:17 146:14 147:13,18 opinion 37:18 opportunity 102:23 oral 2:15 12:10 order 34:16 62:22 125:24 orgy 112:19 114:6 orient 148:13 orientate 94:7 96:3 104:19 original 2:11 43:15 83:11 143:15 other's 69:2 out-of-UK 115:11 outline 4:21 49:20 outlined 4:6 outside 7:1,3 overdose 7:13 overlaid 56:2 overlap 51:23 55:4 57:6 overlapped 55:1 56:24,25 57:5 overnight 36:4 overran 33:11 overview 31:22 32:4 ownership 8:15	22:22 23:3 24:22 25:20 26:11 44:24 47:20 52:17 58:13,18 58:18 59:2 64:25 67:16 77:20 83:11 95:9,16 97:5,6,9 98:3,4 100:20 101:17,18 103:16 104:14,15 104:16 106:13 109:20,20 110:16 110:16,19 112:23 114:9 115:9 117:20,25 120:17 120:25 123:17 124:12,15 126:20 127:18 128:4 129:15 130:5,12 130:22 131:5,23 132:9 133:17 134:14,17,23 135:4,21 138:24 139:6,25 140:1,4 140:5,6,10,23,24 141:2,2,19 142:12 143:1,3,3 146:3,7,10 148:5 151:25 pages 45:15 122:1 paid 105:19 panic 113:12 Pape 13:12,21 14:12,17,18 15:4 15:7,11,16 16:4 49:15 52:10,10 89:21 90:5 98:21 98:25 99:10,21 100:3 152:7 Pape's 14:2 18:22 50:5 90:2 paper 60:1 82:6,7 82:18,23,25 83:1 83:4,18 130:24 paperwork 59:25 60:3,4,25 paragraph 15:22 16:12,13 28:16 40:8 41:25 57:21	64:25 67:16 75:7 75:20 76:14 77:10,19 78:3 82:4 85:7 95:10 95:15 98:3 105:4 107:10 122:21 123:17 124:5,6 125:7 128:18 130:22 131:5,17 132:9,22 141:5 142:15 143:2,6 144:24 paragraphs 40:14 49:1,13 80:18 134:1 135:6 142:11 part 6:22 20:7 25:18 32:12 34:7 39:2,9 48:6 53:16 54:14 64:1 71:11 105:15,19 105:23 131:23 135:4 138:22 particular 43:6 45:25 84:14 87:10 95:19 102:17 150:6,13 particularly 4:11 11:1 39:25 56:12 56:16,16 139:1 parties 139:1 partly 27:12 partner 37:8 94:1 partners 141:16 parts 3:2 86:18 87:14 101:16 131:16 party 121:17 130:17 passage 7:11 8:10 8:17 9:3 33:13 33:24 34:11 43:13 48:1 101:18 140:1 passages 12:25 passing 18:15 110:12 pathology 132:20 pattern 41:6 42:9	45:24 75:14 123:13 124:13 patterns 40:20 107:3 pausing 34:19 35:12 69:16 113:11 119:5 135:3 pavement 7:2 PC 13:14 14:12,16 15:4,6,13 58:19 59:4 60:18 89:21 90:1 peace 121:3 132:10 peaks 66:2,11 pencil 82:18 penetration 136:9 people 12:24 13:7 22:13 40:22 53:13,22,25 55:2 55:3 56:12,23 76:7 87:2,4 92:5 118:18 145:9 153:24 155:24 156:2 perfect 65:15,18 perfectly 9:9,14 128:25 144:12 performed 4:3 period 5:11,16,17 5:20 32:8 33:11 33:12,18 43:21 44:12,15 75:17 75:19 113:11 123:24 136:15 150:7 151:8,8 154:20 person 36:2,5 37:25 55:16 127:12 137:7,8 137:13 persona 57:9 personal 140:18 personally 53:21 personnel 7:4 persons 137:9 139:9 persuaded 132:14
	P			
	pack 129:23 131:18 pad 25:24 82:22 83:8,11,18 130:24 pads 82:6,11 83:4 page 9:20 10:5 15:2,3 16:11,11 20:3 22:4,5,6,16			

<p>pervert 10:11</p> <p>perverting 38:11 81:11 136:17</p> <p>phone 14:6,16,17 15:6 18:8 27:18 35:5,16,17 36:8 37:13 38:3 43:11 44:10,11,18 47:6 55:18 70:5 71:19 71:19 73:1,3,10 73:13,21 74:5,11 74:21 76:23 77:15,16,24 79:7 89:22 105:9 106:5,5 113:4,22 121:15 129:22 132:23,25 142:17 142:20 146:17</p> <p>phoned 114:5</p> <p>photo 101:15</p> <p>photocopied 58:25</p> <p>photocopies 101:4</p> <p>photographs 25:7 25:12,25 60:19 68:17 69:1,2</p> <p>photos 14:5 25:2,6 68:7,11</p> <p>phrase 56:24 59:24 146:11</p> <p>phrases 56:15</p> <p>pic 25:23</p> <p>pick 13:20 16:19</p> <p>picking 47:20</p> <p>picks 15:5</p> <p>picture 101:4</p> <p>pictures 65:4 68:9 68:14,16</p> <p>piece 2:6 46:16 76:14 82:18,25 83:1 122:6 124:11</p> <p>pieces 85:22</p> <p>piecing 124:24</p> <p>pimped 151:10</p> <p>pimping 137:23 140:4 150:15</p> <p>pin 24:7,8 32:9 33:16 39:12</p> <p>pinpointing 23:17</p>	<p>106:6</p> <p>PJs 120:19</p> <p>place 19:1,7 39:21 68:19 73:14 89:21 99:13 100:18 118:14 127:20 147:24</p> <p>places 85:20</p> <p>plain 7:25</p> <p>plainly 107:13</p> <p>plan 2:11</p> <p>planned 132:23</p> <p>planning 22:25</p> <p>planted 131:14</p> <p>plastic 60:6 61:8 61:11 84:2,8,9 130:25 140:11</p> <p>platform 70:4,7 76:20 139:8</p> <p>play 44:4</p> <p>pleaded 135:12</p> <p>please 8:24 9:20 11:10 12:4 15:2 19:20,24 23:23 24:21 25:20 26:11 33:25 44:22 47:19,20 49:14 58:12,13 58:17 59:3 82:13 94:2,5,23,25 95:9 95:15,23,24 96:2 96:23 97:8 98:3 98:16,20 100:19 100:20 101:18 103:13 104:5,6,7 105:4,25 106:2 106:12 107:5,7 108:12,13,16 109:5 110:16,19 111:15 112:15,23 114:11,12 115:9 115:19,23 116:8 116:11,15,19 117:19 118:22 120:12,17 122:3 124:4,4,11,19 125:6,7 126:3,14 126:15 127:18 128:3,10,19</p>	<p>129:16 130:4,11 130:21 131:17,21 133:11,17 134:13 134:16,18 135:3 135:21 136:23 139:17,20,25 140:5,7 141:3 142:10,11 143:1 145:24 146:7</p> <p>plugging 152:10</p> <p>plus 21:1 125:24</p> <p>pm 36:19 41:7 42:14 78:10,11 146:8 156:11</p> <p>PNC 148:10</p> <p>pocket 133:1</p> <p>poem 99:15,17</p> <p>poetry 60:7</p> <p>point 4:16 13:4 28:25 37:22 54:18 90:22 98:16 99:9 105:7 106:12 120:14 122:17,20 123:16 128:3,18,18 131:2 133:11 135:20 136:22 139:2 140:9 143:4 144:13 145:6 146:13 153:7</p> <p>pointing 103:5</p> <p>points 25:17 96:23 106:1 107:17,22 107:25 120:12 123:11 124:1</p> <p>police 3:3,8 4:20 6:7,25 16:6 36:11,14,18,20 36:22 37:20,21 38:22,25 90:18 90:22 92:5 104:17 105:11 108:2,10 112:9 112:12,20 114:11 114:14,19 115:1 116:16 117:10,17 120:8 121:10,20 137:2,3 138:17</p>	<p>139:14,19 141:16 142:4 149:5,9 150:20,21,24 151:7,12 152:21</p> <p>policing 3:9 4:7,18 4:19</p> <p>porn 54:8 123:22 123:24 124:15,23 144:5</p> <p>pornography 78:13 124:7</p> <p>port 1:8,17 7:3 8:1 9:4 10:10 18:3 27:3 30:3,7,13 31:16,24 32:16 33:1,2,14 34:7,10 39:14,18 40:2,7 40:16,23 41:17 41:21 42:24 49:3 49:6 50:17,24 51:13 52:3,25 53:9 54:23 55:1 55:6,10 56:7,9 57:7,7,11 62:12 63:20,25 65:10 67:19,25 68:16 69:13,14 75:9 77:21,23 78:7 79:8 80:1,6,12 86:19,19 87:8,11 87:17 88:13 92:9 93:25 94:16 95:4 96:4,18 98:5,12 100:7,15,21,23 102:7 103:3 104:19 105:6 106:23 107:1,14 107:22 108:1,9 108:17 109:1,7 109:24 110:6 111:9,19 112:6 113:1,20 114:2 114:10 115:7,18 115:21 116:20 117:4,9 118:11 118:13,23 119:6 120:14 123:14,22 126:11,18 127:7 128:5,11 129:3</p>	<p>129:24 131:10 132:11,12,12,13 132:15,22,24,25 133:3 134:6,18 135:6 137:16,23 138:8,13 141:16 142:13,22 143:5 143:9 144:16 145:11 146:15,19 147:9,15 148:10 148:17 149:13 150:7,14 151:10 153:12 154:7,22 155:6,15,21</p> <p>Port's 18:3 19:16 21:14 25:10 27:4 31:12,15 32:17 34:3,12,21 39:5 56:3 62:23 69:7 75:11,13,25 76:15 78:6 79:1 79:22 81:6,9 82:5 86:16 87:25 88:4,12 97:10 98:1 107:3 128:21 131:1 145:20 147:3 148:23 149:1,8 151:16 152:13 154:2</p> <p>posing 108:9</p> <p>position 43:19 75:5 91:10 122:7</p> <p>positive 66:20 92:11</p> <p>positivity 54:10</p> <p>possession 45:2 143:13</p> <p>possessions 57:15 58:8 64:8</p> <p>possible 60:15 98:8</p> <p>possibly 146:16,24</p> <p>post 7:6 64:9,11,19 85:9,11</p> <p>postdated 155:1</p> <p>potentially 75:4 137:1,18</p> <p>powder 140:14,15</p>
--	---	---	---	---

141:8 practical 59:21 practice 149:5 precinct 37:16 precise 30:19 33:14 precisely 18:1 43:17 preparation 7:14 prepared 7:17 13:2 preparing 122:14 presence 2:3 65:8 67:10 87:2,24 present 41:17 65:12 66:5,12 83:9 press 91:8 112:20 presses 82:25 presumably 29:5 62:22 137:12 151:12 presume 143:18 pretending 115:12 pretty 47:6 65:16 106:7 previous 12:11 77:14 142:12 previously 44:14 primary 71:25 printout 148:10 prior 43:10 49:24 74:23 prioritise 53:17 prison 10:11 37:23 38:23 81:10 97:12 101:10 135:14 154:22 private 36:16 68:6 68:9,11,16,17 privately 37:24 pro 6:5 77:8 proactively 46:13 probably 22:4 115:4 146:24 probing 121:3 problems 32:17 35:7 procedural 136:22	process 46:10 53:16 90:23 102:22 produce 145:10 profession 60:24 profile 65:18,19 66:7 68:8 76:18 86:22 87:7,9 88:4,12,17 profiles 87:17 125:2 profiling 148:3 promise 121:8 promoted 3:12,17 3:20 prompts 25:25 pronounced 7:5 proper 9:17 60:4 properties 19:5 property 19:3 21:4 57:15 58:5 59:4 59:25 61:17 65:3 97:18 proposition 95:11 95:19,20 98:5 132:2 prosecuted 155:23 prosecution 124:3 126:17,20 127:6 127:10 128:11 129:23 133:19 protective 84:9 protested 132:13 protocols 123:3 prove 17:15 proved 52:3 provide 17:25 46:1 55:23 83:12 102:21 132:19 138:16 provided 5:5 7:8 7:15 8:12 10:14 11:17 14:4,8 29:25 31:24 32:7 62:7 63:4 70:5 75:16 76:22 81:8 81:23 87:17 provides 74:4 public 53:12 54:16	55:18 68:7 119:21 136:25 137:14,18,20,21 publicity 104:23 purpose 71:25 81:8 128:12 purposes 62:17 85:6 94:13 146:23 pushed 91:4,5 141:24 put 60:8 80:8 82:18 91:24 92:5 100:15 101:16 103:25 119:21 126:11 127:16 130:3 131:4,10 133:1 143:8 144:6 150:24 Putney 5:2 puts 100:23 putting 138:7 139:7 143:10	112:8 117:21 125:18 126:3 136:21 145:14 147:12 149:17 150:1,2 153:5,8 156:4 quick 133:16 quickly 85:20 quite 6:5 23:14 40:11 54:18 56:13,22 59:24 60:3 64:12 85:11 85:18 108:9,15 109:2 130:20	45:7 48:10 66:16 69:3 72:4 76:15 91:16 109:19 115:6 121:22 140:16 142:22 143:6,24 145:2 reams 55:23,23 reason 8:8 26:6 41:4 64:12 89:5 91:3 127:15 128:22 129:20 reasonable 120:8 reasonably 31:14 33:14 reasons 2:14 74:15 115:10 reassurance 106:23 reassure 114:1 recall 7:10,11,22 8:7,17 10:9,16,20 11:5,18 26:23 30:3,17,21 38:2 42:9 44:24 45:4 45:8 46:24 47:4 49:2 57:17 59:5 60:12 65:4 72:12 84:21 88:25 147:2 150:4 155:12 recalling 77:3 recap 153:9 received 4:17 38:2 38:4 receiving 4:18 recognised 96:20 recollection 8:16 9:2 10:8,12 14:6 32:8 64:1 95:3 100:14 reconnected 73:22 record 34:1 45:3 54:16 73:19 137:17 recorded 60:18 recording 137:4 records 43:12,14 70:23 73:20 recover 18:8 29:8
			R	
			raised 113:16 130:15 150:11 raising 109:2 ran 5:17 rang 35:8 36:12,19 37:4 38:19 range 6:18 87:23 153:11 154:9,12 rape 78:13 108:24 123:23 124:7,15 124:23 134:23 136:9,16 148:18 raped 134:19 135:22 rate 58:7 reach 81:5 reactively 46:13 read 1:5,8,14,17 2:16,21,22,25 16:16 31:14 32:12,13 33:13 33:24 34:2,10,11 85:20 90:5 119:17 121:11 144:23 readily 82:16 reading 48:18 119:15 120:5 reads 34:13 real 151:18 realised 15:18 35:23 132:12 reality 144:8 really 37:10 38:9	
		Q		
		qualify 137:4 Queen's 4:20 query 21:18 question 50:10 52:1 59:21 62:11 63:7,13 64:7 73:3 81:21,21 91:21 93:16 137:22 147:17 150:3,3 155:5 questioned 117:11 128:23 147:5 questions 1:7,9,10 1:11,12,13,16,18 1:19,20,21,22 2:17 12:7,12,17 12:19,22 26:6 37:13 39:1 40:7 50:11 57:14 61:13 66:23,25 67:13 78:22 91:21 93:22,24 93:24 94:2 100:21 103:19,25 104:1 109:3		

40:15 70:11 82:6 recovered 71:19 84:14 red 24:5 redacted 109:21 109:23 110:3 121:24 refer 34:3 42:1,3 reference 7:20 16:17 17:8,9 21:1,3,9,13 22:21 23:24 24:24 26:10 45:12 77:20 81:23 89:10 94:23 140:8,24 references 46:19 116:8,19 120:13 151:25 referred 4:25 14:11 39:9 49:15 64:13 76:10 88:5 94:14 referring 7:19 8:25 12:25 24:3 refers 8:3 13:3 148:16 reflected 25:19 refuses 117:4 regard 41:3 155:19 regarding 33:4,5 42:24 57:1 regards 48:11 rejected 132:17 133:9 relate 34:2 related 32:19 47:1 51:25 143:12 relates 88:22 137:1 relating 10:15 12:14,17,19 17:22 18:1 32:22 44:11 45:5 50:12 61:17 64:6,8,13 64:14 67:13 71:19 72:14,22 75:5,13 78:5,23	80:13 84:4 85:6 130:6 154:25 relation 8:11 63:13 81:1,11 82:2 100:24 107:6 130:6,12 135:1,25 136:3,7 136:18 relationship 17:16 32:4 50:19 relatively 120:22 relayed 32:9 144:6 released 68:14 135:12,15 142:16 relevant 6:8 16:2 76:19 136:24 142:14 reliable 93:18 remained 7:7 8:2 17:19 remains 44:16 remember 8:9 10:10,22 13:15 14:10,15 37:20 40:1,2 41:20 43:16 48:18 61:7 75:22 82:17 86:15 101:10 105:15 147:9,19 155:10 remembered 40:1 93:7 remembers 33:18 remind 14:21 58:10,15,16 64:8 148:4 reminded 41:15 reminder 145:25 repeated 38:21 repeatedly 56:18 130:1 repeating 123:12 replied 21:24 24:18 reply 24:17 replying 15:11 report 7:17,24 11:12,18 37:19 82:4 97:7 100:9	100:22 138:12 152:15 reported 6:12 70:24 137:7 139:3,10 150:20 150:20 151:11 reporting 52:11 118:11 137:14 138:4 reports 7:25 152:11 reports' 102:23 Republic 63:4 request 8:18 23:19 72:22 requested 68:15 required 145:2 resoundingly 132:17 133:9 resources 6:17,20 8:18 10:23 45:21 143:22 respect 128:14 148:3,10,14,21 response 21:18 23:19 27:15 90:10 105:1 responses 26:14 rest 118:7 121:2 130:20 result 66:21 87:1 138:9 148:18 results 58:1 83:24 85:5 87:23 102:23 145:11 retain 80:24 retained 97:14 reticence 117:17 retired 4:16 return 6:2 returned 18:9 returns 6:4,13 reveal 16:24 65:7 Revealing 141:21 review 5:23 6:2,13 9:9 reviewed 6:6,12 8:13 reviewing 53:21	53:25 54:20 143:6 rewards 149:22 Rewind 38:20 Richards 1:6,15 12:5,6,8 24:3 28:16 39:2 66:23 67:12 74:20 88:22 93:21 133:12 136:23 142:5 145:13,15 150:2 156:3,5 Ricky 47:2,11 48:13 71:5 72:6 73:8 92:15 152:8 rid 42:7 ridge 61:21 rig 129:18 right 2:18 13:8 20:3,20 22:6 23:3,10,24 24:3 27:16 32:15 35:3 41:18 49:9 50:23 52:14,22 61:23 63:17 65:1,13 68:20 72:3 82:1 86:2 88:2 89:18 90:10 91:1 92:18 93:6 94:9,11 95:1,13 96:11,14 97:1,10,19,24 98:2,6,18,20,22 98:25 103:6 104:3,10,11 106:8 108:8,17 109:22,25 110:4 110:9,13,18 111:4,17 112:5 112:23 118:6,9 119:18 121:3,5 121:24 123:6,10 123:13,18 124:9 124:18 126:15,21 127:25 128:4 129:6,8,24 130:18,25 131:2 131:12,19,25 132:5 133:4 134:13,16,24,24	135:13 136:1,3 140:24 146:14 147:13 150:25 153:16 right-hand 20:25 22:8 59:16 62:19 ring 129:10 ripple 52:8 role 4:3,14 roles 4:6,7,12 room 13:8 19:13 21:19,22 22:1 24:19,20,25 25:23 56:7 66:14 141:6 rota 5:13 45:2 round 27:2,4 30:5 30:20 110:2 147:7,8 router 55:18,19 56:3 routine 31:25 48:2 run 82:18 running 69:5 Ryan 26:25 27:5 28:24 29:15,18 29:20 30:4,7,13 30:17 41:17,21 42:4,7 49:3,11 77:22,25 145:21 147:2 150:19 152:12,18
S				
sachets 140:13				
sad 110:12				
sadness 38:6				
safe 22:13				
saliva 66:6				
sample 63:4,6 64:2 66:8,12 81:8,14 81:23 86:16				
samples 62:23,24 64:17 87:13,25 88:3 97:2,10,22 98:1 101:1,3,20 103:5 148:6 149:11,13				
San 54:8 144:5				
Sandra 31:16				

<p>sat 35:16 satchel 60:22 61:1 satisfied 2:13 25:9 95:19 Saturday 20:21 23:1,2,6,13,14 24:10 28:23 40:12,24 41:5 70:19 78:20 79:11 save 94:1 133:19 134:11 saw 40:9 48:1 52:16 86:4 147:7 150:16 saying 15:16 21:17 21:18 22:11,18 22:23 23:10,16 23:20 25:22 27:16,18 30:1,10 33:9 36:23 37:1 40:11 43:16 52:18 57:5 75:16 93:5 108:17 109:14 117:4 119:6,6,9 120:3 121:10,19 130:14 says 3:21 4:23 6:24 7:21 16:20,25 34:24 45:17 86:25 99:11,20 100:24 101:12,14 101:23 102:7,12 103:3,17,20,21 106:15 108:1,23 109:1,8,22 110:6 112:11,14 113:3 113:20,24 114:12 115:10,17,17,21 115:25 116:1,3 116:10,13,20,25 117:9 118:8,13 118:19 120:17 121:7 127:19 137:14 150:19 SC&O1 4:24 5:13 8:14 9:12,19,24 10:23 11:3,6,16 12:1</p>	<p>scale 95:16 102:19 102:21 scanned 97:13 scared 115:22,23 Schamberger 44:25 138:24 schedule 19:25 20:1 25:17,18,20 26:11 28:2 31:3 schedules 19:20 scientist 65:20,23 scientists 66:3 screen 7:20 14:9 14:25 16:10 19:24 23:23 24:7 33:25 44:23 45:15 58:11,12 58:14 80:19 94:5 94:25 96:1 99:8 99:23 125:12 135:3 140:24 145:24 148:9 151:24 scroll 102:4 108:16 114:11 118:22 124:4 129:16 144:23 152:1 scrolling 105:4 search 11:14 83:17 84:6,11,12 97:18 138:9,11 152:16 155:11 searchable 138:10 searched 83:13 155:23 searches 82:5 83:16 151:3 152:13 153:1 searching 140:23 second 24:22 47:20,21 94:18 113:22 139:25 141:5 145:20 147:17 secondly 21:23 sect 118:18 section 3:5 31:14 94:8 99:4 133:22</p>	<p>secure 53:18 see 8:11 9:3 10:5 11:10 14:12 15:4 15:11 16:1,16 17:8 18:6 19:12 20:1,11,17 21:1,9 21:10,16,23 22:5 22:7,9,17,22 23:4 24:22,23 25:5,21 25:22,23 27:3,10 27:20 28:15 29:18 30:21 41:22 45:12,17 47:20 58:24 59:9 59:14 60:20 64:3 66:21 68:6,8,11 82:19 83:1 85:22 86:25 89:20 90:6 90:6,7 95:4 97:3 99:1 101:21 102:2,4,17 103:1 103:17 109:16 110:22 114:9,21 116:6,17 118:2 120:21 125:17 126:23 129:13 133:25 134:1,10 135:24 136:6 139:6 140:8 141:11,18 144:24 146:4,8,10 148:23 152:1 156:9 seeing 7:22 17:4 46:19 105:2 seen 28:17 41:12 47:2 52:11 56:16 56:22 58:5 70:15 70:19,23 89:20 90:5 92:6 99:14 99:16,16 120:7 154:23 seized 81:13 83:8 83:14,15 84:15 97:16,18 selected 11:22 semantics 111:24 semen 66:6 send 24:5 25:2,23</p>	<p>61:18 64:18 65:2 66:21 84:18 85:15 128:7 sending 15:11 sends 42:4 118:11 senior 6:3 8:14,21 11:11 sense 46:10 82:23 senses 53:2 sensible 144:12 sensitive 4:11 sent 7:16,23 8:19 8:20 10:11 11:9 15:7 20:4,13,19 23:17 25:6,6,12 27:12,21,24 28:1 28:6,11 36:23 40:11 42:13 44:25 57:23 61:24 65:4,6 66:18 85:1,2,13 90:4 107:2 127:5 145:7,10 146:20 149:12,13 sentence 38:23 77:11 separate 60:5 63:11 65:25 88:22 124:11 126:4 155:24 separated 64:4 separately 62:17 91:22 September 14:13 16:21 46:1 50:22 52:20 68:1 69:17 70:2,16 72:25 75:9,18 76:16 77:18,23 78:9 108:17 109:2,20 110:20 111:5,6 111:16 112:5,11 112:24 116:9 117:20,21 118:11 118:23 119:9 120:8 121:1,14 122:23 123:18 124:16,20 127:19 134:16 136:3,4</p>	<p>143:8,14 144:10 sequence 35:12 sergeant 3:13 series 12:12 20:11 55:8,20 97:10 104:1 served 4:14 set 12:16 53:3 55:8 55:10 66:25 71:7 71:14 111:10 127:2 138:24,25 143:22 144:12,13 sets 77:16 97:2 setting 122:24 142:15 seven 145:1 seven-day 5:17,19 sex 85:24 88:10,11 88:13 108:18,24 109:9 130:8 145:7,10 sexual 53:13 80:4 shared 101:10 sharing 68:17 Sharon 1:8,17 31:17,19,20 32:16 33:14 34:10 sheet 87:19 88:8 shift 6:1 40:20,25 41:6 45:24 107:3 shifts 40:25 shock 36:10 shootings 6:10 shop 55:19 105:21 shopping 144:4 short 27:15 67:8 99:4 shorten 56:23 shortened 56:19 shorter 99:15 shortly 42:10 127:14 130:20 135:24 shot 24:7 show 16:12 18:20 72:14,24 73:18 82:21 101:15 126:12</p>
--	--	--	---	--

showed 50:24 51:6 83:8 101:4,7 154:19	Skelton 1:12,21 125:23 145:14,15 149:16	29:5 35:7 44:15 52:8 53:4 60:8 60:10,22 69:2	stands 111:22	105:16 107:8
showing 74:16 79:3	skin 66:6 85:22	73:5 75:13 82:1 102:24 109:13	star 54:8	122:13 129:25
shown 9:25 11:8 98:17	slang 56:16	123:11 137:4	start 13:10 50:20 94:3 103:19	130:2,4 131:22 131:23 139:21
shows 7:23 9:25 19:25 20:4 73:1 126:25 127:7 129:2 152:3	Slaymaker 44:25 45:8,16 47:4,5 71:25	sorts 43:3 83:4 149:5	112:18 133:14 136:23 143:16 156:9	141:10,15 142:3 142:9 143:2 147:13 148:5
sickness 45:3,24	Slaymaker's 46:6	sought 8:15	started 3:15 33:19 33:22 34:17,25	statements 2:24 48:7 75:16 94:9
side 20:10,25 22:8 23:5 56:10 139:17,17	sleep 24:25 26:7 26:15 35:20 106:18 116:21	sound 96:11	37:2 44:18 46:2 46:9 50:18 61:16	95:24 104:9 139:17
sign 14:19 91:1 142:23	sleeps 106:15	sounded 132:12	122:24 143:5,7 143:17 154:14	station 36:18,22 37:20,21 76:6,7 137:3
signal 73:9,15	sleeve 61:8,11 84:2 84:4,14,18 130:21,25 133:1	sounds 118:17 128:22 138:5	starting 3:7 39:14 52:24 67:25	stay 22:12 55:3 71:7 109:10 141:9
signed 89:1,3,18	sleeves 84:8,9 131:1	sourced 142:17	68:19 140:2 142:10 143:2	stayed 48:19
significant 98:11	slept 24:18 36:3	sources 41:3 42:22	starts 3:3 117:21 121:23 142:18,19	staying 57:2 127:13
signify 146:5	slight 146:11	Spain 127:6	stated 9:5	stem 153:5
silly 108:21	slightly 31:10 48:25 52:1 82:20	speak 35:7 113:9	statement 1:5,8,14 1:17 2:8,21,23,25	Stephen 7:3 8:1 9:4 10:10 18:3,3 19:16 21:14
similar 12:16 15:9 66:25 72:13,22 82:7 99:15 101:23,25 102:18 120:10 124:13	Slovakian 129:19	speaking 36:8 102:4 114:10	3:2,5 4:5,17,22 6:22 7:14 12:3 13:1,2,13 14:11 14:11,15,18,23 15:7,12 16:4,8,11 17:12 28:16 29:25 31:11,19 31:21 32:12 33:15,24 34:10 34:12 39:3,10 40:9,15 42:1 45:5,6 47:4,10 48:10,12 49:2 52:23 53:3 57:21 64:25 67:15 68:22 70:1,20 74:8 75:7,20 76:14 77:10,19 80:18 85:7,18 88:23,25 89:11 89:23 90:1,4,11 90:13,21,24 91:9 91:13 92:16,19 94:6,8,14,15,18 94:22 95:1 96:24 98:21 99:4,10 100:3 104:16	25:10 27:3,4 29:1,1 30:3,7,13 31:12,15,24 32:10,17 33:1,2,6 34:3,7,12,15,16 34:21,25 35:17 35:19,21,24 36:5 37:5,8,24 38:1,3 38:7,10,16,19,22 39:14,18 40:2,7 40:16,20,23 41:17,21 42:4,5 42:24 49:3,6 50:17,24 51:13 51:22 52:3,25 53:9 54:23 55:1 55:6,10 56:3,7,9 56:18 57:7,7,11 62:12,23 63:20 63:25 65:10 67:19,25 68:6,11 68:15,16 69:7,13 69:14 70:5 75:9 75:11,13,17,25 76:2,15,17,20
similarities 54:21 122:16	small 60:5 69:2 84:6 86:14 98:16 120:14 131:2,14 141:8 154:2	special 4:10 7:6 64:9 85:9		
simply 64:14 74:15 75:2 82:25	smaller 60:13	specialist 4:8,12 5:23		
single 66:17,17 93:14	Snaresbrook 38:12	specific 8:16 120:1 114:12 119:9 148:16		
sinister 112:16	soap 120:20	specifically 114:10		
SIO 8:14 11:20	social 50:10 125:1	spending 124:5		
Siobhan 45:22	sofa 19:15 24:18 24:25 133:4	spent 48:5 57:4		
sister 31:12,15 32:22 33:8 34:13 142:17	solicitor 38:16,19 38:21 103:17,21	spiked 55:4		
sister's 39:6	somebody 56:14 76:2 135:22 138:4	spikes 66:2,4		
site 44:10 49:17,23 68:5,8 72:9 73:22	soon 11:4,7 53:11 106:17 107:1	split 5:1		
sites 55:2	sooner 105:8	spoke 14:17 29:24 32:3,5 45:21 54:22,23 63:3 79:21		
sitting 56:6 83:18 120:19	sorry 27:25 31:18 51:17 74:19 78:11,11 83:13 86:7 101:25 122:12 132:10 135:9,18	spoken 100:25 101:1		
situation 38:8 80:7 90:16 91:12 152:11	sort 22:17 26:18	Spongebob 120:19		
		spot 118:16 127:24		
		spun 129:4		
		stage 3:18,21 7:9 15:5 22:25 26:18 30:18 91:6 103:18,22 139:23 146:16 147:17		
		standard 6:4 64:11,19 149:5		

77:21,23 78:6,7,9 79:1,8,22 80:1,6 80:12 81:6,9,10 81:14,19 82:5 86:16,19,19 87:8 87:11,17,25 88:4 88:12,13 92:9 93:25 102:9 109:7 137:16 141:8,24 142:1 143:5,9 145:11 145:20 146:15,19 147:3,15 148:10 150:7,14 151:10 151:16 152:13 153:12 154:2,7 154:22 155:6,15 155:21 156:1	Stuart 10:2 student 115:12 studied 54:10,12 studio 144:5 Studios 54:9 style 122:16,17 subject 46:25 64:6 submit 6:1 83:4 submitted 82:11 substantial 60:3 60:23 substantially 60:21 substantive 3:11 suddenly 113:12 sufficient 2:14 suggest 19:3 80:11 88:17 92:20 131:11 suggested 8:12 70:6 suggestion 61:10 131:4,6 151:9 suggests 131:10 suicide 56:17 59:10 81:15,19 81:25 83:9,10,12 84:10 101:5 132:13 suitcase 60:13,20 Sultan 10:17,21 11:10 summarise 128:17 129:13 summarised 126:20 summarises 7:24 126:18 summary 7:16 18:18,19 155:18 summer 13:17 summons 149:4 Sunday 20:22 24:13 26:19,24 29:19 41:10 96:5 sunglasses 65:5,6 65:8 superintendent 2:12 3:20 4:2,4	5:13,18 8:4,5,22 10:2,17,21,24 11:9 superintendents 3:25 5:15 supervising 4:2 suppliers 156:1 supply 155:24 supplying 155:21 support 5:5 6:15 31:2 88:3,16 95:11,18,20 98:4 146:22 supported 2:25 87:24 supports 45:8 sure 7:24 13:6,8 13:15 18:14 22:13 36:7,13,20 37:22 43:16 80:19 81:20 84:21 93:1,1,2,10 106:13 119:2,14 119:15,16,16 123:16 137:6 surely 120:10 surmise 99:7 surrounding 12:18 13:11 50:13 127:9 suspected 7:13 146:14 suspicion 148:18 suspicious 8:1 137:24 suspicious 5:24 swab 86:15 88:4 145:7 swabs 64:12 85:10 85:12,15,18,21 85:24 86:2,9 87:21 88:10,11 88:14 145:10 148:5 149:11 swapped 77:25 132:23 Sweeney 1:5,14 2:8,12,21,23 4:5 6:23 7:18,21	8:25 Sweeney's 3:2 12:3 Swift 43:16 79:14 switched 74:13 switching 51:12 sworn 141:10 Sydney 58:22 system 137:5 138:7,8,9 149:9,9	talking 34:19 38:8 42:25 47:22 51:13 57:22,22 69:6 100:25 112:18 117:14 140:1,3 talks 115:15 taller 108:25 tangled 34:7 tasking 45:4 Taylor 10:17,18 10:21,22,22,24 11:10 133:14 134:8 136:12 Taylor's 155:1 team 3:19 4:2,10 4:10 5:21,22 11:19,22,23 34:12 55:8 144:17 teams 4:8 5:1,3,6 6:16,20 46:15 technical 82:20 143:22 telephone 33:19 34:16 43:12,14 137:24 television 109:11 tell 23:20 36:13 67:22 82:13 102:18,23 121:16 128:22 telling 42:7 55:1 57:3 116:24 tells 78:7 112:25 121:9 tended 6:5,10 146:17 tentative 146:13 terminology 146:12 terms 31:6,23 43:17 82:16 88:2 119:9 140:8 142:22 153:9 154:9,10,12 terrible 132:3 terribly 37:10 test 83:1 129:11
			T	
			T/DC 139:7	
			tab 7:19 9:1 14:9 14:25,25 16:10 44:23 45:13 58:11,13 151:24	
			table 140:12 141:7	
			tackling 3:22	
			tag 135:12	
			tail 58:15	
			take 5:4 6:14 8:15 9:5,12 11:3,7,19 13:4 18:5 37:12 39:24 47:10 48:24 66:1 67:5 76:13 90:7,23 91:17 95:23 96:12,14 97:6,7 100:2 104:5 107:5 110:15 111:1 122:3 140:14 151:5 153:3,14	
			taken 9:18 14:15 17:11 39:21 48:11 51:15 54:1 60:19 64:15,19 85:21,22,24 86:9 87:13 89:11 97:23 98:21 100:3 129:22 140:9 147:23 148:21 149:1 152:1,2 153:22	
			talk 3:4 35:9 36:16 37:14 69:3 70:21 70:22 114:14,19	
			talked 89:22 120:16 130:17	

tested 65:6 95:4	40:21 44:8 48:20	three 3:2,9 5:1,2,3	timescales 11:5	traditional 73:11
testing 57:25	51:3 56:17 60:2	5:23 23:7 27:10	timing 33:14	73:22 74:21
94:20 95:6	60:6,7 66:7	28:13 78:12 84:7	111:24 144:24	tragic 37:19
130:23 149:12	85:16 92:15 93:9	87:2,4 106:4	timings 15:19	trail 109:7 111:10
tests 65:7 83:5	104:1 109:13,14	125:17 144:22	111:5	121:23
text 14:5 18:14,15	114:3 115:16	145:17 153:24	tiny 120:12	train 76:6,7
27:16 30:10	133:19	three-shift 5:25	tired 37:5,9,15	122:24 144:13
36:23 37:1,3	think 7:12 8:4 15:5	Thursday 42:18	tissue 110:11	trainee 3:11
38:2,7,15 42:2,4	19:20 21:4,7	42:19 48:14,22	129:4	traits 102:17
42:13 48:2,18	22:4 27:4 28:8	68:24 69:8 70:16	today 16:1 21:10	transcribers
59:15,19 70:6	30:19 32:15	75:21 79:5	94:13 117:3	149:20
126:23 127:5	35:17 36:7,8,19	till 128:22	123:6 125:21	transcript 102:5
142:13,22 143:12	37:1,12 48:1,6	Tim 11:21	126:1 144:25	Transferred
texted 47:23	51:8 52:22 57:10	time 4:8 7:11 8:6	156:7	125:10
texting 42:8 48:8	62:17 64:3 66:3	8:10,17 9:3	told 10:24 32:10	travelled 74:9
70:11	68:22 73:5 74:1	11:24 12:10,17	33:1,2,20 34:7	travelling 76:5
texts 15:17 27:12	74:23 78:24 82:1	14:1,1,22 16:24	35:17,23 36:10	travels 73:3
31:6 40:9 41:20	87:13,16 90:14	17:17 27:17	36:16,20 37:6,25	trial 53:20 54:20
47:24 49:2 70:12	93:6 94:8 97:12	28:19 29:14 31:8	38:10,13,16,19	57:11 114:7
72:5	98:24 99:4,8,12	32:8 33:1,2,11,18	39:20 40:21 52:9	122:14 124:3
TGF20 99:5	102:6,8 104:8,20	35:2 37:20 40:8	72:17 109:21	126:19 127:16
thank 2:19,20	106:2,3 107:3	40:16,21,22	114:24 115:1	131:4,6 133:11
10:19 13:9 28:14	110:24 111:2,3	42:13 43:13,18	116:22 119:20	133:21 134:18
29:12 48:24 50:9	112:2 113:17,18	43:21 44:13,15	121:16 125:5	143:7
57:10 64:5 67:5	113:25 116:9,22	44:20 47:19 49:8	132:22 147:21	Trident 3:22
67:11 77:3 78:2	116:23 117:1	50:16,25 51:10	152:19 153:23	tried 132:7,19
80:16 84:20 85:4	118:10,20 119:17	51:22 54:1 55:16	tomorrow 22:23	tries 109:16 114:1
88:19 91:19	119:20 120:14	55:17,25 56:7,8	156:8	114:23
93:21 102:5	121:13 122:4,22	57:2 64:21,23	Tony 7:15 8:11	triggered 152:22
110:7 136:20	124:1,8 125:11	69:13,18 70:1,24	112:19 115:1,20	153:1
141:2 142:8	126:23 130:14	71:9 74:5,21	top 24:22 59:16	troubles 105:17
145:5,13 147:1	135:18 138:21	75:14,16 76:5	62:19 63:14,19	trust 99:24
148:1 149:16	139:22 144:1,17	79:1,14,17,21	64:1 82:18,19	truth 3:1
153:3 155:4	153:10	83:19 90:14 91:2	83:10 86:4 87:14	try 26:7,15 34:16
156:3,5,10	thinks 16:6 99:11	92:12 93:23	97:5,8 98:4	35:7 44:10 48:17
theme 108:8	third 3:5 12:10	98:12 110:17,24	103:16 104:15	72:1 80:7,8
theory 119:7	22:7 127:21	111:25 113:11	118:2 124:22	trying 17:1 43:3,6
They' 116:23	Thomas 100:20	120:5 124:5,5,13	131:5,7,8 148:23	49:20 107:17
Thierry 15:24	101:14,17,23	125:17 136:15,25	152:2	108:15 120:6,21
16:18,22,25 52:6	102:12 103:20	137:5 138:19	topic 51:25 104:7	TSB7 97:17
52:9,15,16,17	Thorne 94:10	141:25 144:20	124:18	tube 72:15 74:9
54:3,25 57:3	95:10,19,24	149:1,2,4 150:11	topics 94:2	Tuesday 5:18 42:2
108:23 113:24	96:25 97:7 100:9	154:19	torn 83:11	42:13,17 69:16
114:23 115:17,25	Thorne's 96:23	times 26:24 32:6	total 69:24	69:16,17
116:13,25 118:8	100:22	37:21 56:14 57:4	touch 112:12	tupperware 141:6
thin 60:5	thought 16:14	65:10 66:13 76:8	town 21:6,7 66:14	turn 9:20 85:5
thing 57:10 65:2	19:12 99:1	86:18 87:10	trace 53:17,22,23	86:12
115:4	103:22 112:12,16	108:4 129:12	54:3 143:15	Turning 6:22
things 28:2 32:9	118:6 122:15	142:14 154:23	tracks 80:9,13	142:9 147:2

<p>TV 106:16,24 141:24 twins 66:1 two 5:22 12:24 17:4,7 19:19 25:17 26:24 27:10 28:2 29:5 33:7,11,22 35:1 35:24 38:4 43:9 45:1 51:24 56:23 60:13 68:25 69:20,23,25 70:18 77:7 87:16 93:15,18 94:9,12 94:13,13 99:5 116:5 117:6 118:12 134:11,23 139:17 147:12 153:4,23 155:23 156:1 two-thirds 25:21 type 56:13 60:4 61:20 82:13 85:20 137:9,25 typed 54:24 58:21 types 144:15 typing 122:17 126:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>u 128:18 UK 115:17 ultimate 144:15 ultimately 53:19 57:7 unable 2:14 9:17 44:20 136:16 uncertainty 69:9 unconnected 76:11 uncovered 40:18 undercover 37:15 underground 74:2 75:3 underneath 21:9 82:19,22 83:1 understand 2:16 7:7 8:5 28:11 43:7 95:15 102:13 116:4</p>	<p>124:1 133:6,12 135:18 149:21,22 understandably 15:14 90:15 91:7 understanding 21:22 103:8 109:13 110:25 111:9 126:10 understands 59:23 understood 123:7 125:7 undertake 83:21 undertaken 82:2 undertook 43:2 59:20 unequivocally 81:18,24 unexplained 5:24 6:11 7:7 8:2 9:23 uninformed 3:10,14 unit 4:25 university 54:11 54:11 58:22 60:2 123:2 unresponsive 7:2 unsurprisingly 59:9,12 untrue 109:14 unusual 70:23 upstairs 36:16 usage 73:12 75:25 78:6 use 10:23 40:20 47:7 56:12,15,15 56:20 76:21 140:18 142:18,19 146:17 useful 58:2 76:15 84:19 137:19 user 50:2,2 55:24 76:18,19,23 users 68:10 uses 146:5 usual 94:8 103:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <hr/> <p>valid 9:25 various 44:9 46:11 70:15 87:13,25 101:16 107:17,22</p>	<p>107:25 120:13 150:10,24 varying 55:2 154:5 155:21 version 15:12 viols 140:13,16 victim 4:9 victims 133:22 134:2 153:12,13 153:17,19 154:2 154:13 155:1,9 view 62:14 63:10 81:5 100:3 127:7 views 62:7 violence 141:16 150:15 violent 141:21 142:1 visit 5:10 visited 17:14 visually 82:9 vodka 57:18 voluntarily 105:1 volunteer 115:7 vote 126:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <hr/> <p>wad 60:10 wake 35:19,22 59:17 Walgate 7:2,5,19 8:2 81:12 Walgate's 12:14 walk 36:17 walking 36:22 walls 129:20 want 13:5 14:24 16:12 25:23 31:10 35:9 38:13 38:15 41:7 49:12 76:21 80:21 89:17 90:6,7 91:2,10,20 106:18 107:12 113:9 117:1,6 121:2 128:23 129:14 132:13 144:23 148:4,13 153:4 wanted 28:8 117:7</p>	<p>132:10 wants 141:4 145:16 warm 67:2 warrant 11:15 wasn't 14:16 19:14 20:15 41:8 44:12 49:7 65:15,17 85:3 89:18 90:12 90:20 91:9 93:1 93:1,2,10 122:10 128:7,11 138:23 139:13 142:23 watch 123:23 watching 78:12 106:16,24 109:10 120:19 123:22 124:7 Waumsley 47:2,11 48:13 152:8 Waumsley's 92:15 way 10:6 20:8 22:7 25:22 26:13 32:14 36:20 37:2 37:7 48:3 56:17 64:2 71:14 80:8 80:14 82:20 88:16 91:24 93:15 97:14 102:20 103:15 110:2 119:24 129:1,13 133:16 142:18 143:10 145:25 146:22 wear 86:4 wearing 64:22 65:5 127:25 131:7 webpage 55:12 website 125:3 Wednesday 1:1 35:13 47:22 week 13:14 17:3,6 17:23 18:20 20:14 22:10 28:20 34:22 38:2 39:22 41:6,7 42:3 43:25 68:19 68:23,24 69:4,5</p>	<p>69:18 72:10 73:2 127:21 week's 71:18,22 weekend 25:15 69:5 71:18 150:8 weeks 13:17 33:22 35:1 38:4 46:5 53:16 69:23 72:12 83:16 116:5 weird 22:12 118:15 well-qualified 11:25 went 21:10 27:2,3 28:18 34:21 35:20,25 36:4,11 36:16 37:9,15,23 47:15 48:13 51:3 53:11 73:21 74:24,24 89:19 93:3 99:22 105:5 106:13 121:17 123:2 132:22 135:14 138:19 151:8 154:19 weren't 27:13 44:19 48:8 70:13 119:15 WhatsApp 18:15 40:10 73:17 128:21 whatsoever 93:20 105:12,13 147:25 whereabouts 16:25 whilst 7:25 17:1 white 140:14,15 141:8 Whitworth 10:14 44:5 45:5 47:7 50:12,17,24 67:1 67:24 77:5 86:22 88:24 89:20 90:4 90:15 91:14,21 100:24 101:6 102:1 110:18 124:14,19 131:7 131:10 133:13</p>
---	--	--	--	--

134:7,15 136:11 138:22 139:1 142:9 151:2 152:7 Whitworth's 12:19 45:2,9 50:21 86:21 90:10 94:1 111:7 111:20 112:1 113:15 145:10 wi-fi 73:16 wish 36:23 125:19 withdrawn 148:20 witness 2:7,11,23 2:24 4:5 13:1,2 13:13 31:18 53:3 67:15 92:16,19 92:24 104:9,16 107:8 122:13 125:21 141:10 142:3,9 witnesses 47:3 woke 35:22 woman 117:1 wonder 67:2 Woolworths 84:8 word 15:8 54:24 56:20,22,23 96:12 111:1 146:5,8 148:24 wording 62:19 words 26:16 34:2 42:4 47:7 56:14 59:16 63:14,18 63:19 71:6 89:6 work 5:25 35:22 35:25 36:4 45:2 45:6,25 46:23 48:3,5,21 55:4 57:2 63:2 70:16 71:2,15 73:3,21 74:9,24,25 75:1,2 75:14,17,18,22 79:4 105:19 106:17 107:1 125:13 144:9,16 145:3,4 worked 3:16,18,21 40:25 54:4,6,7	73:10,24 122:18 working 4:1 40:20 40:24 65:24 66:4 74:6 75:15 works 65:21 world 66:14 worried 37:3 39:20 worry 22:18 113:25 worth 71:19,22 125:18 wouldn't 93:3 114:5 119:2 137:5 138:2 152:15 Wow 27:11 Wratten 10:2 write 56:13 81:25 82:8 89:25 99:16 102:9 126:24 132:14 writing 62:24,25 81:5,6,9 89:7,14 97:2 98:2 99:2 99:11 101:5 102:8 103:4 122:16,17 132:12 written 27:20 54:24 58:20 59:15 60:7 62:12 62:20 63:8,12,15 63:20 81:12,14 81:19 82:19,22 82:23 83:2,10 94:16,20 95:5,21 96:21 97:12 98:5 99:12,14 100:15 wrong 15:18 111:4 113:6 118:17 119:20 135:9 wrote 95:12 132:11,24,25 <hr/> X <hr/> X 1:3 X1 140:2,3 148:19 150:14 151:10,18 X1's 151:18 152:13	X10 141:9 X13 97:13 X3 154:17 X4 134:19 X5 134:23 X6 135:1 X7 135:25 X8 136:3 <hr/> Y <hr/> year 33:16 61:15 83:19 89:12 143:16 Year's 148:17 years 3:9 4:15 65:24 yesterday 15:25 66:10 100:25 yield 83:24 Yinka 115:3 young 127:21 136:10 <hr/> Z <hr/> 0 <hr/> 004 108:13,13 022 130:22 05.50 48:16 06.15 48:16 <hr/> 1 <hr/> 1 1:14 10:5 11:22 16:21 66:10 87:10,19,20 88:7 133:17 142:16 146:3 149:4,4 1,000 107:10 1.18 26:18 1.25 112:5 1.46 156:11 10 1:1 4:15 52:20 77:22 101:17,18 109:20,20 134:1 136:4 139:7 10.00 2:2 27:6 40:25 41:6,7 10.08 118:23 10.30 41:9 77:2 78:8	100 65:17 93:5 119:15 104 126:19 127:2 1043 8:20 105 129:1 107 129:16,17 108 129:16 109 130:12 11 1:15,16 34:18 34:22,25 108:17 109:2 110:16,19 132:9 134:1 149:19 11.00 23:7 40:12 11.35 67:7 11.54 67:9 1139 10:1 12 1:6,7 98:3 127:19 156:13 12.27 107:2 120 126:1 126 130:25 127 130:22 12th 127:20 13 46:5 69:23,25 112:23 136:3,4 143:8 13.18 146:8 130 131:5 134 131:17 135 1:20 136 1:11 124:21 14 11:12 64:25 124:4 148:5 140 108:16 144 1:21 145 1:12 149 1:22 15 14:9,25 16:10 70:2 75:18 95:10 96:7,8 109:20 116:19 125:24 135:6 150 1:13 15th 97:23 104:20 16 65:9 66:4,13 117:20 135:6 165 28:16 16th 96:10 97:23	17 94:15 96:1 118:2 126:16,20 128:4 17.37 116:10 17th 96:10 100:9 100:19 18 3:8 20:13 34:20 50:20 51:2,8,23 67:22,25 70:16 72:25 75:9 96:13 104:2 120:17 128:10 186 40:8,14 187 40:14 188 40:14 18th 20:18,25 68:12,20,21 76:4 96:11 103:10 104:20 19 2:24 6:25 68:13 75:9 76:16 77:18 78:9 104:24 110:20 111:5,16 120:25 123:18 124:8,16 129:15 130:12 139:21 1977 3:8 1983 3:12,13 199 49:1 1991 3:14 1995 3:15 1996 3:16 19th 68:21 77:1 78:14 104:21 <hr/> 2 <hr/> 2 1:5 69:17 97:6 103:16 106:13 135:4 143:2 2.00 107:3 2.07 112:11 2.53 42:14 20 37:4 50:22 75:9 95:15 111:6 112:5,11 124:20 134:16 20(i) 99:5,12 2001 3:19,20,23 2004 3:23,25 4:1 2006 4:1,13,15
--	--	---	--	--

2012 4:18 148:17 154:16	106:8 131:17 135:7,9,12,14,15 136:6	31 128:19 134:19 134:24 148:17	5.06 121:1	134:1,24 140:5,6 141:19
2013 4:19	23.28 106:8	32 9:1	5.10 114:18	9.00 156:9,9,12
2014 3:4,6 4:22,24 6:23,25 7:16,17 8:7,21 13:18 34:14,18,25 38:5 46:1 54:14 123:8 123:18 134:19 136:24 139:7 146:4 147:6 148:11 149:9,12	236 75:7 238 75:20 239 123:17 23rd 20:21 23:5 24 5:19 11:13 51:11,21 117:20 117:21 118:11,23 119:9 120:8 147:6	33 1:17 34 1:8 348 109:19,21 349 22:22 35 142:15 360 22:9 365 22:17 146:3 366 22:17 37 41:25 124:12 142:11	5.20 115:2 5.45 73:5 5.50 47:23 50 14:25 119:16 132:9 138:24 51 132:22 52 139:6 151:25 152:2 522 116:8 524 116:14 53 86:18 152:2 541 116:20 549 116:25 561 108:12 120:17 561,008 109:6 573 125:6 59 7:1	92 1:19 93 1:10 941 97:2 999 7:4
2015 3:4,6 4:23 6:23 11:12 33:16 37:23 38:16 39:11 44:17 46:9 50:1 83:15 89:16 95:1 96:1 100:8 104:17 105:6 122:7,18 125:8 125:14 128:19 129:8 134:21 135:1,22 136:1,3 136:17 138:17 139:21 140:21 141:11,15 143:16 147:14 149:13	241 80:18 246 82:4 24th 26:19 106:15 106:22,24 119:11 120:3 25 39:22 148:11 251 76:14 77:10 78:3 252 78:3 25th 35:2 44:1 147:10	379 110:19 38 1:18 77:19 125:6 142:11 143:1 380 111:17 388 112:14 39 1:9 107:7 122:21 143:3	<hr/> 6 <hr/> 6 135:21 6.00 36:1 73:6,21 74:23 78:10 6.43 47:23 610 117:20,21 62 7:3 25:8 30:1 84:12 155:11 639 118:22 683 120:18,21	
2016 4:16 53:21 98:22 122:10,11 122:23 143:14,17 143:19	26 7:16,17 8:7 42:2 69:15 75:18 134:21 26th 69:16 27 8:21 10:1 135:7 142:16 278 107:10 27th 47:8,22 48:12	<hr/> 4 <hr/> 4 135:1,10,13,16 135:21,22 140:23 140:24 141:2 4.45 100:18 40 69:24 410 25:21 42 124:19,21 43 67:16 437 26:13,17 146:7 438 26:17 45 123:17 450 112:24 459 113:20 46 45:13,15 151:24 462 146:11 467 114:11 47 44:23 45:15 480 21:1,9 4A 97:22	<hr/> 7 <hr/> 7 58:13 59:2 77:20 130:5,7 134:1 718 121:7 722 120:25 726 121:14 738 106:12 76 57:21	
2017 140:6 141:11	28 42:19 46:1 147:4 284 109:6 28th 44:1 45:6 48:14 29 46:3	464 146:11 467 114:11 47 44:23 45:15 480 21:1,9 4A 97:22	<hr/> 8 <hr/> 8 14:13 58:11,18 98:22 134:1 8.00 78:11 82 64:25 85:7 83 124:5,6	
2020 2:24	<hr/> 3 <hr/> 3 15:2 16:11 26:11 104:15 138:24 140:4 141:2 146:7 3.00 71:9 3.16 117:22 3.32 76:3 3.5.1 124:14 30 7:19 77:23 121:1,14	<hr/> 5 <hr/> 5 22:4,5 25:20 95:9 98:3 134:14,17 5.00 27:10 36:19 74:19 5.05 114:12	<hr/> 9 <hr/> 9 94:8 99:4 100:20 130:7 131:23	
2021 1:1 156:13				
204 49:13 125:7				
205 125:11				
209 122:21 143:6				
20s 134:11 140:2				
20th 70:19 78:20				
21 68:23 112:24 116:9				
211 144:24				
2150 11:11				
21st 114:12 119:12				
22 104:17 130:25 146:4				
225 67:16				
22nd 20:19 22:23				
23 38:16 95:1				