Friday, 3 December 2021

I N D E X

Summing up (continued) 2

(9.30 am)

(In the presence of the jury)

Summing up (continued)

THE CORONER: Good morning, members of the jury.

Before we go back to where we were at the end of yesterday, can I just ask you to go behind divider 10, please, of the white bundle and go to page 5, the date is 8 July 2014.

The topic is the issue which has been described as Mr McCarthy stepping back and Mr O'Donnell replacing him as the senior investigating officer in relation to the Anthony Walgate investigation.

I have already reminded you that the evidence is that it was not unusual and is not unusual for an unexplained death to be investigated or overseen by a detective sergeant, but I just wanted to add a little flesh to that and remind you that Mr McCarthy's evidence was that he was heavily occupied with other important jobs, including taking on the community safety unit, together with his other onerous responsibilities for example for case progression and that may be relevant to his decision to, what has been called stepping back. I just wanted to add that flesh to that particular piece of the jigsaw.

Right, could we now move back to 30 September, at

tab 11, and page 6. This was the day that Mr and Mrs Whitworth and Ricky came here to Barking, first of all to see where Daniel's body had been found, but also to discuss the note. You will remember the evidence was that Mr Schamberger agreed that the family should visit Barking, including Ricky, but he only authorised that Mr Whitworth should be shown the note. He now agrees that it would have been extremely valuable if the note had been shown to Ricky at that time, and you will remember, winding the clock forward, Ricky didn't see it until the inquest.

They came to Barking, Ricky drove you will remember. Mr Whitworth thought that they brought with them a father's day card in order for the handwriting of Daniel to be compared with the note. As you know, Ricky was excluded from the meeting, he was not shown the note, despite the fact that Mr Whitworth was suggesting that it should be shown to Ricky that day.

The evidence from Mr and Mrs Whitworth was that they were left with the impression that expert handwriting evidence was going to be sought and they say they were told by Mr Slaymaker that we would then "know one way or the other".

Mr Slaymaker disputes that he told the family that, he says that he doesn't recall any discussion about

expert handwriting evidence. He does however recall that the Whitworths were saying having seen the note that it wasn't very personal and he agreed with them about that, that there weren't personal details in the note.

On that occasion, the Whitworths were not asked about events of the weekend of the dates, 27 and 28 August, the night that Gabriel's body was found. They were not asked about that. They told you, had they been asked about that, they would have recalled that in fact there was a family get together that weekend which Daniel was at and he was completely his normal self and, secondly, that they couldn't think of any time around the end of August when Daniel was missing or that people didn't know where he was, but neither of those pieces of information were obtained from them at that stage.

After that meeting, and after they had driven back home, Mandy Whitworth told you that she then told Ricky what was in the note. She wasn't given a copy of it but she had made some notes of what was in it and Ricky couldn't believe that Daniel could possibly have been responsible for somebody's death, particularly as he had been behaving so normally at around that time and indeed since that time.

Going back to then your chronology, at 1.45 on

30 November, Stephen Port texted Ryan Edwards again with a new telephone number. He then, as Jon Luck, sends messages to Thierry Amodio, you will remember these messages, about the party and also tells Thierry to keep his, Jon Luck's, name out of it.

This date is not on your chronology, but on 3 October Mr Schamberger is again chasing the actions in relation to phone work and intelligence, including intelligence relating to John Pape, which had still not been Donna.

Just to remind you, I said to you yesterday that there will be overlaps between the dates in the various investigations and at this stage on 6 October is when Mr McCarthy is chasing the HAT referral for Anthony Walgate, so that is going on in the other investigation simultaneously with the dates we are concerned with here.

On 7 October the toxicology report for Gabriel is available. The same day, Mr Slaymaker took the first statement from Ricky. In that statement, Ricky said that Daniel was at home with him on the night of 27 August. Mr Slaymaker did not ask to look at Ricky's phone. Had he done so, he would have seen that there were texts to and from Daniel and Ricky about Daniel bringing home some cakes for their tea and Ricky

remembered that that in fact is what had happened, Daniel had come home with some cakes. That information about the cakes came from Operation Lilford, right on in September 2016, but it was available back in 2014, on Ricky's phone, had that enquiry been made.

Just going back to Gabriel's toxicology, you will remember that the findings were that he had a very low level of alcohol. He had some methylamphetamine, but not enough to cause his death. His death was caused by a very high dose of GHB, 758 micrograms per millilitre, which is towards the top end of the range in which death has occurred. The range is 27 to 1,030, so 758 was towards the top of that range where death has occurred.

In addition in Gabriel's case there was a very high level of mephedrone, a drug which is used to stimulate and induce euphoric effects, those two drugs together, ingested in such large amounts, would be fatal, so said Dr Soosay in her final report after the toxicology had been referred to her and that was available, as you know, from 7 October.

On 10 October, DC Berry, who was not a witness you heard from but he did some intelligence checks on Gabriel on the system called IIP.

On 11 October, once DC Baxter finally found out that there was already a statement from John Pape which had

been taken, as I told you yesterday, by Mr Faulkner, she did upload a full summary of Mr Pape's statement onto the CRIS, and that is at page 54 of the CRIS.

It is on the same date, 11 October, that Mr Slaymaker records on the CRIS that he is going to seek phone data going back to 1 August. But that was never done.

On 13 October Mr Slaymaker emailed Mr Schamberger. He set out the actions that had been completed so far, namely: CCTV; statements taken; enquiries about Daniel's work, or some enquiries about that; Gabriel's property; the toxicology target; and the phone work that had been done so far.

But, firstly, the backdated phone work was still not done, backdated to 1 August, and there had been no statement taken from Daniel's employer. They had the statement from the colleague, you will remember, but they didn't have one from his employer.

Mr Slaymaker agreed before you that that statement should have been taken and the employer should have been asked whether Daniel was working on 27 and 28 August, the days around Gabriel's death. For some reason records are no longer available in relation to that, by the time Lilford asked for them they were no longer available.

I have reminded you already that Ricky's statement made it clear that it was very unlikely that Daniel had anything to do with Gabriel's death and Mr Schamberger agreed that should have been flagged up, but it wasn't and it was overlooked.

You can see from the chronology what was done on 14 and 16 October in relation to checks. But then DC Berry signs off the work as having been completed, when the phone work had not in fact been done.

On 17 October Dr Soosay produced her post mortem report and I have told you what her conclusions were.

Meanwhile, in the Anthony Walgate investigation, on 18 October, as I told you yesterday, Mr O'Donnell was sending Mr McCarthy an update with a view to submission to HAT, but that never happened. Instead Mr O'Donnell asked Mr Parish to do a report for the CPS, and we dealt with that yesterday.

Back to this investigation, on 20 October Mr Schamberger updates the CRIS, but there is no reference to the phone work. He accepted that that work, that phone work, had fallen off the radar by then.

Instead, on 4 November, Mr Schamberger signs off the phone work as having been completed, when in fact nothing had been obtained. He agreed when it was put to him that this was an incredibly inefficient way of

working and by signing it off, the phone work was never in fact therefore to be done.

On 17 November Ms Stanworth, the toxicologist, reported on Daniel. The finding that in his case too -- this is now the third time -- there was a high concentration of GHB in his system. Her report is dated 21 November, but, as you will remember, despite it being available to the police from them, it wasn't sent to Dr Swift and he had to chase it several times.

We then come to two important emails from John Pape to DC Baxter.

The first of them on 7 December, I wonder if that could be put up on the screen, please, it is our -- thank you.

If you look at the bottom one first, this is John Pape to Jacqueline Baxter, raising his concerns, including the information about:

"... older men drugging younger men in order to take advantage of them sexually.

"I worry about what is happening to young men in Barking."

You will remember that information came from the communications between Mr Pape and Mr Amodio.

DC Baxter didn't reply to that email, so Mr Pape followed it up on the 11th. That is an email at the top

of this page:

"Let me be more blunt: I have heard that Gabriel and the young guy who died in the same churchyard (Daniel?) were present at the same gay chemsex party the weekend before Gabriel died, I have had indirect information about the party and this person described a scenario in which older men drug younger men in order to take advantage of them sexually. Four young men have died of 'unexplained' deaths in Barking between 21 June and 22 September, Gabriel being the second, it would be great if I could talk to you ..."

She didn't reply to that email either and she agreed she should have put that information onto the system. She said no link had been made with Mr Pape's information and the note that had been found with Daniel, which was as described another fundamental failure with which she agreed.

No attempt was made by any officer to identify "the guy I was with last night" or "the mate's place" both referred to in the note, despite Mr Pape's concerns in these emails. Both Mr Schamberger and other officers agreed that that was potentially of very high significance. As you will remember, in fact, Karl Kamgdom, whose name the police had, could have pinpointed Daniel to Port's flat and to Cooke Street.

Instead, on 22 December 2014, the investigation was closed by DC Berry.

Then on 7 January it was closed by DS Turrell.

Then finally on 22 January, closed by Acting Detective Inspector Schamberger.

All those dates being before the pathologist report was available, and Mr Schamberger agreed that it was inappropriate, indeed wrong, for the investigation to have been closed down before the post mortem report had been received.

Mr Schamberger asked about all of this, said he wasn't aware of any of the concerns raised by Thierry Amodio or by John Pape. He said he should have seen the John Pape emails and a statement should have been taken from him about the party and so on. He agreed that a statement should have been taken from Mr Amodio as well. It is agreed that Ms Turrell's reasoning, which I reminded you about yesterday, that Mr Amodio was in Spain, was not a reason for not taking a statement from him. He agreed that what John Pape and Mr Amodio had to say was of the first importance in trying to get to the bottom of the case, but instead the investigation was closed down.

The next date is 23 December, which is when the pathology report in relation to Anthony Walgate became

available.

I am feeding these in so you know where the cross reference on dates is, in relation to the various investigations. On your Anthony Walgate chronology you have the events of late 2014 and early 2015, which you can cross reference.

On 13 January, Mr Schamberger prepared the closing report for the coroner on Gabriel.

On 8 April you will remember Mr Kirk was again advising that Stephen Port's laptop should be downloaded.

Then, finally, Dr Swift prepared his report on 17 April in relation to Daniel, which included the strong recommendation that the sheet should be submitted, which he is clear he said to the officers at the post mortem, but even after receiving that report the sheet was not sent. You will remember, had it been sent, it would have revealed Stephen Port's DNA on it.

On 18 April, the coroner sent enquiries to Mr Schamberger about CCTV, the handwriting and the bedsheet and asking for closing reports. In that context Mr Schamberger told you he hadn't read Dr Swift's report. He hurriedly prepared the report on Daniel, which is behind divider 60, and which you will remember contained quite a lot of errors, such as

reference to work having been done around the phone number and various details which Mr Schamberger accepted were misleading. He said had he read Ricky Waumsley's statement, he would have seen that there was positive evidence pointing away from Daniel being responsible for Gabriel's death and he agreed that he had made "a dreadful mistake".

He agreed that he should not have interpreted the toxicology and formed his own view as to the cause of death. He should not have said that there were "no suspicious marks", in the light of the bruising, and the handwriting had not been confirmed, as his report said, via diary and family.

He agreed that there was no evidence as per his conclusion of "both having had sexual encounters with other gay men via websites and other parties have not been identified".

The sex swabs had not been submitted.

In reality, as you know, Mr Pape and Mr Amodio had identified other witnesses -- Karl Kamgdom, Tony Fairy, Jon Luck and so on -- and Mr Schamberger agreed that this report might or would have misled the coroner as to what the true situation was.

On 28 April the laptop was finally submitted.

On 19 June inquests were held into the deaths of

Gabriel and Daniel. It was at those inquests that the family first learned that the bedsheet and the bottle had not been tested, nor had they heard about the bruising before.

Mr Pape gave evidence at one of those inquests and he referred the coroner to what he had heard about the orgy and the reference to older men drugging younger men and he expressed to the coroner surprise that there was no contact between Gabriel and Daniel established by the police. He also asked at the inquest whether there was a link with Anthony but was told that there was none. Mr Schamberger agreed that he did not have a grasp of the evidence when he gave evidence at the inquest. He didn't know that the phone data had not been obtained. He told the coroner that there were no external parties involved when, had the sheet been tested, it would have shown that Stephen Port was involved.

Following the inquest, the family said that they felt that Mr Schamberger had let them down. Ricky felt pushed out and Mr Schamberger agreed that Ricky should have been dealt with as a next of kin. They all felt that they had been ignored when they pointed out that this was murder and that their information and enquiries were not followed up. That included Mr Pape. Mr Slaymaker apologised if they felt that.

So no officer had linked Daniel and Gabriel's deaths with the earlier death of Anthony, and even after the inquest no one thought to send off the sheet, despite the coroner's queries and despite the open verdicts. It was agreed by the officers that anyone in the team could have done that, sent off the sheet, but nobody did.

In conclusion, in relation to these investigations, Gabriel and Daniel, there were a significant number of admitted failings and missed opportunities.

Firstly, in relation to forensic evidence, I said I would come back to this yesterday, Gabriel's sunglasses could have been sent off, as could Daniel's clothing, the neck swab, the sheet and the brown bottle. When those matters were submitted by Operation Lilford, they all came back with having Port's DNA on them.

Secondly, had open source and social media enquiries been done the falsity of the note would have been exposed and Mr Amodio, Mr Kamgdom and Jon Luck would have been identified or identifiable.

Thirdly, phone data would have shown that Daniel was not in Barking in August.

Fourthly, a proper investigation into the handwriting could have led to the true uncertainty and an expert analysis, which as you will remember when it was done in Operation Lilford came back within 24 hours

showing that the handwriting was definitively that of Stephen Port.

Finally, John Pape's information about Gabriel's movements and his concerns about the links between the deaths should have been followed up both by DC Baxter and other officers.

Those matters were all put to Mr Schamberger and he agreed that this was a significantly incompetent investigation and said that the above criticisms put to him by Ms Hill were fair. He agreed that if an investigation develops on an early view, there is a danger that the focus is on material which supports that view, in other words confirmation bias. He agreed that his team took the easy option of taking the note at face value and that they failed to follow up lines of enquiry which would have prevented Jack Taylor's murder.

Mr Schamberger agreed that there was bad policing by individual officers which occurred due to the range and the extent of their other responsibilities.

DI Kirk came back and gave some further evidence in relation to these enquiries and he agreed the investigation was disjointed, he agreed that the sheet should have been tested, even as late as after the inquests. He also agreed that had he conducted a review, the deaths may have been linked and

an opportunity for MIT to take over would have been there and the similarities would have been looked at much more carefully than they were on his watch. There were unanswered questions and the investigations were closed down too quickly. He said the local policing model was not at that time fit for purpose. He, Mr Kirk, did not know about John Pape's statement.

Then on 15 July Mr Parish got the USB back with the detail of what was on Mr Port's computer and he prepared the report, which he accepted did not reveal the true picture as uncovered by DC Thomas and Operation Lilford.

Ms Mackay, in relation to these investigations, says that she finds it surprising that the link between the three deaths was not made for the following three reasons.

Firstly, Mr Slaymaker was the family liaison officer for both Anthony and Daniel and yet he saw no link or similarities.

Secondly, the locations where the bodies were found were so close together, they all died from GHB and none of them came from Barking.

Thirdly, the reference to the press interview involving Mr Kirk in which he asked for Anthony's details makes it seem as if some sort of link had been made back then in September, although Mr Kirk said he

didn't in fact see any link.

She said after the inquest Mr Schamberger should have revisited the matter, bearing in mind the unexpected open verdicts.

Between Daniel's death and that of Jack Taylor Stephen Port attacked five more living victims and there was one more, even after Jack's death.

Before I move to Jack, I remind you that it was 24 October 2015 that DC Parish identified Stephen Port as being the male with Jack.

Then chapter 4, members of the jury, if you turn over in your bundle to divider 12 you will see the chronology relating to Jack. You will have noted from the questionnaires that we went through yesterday that there are no questions for you to answer in relation to the investigation into Jack's death. As any failings that there were, thankfully, did not contribute to any further deaths. You have heard about the investigation into Jack's death, as there are arguably some similarities between the facts and the investigations which may be relevant to your considerations of the earlier investigations and those involved in them.

Jack was 25 when he was murdered. He lived at home with his parents and was very close to his two sisters Donna and Jenny. He worked in a bonded warehouse as

a warehouse operative and champagne picker. He had aspirations to join the security industry and had recently taken a loan for training in that field. He had had girlfriends, but Donna had had suspicions that he might be gay or bisexual. His routine was to work from Sundays to Thursdays. At the weekends he would see his sisters and friends and was always home for Sunday lunch. You know Stephen Port was in prison between 23 March and 4 June 2015, having been sentenced at Snaresbrook Crown Court for perverting the course of justice.

Mr Richards told you that the first contact that Operation Lilford identified between Jack and Stephen Port was in July 2015, but it was a very, very brief conversation and it came to nothing -- a conversation on Grindr. There was then no further contact between Jack and Stephen Port until September.

On Saturday, 12 September Jack went to Donna's home as usual. He then went for a curry with friends and then on to the Trades Hall working men's club. He got home at about 1.00 in the morning. He had had a few drinks but was not drunk. He chatted with his dad for a short while and then at about 1.10 told his dad he was going to bed.

The Operation Lilford evidence showed that he went

online when he went up to his bedroom, initially searching for information about becoming a registered security guard and how to get a security licence, but then between 2.00 in the morning and 2.45 he was communicating with Stephen Port and they agreed in the end to meet at Barking station. Just before he left, as you will see on the last entry on this first page of the chronology, Jack set up Find My iPhone, before logging off and heading for Barking.

He called a cab at 02.38, it picked him up at 2.43 and at 2.48 the taxi dropped Jack off at Barking station. As you know, the CCTV then tracks them until they go out of sight. It was that CCTV, which after some clearer version of it had been obtained, that DC Parish was to look at in due course but there are further events to remind you of before we get there.

The CCTV showed Port and Jack meeting and walking in the direction of Cooke Street from about 2.48 on the Sunday morning, the 13th. There was then no more heard of of Jack, until his body was found 35 hours later.

At 12.50 or thereabouts in the afternoon of 14 September Mr Elmes, a groundskeeper in Barking, found Jack's body. You have photographs of where he was found and of course you have been there. It is the other side of the wall from where Gabriel and Daniel were found the

previous year. Mr Elmes flagged down two park officers, PCs Holder and Taylor, and Inspector O'Donohue attended. There were two searches of Jack, one done by the officers without disturbing him and then one on the inspector's direction down to skin.

They revealed no injuries, but those officers did find a small brown bottle and a wrap of white powder. You will recall they didn't find the tourniquet or the wetwipes and they didn't notice the needle mark, but it was, as you will remember, raining heavily so perhaps that is understandable.

At 2.01 the CID arrived in the form of Ms Adeyemo-Phillips and Detective Sergeant Sweetman. They stayed for about 55 minutes in total. You will remember Mr Sweetman had attended Gabriel's scene and Ms Adeyemo-Phillips had attended Daniel's scene. Mr Sweetman in fact mentioned that fact to his colleague, that he had attended a crime scene at the same location a year earlier in August 2014 and she says she told him that she too had attended a crime scene of a death on the other side of the wall. She told you she had not in fact in the intervening period attended the scenes of any other sudden deaths in the intervening 12 months, so no other sudden deaths since Daniel in her case.

She says that she tasked PC Merritt, another of the uniformed officers, to check behind the wall where the body was found in case other property or more particularly Jack's phone had been discarded and she said she did that because she recalled that no phones had been found on those whose bodies were found in the churchyard in 2014 -- that's Gabriel and Daniel, obviously. In a statement that she made in November 2015 she stated that she had told Detective Sergeant Sweetman that, in other words that there had been no phones, and that she had made a connection between the three deaths due to the location and the lack of phones. Mr Sweetman doesn't recall that conversation with Ms Adeyemo-Phillips.

At about 5.00 at that afternoon a young female officer called PC Greenaway and two others went to Jack's home and PC Greenaway just simply announced that he was dead. His parents were naturally devastated. Drugs were mentioned and straight away Mr Taylor said Jack didn't do drugs. Police officers went to Jack's bedroom and noted how tidy it was. They took away some of his prescribed medication and left after about half an hour, leaving a card with Sergeant Ben Tanner's number on it and saying that he, Mr Tanner, would be in touch. In fact the Taylor family heard nothing for 10 days.

Dr Soosay carried out the coronial post mortem on Jack's body on 16 September. As with the three other deaths, the cause of death could not be ascertained and she sent off samples for toxicology. It was at the post mortem that the tourniquet and antiseptic wipes were found in Jack's clothing. Dr Soosay did note that Jack's brain was swollen and his lungs heavy with blood and water, which may be indicators, as you now are well familiar with, of drug overdose. She also noticed what she described as a possible needle mark on the inner right elbow. You will remember that Jack was right handed and the query was raised therefore as to whether he could have injected himself in his right elbow or would have done.

The bottle and the powder that were found with Jack were sent off for toxicology.

After that 10-day delay, and the distress that that had caused the family, Donna Taylor told you that she called the number on the card that had been left, Ben Tanner's number, and she said it was PC Jon Taylor, so one of the two park officers, who called her back and was apologetic that no one had been in touch. You will remember you have Donna's notes about what happened and they are behind divider 18 in your bundle, an example of

them is on your screen now.

The same day the two parks officers, Jon Taylor and Dean Holder, visited the family. They commented to the family how smartly dressed Jack had been and that he didn't look like someone who was on drugs. However, as the family interpreted it, the police were convinced that Jack had died from a voluntary overdose and the family felt that they gave up on Jack because of that assumption, that he was a drug taker. Donna was adamant that those two officers did tell them about the red blanket, but none of the police officers recalls the red blanket. You can see it in the photograph that you have. None of the officers recall that.

When she was told or when they were told that Jack's wallet was found in his left back pocket, Donna pointed out that that was the wrong pocket, because Jack was right handed. To summarise, you know she gave the police a lot of information, having spent hours with her sister Jenny on the internet researching all these matters and you have her notes in which she and Jenny piece things together, found the press reports into the three deaths and worked out the similarities between the deaths, for example on page 4 of her notes, which is on your screen at the moment, all had GHB, no phones for example, around the same area and all those matters that

she has listed there, following the investigations that she and her sister Jenny did.

The family were all adamant, as I say, that Jack would not take drugs.

Donna also did some research on the little bottle that was found and found out from her internet searches that GHB was sometimes stored in eyedrop bottles or hotel shampoo bottles and she also found out that it was a date rape drug.

On 25 September, so we are now on page 4 of your chronology, DI Kirk was informed by his junior officers that the Taylor family felt let down, no doubt because of that 10- or 11-day delay when they had heard nothing. He agreed to you that one part of his organisation was at that stage not doing its job. He didn't know that Mr Tanner had never even met the family, despite his details being the contact that were left on the day of Jack's death.

On 28 September the sisters were taken to the scene by those two parks officers, Jon Taylor and Dean Holder, here in the Abbey grounds. Donna is convinced that they were led to believe -- and the CCTV had shown -- that Jack had walked alone into a park area, which of course is not correct. You will see there were a number of queries raised by her about that, but that is not what

the CCTV showed.

PC McDonald became involved, Lindsey McDonald, and she made notes of her contacts with the family which you have on your screen now. She records that the family believed his death to be suspicious because Jack did not do drugs.

On 4 October Sergeant Laffan, who had become involved, and Inspector O'Donohue put together a comprehensive briefing note ready for a meeting on Monday the 5th, which you have seen here, and you will remember this, additions made in red by Mr O'Donohue. This was all prepared ready for a briefing on the Monday morning, Monday the 5th, which was to take place with Detective Sergeant Sweetman and DI McSheffrey, because "there were too many unanswered questions".

That meeting took place on 5 October and the senior officers were advised that the male who Jack met would need to be identified, so in other words the male on the CCTV would need to be identified before the HAT team could be contacted. That was the advice that they were given.

Later that day Mr Laffan and Lindsey McDonald visited the family to show them the CCTV, to see if they could identify the male. Mr Laffan conducted a search of Jack's room and described it as impeccably laid out.

You have on the screen Ms McDonald's notes as to what Donna was saying that day. Donna had mentioned the Abbey ruins on her investigations had thrown up that they were a gay cottaging site and that she was "already asking whether the previous deaths could have been connected to Jack", but Lindsey McDonald told her there was no reason to believe that they are connected.

The families say that they discussed the other deaths with Mr Laffan. Donna Taylor had copied out the press articles, and you will see those in her notes, and she says she gave them to Mr Laffan but he has no recollection of that and he thinks that during these discussions when Donna was drawing police attention to possible links he was upstairs in Jack's room, because the search of the room took him some time.

Donna says she was saying Jack would not have gone anywhere dirty like the scene of where his body was found, but Mr Laffan didn't recall her saying that when he was around.

You will remember that there was a syringe found with Jack's body which was unused and Mr Laffan said he didn't know that, that it was an unused syringe.

Donna recalls the police saying the death was not suspicious and her words were "they dismissed us and said that they were not connected". They were told

Daniel had committed suicide and Gabriel was homeless.

As I have told you, Sergeant Laffan had no recollection of Donna mentioning the possible links with the other boys and says if he had been aware of that, it would have "gone to the top". If he had seen Donna's notes relating to the similarities, the same applied. He didn't recall any mention of GHB as a date rape drug. He would have looked into all of this if he had known about it, as he did with the other enquiries around CCTV, Jack's wallet, the phone work and Jack's coat.

Pausing there, matters that are in Ms McDonald's notes were not, it is said, known to Sergeant Laffan and had he known he would have taken further action in relation to those matters.

On 18 September Teresa Steadman, the coroner's officer, raised the coroner's concerns about there being similarities between the earlier deaths, and that is the document that is on your screen at the moment. We now have the Taylor family raising concerns about similarities and the coroner and the coroner's officer. Ms Mackay said to you that that really should have led to an enquiry into the similarities and there was no basis for the repeated assertion by the police officers that there was no link.

Once the family found out that Jack had no phone

with him when he was found and once they were shown the CCTV, they became convinced that the male he was with had done something to Jack. Ms McDonald noted on 9 October, having seen the CCTV, that Donna said "she was starting to think that maybe he was meeting up with this male for sexual reasons".

Donna said Jack's phone, she told the police this, Jack's phone was always on and he even carried a separate charging case with him so that he never ran out of battery. Donna feels that they gave the police all the information to prove the links, and she feels that her brother should still be here.

Mr Kirk, in relation to the Taylor investigation, said Lindsey McDonald should have told Mr Laffan about the family's concerns and that more should have been done and that, added to the coroner's concerns, it would have made a difference. He said:

"I should have been told. People were telling officers that there might be a link and officers were closing their minds."

He agreed that the similarities were obvious and that everyone should have sat down in a room and discussed it. He didn't even know that there was a specialist crime review group to whom he could have gone.

On 7 October 2015 Mr Laffan received the preliminary toxicology results in relation to Jack. Ms Mackay said that that was another -- what she called a staging point, at which the links should have been made. CID should have got grip and governance and all four deaths referred back to HAT at that point.

On 8 October queries were raised about the photograph of Jack and whether the position of his hand indicated that he might have been holding his phone and his phone taken from his hand.

On the 9th Donna was shown clearer footage of the CCTV, or stills from the footage, and that is when she raised the question as to whether Jack may have been meeting the male for sexual reasons.

On 12 October the family approved the press release and the press release took place on the 13th.

On the same date, the tourniquet and the swabs were submitted for fingerprint examination.

14 October is the date that DC Parish identified Stephen Port from the CCTV as he walked past Lindsey McDonald's computer. It was, as Mr Kirk described it, a goosebump moment. He, Mr Kirk, immediately convened a meeting of all the officers who had been involved in the four deaths. He called the HAT car and he ensured that four comprehensive files were

put together.

HAT officers arrived at 15.15 that afternoon, and there was a two-hour meeting at which the borough officers pointed out that the cases were riddled with suspicious similar circumstances. Nevertheless the HAT decision even at that stage was for the borough to keep the investigation that night, as "we could not prove murder". That was despite the concerns that were raised by the borough officers, including concerns about confidence and the gay community.

The borough had prepared a full and comprehensive briefing and Mr McSheffrey laid out the evidence documenting the very obvious bases for linking the four deaths and that they were likely to be homicides at the hands of Stephen Port, but still SC&O1 didn't take primacy that day. Mr Kirk's view was that primacy should have been taken straight away, because his borough did not have the resources or the capacity to do what needed to be done. It was in fact 24 hours before they did take it over.

Finally, Mr Kirk gave evidence before you and in his conclusion he concluded by saying that he accepted that things had gone wrong and he remains of the view that HAT, for whom he now works -- he is now on the murder investigation teams -- should have taken over the first

investigation. He said he accepts the deaths were unexplained and he said:

"The whole investigation has been a salutary lesson to me and is something I very much keep in mind in my current role."

He says he now always bears in mind the pressures on the borough and this investigation changed a lot of mindsets. Mr Kirk agreed that the approach of local policing should have been better and could have led to the earlier arrest of Stephen Port. These were important borderline homicide cases, they should have been reviewed. There were missed opportunities in the failures to investigate Anthony Walgate's case properly, to find out Gabriel Kovari's last movements and Daniel Whitworth's whereabouts, and he agreed that the family and friends of those who died had important information and that the way they were dealt with was not acceptable. He concluded by saying that he was deeply sorry.

After Jack's death, and before the arrest of Stephen Port, Stephen Port drugged and raped another young male, described as X9.

Just taking the matter forward on the chronology, you have the details there.

On 18 October Stephen Port was charged with

administration of noxious substances and remanded in custody.

On 27 October he was charged with murder.

In due course, as a result of Operation Lilford, Port's DNA was found on the bottle and the tourniquet which had been found with Jack's body.

That is the end of my summary of the facts, members of the jury.

I am just going to deal finally with the evidence that you heard from Deputy Assistant Commissioner Cundy.

He said:

"This has been my absolute priority to find out what went wrong, how it could be fixed and how we can improve."

He said:

"From the time of Stephen Port's trial the Metropolitan Police Service has publicly accepted that things went wrong with these investigations. It was clear to me that there were a number of missed opportunities, as well as wider impact. Professional standards were not met."

He said:

"I am deeply sorry, personally and on behalf of the Metropolitan Police, that we did not conduct these cases to the standard which the families deserved. It is

a matter of personal disappointment to me."

He then set out five areas in which lessons have been learned.

The first was in relation to the quality of the initial death investigations and the professional curiosity, or lack of professional curiosity, of the investigators, including the individual and collective actions. The approach of both local and specialist investigators should have been better and could have led to the earlier arrest of Stephen Port on suspicion of murder.

Secondly, the leadership of the original investigators, including the direction and support for investigators. There should have been more effective direction, leadership, support and resourcing -- could have identified and pursued other investigative lines of enquiry. The lack of clear investigative structures and strategies did not lead to any review, despite concerns being raised by the victims' families, partners, friends and members of the LGBT community.

Thirdly, the interactions between the local policing and the specialist crime investigators, the interaction did not on occasions meet the Metropolitan Police's expectations. Local officers did not have the willingness or confidence to challenge the views and

advice from serious crime command, so that specialist advice and involvement did not always occur when it could or should have.

Fourthly, the awareness and understanding of the use of GHB, chemsex and criminal activity has now been looked into.

Finally, engagement and understanding and working with members from the LGBT+ community. He said although there were independent advisers in 2014, their advice was not sought and they could have helped challenge the belief that the deaths were not linked.

In relation to the first of those areas, he says the force has now been divided into 12 more resilient basic command units, with broader larger teams including intelligence and more local coordination. There is now a closer relationship between local policing and specialist teams. There are now four specialist geographical crime hubs across London and one of those is in Barking. Primacy is now a softer-edged decision, the new specialist crime command works more collaboratively with the boroughs and more HAT cars are available 24/7. There is now a specialist crime review group.

There is improved intelligence and analytical support with local intelligence teams and increased

awareness of the PND's capabilities. Following the £2.2 billion reduction there was a reduction in police and staff and an increased workload with reduced morale, but he said in the Metropolitan Police Service we did all we could to keep officer numbers high. One of the core elements of policing has always been to manage risk and prioritise.

Acting up and temporary roles were not as extensive in Barking as they were elsewhere, but I can understand, he said, the additional challenge where in a small borough a number of officers are acting up.

In relation to these four deaths, they fell into a category of sudden and unexplained. There must now in such cases always be a CRIS equivalent set up and when deciding whether a death is suspicious or not, the direction is to think homicide.

Mr Cundy said that in these investigations there was a lack of professional curiosity, for example in relation to the note, the lack of phones being found and on numerous occasions actions were set which were not followed. He said it is very unusual for there to be such a wide number of individual and collective errors or failures, despite all the failures these tasks were tasks within the skill set of the local officers he said. A lot of things were not followed through which

would have identified Stephen Port earlier. It needed clear leadership.

He said here there was a group of officers who time after time did not do their job. It was not about not having the time, there was a need to identify and understand the risk and prioritise the workload accordingly and shuffle around resources.

He was asked again about the number of officers who were acting, trainee or temporary and whether it was unusual and could or should have affected the performance of the borough.

He said, firstly, every police officer of whatever rank is an investigator.

Secondly, there are other boroughs with more officers in such roles and it is a routine part of career development, but of course it does mean if people are acting up or in temporary roles, it means even more importantly that there should be good leadership and supervision.

He said the training now given to officers should ensure there is no repeat of this. He said it was astonishing for him to hear some of the things which were not done here and that actions were signed off when they had not been completed and that the Anthony Walgate and Daniel Whitworth investigations were closed down

prematurely. He said the new CRIS system will prevent that.

In relation to computer downloads he says there is now a more advanced and efficient system and most of that can be done locally and quickly.

In relation to the way the families were treated, he said it was plain wrong that Anthony Walgate's father was told that it was his brother who was dead, that Ricky was told that Daniel had hanged himself and that Jack Taylor's family were just told that Jack was dead by an officer who just blurted it out as she arrived in their house, without even asking them to sit down. He said it was also wrong that Ricky was excluded.

He said it is particularly important to listen to what the families are saying and a family liaison officer is the bridge between the family and the investigators. In relation to leadership, he said review is a very important part of leadership. Donna Taylor's notes were referred to and he said the review here wasn't done by the police, it was done by the families and when they tried to engage the police, they were not listened to. The Metropolitan Police Service simply should not have allowed these four deaths to take place within 15 months, so close together, with no officer reviewing or making the links. There should

have been a regular review process. He said:

"We can now commission an independent review through a specialist crime group."

But you will remember Mr Kirk was asked about that and said he didn't even know that that review group existed. Mr Cundy in that context said there needs to be more information made available so that officers do know that that facility is available.

He said the facts here called for an internal and an external review and that even with the pressures of work described here, there is still a way to carry out effective supervision. There was a lack of robust leadership and he said I struggle to understand how investigations were closed down when they were.

In relation to primacy, Mr Cundy said he thought that primacy should have been taken in the Daniel Whitworth and Gabriel Kovari cases. He said Anthony's case is more nuanced but he noted that DCI Jones had said he would have taken it if he had known about the PND information and the incident at Barking station.

He said if primacy is not taken there is still a lot more that could and should have been done to support the borough.

In relation to GHB, he said many of the officers

didn't know what GHB was or that it was a date rape drug, "... we have undertaken a huge amount to raise awareness in that context. The investigators here knew that GHB was involved but they did not show any professional curiosity to find out about it".

In relation to engagement with the LGBT+ community, he said, "... there has been a significant increase in engagement since 2015. It is to be noted that there was no advice by MIT for the borough to contact the local independent advisory group". He was then shown the 2007 review into a serial killer of gay men with important geographical links, and he accepted there are similarities in that case to this. He said there was still a failure here to recognise common threads and more focus on determining promiscuity and risk taking, rather than interpreting the true position.

He agreed there are echoes of that 2007 report here.

Finally, he considered as a whole the approach of local policing and specialist investigators should have been better and could have led to the earlier arrest of Stephen Port on suspicion of murder.

Members of the jury, can I now take you, finally, please, back to the legal directions which are behind divider 1 in your white file. Could you go, please, to paragraph 48 on page 8. Can I point out that there was

an original version of this in the version I gave you yesterday which I have changed, but we have left the original version in because I think one or two of you might have made a note on it but you should now have a new page 8, beginning with "Final directions" paragraph 48, going on to a second sheet, page 9, and paragraph 54. I can see some of you have it, but I think some of you might be on the wrong one.

Madam, if you could just go back a page, you will see a new version, I hope? There we are.

Does everybody have that?

Could you cross out, please, the original version you received yesterday, cross out the final directions, because I have slightly tweaked them. We are going to be looking at the new version with a couple of lines at the top of page 9. Thank you.

No mystery, it is just a little bit more detail in this version.

Okay, everybody at paragraph 48?

Shortly you are going to be retiring to consider the conclusions that you need to reach on the questionnaires that I took you through yesterday. Your task, as you know, is firstly to amend or approve the short narratives in each of the four cases and to answer the questions on the jury questionnaires, as well as

entering the details on the record of inquest. You should attempt, if you can, to reach unanimous conclusions by producing a narrative and answers on which you are all agreed. If there comes a time when any majority conclusions can be accepted, you will be brought back into court and told that this is the case. Until you hear that from me, unanimous conclusions, please, on anything that you reach.

If you haven't already done so, could you please elect a foreperson. This is really important. It needs to be someone -- I know you all know each other very well now, so you will not have any difficulty with this -- in whom you all have trust and who themselves is confident in their ability to chair and control your discussions, to ensure that your approach to your task is conducted efficiently and in a logical sequence and to ensure that everyone has their say and that politeness and good manners pertain at all times. Your foreman, I have called it a foreman, of course it can be a lady or a man, should also be prepared to speak on your behalf when you return to court.

On that topic, I have no way of knowing how long your deliberations are going to last. I just interpose, there, at the end of each day you will be brought back into court and I will give you some directions as to

what happens until the next day -- of course you don't stay here forever.

When you have completed your task though you should inform the jury bailiff and hand him your completed questionnaires, together with the records of inquest. You will remember you only need one questionnaire and one record of inquest for each of the four cases. Please make sure that any of your additions are clearly legible. I will then check the answers to the questions on the jury questionnaires, make absolutely sure they have complied with the directions I gave you, and with your entries on the records of inquest, and they will then all be typed up.

We will then pause until the following day or, if it is a Friday, until the Monday, in order that the families and friends of the deceased can come to court to hear your conclusions. I just want you to bear that in mind. So when you have reached your conclusions, whatever time of day that is, we will pause until the next day, you will appreciate Anthony's family are in Hull, so it is going to take them some time to get here.

Shortly before we sit again, I will provide you, your foreperson with typed copies of the questionnaires and the records of inquest for you to check, check that they comply with what you wanted to say, and you will

then be brought back into court and your foreperson will deliver your conclusions in response to the questions, which I will read out verbatim from the questionnaires. I hope that is clear, that is how it is going to work. I will read out the questions from the questionnaires and your foreperson will read out your answers to them.

There we are, members of the jury.

In a moment I will ask the jury bailiffs to take their oaths. They will explain to you the position so far as breaks are concerned, if they haven't already done so, and when you retire please start your discussions but don't start them quite as soon as you get into your room, because we need to do the donkey work of getting your files up there and my team will be doing that. Whatever else you do when you get up there, don't start discussing the evidence until you 11 are on your own in there, all right.

Thank you very much.

I will ask the jury bailiffs to be sworn, please.

(The jury bailiffs were sworn)

THE CORONER: Right, members of the jury, if you would like to go now with the ushers, please.

(The jury retired)

(10.32 am)

(The inquests adjourned)

A
Abbey 25:21 27:3
ability 42:14
absolute 33:12
absolutely 43:10
acceptable 32:18
accepted 8:20 13:2 17:10 31:22 33:17 40:12 42:5
accepts 32:1
acting 11:4 36:8 36:11 37:9,17
action 28:13
actions 5:7 7:9 34:8 36:20 37:23
activity 35:5
adamant 24:9 25:3
add 2:16,23
added 29:16
addition 6:14
additional 36:10
additions 26:11 43:8
Adeyemo-Phillips 21:13,16 22:14
adjourned 44:25
administration 33:1
admitted 15:9
advanced 38:4
advantage 9:19 10:8
advice 26:20 35:1 35:2,9 40:9
advised 26:17
advisers 35:9
advising 12:10
advisory 40:10
afternoon 20:21 22:15 31:2
agreed 3:5 4:3 7:19 8:4,24 10:12,17,22 11:7 11:16,17,20 13:6 13:8,14,21 14:12 14:20 15:5 16:8 16:10,13,17,21 16:22,24 20:5 25:14 29:21 32:8 32:15 40:17 42:4
agrees 3:7
alcohol 6:8
allowed 38:23
amend 41:23
Amodio 5:3 9:23 11:13,17,19,21 13:19 15:18
amount 40:2
amounts 6:17
analysis 15:24
analytical 35:24
announced 22:17
answer 18:15 41:24
answers 42:3 43:9 44:6
Anthony 2:12 5:15 8:12 11:25 12:4 14:11 15:2 17:17 32:13 37:24 38:7
Anthony's 17:23 39:18 43:20
antiseptic 23:6
apologetic 23:23
apologised 14:25
applied 28:6
appreciate 43:20
approach 32:8 34:8 40:18 42:15
approve 41:23
approved 30:15
April 12:9,13,19 13:24
area 24:25 25:23
areas 34:2 35:12
arguably 18:19
arrest 32:10,20 34:10 40:20
arrived 21:12 31:2 38:11
articles 27:10
ascertained 23:4
asked 4:6,9,10 7:21,24 8:16 11:11 14:10 17:23 37:8 39:4
asking 12:21 27:5 38:12
aspirations 19:2
assertion 28:23
Assistant 33:10
assumption 24:9
astonishing 37:22
attacked 18:6
attempt 10:18 42:2
attended 21:2,15 21:16,18,20,22
attention 27:13
August 4:8,14 5:22 7:6,15,21 15:21 21:19
authorised 3:6
available 5:19 6:4 6:19 7:23,25 9:8 11:7 12:1 35:22 39:7,8
aware 11:12 28:4
awareness 35:4 36:1 40:3

B
back 2:5,10,22,25 4:17,25 6:4,6 7:6 8:18 15:11,15,25 16:20 17:8,25 23:22 24:15 30:6 40:23 41:9 42:6 42:24 44:1
backdated 7:14,15
bad 16:17
bailiff 43:4
bailiffs 44:8,19,20
Barking 3:2,6,12 9:21 10:9 15:21 17:21 20:6,9,11 20:22 35:19 36:9 39:21
bases 31:13
basic 35:13
basis 28:23
battery 29:10
Baxter 6:24 9:11 9:16,24 16:5
bear 43:17
bearing 18:3
bears 32:6
becoming 20:2
bed 19:24
bedroom 20:1 22:21
bedsheet 12:21 14:2
beginning 41:5
behalf 33:23 42:21
behaving 4:23
belief 35:11
believe 4:21 25:22 27:7
believed 26:5
Ben 22:23 23:21
Berry 6:21 8:7 11:2
better 32:9 34:9 40:20
bias 16:13
billion 36:2
bisexual 19:5
bit 41:17
blanket 24:11,12
blood 23:8
blunt 10:2
blurted 38:11
bodies 17:19 22:6
body 3:3 4:8 20:20 20:23 22:3 23:3 27:17,21 33:6
bonded 18:25
borderline 32:11
borough 31:3,6,9 31:11,18 32:7 36:11 37:11 39:24 40:9
boroughs 35:21 37:14
bottle 14:2 15:13 21:7 23:16 25:5 33:5
bottles 25:7,8
bottom 9:15 11:22
boys 28:4
brain 23:8
breaks 44:10
bridge 38:16
brief 19:15
briefing 26:9,12 31:12
bringing 5:25
broader 35:14
brother 29:12 38:8
brought 3:13 42:6 42:24 44:1
brown 15:13 21:7
bruising 13:11 14:4
bundle 2:7 18:12 23:25

C
cab 20:10
cakes 5:25 6:2,3
called 2:22 6:23 20:10 22:16 23:20,22 30:3,24 39:9 42:19
capabilities 36:1
capacity 31:18
car 30:25
card 3:14 22:23 23:20
career 37:16
carefully 17:3
carried 23:2 29:8
carry 39:11
cars 35:21
case 2:21 6:14 9:4 11:22 21:25 22:3 29:9 32:13 39:18 40:13 42:6
cases 31:4 32:11 33:24 36:14 39:17 41:24 43:7
category 36:13
cause 6:9 13:9 23:4
caused 6:9 23:19
CCTV 7:10 12:20 20:12,13,17 25:22 26:1,19,23 28:10 29:2,4 30:12,20
chair 42:14
challenge 34:25 35:10 36:10
champagne 19:1
changed 32:7 41:2
chapter 18:11

charged 32:25 33:3
charging 29:9
chase 9:9
chasing 5:7,14
chatted 19:22
check 22:2 43:9,24 43:24
checks 6:22 8:7
chemsex 10:4 35:5
chronology 4:25 5:6 8:6 12:4 18:13 20:8 25:11 32:23
churchyard 10:3 22:7
CID 21:12 30:4
circumstances 31:5
clear 8:2 12:15 33:19 34:17 37:2 44:4
clearer 20:14 30:11
clearly 43:8
clock 3:10
close 17:20 18:24 38:24
closed 11:2,3,4,9 11:23 17:5 37:25 39:14
closer 35:16
closing 12:7,21 29:20
clothing 15:13 23:7
club 19:20
coat 28:10
collaboratively 35:21
colleague 7:17 21:18
collective 34:7 36:22
come 6:2 9:10 15:11 43:16
comes 42:4
command 35:1,14 35:20
commented 24:3
commission 39:2
Commissioner 33:10
committed 28:1
common 40:14
communicating 20:5
communications 9:23
community 2:19 31:10 34:20 35:8 40:6
compared 3:15
completed 7:9 8:8 8:23 37:24 43:3 43:4
completely 4:12
complied 43:11
comply 43:25
comprehensive 26:9 30:25 31:11
computer 17:9 30:21 38:3
concentration 9:6
concerned 5:17 44:10
concerns 9:16 10:20 11:12 16:4 28:16,19 29:15 29:16 31:8,9 34:18
concluded 31:22 32:18
conclusion 13:15 15:7 31:22
conclusions 8:11 41:21 42:3,5,7 43:17,18 44:2
conduct 33:24
conducted 16:24 26:24 42:16
confidence 31:10 34:25
confident 42:14
confirmation 16:13
confirmed 13:12
connected 27:6,7 27:25
connection 22:11
consider 41:20
considerations 18:21
considered 40:18
contact 14:9 19:12 19:17 25:17 40:9
contacted 26:20
contacts 26:3
contained 12:25
context 12:22 39:6 40:3
continued 1:5 2:3
contribute 18:17
control 42:14
convened 30:23
conversation 19:15,16 22:14
convinced 24:6 25:21 29:2
Cooke 10:25 20:18
coordination 35:15
copied 27:9
copies 43:23
copy 4:19
core 36:6
coroner 2:4 12:8 12:19 13:22 14:6 14:8,15 28:20 44:21
coroner's 15:4 28:15,16,20 29:16
coronial 23:2
correct 25:24
cottaging 27:4
couple 41:15
course 19:10 20:15 20:24 25:23 33:4 37:16 42:19 43:1
court 19:10 42:6 42:21,25 43:16 44:1
CPS 8:16
crime 21:18,20 29:24 34:22 35:1 35:18,20,22 39:3
criminal 35:5
CRIS 7:3,3,5 8:19 36:14 38:1
criticisms 16:9
cross 12:2,6 41:12 41:13
Crown 19:10
Cundy 33:10 36:17 39:6,15
curiosity 34:6,6 36:18 40:5
current 32:5
curry 19:19
custody 33:2

D

D 1:3
dad 19:22,23
danger 16:12
Daniel 3:15 4:12 4:14,21 5:21,24 5:24 6:2 7:21 8:2 9:4 10:3,16,25 12:13,24 13:5 14:1,9 15:1,8,20 17:17 20:25 21:24 22:7 28:1 32:15 37:25 38:9 39:17
Daniel's 3:3 7:10 7:16 15:12 18:5 21:16
data 7:6 14:14 15:20
date 2:7 5:6 7:4 11:24 25:9 28:7 30:17,19 40:1
dated 9:6
dates 4:7 5:12,16 11:6 12:3
day 3:1,14,18 5:19 24:2 25:17 26:22 27:2 31:16 42:24 43:1,14,19,20
days 7:22 23:1
DC 6:21,24 8:7 9:11,24 11:2 16:5 17:11 18:9 20:15 30:19
DCI 39:19
dead 22:18 38:8,10
deal 33:9
dealt 8:16 14:21 32:17
Dean 24:3 25:20
death 2:15 4:22 6:9,9,11,13 7:22 8:3 13:6,10 15:2 18:5,7,16,19 21:21 23:4 25:18 26:5 27:23 32:20 34:5 36:15
deaths 10:9 13:25 15:1 16:5,25 17:14 18:18 21:23,24 22:12 23:4 24:22,23 27:5,9 28:17 30:5,24 31:14 32:1 35:11 36:12 38:23
deceased 43:16
December 1:1 9:12 11:1,24
deciding 36:15
decision 2:22 31:6 35:19
deeply 32:19 33:23
definitively 16:1
delay 23:18 25:13
deliberations 42:23
deliver 44:2
Deputy 33:10
described 2:9 10:6 10:16 23:11 26:25 30:22 32:22 39:11
deserved 33:25
despite 3:17 9:7 10:20 15:3,4 25:16 31:8 34:18 36:23
detail 17:9 41:17
details 4:4 13:2 17:24 25:17 32:24 42:1
detective 2:16 11:5 21:13 22:9 26:14

determining 40:15
devastated 22:18
development 37:16
develops 16:11
DI 16:20 25:11 26:14
diary 13:13
died 10:3,5,8 17:20 24:7 32:16
difference 29:17
difficulty 42:12
direction 20:18 21:5 34:13,15 36:16
directions 40:23 41:5,13 42:25 43:11
dirty 27:17
disappointment 34:1
discarded 22:4
discuss 3:4
discussed 27:8 29:23
discussing 44:16
discussion 3:25
discussions 27:13 42:15 44:12
disjointed 16:22
dismissed 27:24
disputes 3:24
distress 23:18
disturbing 21:4
divided 35:13
divider 2:6 12:24 18:12 23:25 40:24
DNA 12:18 15:15 33:5
document 28:18
documenting 31:13
doing 25:15 44:15
donkey 44:13
Donna 5:10 18:25 19:4 23:19 24:9 24:15 25:5,21 27:2,2,9,13,16,23 28:3 29:4,7,10 30:11 38:19
Donna's 19:18 23:24 28:5
dose 6:10
doubt 25:12
downloaded 12:11
downloads 38:3
Dr 6:18 8:10 9:9 12:12,23 23:2,7
drawing 27:13
dreadful 13:7
dressed 24:4
drinks 19:22
driven 4:17
dropped 20:11
drove 3:12
drug 6:15 10:7 23:10 24:9 25:9 28:7 40:2
drugged 32:21
drugging 9:18 14:7
drugs 6:16 22:19 22:20 24:5 25:4 26:6
drunk 19:22
DS 11:3
due 16:18 20:15 22:12 33:4

E

E 1:3
earlier 15:2 18:22 21:19 28:17 32:10 34:10 37:1 40:20
early 12:5 16:11
easy 16:14
echoes 40:17
Edwards 5:1
effective 34:14 39:12
effects 6:16
efficient 38:4
efficiently 42:16
either 10:12
elbow 23:12,14
elect 42:10
elements 36:6
Elmes 20:22 21:1
email 9:24,25 10:12
emailed 7:8
emails 9:10 10:21 11:14
employer 7:16,18 7:20
encounters 13:15
engage 38:21
engagement 35:7 40:6,8
enquiries 7:10,11 12:19 14:23 15:16 16:21 28:9
enquiry 6:5 16:16 28:22 34:17
ensure 37:21 42:15,17
ensured 30:25
entering 42:1
entries 43:12
entry 20:7
equivalent 36:14
errors 12:25 36:22
established 14:9
euphoric 6:16
events 4:7 12:5 20:16
everybody 41:11 41:19
evidence 2:13,17 3:4,19,21 4:1 13:5,14 14:5,13 14:13 15:10 16:20 19:25 31:12,21 33:9 44:16
examination 30:18
example 2:21 23:25 24:23,25 36:18
excluded 3:16 38:13
existed 39:6
expectations 34:24
expert 3:20 4:1 15:24
explain 44:9
exposed 15:18
expressed 14:8
extensive 36:8
extent 16:19
external 14:15 39:10
extremely 3:8
eyedrop 25:7

F

face 16:15
facility 39:8
fact 3:17 4:11 6:1 8:9,23 9:2 10:23 18:1 21:17,17,22 22:25 31:19
facts 18:20 33:7 39:9
failed 16:15
failings 15:9 18:16
failure 10:17 40:14
failures 32:13 36:23,23
fair 16:10
Fairy 13:20
fallen 8:21
falsity 15:17
familiar 23:10
families 27:8 33:25 34:19 38:6 38:15,21 43:16
family 3:5,24 4:11 13:13 14:2,18 17:16 22:25 23:19 24:3,4,6,8 25:3,12,16 26:3,4 26:23 28:19,25 30:15 32:16 38:10,15,16 43:20
family's 29:15
far 7:9,13 44:10
fatal 6:17
father 38:7
father's 3:14
Faulkner 7:1
feeding 12:2
feels 29:10,11
fell 36:12
felt 14:19,19,21,25 24:8 25:12
female 22:15
field 19:3
file 40:24
files 30:25 44:14
final 6:18 41:5,13
finally 6:24 11:4 12:12 13:24 16:3 31:21 33:9 35:7 40:18,22
find 20:8 21:7,8 32:14 33:12 40:5
finding 9:4
findings 6:7
finds 17:13
fingerprint 30:18
first 3:2 5:19 9:12 9:15 11:21 14:2 19:12 20:7 31:25 34:4 35:12
firstly 7:14 15:10 17:16 37:12 41:23
fit 17:6
five 18:6 34:2
fixed 33:13
flagged 8:4 21:1
flat 10:25
flesh 2:17,23
focus 16:12 40:15
follow 16:15
followed 9:25 14:24 16:5 36:21 36:25
following 14:18 17:14 25:1 36:1 43:14
footage 30:11,12
force 35:13
foreman 42:19,19
forensic 15:10
foreperson 42:10 43:23 44:1,6
forever 43:2
form 21:12
formed 13:9
forward 3:10 32:23

found 3:3 4:8 6:24 10:15 17:19 20:20,22,23,25 22:3,6,6 23:7,16 24:15,21 25:6,6,8 27:18,20 28:25 29:1 33:5,6 36:19
four 10:8 30:5,24 30:25 31:13 35:17 36:12 38:23 41:24 43:7
Fourthly 15:22 35:4
Friday 1:1 43:15
friends 19:7,19 32:16 34:19 43:16
full 7:2 31:11
fundamental 10:16
further 16:20 18:18 19:16 20:16 28:13

G

Gabriel 5:18 6:23 10:2,5,10 12:8 14:1,9 15:8 20:25 22:7 28:1 32:14 39:17
Gabriel's 4:8 6:6 6:14 7:11,22 8:3 13:6 15:1,11 16:3 21:15
gay 10:4 13:16 19:5 27:4 31:10 40:11
geographical 35:18 40:12
getting 44:14
GHB 6:10 9:6 17:20 24:24 25:7 28:7 35:5 39:25 40:1,4
girlfriends 19:4
give 42:25
given 4:19 26:21 37:20
go 2:5,6,7 20:13 40:24 41:9 44:22
going 3:21 4:25 5:15 6:6 7:5,6 19:24 33:9 41:6 41:14,20 42:23 43:21 44:4
good 2:4 37:18 42:18
goosebump 30:22
governance 30:5
grasp 14:12
great 10:11
Greenaway 22:16 22:17
Grindr 19:16
grip 30:5
grounds 25:21
groundskeeper 20:22
group 29:24 35:23 37:3 39:3,5 40:10
guard 20:3
guy 10:3,19

H

half 22:22
Hall 19:20
hand 30:8,10 43:4
handed 23:13 24:17
hands 31:15
handwriting 3:14 3:20 4:1 12:20 13:12 15:23 16:1
hanged 38:9
happened 6:1 8:15 23:24
happening 9:20
happens 43:1
HAT 5:14 8:15 26:19 30:6,24 31:2,5,24 35:21
heading 20:9
hear 37:22 42:7 43:17
heard 6:22 10:2 14:3,6 18:18 20:19 22:25 25:13 33:10
heavily 2:18 21:10
heavy 23:8
held 13:25
helped 35:10
high 6:10,14 9:5 10:22 36:5
Hill 16:10
Holder 21:2 24:3 25:20
holding 30:9
home 4:18 5:21,25 6:2 18:23 19:7 19:18,21 22:17
homeless 28:1
homicide 32:11 36:16
homicides 31:14
hope 41:10 44:4
hotel 25:8
hour 22:23
hours 15:25 20:20 24:18 31:19
house 38:12
hubs 35:18
huge 40:2
Hull 43:21
hurriedly 12:23

I

identifiable 15:19
identified 13:17,20 15:19 18:9 19:13 26:18,19 30:19 34:16 37:1
identify 10:18 26:24 37:5
ignored 14:22
IIP 6:23
immediately 30:23
impact 33:20
impeccably 26:25
importance 11:21
important 2:18 9:10 32:11,16 38:14,18 40:11 42:10
importantly 37:18
impression 3:20
improve 33:14
improved 35:24
inappropriate 11:8
incident 39:20
included 12:13 14:24
including 2:19 3:6 5:8 9:17 31:9 34:7,13 35:14
incompetent 16:8
increase 40:7
increased 35:25 36:3
incredibly 8:25
independent 35:9 39:2 40:10
indicated 30:9
indicators 23:9
indirect 10:5
individual 16:18 34:7 36:22
induce 6:16
industry 19:2
inefficient 8:25
inform 43:4
information 4:16 6:2 9:17,22 10:5 10:13,15 14:23 16:3 20:2 24:18 29:11 32:17 39:7 39:20
informed 25:11
ingested 6:17
initial 34:5
initially 20:1
injected 23:14
injuries 21:6
inner 23:11
inquest 3:11 14:10 14:13,18 15:3 18:2 42:1 43:5,7 43:12,24
inquests 13:25 14:1,5 16:24 44:25
Inspector 11:5 21:2 26:8
inspector's 21:5
intelligence 5:8,9 6:22 35:15,24,25
interaction 34:22
interactions 34:21
internal 39:9
internet 24:19 25:6
interpose 42:23
interpreted 13:8 24:6
interpreting 40:16
intervening 21:22 21:23
interview 17:22
investigate 32:13
investigated 2:15
investigating 2:11
investigation 2:12 5:16 8:12,18 11:1,8,23 15:22 16:9,11,22 18:16 18:18 29:13 31:7 31:25 32:1,3,7
investigations 5:13 12:4 15:7 17:4 17:12 18:20,22 25:1 27:3 33:18 34:5 36:17 37:25 39:14
investigative 34:16 34:17
investigator 37:13
investigators 34:7 34:9,13,14,22 38:17 40:3,19
involved 14:16,17 18:22 26:2,8 30:24 40:4
involvement 35:2
involving 17:23
iPhone 20:8
issue 2:9

J

Jack 16:16 18:5,8 18:10,13,23 19:13,17,18 20:8 20:11,17,20 21:3 22:20 23:12,16 24:4,7,8,16 25:3 25:23 26:5,17 27:6,16 28:25

29:3 30:2,8,13 38:10,10
Jack's 18:7,16,19 20:23 22:4,17,20 23:3,7,8 24:14 25:18 26:25 27:14,21 28:10 28:10 29:7,8 32:20 33:6
Jacqueline 9:16
January 11:3,4 12:7
Jenny 18:25 24:19 24:20 25:2
jigsaw 2:24
job 25:15 37:4
jobs 2:19
John 5:9 6:25 9:10 9:16 11:13,14,20 16:3 17:7
join 19:2
Jon 5:2,5 13:21 15:18 23:21 24:2 25:20
Jones 39:19
July 2:8 17:8 19:14
June 10:9 13:25 19:9
junior 25:11
jury 2:2,4 18:11 33:8 40:22 41:25 43:4,10 44:7,8,19 44:20,21,23
justice 19:11

K

Kamgdom 10:24 13:20 15:18
Karl 10:24 13:20
keep 5:4 31:6 32:4 36:5
killer 40:11
kin 14:21
Kirk 12:9 16:20 17:7,23,25 25:11 29:13 30:21,22 31:21 32:8 39:4
Kirk's 31:16
knew 40:3
know 3:15,22 4:15 6:20 12:2 13:19 14:14 17:7 19:8 20:12 24:17 25:15 27:22 29:23 39:5,8 40:1 41:23 42:11 42:11
knowing 42:22
known 28:8,12,13 39:20
Kovari 39:17
Kovari's 32:14

L

lack 22:13 34:6,17 36:18,19 39:12
lady 42:20
Laffan 26:7,22,24 27:9,11,18,21 28:2,12 29:14 30:1
laid 26:25 31:12
laptop 12:10 13:24
large 6:17
larger 35:14
late 12:5 16:23
lead 34:18
leadership 34:12 34:15 37:2,18 38:17,18 39:13
learned 14:2 34:3
leaving 22:23
led 15:23 25:22 28:21 32:9 34:9 40:20
left 3:20 20:6 22:22 23:20 24:15 25:17 41:2
legal 40:23
legible 43:9
lesson 32:3
lessons 34:2
level 6:8,15
LGBT 34:20 35:8 40:6
liaison 17:16 38:15
licence 20:3
light 13:11
Lilford 6:3 7:24 15:14,25 17:11 19:13,25 33:4
Lindsey 26:2,22 27:6 29:14 30:21
lines 16:15 34:16 41:15
link 10:14 14:11 17:13,17,24 18:1 28:24 29:19
linked 15:1 16:25 35:11
linking 31:13
links 16:4 27:14 28:3 29:11 30:4 38:25 40:12
listed 25:1
listen 38:14
listened 38:22
little 2:16 25:5 41:17
lived 18:23
living 18:6
loan 19:3
local 17:5 32:8 34:8,21,24 35:15 35:16,25 36:24 40:9,19
locally 38:5
location 21:19 22:12
locations 17:19
logging 20:8
logical 42:16
London 35:18
long 42:22
longer 7:23,24
look 5:22 9:15 20:15 24:5
looked 17:2 28:8 35:6
looking 41:15
lot 12:25 24:18 32:7 36:25 39:22
low 6:7
Luck 5:2 13:21 15:18
Luck's 5:5
lunch 19:8
lungs 23:8

M

Mackay 17:12 28:21 30:2
Madam 41:9
majority 42:5
making 38:25
male 18:10 26:17 26:18,24 29:2,6 30:14 32:22
man 42:20
manage 36:6
Mandy 4:18
manners 42:18
March 19:9
mark 21:9 23:11
marks 13:11
mate's 10:19
material 16:12
matter 18:3 32:23 34:1
matters 15:14 16:7 24:20,25 28:11 28:14
McCarthy 2:10 5:14 8:14
McCarthy's 2:17
McDonald 26:2,2 26:22 27:6 29:3 29:14
McDonald's 27:1 28:11 30:21
McSheffrey 26:14 31:12
mean 37:16
means 37:17
media 15:16
medication 22:22
meet 20:6 34:23
meeting 3:16 4:17 20:17 26:9,16 29:5 30:14,23 31:3
members 2:4 18:11 33:7 34:20 35:8 40:22 44:7 44:21
men 9:18,18,20 10:7,7,8 13:16 14:7,7 40:11
men's 19:20
mention 28:7
mentioned 21:17 22:19 27:2
mentioning 28:3
mephedrone 6:15
Merritt 22:1
messages 5:3,4
met 25:16 26:17 33:21
methylampheta... 6:8
Metropolitan 33:17,24 34:23 36:4 38:22
micrograms 6:10
millilitre 6:10
mind 18:3 32:4,6 43:18
minds 29:20
mindsets 32:8
minutes 21:14
misleading 13:3
misled 13:22
missed 15:9 32:12 33:19
missing 4:14
mistake 13:7
MIT 17:1 40:9
model 17:6
moment 24:24 28:18 30:22 44:8
Monday 26:10,12 26:13 43:15
months 21:24 38:24
morale 36:3
morning 2:4 19:21 20:4,19 26:13
mortem 8:10 11:9 12:16 23:2,6
move 2:25 18:8
movements 16:4 32:14
murder 14:23 16:16 31:8,24 33:3 34:11 40:21
murdered 18:23
mystery 41:17

N
N 1:3
name 5:5 10:24
narrative 42:3
narratives 41:24
naturally 22:18
neck 15:13
need 26:18,19 37:5 41:21 43:6 44:13
needed 31:19 37:1
needle 21:9 23:11
needs 39:6 42:10
neither 4:15
never 7:7 8:15 9:1 25:16 29:9
Nevertheless 31:5
new 5:2 35:20 38:1 41:5,10,15
night 4:8 5:21 10:19 31:7
normal 4:12
normally 4:23
note 3:4,7,8,15,17 4:2,5,19 10:15,20 15:17 16:14 23:7 26:9 36:19 41:4
noted 18:13 22:21 29:3 39:18 40:8
notes 4:20 23:24 24:20,23 26:3 27:1,10 28:6,12 38:19
notice 21:9
noticed 23:10
November 5:1 8:22 9:3,7 22:9
noxious 33:1
nuanced 39:18
number 5:2 13:2 15:8 22:24 23:20 23:21 25:24 33:19 36:11,22 37:8
numbers 36:5
numerous 36:20

O
O'Donnell 2:10 8:13,15
O'Donohue 21:2 26:8,11
oaths 44:9
obtained 4:16 8:24 14:14 20:14
obvious 29:21 31:13
obviously 22:8
occasion 4:6
occasions 34:23 36:20
occupied 2:18
occur 35:2
occurred 6:12,13 16:18
October 5:7,13,18 6:20,21,24 7:4,8 8:7,10,13,18 18:9 26:7,16 29:4 30:1,7,15,19 32:25 33:3
officer 2:11 10:18 15:1 17:16 22:16 28:16,20 36:5 37:12 38:11,16 38:25
officers 10:21 12:15 15:5 16:6 16:18 21:1,4,6 22:2,20 23:22 24:2,10,11,13 25:11,20 26:17 28:23 29:19,19 30:23 31:2,4,9 34:24 36:11,24 37:3,8,15,20 39:7 39:25
Okay 41:19
older 9:18 10:7 14:7
once 6:24 28:25 29:1
onerous 2:20
online 20:1
open 15:4,16 18:4
Operation 6:3 15:14,25 17:11 19:13,25 33:4
operative 19:1
opportunities 15:9 32:12 33:20
opportunity 17:1
option 16:14
order 3:14 9:18 10:7 43:15
organisation 25:14
orgy 14:7
original 34:12 41:1,3,12
overdose 23:10 24:7
overlaps 5:12
overlooked 8:5
overseen 2:15

P
page 2:7 3:1 7:3 10:1 20:7 24:23 25:10 40:25 41:5 41:6,9,16
Pape 5:9 6:25 9:10 9:16,23,24 11:13 11:14,20 13:19 14:5,24
Pape's 7:2 10:14 10:20 16:3 17:7
paragraph 40:25 41:6,7,19
parents 18:24 22:18
Parish 8:16 17:8 18:9 20:15 30:19
park 21:1 23:22 25:23
parks 24:2 25:20
part 25:14 37:15 38:18
particular 2:23
particularly 4:22 22:4 38:14
parties 13:16 14:15
partners 34:19
party 5:4 10:4,6 11:15
pathologist 11:6
pathology 11:25
pause 43:14,19
Pausing 28:11
PC 22:1,16,17 23:21 26:2
PCs 21:2
people 4:14 29:18 37:16
performance 37:11
period 21:22
person 10:6
personal 4:3,4 34:1
personally 33:23
pertain 42:18
perverting 19:10
phone 5:8,23 6:5 7:6,12,14 8:9,20 8:21,23 9:1 13:1 14:14 15:20 22:4 28:10,25 29:7,8 30:9,10
phones 22:5,11,13 24:24 36:19
photograph 24:12 30:8
photographs 20:23
picked 20:10
picker 19:1
picture 17:11
piece 2:23 24:21
pieces 4:15
pinpointed 10:25
place 10:19 26:13 26:16 30:16 38:24
plain 38:7
please 2:7 9:13 40:23,24 41:12 42:8,9 43:8 44:11,19,22
PND 39:20
PND's 36:1
pocket 24:15,16
point 30:4,6 40:25
pointed 14:22 24:15 31:4
pointing 13:5
police 9:8 10:24 14:10 22:20 24:6 24:11,18 27:13 27:23 28:23 29:7 29:10 33:17,24 36:2,4 37:12 38:20,21,22
Police's 34:23
policing 16:17 17:5 32:9 34:21 35:16 36:6 40:19
politeness 42:18
Port 5:1 14:17 16:2 18:6,9 19:8 19:14,17 20:5,17 30:20 31:15 32:10,21,21,25 34:10 37:1 40:21
Port's 10:25 12:10 12:18 15:15 17:9 33:5,16
position 30:8 40:16 44:9
positive 13:4
possible 23:11 27:14 28:3
possibly 4:21
post 8:10 11:9 12:16 23:2,5
potentially 10:22
powder 21:7 23:16
preliminary 30:1
prematurely 38:1
prepared 12:7,12 12:23 17:9 26:12 31:11 42:20
prescribed 22:22
presence 2:2
present 10:4
press 17:22 24:21 27:10 30:15,16
pressures 32:6 39:10
prevent 38:1
prevented 16:16
previous 21:1 27:5
primacy 31:16,16 35:19 39:15,16 39:22
prioritise 36:7 37:6

priority 33:12
prison 19:8
process 39:1
produced 8:10
producing 42:3
professional 33:20 34:5,6 36:18 40:5
progression 2:21
promiscuity 40:15
proper 15:22
properly 32:13
property 7:11 22:3
prove 29:11 31:7
provide 43:22
publicly 33:17
purpose 17:6
pursued 34:16
pushed 14:20
put 8:24 9:13 10:13 16:7,9 26:8 31:1

Q

quality 34:4
queries 15:4 25:25 30:7
query 23:13
question 30:13
questionnaire 43:6
questionnaires 18:14 41:21,25 43:5,10,23 44:3,5
questions 17:4 18:15 26:15 41:25 43:9 44:2 44:5
quickly 17:5 38:5
quite 12:25 44:12

R

radar 8:21
raining 21:10
raise 40:2
raised 11:12 23:13 25:25 28:16 30:7 30:13 31:8 34:19
raising 9:16 28:19
ran 29:9
range 6:11,12,13 16:18
rank 37:13
rape 25:9 28:7 40:1
raped 32:21
reach 41:21 42:2,8
reached 43:18
read 12:22 13:3 44:3,5,6
ready 26:9,12
reality 13:19
really 28:21 42:10
reason 7:22 11:19 27:7
reasoning 11:18
reasons 17:15 29:6 30:14
recall 3:25 4:1 21:8 22:13 24:13 27:18 28:7
recalled 4:10 22:5
recalls 24:11 27:23
received 11:10 30:1 41:13
receiving 12:16
recognise 40:14
recollection 27:12 28:3
recommendation 12:14
record 42:1 43:7
records 7:5,23 26:4 43:5,12,24
red 24:10,11 26:11
reduced 36:3
reduction 36:2,2
reference 8:20 12:3,6 13:1 14:7 17:22
referral 5:14
referred 6:19 10:20 14:6 30:6 38:19
registered 20:2
regular 39:1
relating 5:9 18:13 28:6
relation 2:11 5:8 7:23 8:7 11:25 12:3,13 15:7,10 16:21 17:12 18:15 28:14 29:13 30:2 34:4 35:12 36:12,19 38:3,6,17 39:15 39:25 40:6
relationship 35:16
release 30:15,16
relevant 2:21 18:21
remains 31:23
remanded 33:1
remember 3:4,10 3:12 5:3 6:7 7:17 9:7,22 10:23 12:9,17,25 15:24 21:10,15 23:12 23:24 26:11 27:20 39:4 43:6
remembered 6:1
remind 2:17 5:11 18:8 20:16
reminded 2:13 8:1 11:18
repeat 37:21
repeated 28:23
replacing 2:10
reply 9:24 10:12
report 5:18 6:18 8:11,16 9:6 11:6 11:9,25 12:8,12 12:16,23,23 13:12,22 17:10 40:17
reported 9:4
reports 12:21 24:21
research 25:5
researching 24:19
resilient 35:13
resources 31:18 37:7
resourcing 34:15
response 44:2
responsibilities 2:20 16:19
responsible 4:22 13:5
result 33:4
results 30:2
retire 44:11
retired 44:23
retiring 41:20
return 42:21
reveal 17:10
revealed 12:18 21:6
review 16:25 29:24 34:18 35:22 38:18,20 39:1,2,5,10 40:11
reviewed 32:12
reviewing 38:25
revisited 18:3
Richards 19:12
Ricky 3:2,6,9,10 3:12,15,18 4:18 4:20 5:20,20,24 5:25 13:3 14:19 14:20 38:9,13
Ricky's 5:22 6:5 8:1
riddled 31:4
right 2:25 6:3 23:12,12,14 24:17 44:17,21
risk 36:6 37:6 40:15
robust 39:12
role 32:5
roles 36:8 37:15,17
room 26:25 27:14 27:15 29:22 44:13
routine 19:5 37:15
ruins 27:3
Ryan 5:1

S

safety 2:19
salutary 32:3
samples 23:5
sat 29:22
Saturday 19:18
saw 17:17
saying 4:2 22:24 27:2,16,18,23 31:22 32:18 38:15
says 3:25 17:12 21:19 22:1 27:11 27:16 28:4 32:6 35:12 38:3
SC&O1 31:15
scenario 10:6
scene 21:15,16,18 21:20 25:19 27:17
scenes 21:23
Schamberger 3:5 5:7 7:8 8:3,19,22 10:21 11:5,7,11 12:7,20,22 13:2 13:21 14:12,19 14:20 16:7,17 18:2
screen 9:13 24:1 24:24 26:4 27:1 28:18
search 26:24 27:15
searches 21:3 25:6
searching 20:2
second 10:10 41:6
secondly 4:13 15:16 17:19 34:12 37:14
security 19:2 20:3 20:3
see 3:3,10 8:6 18:1 18:12 19:6 20:7 24:12 25:24 26:23 27:10 41:7 41:10
seek 7:6
seen 4:2 5:23 11:14 13:4 26:10 28:5 29:4
self 4:12
send 15:3
sending 8:14
sends 5:2
senior 2:11 26:16
sent 9:8 12:17,18 12:19 15:6,12 23:5,17
sentenced 19:9
separate 29:9

September 2:25 6:4 10:10 17:25 19:17,18 20:22 23:3 25:10,19 28:15
sequence 42:16
sergeant 2:16 21:13 22:10,23 26:7,14 28:2,12
serial 40:11
serious 35:1
Service 33:17 36:4 38:23
set 7:9 20:8 34:2 36:14,20,24
sex 13:18
sexual 13:15 29:6 30:14
sexually 9:19 10:8
shampoo 25:8
sheet 12:14,17 14:16 15:3,6,13 16:22 41:6
short 19:23 41:23
Shortly 41:20 43:22
show 26:23 40:4
showed 19:25 20:17 26:1
showing 16:1
shown 3:7,9,16,18 14:17 15:20 25:22 29:1 30:11 40:10
shuffle 37:7
side 20:24 21:21
sight 20:13
signed 37:23
significance 10:23
significant 15:8 40:7
significantly 16:8
signing 9:1
signs 8:8,22
similar 31:5
similarities 17:2 17:18 18:20 24:22 28:6,17,20 28:22 29:21 40:13
simply 22:17 38:23
simultaneously 5:16
sister 24:19 25:2
sisters 18:24 19:7 25:19
sit 38:12 43:22
site 27:4
situation 13:23
skill 36:24
skin 21:5
Slaymaker 3:22 3:24 5:19,22 7:5 7:8,19 14:25 17:16
slightly 41:14
small 21:7 36:10
smartly 24:4
Snaresbrook 19:10
social 15:16
softer-edged 35:19
somebody's 4:22
soon 44:12
Soosay 6:18 8:10 23:2,7
sorry 32:19 33:23
sort 17:24
sought 3:21 35:10
source 15:16
Spain 11:19
speak 42:20
specialist 29:24 34:8,22 35:1,17 35:17,20,22 39:3 40:19
spent 24:18
staff 36:3
stage 4:16 5:13 25:15 31:6
staging 30:3
standard 33:25
standards 33:21
Stanworth 9:3
start 44:11,12,16
starting 29:5
stated 22:9
statement 5:20,20 6:25 7:2,16,17,19 8:1 11:14,16,20 13:4 17:7 22:8
statements 7:10
station 20:6,12 39:21
stay 43:2
stayed 21:14
Steadman 28:15
Stephen 5:1 12:10 12:18 14:17 16:2 18:6,9 19:8,14,17 20:5 30:20 31:15 32:10,21,21,25 33:16 34:10 37:1 40:21
stepping 2:10,22
stills 30:12
stimulate 6:15
stored 25:7
straight 22:19 31:17
strategies 34:18
Street 10:25 20:18
strong 12:14
structures 34:17
struggle 39:13
submission 8:14
submitted 12:15 13:18,24 15:14 30:18
substances 33:1
sudden 21:23,24 36:13
suggesting 3:17
suicide 28:1
summarise 24:17
summary 7:2 33:7
Summing 1:5 2:3
Sunday 19:7 20:19
Sundays 19:6
sunglasses 15:12
supervision 37:19 39:12
support 34:13,15 35:25 39:23
supports 16:12
sure 43:8,10
surprise 14:8
surprising 17:13
suspicion 34:10 40:21
suspicions 19:4
suspicious 13:11 26:5 27:24 31:5 36:15
swab 15:13
swabs 13:18 30:17
Sweetman 21:13 21:15,17 22:10 22:13 26:14
Swift 9:9 12:12
Swift's 12:23
swollen 23:8
sworn 44:19,20
syringe 27:20,22
system 6:23 9:6 10:13 38:1,4

T

tab 3:1
take 9:18 10:7 17:1 25:4 26:13 31:15,20 38:24 40:22 43:21 44:8
taken 7:1,10,16,20 11:15,16 19:3 25:19 28:13 30:10 31:17,25 39:16,19,22
taker 24:9
talk 10:11
Tanner 22:24 25:16
Tanner's 22:23 23:21
target 7:12
task 41:22 42:15 43:3
tasked 22:1
tasks 36:23,24
taxi 20:11
Taylor 18:5 21:2 22:19,25 23:19 23:21 24:2 25:12 25:20 27:9 28:19 29:13
Taylor's 16:16 38:10,19
tea 5:25
team 15:5 16:14 26:19 44:14
teams 31:25 35:14 35:17,25
telephone 5:2
tell 24:10
telling 29:18
tells 5:4
temporary 36:8 37:9,17
Teresa 28:15
tested 14:3,16 16:23
texted 5:1
texts 5:24
thank 9:14 41:16 44:18
thankfully 18:17
thereabouts 20:21
Thierry 5:3,4 11:13
things 24:21 31:23 33:18 36:25 37:22
think 4:13 29:5 36:16 41:3,8
thinks 27:12
third 9:5
Thirdly 15:20 17:22 34:21
Thomas 17:11
thought 3:13 15:3 39:15
threads 40:14
three 17:14,14 22:12 23:3 24:22
thrown 27:3
Thursdays 19:6
tidy 22:21
time 3:9 4:13,23 4:24 7:24 9:5 17:6 27:15 33:16 37:3,4,5 42:4 43:19,21
times 9:9 42:18
told 3:22,24 4:9,18 4:18 7:1 8:11,13

12:22 14:11,15 19:12,23 21:20 21:21 22:9 23:19 24:14,14 27:6,25 28:2 29:7,14,18 38:8,9,10 42:6
Tony 13:20
top 6:11,13 9:25 28:5 41:16
topic 2:9 42:22
total 21:14
touch 22:25 23:23
tourniquet 21:8 23:6 30:17 33:5
toxicologist 9:3
toxicology 5:18 6:6,18 7:12 13:9 23:5,17 30:2
tracks 20:12
Trades 19:20
trainee 37:9
training 19:3 37:20
treated 38:6
trial 33:16
tried 38:21
true 13:23 15:23 17:10 40:16
trust 42:13
trying 11:22
turn 18:11
Turrell 11:3
Turrell's 11:17
tweaked 41:14
two 6:16 9:10 18:24 21:1,3 22:16 23:22 24:2 24:10 25:20 41:3
two-hour 31:3
typed 43:13,23

U

unanimous 42:2,7
unanswered 17:4 26:15
uncertainty 15:23
uncovered 17:11
understand 36:9 37:6 39:13
understandable 21:11
understanding 35:4,7
undertaken 40:2
unexpected 18:4
unexplained 2:15 32:2 36:13
unexplained' 10:9
uniformed 22:2
unit 2:19
units 35:14
unused 27:21,22
unusual 2:14,14 36:21 37:10
update 8:14
updates 8:19
upload 7:2
upstairs 27:14
USB 17:8
use 35:4
ushers 44:22
usual 19:19

V

valuable 3:8
value 16:15
various 5:12 12:3 13:2
verbatim 44:3
verdicts 15:4 18:4
version 20:14 41:1 41:1,3,10,12,15 41:18
victims 18:6
victims' 34:19
view 8:14 13:9 16:11,13 31:16 31:23
views 34:25
visit 3:5
visited 24:3 26:23
voluntary 24:7

W

Walgate 2:12 5:15 8:12 11:25 12:4 37:24
Walgate's 32:13 38:7
walked 25:23 30:20
walking 20:17
wall 20:25 21:21 22:2
wallet 24:15 28:10
want 43:17
wanted 2:16,23 43:25
warehouse 18:25 19:1
wasn't 4:3,19 8:4 9:8 11:12 38:20
watch 17:3
water 23:9
Waumsley's 13:3
way 3:22 8:25 32:17 38:6 39:11 42:22
websites 13:16
weekend 4:7,11 10:4
weekends 19:6
went 18:14 19:18 19:19,25 20:1 22:16,20 33:13 33:18
weren't 4:4
wetwipes 21:9
whereabouts 32:15
white 2:7 21:7 40:24
Whitworth 3:2,7 3:13,17,19 4:18 37:25 39:17
Whitworth's 32:15
Whitworths 4:2,6
wide 36:22
wider 33:20
willingness 34:25
winding 3:10
wipes 23:6
witness 6:21
witnesses 13:20
wonder 9:12
words 16:13 22:10 26:18 27:24
work 5:8 7:11,12 7:14 8:8,9,20,21 8:21,23 9:1 13:1 19:5 28:10 39:11 44:4,14
worked 18:25 24:22
working 7:21 9:1 19:20 35:7
workload 36:3 37:6
works 31:24 35:20
worry 9:20
wrap 21:7
wrong 11:8 24:16 31:23 33:13,18 38:7,13 41:8

X

X 1:3
X9 32:22

Y

year 21:1,19
yesterday 2:6 5:11 7:1 8:13,17 11:18 15:11 18:14 41:2,13,22
young 9:20 10:3,8 22:15 32:22
younger 9:18 10:7 14:7

Z

0

02.38 20:10

1

1 7:6,15 40:24
1,030 6:12
1.00 19:21
1.10 19:23
1.45 4:25
10 2:6 6:21 22:25
10- 25:13
10-day 23:18
10.32 44:24
11 3:1 6:24 7:4 44:16
11-day 25:13
11th 9:25
12 18:12 19:18 21:23 30:15 35:13
12.50 20:21
13 7:8 12:7
13th 20:19 30:16
14 8:6 20:22 30:19
15 17:8 38:24
15.15 31:2
16 8:7 23:3
17 8:10 9:3 12:13
18 8:13 12:19 23:25 28:15 32:25
19 13:25

2

2 1:5
2.00 20:4
2.01 21:12
2.2 36:2
2.43 20:10
2.45 20:4
2.48 20:11,18
20 8:18
2007 40:10,17
2014 2:8 6:4 11:1 12:5 21:19 22:7 35:9
2015 12:5 18:9 19:9,14 22:9 30:1 40:8
2016 6:4
2021 1:1
21 9:7 10:9
22 10:10 11:1,4
23 11:24 19:9
24 15:25 18:9 31:19
24/7 35:22
25 18:23 25:10
27 4:7 5:22 6:12 7:21 33:3
28 4:8 7:21 13:24 25:19

3

3 1:1 5:7
30 2:25 5:1

35 20:20

4

4 8:22 18:11 19:9 24:23 25:10 26:7
48 40:25 41:6,19

5

5 2:7 26:16
5.00 22:15
54 7:3 41:7
55 21:14
5th 26:10,13

6

6 3:1 5:13
60 12:24

7

7 5:18 6:20 9:12 11:3 30:1
758 6:10,12

8

8 2:8 12:9 30:7 40:25 41:5

9

9 29:4 41:6,16
9.30 2:1
9th 30:11