

<p>1 Friday, 10 December 2021</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 I N D E X</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 Jury conclusions .....2</p> <p>6 Post-conclusion remarks by THE .....32 CORONER</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 1</p>	<p>1 concerning Anthony, are you all agreed upon your</p> <p>2 conclusions?</p> <p>3 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: Yes, we are.</p> <p>4 THE CORONER: Thank you very much.</p> <p>5 In relation to question 1, you were asked to agree</p> <p>6 a summary of the basic facts of the death of Anthony.</p> <p>7 You have made amendments to the original version and the</p> <p>8 summary now reads as follows:</p> <p>9 "On the evening of 17 June 2014 Anthony Walgate,</p> <p>10 a fashion student originally from Hull who did</p> <p>11 occasional escort work, went to meet a male client who</p> <p>12 lived on Cooke Street, Barking. Whilst at the</p> <p>13 Cooke Street flat, the other man gave Anthony a dose or</p> <p>14 doses of gamma hydroxybutyrate, GHB. The GHB that the</p> <p>15 man administered to Anthony was sufficient to kill him.</p> <p>16 "On the morning of 19 June 2014, the man carried</p> <p>17 Anthony's body outside his flat and left him on the</p> <p>18 pavement on Cooke Street, propped up in a seated</p> <p>19 position. He then called an ambulance at 04.05.</p> <p>20 An emergency medical technician arrived and called the</p> <p>21 police. The police attended the scene and summoned</p> <p>22 a forensic medical examiner, who formally pronounced</p> <p>23 life extinct at 07.51 on 19 June 2014. Anthony died in</p> <p>24 62 Cooke Street on Wednesday, 18 June 2014. The same</p> <p>25 man subsequently killed three other young men by giving</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 3</p>
<p>1 (12.22 pm)</p> <p>2 (In the presence of the jury)</p> <p>3 Jury conclusions</p> <p>4 THE CORONER: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are</p> <p>5 now ready to receive your conclusions. Could I please</p> <p>6 ask that your foreman stands.</p> <p>7 Thank you, sir. I just wanted to identify who you</p> <p>8 are, by all means sit down as we go through the process.</p> <p>9 What I am going to do is to go through the typed</p> <p>10 copies of the questionnaires, as you have completed</p> <p>11 them, and simply ask you to confirm in respect of each</p> <p>12 deceased, firstly whether your answers to all the</p> <p>13 questions in respect of that deceased are unanimous. If</p> <p>14 they are I will then go through the questionnaire as you</p> <p>15 have completed it and ask you to confirm on behalf of</p> <p>16 all of you that what I have read is correct. If your</p> <p>17 answer in respect of any deceased is that your answers</p> <p>18 are not unanimous, then as I go through your answers</p> <p>19 I will ask you after each one whether it was the</p> <p>20 conclusion of you all or by a majority. If it was by</p> <p>21 a majority, I will then ask you how many of you agree</p> <p>22 with the conclusion and how many disagreed. I hope that</p> <p>23 is clear.</p> <p>24 Let's begin then with Anthony Walgate.</p> <p>25 Can I ask first, in relation to the two questions</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 2</p>	<p>1 them fatal doses of GHB."</p> <p>2 You have marked on the questionnaire that you all</p> <p>3 agreed with that statement. Is that correct?</p> <p>4 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct.</p> <p>5 THE CORONER: Thank you very much.</p> <p>6 In relation to question 2, you were asked: are you</p> <p>7 satisfied that on the balance of probabilities,</p> <p>8 Anthony Walgate was unlawfully killed? Your answer, as</p> <p>9 I directed it must be, was yes to that question, is that</p> <p>10 correct?</p> <p>11 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct.</p> <p>12 THE CORONER: Thank you very much.</p> <p>13 Let's turn to Gabriel. In relation to all the</p> <p>14 questions concerning Gabriel, are you all agreed?</p> <p>15 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: No.</p> <p>16 THE CORONER: Thank you.</p> <p>17 In relation to question 1 you were asked to give</p> <p>18 a summary of the basic facts of the death of Gabriel.</p> <p>19 You have made amendments to the original version, and</p> <p>20 the summary now reads as follows:</p> <p>21 "In the summer of 2014, Gabriel Kovari moved from</p> <p>22 his native Slovakia to London. In late August 2014,</p> <p>23 Gabriel agreed to rent a room in a flat on Cooke Street,</p> <p>24 Barking. The arrangement being that he would share the</p> <p>25 flat with the owner, a 39-year old gay man. On</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 4</p>

<p>1 Saturday, 23 August 2014 Gabriel moved into the flat in 2 Barking. A neighbour met Gabriel at the Cooke Street 3 flat on the evening of Sunday, 24 August 2014, and again 4 in the neighbour's own flat the following day, Monday, 5 25 August. Some time on Monday, 25 August the owner of 6 the Cooke Street flat administered a dose or doses of 7 gamma hydroxybutyrate, GHB, to Gabriel. The GHB that 8 was administered was sufficient to kill him. Gabriel's 9 body was then taken from Cooke Street, together with his 10 belongings, to the graveyard of St Margaret's Church, 11 Abbey green in Barking, and left there, propped in 12 a seated position against the wall of the churchyard. 13 Gabriel's body was discovered by a local dog walker at 14 around 9.00 am on the morning of Thursday, 28 August. 15 A paramedic formally pronounced life extinct at 09.27 on 16 28 August 2014. Gabriel died on Monday, 25 August 2014 17 in the Cooke Street flat. The man who killed Gabriel 18 had previously killed one other young man by giving him 19 a fatal dose of GHB and subsequently killed two others 20 in the same way." 21 You have marked that you agree with that summary; is 22 that correct? 23 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: Correct. 24 THE CORONER: Was that the conclusion of you all or by 25 a majority?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 5</p>	<p>1 THE CORONER: In relation to question 3(b) you were asked: 2 were there any omissions or failures in the 3 investigation into Anthony Walgate's death conducted by 4 borough officers that may have contributed to the death 5 of Gabriel Kovari? You have answered that question yes, 6 is that correct? 7 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct. 8 THE CORONER: You were then given an opportunity of giving 9 an explanation of your answers and a non-exhaustive list 10 of considerations was provided as follows: 11 "(1) the fact that borough officers did not conduct 12 checks in relation to Stephen Port on the Police 13 National Database, with the consequence that the 14 officers were not aware of the incident on Barking 15 station on 4 June. 16 "(2) whether or not borough officers took 17 appropriate or adequate steps to consider and/or record 18 and/or follow up the crime report concerning the 19 allegation made by X1 on 31 December 2012, that 20 Stephen Port had forced him to take poppers and then had 21 non-consensual anal sex with him. 22 "(3) whether or not appropriate or adequate steps 23 were taken by borough officers to review the content of 24 Port's second interview and to identify actions arising 25 from it.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p>
<p>1 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: By a majority. 2 THE CORONER: How many of you agreed and how many disagreed? 3 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: Ten agreed and one disagreed. 4 THE CORONER: Thank you very much. 5 Just then to ask you, in relation to questions 2, 3 6 and 4 in relation to Gabriel, are you all agreed upon 7 those conclusions? 8 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: We are. 9 THE CORONER: Thank you very much. 10 In relation to question 2, you were asked: are you 11 satisfied that on the balance of probabilities, 12 Gabriel Kovari was unlawfully killed? Your answer, as 13 I directed it must be, is yes. Is that correct? 14 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 15 THE CORONER: Thank you very much. 16 In relation to question 3(a) you were asked: did the 17 fact that borough officers did not conduct checks in 18 relation to Stephen Port on the Police National 19 Database, with the consequence that the officers were 20 not aware of the incident at Barking station on 21 4 June 2014 involving Port and X3, probably contribute 22 to the death of Gabriel Kovari? 23 You have answered that question yes; is that 24 correct? 25 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p>	<p>1 "(4) the fact that borough officers did not contact 2 Port's employers to check Port's shifts or attendance at 3 work for the period around 19 June 2014. 4 (5) the fact that borough officers did not submit 5 Port's laptop for download, notwithstanding that the HAT 6 return dated 27 June 2014 had advised this should be 7 done. 8 "(6) whether or not it was appropriate for 9 A/DI McCarthy to step back from the investigation 10 following his initial involvement in late June 2014." 11 Finally: 12 "(7) whether or not any failures or shortcomings in 13 the borough investigations were the consequence of one 14 or more of the following factors, the borough officers' 15 lack of experience and/or their workload, lack of 16 leadership or oversight and lack of officers in 17 substantive ranks." 18 You have then provided an explanation as follows: 19 "We the jury have indicated yes to part (a) and (b) 20 under question (3). We would like to state we have 21 appreciation for the evidence that has come forward 22 regarding certain pressures the borough officers were 23 under at the time. We do have agreement that the 24 officers in all ranks within the department, be it 25 substantive, acting or temporary, were under a heavy</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p>

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

<p>1 workload which led to certain mistakes in the 2 investigation. We have agreed that no one attached to 3 the case had sufficient time to look at the 4 investigation in depth, be it down to operational 5 requirements or planned leave, also insufficient 6 leadership which allowed a complete breakdown of 7 oversight of the investigation. We the jury have still 8 decided, despite the above factors, that there were 9 failures which cannot be overlooked which ultimately 10 allowed for missed opportunities, which in turn allowed 11 the male to continue his acts towards subsequent 12 victims." 13 Is it correct that that is the conclusion that you 14 have reached and the explanation that you have added? 15 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: Yes, that's correct. 16 THE CORONER: Thank you very much. 17 In relation to question 4(a) you were asked: were 18 there any omissions or failures in the involvement of 19 the SC&amp;O1 officers in the investigation into 20 Anthony Walgate's death that probably contributed to the 21 death of Gabriel Kovari? 22 You have indicated yes in answer to that question; 23 is that correct? 24 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 25 THE CORONER: In relation to question 4(b) you were asked:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p>	<p>1 "(3) whether or not in the light of the entry on 2 27 June HAT return that 'Intel being conducted by MIT 7 3 officers' MIT 7 ought to have provided the borough 4 officers with an intelligence profile on Stephen Port, 5 including the results of a PND check." 6 You have provided an explanation as follows: 7 "We as the jury have indicated yes to part (a) and 8 (b) under question 4. We would like to state that we 9 have appreciation for the evidence that has come forward 10 regarding the involvement of the MIT teams during the 11 investigation into Anthony. We have heard the 12 involvement they had during the investigation, such as 13 direct attendance during 27 June 2014 and then, as 14 stated, a supporting role in which they made highlights 15 in the investigation and provided guidelines for the 16 case to be carried further, which we know now was not 17 completed by them or the borough at the time. 18 "That being said, we feel that the MIT teams missed 19 opportunities to take more ownership of the 20 investigation and did not adhere to the guidelines 21 provided to allow nothing to be missed. The information 22 that came to light throughout the case, be it that of 23 the growing evidence in the investigation or the contact 24 which the borough had with the superiors of the major 25 investigation teams stating that they had insufficient</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p>
<p>1 were there any omissions or failures in the involvement 2 of the SC&amp;O1 officers in the investigation into 3 Anthony Walgate's death that may have contributed to the 4 death of Gabriel Kovari? You have answered that 5 question yes; is that correct? 6 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 7 THE CORONER: Again, you were given a non-exhaustive list of 8 considerations and the opportunity of giving 9 an explanation for your answers. The list being as 10 follows: 11 "(1) whether or not SC&amp;O1 ought to have assumed 12 primacy for the investigation into Anthony Walgate's 13 death at any point between the borough officers 14 representations on 26 June 2014 and around the end of 15 June/early July. 16 "(2) whether or not the detailed decision recorded 17 in Superintendent Sweeney's email of 27 June 2014 was 18 properly implemented, including: (a) whether or not the 19 actions of the MIT inspector on 27 June 2014 amounted to 20 an appropriate or adequate review of the enquiries 21 already undertaken; (b) whether officers from MIT 7 22 provided adequate or appropriate support in relation to 23 interviewing Port on 27 June 2014, including evaluating 24 and/or highlighting actions arising from the interview; 25 and (c) whether primacy was assessed again.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p>	<p>1 capability to investigate the case that it indeed 2 merited. With the above accounted, we feel that the 3 case required a dedicated MIT team to be assigned to the 4 investigation so the case could be taken and 5 investigated in a sufficient way, which may have led to 6 the earlier capture of the male responsible." 7 Have I correctly identified your explanation? 8 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: Yes, you have. 9 THE CORONER: Thank you very much. 10 We then turn, please, to Daniel Whitworth. 11 In relation to all questions concerning Daniel, are 12 you all agreed? 13 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: Yes, we are. 14 THE CORONER: In relation to question 1 you were asked to 15 give a summary of the basic facts of the death of 16 Daniel. You have made amendments to the original 17 version and the summary now reads as follows: 18 "On 18 September 2014 Daniel Whitworth left work at 19 approximately 3.00 pm, telling a colleague that he was 20 going to Barking. Daniel had arranged via an online gay 21 dating website to meet a man in Barking. At some point 22 after Daniel's arrival in Barking, and before the 23 discovery of his body on Saturday, 20 September 2014, 24 the man that he met gave Daniel a dose or doses of GHB. 25 The GHB that he administered was sufficient to kill</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p>

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

<p>1 Daniel. He then took Daniel's body to the graveyard of 2 St Margaret's Church, Abbey green where he left him 3 propped in a seated position against the wall of the 4 churchyard. The man left Daniel's body right next to 5 where he had three weeks previously left the body of 6 another young man whom he had also killed through 7 an overdose of GHB. The man wrote a fake suicide note 8 purporting to be authored by Daniel and left it in 9 Daniel's left hand. The fake suicide note said that 10 Daniel had taken the life of his friend, the young man 11 whose body had been left in the graveyard three weeks 12 previously, and that for this reason he, Daniel, had 13 taken an overdose of GHB and sleeping pills. This note 14 was completely untrue. The cause of Daniel's death was 15 GHB, given to him by the man whom he had met online. 16 Daniel's body was discovered by a local dog walker at 17 around 11.20 on the morning of Saturday, 18 20 September 2014. A paramedic formally pronounced life 19 extinct at 11.45 on 20 September 2014. Daniel died at 20 the man's flat in Cooke Street, Barking on Friday, 21 19 September 2014. The man who killed Daniel had 22 previously killed two other young men by giving them 23 fatal doses of GHB, one of whom was the man whose body 24 had been found in the graveyard three weeks before 25 Daniel's body was found, and he subsequently killed one</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p>	<p>1 question yes; is that correct? 2 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct. 3 THE CORONER: You were then given the opportunity of giving 4 an explanation for your answers and the same 5 non-exhaustive list of considerations were provided as 6 I read in relation to Gabriel. You have then provided 7 an explanation as follows: 8 "We the jury have indicated yes to part (a) and (b) 9 under question 3. We would like to state we have 10 appreciation for the evidence that has come forward 11 regarding the pressures the borough officers were under 12 at the time. We do have agreement that the officers in 13 all ranks within the department, be it substantive, 14 acting or temporary, were under a heavy workload which 15 led to certain mistakes in the investigation. We have 16 agreed that no one attached to the case had sufficient 17 time to look at the investigation in depth, be it down 18 to operational requirements or planned leave, also 19 insufficient leadership which allowed a complete 20 breakdown of oversight of the investigation. We the 21 jury have still decided, despite the above factors, that 22 there were failures which cannot be overlooked which 23 ultimately allowed for missed opportunities, which in 24 turn allowed the male to continue his acts towards 25 subsequent victims."</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p>
<p>1 other young man in the same way." 2 You have indicated that you agree with that 3 statement, subject to the amendments, is that correct? 4 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 5 THE CORONER: Thank you. 6 Question 2 in relation to Daniel, you were asked: 7 are you satisfied that on the balance of probabilities 8 Daniel Whitworth was unlawfully killed? And, as 9 I directed, you answered that question yes. Is that 10 correct? 11 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 12 THE CORONER: Thank you. 13 Then in relation to question 3(a) you were asked: 14 did the fact that the borough officers did not conduct 15 checks in relation to Stephen Port on the Police 16 National Database, with the consequence that the 17 officers were not aware of the incident at Barking 18 station on 4 June 2014 involving Port and X3, probably 19 contribute to the death of Daniel Whitworth? You have 20 answered that question yes; is that correct? 21 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 22 THE CORONER: 3(b): were there any omissions or failures in 23 the investigation into Anthony Walgate's death conducted 24 by borough officers that may have contributed to the 25 death of Daniel Whitworth? You have answered that</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p>	<p>1 Have I read that explanation out correctly? 2 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: Yes, you have. 3 THE CORONER: Thank you. 4 In relation to 4(a): were there any omissions or 5 failures in the involvement of SC&amp;O1 officers in the 6 investigation into Anthony Walgate's death that probably 7 contributed to the death of Daniel Whitworth? You have 8 answered that question yes, is that correct? 9 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct. 10 THE CORONER: 4(b): were there any omissions or failures in 11 the involvement of SC&amp;O1 officers in the investigation 12 into Anthony Walgate's death that may have contributed 13 to the death of Daniel Whitworth? You have answered 14 that question yes; is that correct? 15 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 16 THE CORONER: You were then given an opportunity of giving 17 an explanation for your answers and a list of 18 considerations, which were the same as those that I have 19 already read and I don't need to repeat. You have then 20 given the following explanation: 21 "We the jury have indicated yes to part (a) and (b) 22 under question 4. We would like to state we have 23 appreciation for the evidence that has come forward 24 regarding the involvement of the MIT teams during the 25 investigation into Anthony. We have heard the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p>

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

<p>1 involvement they had during the investigation, such as 2 direct attendance on 27 June 2014 and then, as stated, 3 a supporting role in which they made highlights in the 4 investigation and provided guidelines for the case to be 5 carried further, which we now know wasn't completed by 6 them or the borough at the time. That being said, we 7 feel that the MIT teams missed opportunities to take 8 more ownership of the investigation and did not adhere 9 to guidelines provided to allow nothing to be missed. 10 The information that came to light throughout the case, 11 be it that of the growing evidence on the investigation 12 or the contact which the borough had with the superiors 13 of the major investigation team stating they had 14 insufficient capability to investigate the case that it 15 indeed merited. With the above accounted, we feel that 16 the case required a dedicated MIT team to be assigned to 17 the investigation, so the case could be taken and 18 investigated in a sufficient way, which may have led to 19 the earlier capture of the male responsible." 20 Have I correctly read out your explanation? 21 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: Yes, you have. 22 THE CORONER: Thank you. 23 Jack Taylor, in relation to all the questions 24 concerning Jack Taylor, are you all agreed? 25 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: Yes, we are.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p>	<p>1 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct. 2 THE CORONER: Question 2 in relation to Jack: are you 3 satisfied that on the balance of probabilities 4 Jack Taylor was unlawfully killed? As I directed, you 5 have answered that question yes, is that correct? 6 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 7 THE CORONER: In relation to question 3(a) you were asked: 8 were there any omissions or failures in the 9 investigation into Anthony Walgate's death conducted by 10 borough officers that probably contributed to the death 11 of Jack Taylor? You have answered that question yes; is 12 that correct? 13 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 14 THE CORONER: (b): were there any omissions or failures in 15 the investigation into Anthony Walgate's death conducted 16 by borough officers that may have contributed to the 17 death of Jack Taylor? You have answered that question 18 yes; is that correct? 19 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct. 20 THE CORONER: You were then given the opportunity of giving 21 an explanation for your answers and a non-exhaustive 22 list of considerations was provided as follows: 23 "(1) the fact that borough officers did not conduct 24 checks in relation to Stephen Port on the Police 25 National Database, with the consequence that the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 19</p>
<p>1 THE CORONER: In relation to question 1, you were asked to 2 give a summary of the basic facts of the death of Jack. 3 You have made amendments to the original version and the 4 summary now reads as follows: 5 "Jack Taylor came home in the early hours of Sunday, 6 13 September 2015 from a night out. At home he made 7 contact with a man via the Grindr app and, after 8 an exchange of messages, agreed to travel to Barking 9 there and then to meet the man. Jack called a taxi and 10 arrived in Barking at around 3.00 am. He went to the 11 man's flat. At the flat the man gave Jack a dose or 12 doses of GHB. The quantity of GHB administered was 13 sufficient to kill Jack. At some later point the man 14 who had killed Jack took his body to Barking Abbey green 15 and left it propped up against the wall surrounding the 16 graveyard of St Margaret's Church. Jack's body was 17 discovered by a park cleaner at 13.12 on the afternoon 18 of Monday, 14 September 2015 who alerted the police. 19 The police attended the scene and a forensic medical 20 examiner formally pronounced life extinct at 1600 hours. 21 Jack died at 62 Cooke Street on 13 September 2015. The 22 man who killed Jack had previously killed three other 23 young men by giving them fatal doses of GHB." 24 You have recorded that you agree with the statement 25 above as amended; is that correct?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 18</p>	<p>1 officers were not aware of the incident at Barking 2 station on 4 June 2014 involving Port and X3. 3 "(2) whether or not borough officers took 4 appropriate or adequate steps to consider and/or record 5 and/or follow up the crime report concerning the 6 allegation made by X1 on 31 December 2012 that 7 Stephen Port had forced him to take poppers and then had 8 non-consensual anal sex with him. 9 "(3) whether or not appropriate or adequate steps 10 were taken by borough officers to review the content of 11 Port's second interview and to identify actions arising 12 from it. 13 "(4) the fact that borough officers did not contact 14 Port's employers to check Port's shifts and attendance 15 at work for the period around 19 June 2014. 16 "(5) the fact that borough officers did not submit 17 Port's laptop computer for download, notwithstanding 18 that the HAT return dated 27 June 2014 had advised that 19 this should be done. 20 "(6) whether or not it was appropriate for 21 A/DI McCarthy to step back from the investigation 22 following his initial involvement in late June 2014. 23 "(7) the fact that DI McCarthy's decision to refer 24 the case back to MIT 20 following receipt of the 25 toxicology report was never implemented.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 20</p>

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

<p>1           "(8) whether or not following receipt of the 2 toxicology report borough officers should have firstly 3 sought advice relating to GHB and/or chemsex generally 4 and/or placed more weight on information received from 5 Anthony's friends and family that he was unlikely to 6 have taken GHB voluntarily. 7           "(9) the fact that when the contents of Port's 8 laptop computer were analysed in July 2015, borough 9 officers did not identify significant information 10 contained on the hard drive, in particular records of 11 internet activity associated with the drug rape of young 12 men in the period when it was known Port had contacted 13 and met Anthony Walgate, namely 13 to 17 June 2014. 14           "(10) whether or not any failings or shortcomings in 15 the borough investigations were the consequence of one 16 or more of the following factors, the borough officers 17 lack of experience and/or their workload, lack of 18 leadership or oversight and lack of officers in 19 substantive ranks." 20           You have then provided an explanation as follows: 21           "We the jury have indicated yes to part (a) and (b) 22 under question 3. We would like to state that we have 23 appreciation for the evidence that has come forward 24 regarding certain pressures the borough officers were 25 under at the time. We do have agreement that the</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 21</p>	<p>1           into Anthony Walgate's death that may have contributed 2 to the death of Jack Taylor? You have answered that 3 question yes; is that correct? 4           THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That's correct. 5           THE CORONER: Thank you. 6           You were then given the opportunity of giving 7 an explanation for your answers and a list of 8 considerations for you to consider in relation to that 9 as follows: 10           "(1) whether or not SC&amp;O1 ought to have assumed 11 primacy for the investigation into Anthony Walgate's 12 death at any point between the borough officers' 13 representations on 26 June 2014 and around the end 14 of June or early July. 15           "(2) whether or not the detailed decision recorded 16 in Superintendent Sweeney's email of 27 June 2014 was 17 properly implemented, including: (a) whether or not the 18 actions of the MIT inspector on 27 June 2014 amounted to 19 an appropriate or adequate review of the enquiries 20 already undertaken; (b) whether officers from MIT 7 21 provided adequate or appropriate support in relation to 22 interviewing Port on 27 June 2014, including evaluating 23 and/or highlighting actions arising from the interview; 24 and (c) whether primacy was assessed again. 25           "(3) whether or not in light of the entry on 27 June</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p>
<p>1           officers in all ranks within the department, be it 2 substantive, acting or temporary, were under a heavy 3 workload which led to certain mistakes in the 4 investigation. We have agreed that no one attached to 5 the case had sufficient time to look at the 6 investigation in depth, be it down to operational 7 requirements or planned leave, also insufficient 8 leadership which allowed a complete breakdown of 9 oversight of the investigation. 10           "We the jury have still decided, despite the above 11 factors, there were failures which cannot be overlooked 12 which ultimately allowed for missed opportunities which 13 in turn allowed the male to continue his acts towards 14 subsequent victims." 15           Is that the explanation that you have provided? 16           THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is. 17           THE CORONER: Thank you. 18           Question 4(a): were there any omissions or failures 19 in the involvement of SC&amp;O1 officers in the 20 investigation into Anthony Walgate's death that probably 21 contributed to the death of Jack Taylor? You have 22 answered that question yes; is that correct? 23           THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct. 24           THE CORONER: (b): were there any omissions or failures in 25 the involvement of SC&amp;O1 officers in the investigation</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p>	<p>1           HAT return that 'Intel being conducted by MIT 7 2 officers' MIT 7 ought to have provided the borough 3 officers with an intelligence profile on Stephen Port, 4 including the results of a PND check." 5           You have then provided the following explanation: 6           "We the jury have indicated yes to part (a) and (b) 7 under question 4. We would like to state we have 8 appreciation for the evidence that has come forward 9 regarding the involvement of the MIT teams during the 10 investigation into Anthony. We have heard the 11 involvement MIT had during the investigation, such as 12 direct attendance during 27 June 2014 and then, as 13 stated, a supporting role in which they made highlights 14 in the investigation and provided guidelines for the 15 case to be carried further, which we now know wasn't 16 completed by them or the borough at the time. That 17 being said, we feel that the MIT teams missed 18 opportunities to take more ownership of the 19 investigation and did not adhere to guidelines provided 20 to allow nothing to be missed. The information that 21 came to light throughout the case, be that of the 22 growing evidence in the investigation or the contact 23 which the borough had with superiors of the major 24 investigation teams stating they had insufficient 25 capability to investigate the case that it indeed</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

<p>1 merited. With the above accounted, we feel that the</p> <p>2 case required a dedicated MIT team to be assigned to the</p> <p>3 investigation so the case could be taken and</p> <p>4 investigated in a sufficient way, which may have led to</p> <p>5 the earlier capture of the male responsible."</p> <p>6 Is that your explanation?</p> <p>7 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is.</p> <p>8 THE CORONER: Thank you.</p> <p>9 Question 5(a): were there any omissions or failures</p> <p>10 in the investigation conducted by borough officers into</p> <p>11 the deaths of Gabriel Kovari and Daniel Whitworth that</p> <p>12 probably contributed to the death of Jack Taylor? You</p> <p>13 have answered that question yes; is that correct?</p> <p>14 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct.</p> <p>15 THE CORONER: 5(b): were there any omissions or failures in</p> <p>16 the investigation conducted by borough officers into the</p> <p>17 deaths of Gabriel Kovari and Daniel Whitworth that may</p> <p>18 have contributed to the death of Jack Taylor? You have</p> <p>19 answered that question yes; is that correct?</p> <p>20 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct.</p> <p>21 THE CORONER: You were then given an opportunity to give</p> <p>22 an explanation for your answers and a non-exhaustive</p> <p>23 list of considerations was provided as follows:</p> <p>24 "(1) whether or not appropriate decisions were taken</p> <p>25 by officers at the scene on the discovery of</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p>	<p>1 as a fact Dr Swift recommended be sent for analysis.</p> <p>2 "(5) whether or not borough officers took adequate</p> <p>3 or appropriate steps to obtain evidence regarding</p> <p>4 Gabriel Kovari's activities, movements and possible</p> <p>5 connections with Daniel Whitworth and whether those</p> <p>6 officers reacted appropriately when evidence of these</p> <p>7 matters was offered to them by Thierry Amodio and</p> <p>8 John Pape.</p> <p>9 "(6) the fact that borough officers made no attempt</p> <p>10 to engage with the local LGBT community whilst</p> <p>11 investigating the deaths of Gabriel Kovari and</p> <p>12 Daniel Whitworth.</p> <p>13 "(7) whether or not borough officers should have</p> <p>14 done more to consider possible links between the deaths</p> <p>15 of Anthony Walgate, Gabriel Kovari and Daniel Whitworth,</p> <p>16 including conducting a review in September/October 2014</p> <p>17 to assess possible links, in circumstances where the</p> <p>18 police were being asked in terms whether there was</p> <p>19 a link.</p> <p>20 "(8) whether or not the investigation into the</p> <p>21 deaths of Gabriel Kovari and Daniel Whitworth should</p> <p>22 have been reopened or reviewed following the first</p> <p>23 inquests.</p> <p>24 "(9) whether or not any failures or shortcomings in</p> <p>25 the borough investigations were the consequence of one</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p>
<p>1 Daniel Whitworth's body on 20 September 2014, including</p> <p>2 (a) whether it was appropriate to treat</p> <p>3 Daniel Whitworth's death as non-suspicious but</p> <p>4 unexplained, and (b) whether the HAT car should have</p> <p>5 been called given the contents of the note, which</p> <p>6 referred to Daniel having 'taken the life of' Gabriel.</p> <p>7 "(2) the fact that borough officers did not take</p> <p>8 appropriate steps to investigate whether the note found</p> <p>9 with Daniel Whitworth's body was written in his</p> <p>10 handwriting.</p> <p>11 "(3) whether or not borough officers conducted</p> <p>12 adequate or appropriate investigations into whether</p> <p>13 Daniel Whitworth could have been involved in the death</p> <p>14 of Gabriel Kovari, including by making enquiries with</p> <p>15 Daniel's partner and family, by making enquiries with</p> <p>16 his employers and through phone investigations such as</p> <p>17 call and cell site data.</p> <p>18 "(4) whether or not borough officers took adequate</p> <p>19 or appropriate steps regarding the submission of</p> <p>20 evidence for forensic analysis, having regard to the</p> <p>21 matters including the fact that a forensic strategy</p> <p>22 meeting was not held and the fact that items found with</p> <p>23 the bodies and swabs taken from Daniel's body were not</p> <p>24 submitted for analysis. Items found with Daniel's body</p> <p>25 include the blue bedsheet, which you may or may not find</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p>	<p>1 or more of the following factors, the borough officers'</p> <p>2 lack of experience and/or their workload, lack of</p> <p>3 leadership or oversight and lack of officers in</p> <p>4 substantive ranks."</p> <p>5 You have then provided an explanation as follows:</p> <p>6 "We as the jury have indicated yes to part (a) and</p> <p>7 (b) under question 5. We would like to state we have</p> <p>8 appreciation for the evidence that has come forward</p> <p>9 regarding certain pressures the borough officers were</p> <p>10 under at the time. Allowing the statement of</p> <p>11 explanation provided by us, the jurors, prior, we</p> <p>12 believe that there were fundamental failings in these</p> <p>13 investigations from the beginning, which we think were</p> <p>14 at a basic level which implicitly impacted the</p> <p>15 investigation at its starting point. With this there</p> <p>16 was no chance to recover the facts needed to progress</p> <p>17 the case forward.</p> <p>18 "The fact that basic lines of enquiry were not</p> <p>19 followed led to inadequate investigation and ultimately</p> <p>20 left questions unanswered. Even after this, many</p> <p>21 opportunities presented themselves to track back and</p> <p>22 correct objectives missing, but this was not conducted.</p> <p>23 "Once again, insufficient leadership which allowed</p> <p>24 a complete breakdown of oversight of the investigation</p> <p>25 also contributed to the above. We as the jury have</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p>

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

<p>1 decided, due to the above factors, that there were</p> <p>2 failures which cannot be overlooked which ultimately</p> <p>3 allowed for missed opportunities, which in turn allowed</p> <p>4 the male to continue his acts towards the following</p> <p>5 victims after Gabriel and Daniel."</p> <p>6 Is that your explanation?</p> <p>7 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is, yes.</p> <p>8 THE CORONER: Thank you very much.</p> <p>9 Question 6(a): were there any omissions or failures</p> <p>10 in the involvement of SC&amp;O1 officers in the</p> <p>11 investigation into the deaths of Gabriel Kovari and</p> <p>12 Daniel Whitworth that probably contributed to the death</p> <p>13 of Jack Taylor? Your answer to that question is yes; is</p> <p>14 that correct?</p> <p>15 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct.</p> <p>16 THE CORONER: (b): were there any omissions or failures in</p> <p>17 the involvement of SC&amp;O1 officers in the investigation</p> <p>18 into the deaths of Gabriel Kovari and Daniel Whitworth</p> <p>19 that may have contributed to the death of Jack Taylor?</p> <p>20 Your answer to that question is yes; is that correct?</p> <p>21 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is correct.</p> <p>22 THE CORONER: Then again you were given an opportunity of</p> <p>23 giving an explanation for your answers and</p> <p>24 a non-exhaustive list of considerations was provided as</p> <p>25 follows:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p>	<p>1 the MIT. We believe this was inadequately followed and</p> <p>2 in turn led to unacceptable failures in the results</p> <p>3 investigation.</p> <p>4 "We are in agreement that if involvement of the MIT</p> <p>5 was sought at the beginning, ie the scene of Daniel,</p> <p>6 their involvement may have been more substantial due to</p> <p>7 better evidence gathering at the scene and their</p> <p>8 specialised perspective if in attendance at the time.</p> <p>9 "The above being said, we feel that the case</p> <p>10 required a dedicated MIT team to be assigned to the</p> <p>11 investigation, so the case could be taken and</p> <p>12 investigated in a sufficient way, which may have led to</p> <p>13 the earlier capture of the male responsible for staging</p> <p>14 these scenes and who ultimately went on to commit</p> <p>15 further acts towards another victim."</p> <p>16 Is that your conclusion in relation to that</p> <p>17 question?</p> <p>18 THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY: That is, yes.</p> <p>19 THE CORONER: Thank you very much indeed.</p> <p>20 Mr O'Connor, I can also say that the jury have as</p> <p>21 appropriate signed the records of inquest in respect of</p> <p>22 each of the deceased and recorded the four statutory</p> <p>23 determinations, including the cause of death, given at</p> <p>24 the individual post mortems in each case as follows.</p> <p>25 For Anthony, gamma hydroxybutyrate intoxication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 31</p>
<p>1 "(1) whether or not the HAT return of</p> <p>2 23 September 2014 should have recorded Dr Swift's strong</p> <p>3 recommendation that the blue bedsheet be examined, if as</p> <p>4 a matter of fact Dr Swift made such a recommendation.</p> <p>5 "(2) whether or not SC&amp;O1 ought to have assumed</p> <p>6 primacy for the investigation into the deaths of</p> <p>7 Gabriel Kovari and Daniel Whitworth at some point during</p> <p>8 the period 21 to 23 September 2014."</p> <p>9 You have then provided an explanation as follows:</p> <p>10 "We the jury have indicated yes to part (a) and (b)</p> <p>11 under question 6. We would like to state that we have</p> <p>12 appreciation for the evidence that has come forward</p> <p>13 regarding the involvement of the MIT teams during the</p> <p>14 investigation. We have heard the involvement they had</p> <p>15 during the investigation by the borough, including their</p> <p>16 attendance at the special post mortem, where we believe</p> <p>17 now certain lines of enquiry were not followed,</p> <p>18 including those in line with the pathologist's advice.</p> <p>19 MIT were then further in a supporting role thereafter.</p> <p>20 We believe at the beginning of MIT involvement the</p> <p>21 opinion of the investigation was originally pointing</p> <p>22 towards an admitted homicide/manslaughter. This we</p> <p>23 believe was a clear indicator to whose remit the</p> <p>24 investigation fell under and is in our eyes in</p> <p>25 accordance with policies in place at the time, this was</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 30</p>	<p>1 For Gabriel, mixed drug overdose.</p> <p>2 For Daniel, gamma hydroxybutyrate toxicity.</p> <p>3 For Jack, mixed drug and alcohol overdose.</p> <p>4 MR O'CONNOR: Yes, madam.</p> <p>5 THE CORONER: I can also indicate that I will sign and date</p> <p>6 the records of inquest, together with the questionnaires</p> <p>7 for each of those who died, and they will all be</p> <p>8 published on the inquest website.</p> <p>9 Thank you very much indeed, members of the jury,</p> <p>10 that brings to a formal end your time as jurors on these</p> <p>11 inquests. I am now going to deal with a number of other</p> <p>12 matters and I would ask that you remain with us for the</p> <p>13 next stage of the process.</p> <p>14 Post-conclusion remarks by THE CORONER</p> <p>15 THE CORONER: Mr O'Connor, firstly I want to set out my plan</p> <p>16 for the future.</p> <p>17 MR O'CONNOR: Madam, yes.</p> <p>18 THE CORONER: I now want to set out my plan for the future,</p> <p>19 I have already decided that I will be producing a report</p> <p>20 with a view to preventing future deaths. These inquests</p> <p>21 have on any view raised a number of serious concerns and</p> <p>22 I have invited submissions from the legal</p> <p>23 representatives and hope to produce my report early in</p> <p>24 the new year.</p> <p>25 MR O'CONNOR: Yes, madam.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 32</p>



<p>1 THE CORONER: Before I turn to my final topic, which is that 2 of thank yous, I am just going to pause to see if 3 anybody wants to say anything before I go to that stage? 4 MR SKELTON: Madam, yes, may I just briefly -- Ms Hill is 5 standing up as well -- but on behalf of those who 6 represent the Metropolitan Police, may I thank you and 7 your team, including Mr O'Connor, Ms Collier, 8 Ms Hayward, Mr Carlyon, Mr Hughes and the remainder of 9 the team, both in the building and elsewhere, for 10 steering us all through with such skill and efficiency. 11 Thank you. 12 THE CORONER: Thank you, Mr Skelton. 13 Ms Hill. 14 MS HILL: Madam, on behalf of the families who I represent 15 I would like to thank the jury for their consideration 16 and care over what has been many weeks and the diligence 17 they have shown in reviewing the evidence. 18 I would share the thanks that Mr Skelton has offered 19 to you and your team for the very diligent and sensitive 20 way in which they have handled these inquests and the 21 care that has been shown to the clients I represent. 22 Thank you. 23 THE CORONER: Thank you, Ms Hill. 24 These inquests have been planned for two and a half 25 years. An enormous amount of work has gone into them.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 33</p>	<p>1 queries were dealt with efficiently. 2 Next I want to thank Lee Hughes, secretary to these 3 inquests. Lee has been a vital cog in the preparation 4 for and lead up to these inquests and in their efficient 5 running. He has organised the team of excellent ushers 6 and looked after the jury in a calm and professional 7 manner. So my heartfelt thanks to him and his team. 8 Next I want to thank my expert, Julie Mackay, for 9 her conscientious and wholly professional analysis of 10 the evidence and her focused conclusions, which no doubt 11 assisted the jury in reaching their conclusions and gave 12 comfort to the families that their questions were 13 finally to be answered. 14 I now want to pay tribute to the advocates who have 15 ensured that these proceedings were conducted in 16 a timely manner, without a single occasion when the jury 17 had to leave due to any legal falling out. That is, in 18 my experience, unique, as is the fact that all stuck to 19 time so impressively. I will not name them all, but 20 I particularly want to thank: Ms Hill and Mr Stoate, who 21 is happily recovered from Covid and back with us today; 22 I want to thank Dr van Dellen for his concise 23 questioning; Mr Davies and Ms Dobbin for their realistic 24 approach to the evidence; and to Mr Skelton, Mr Berry 25 and Mr Barth for their equally pragmatic approach on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 35</p>
<p>1 It is due to the collaborative working and the 2 cooperation of a number of agencies that we have today 3 arrived at the conclusion, on the very day originally 4 timetabled, and with only one Covid casualty throughout 5 the entire period since we began here on 1 October. 6 I want to take this opportunity to thank some of those 7 involved who have ensured that the process has been 8 conducted without a hitch. That is down to the efforts 9 of many and I want to express my public gratitude to 10 them. 11 Firstly, I want to thank the London borough of 12 Barking and Dagenham for providing us with the fabulous 13 Town Hall, its space and facilities, which have enabled 14 us to conduct these inquests safely, efficiently and 15 comfortably, even during the continuing pandemic. My 16 sincere thanks go to the borough and their staff. 17 Secondly, I want to thank the technical teams who 18 have enabled interested persons and others to follow the 19 proceedings remotely, for witnesses to appear via 20 video-link, for documents to be put up on screens and 21 for a daily transcript to be made available for use in 22 questioning and by me in the summing up. My thanks to 23 all those involved in making that process work so well. 24 I also thank our press officer, Mike Wicksteed, for 25 keeping the press up to speed and ensuring that their</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 34</p>	<p>1 behalf of the Metropolitan Police. 2 I come then to my team. Two and a half years ago 3 I met Mr O'Connor and Mr Carlyon, solicitor to the 4 inquest, and his assistant Amy Nicholls, more recently 5 we have been blessed with the addition of our senior 6 paralegal, Laura Singleton, who has made a valuable 7 contribution to the smooth running of the process. 8 Mr O'Connor's original junior, Ms Cicely Hayward, 9 joined the team at an early stage and she worked 10 tirelessly in preparing the evidence and producing 11 initial versions of the timetables. Her knowledge of 12 the detail was encyclopaedic and I pay tribute to her. 13 Due to the pandemic the inquests could not take place as 14 originally planned and Cicely had to leave us to have 15 a baby. 16 Her place was filled, and filled with aplomb, by 17 Ms Collier, who has taken the junior reins and made 18 a huge contribution to the team led by Mr O'Connor. 19 To Mr O'Connor I want to express my very real and 20 genuine gratitude, he is the master of his profession. 21 My team led me every step of the way and I could not be 22 more grateful to them. 23 That brings me to you, members of the jury. Before 24 I come actually to you though I just want to also record 25 my thanks on your behalf to Stephanie Clifton, who is</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 36</p>

<p>1 here today, the senior business officer at East London 2 Coroner's Court, who I know either has or very shortly 3 will have ironed out the expense wrinkles that have 4 occurred during the course of these inquests. 5 Then, members of the jury, I do turn to you, you 6 have all given up weeks of your life to come here day 7 in, day out, always on time, without complaint, to 8 undertake the most important roles in these very 9 high-profile inquests. We could not have conducted 10 these inquests without the 11 of you. 11 You have undertaken your vital role with obvious 12 conscientiousness and you have listened with care to all 13 the evidence and come to reasoned conclusions, for which 14 I know all in this room and beyond are and will be 15 eternally grateful. You will all leave here having 16 I hope had an enlightening experience which will live 17 with you for many years. You leave this court with my 18 sincere gratitude and heartfelt thanks for your service. 19 Finally, I would like to address the families. 20 To the Walgate family, to the Kovari family, to the 21 Whitworth family, to Mr Waumsley and to the Taylor 22 family and to the friends of all the young men who died, 23 both those we have heard from and those we have not. 24 May I thank all of you who have attended in person for 25 maintaining their composure and dignity throughout. May</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 37</p>	
<p>1 I also express the hope that you finally feel you have 2 been listened to and that you have answers to some if 3 not all of your questions. I wish you all the very best 4 for the future and I commend to you the happy memories 5 you all have of Anthony, Gabriel, Daniel and Jack. 6 Thank you very much. I will rise. 7 (1.10 pm) 8 (The inquests concluded) 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p>	

<b>A</b>				
<b>A/DI</b> 8:9 20:21	<b>allowed</b> 9:6,10,10 15:19,23,24 22:8 22:12,13 28:23 29:3,3	<b>app</b> 18:7	<b>authored</b> 13:8	8:13,14,22 10:13 11:3,17,24 14:14 14:24 15:11 17:6 17:12 19:10,16 19:23 20:3,10,13 20:16 21:2,8,15 21:16,24 23:12 24:2,16,23 25:10 25:16 26:7,11,18 27:2,9,13,25 28:1 28:9 30:15 34:11 34:16
<b>Abbey</b> 5:11 13:2 18:14	<b>Allowing</b> 28:10	<b>appear</b> 34:19	<b>available</b> 34:21	<b>breakdown</b> 9:6 15:20 22:8 28:24
<b>accounted</b> 12:2 17:15 25:1	<b>ambulance</b> 3:19	<b>appreciation</b> 8:21 11:9 15:10 16:23 21:23 24:8 28:8 30:12	<b>aware</b> 6:20 7:14 14:17 20:1	<b>briefly</b> 33:4
<b>acting</b> 8:25 15:14 22:2	<b>amended</b> 18:25	<b>approach</b> 35:24,25	<b>B</b>	<b>brings</b> 32:10 36:23
<b>actions</b> 7:24 10:19 10:24 20:11 23:18,23	<b>amendments</b> 3:7 4:19 12:16 14:3 18:3	<b>appropriate</b> 7:17 7:22 8:8 10:20 10:22 20:4,9,20 23:19,21 25:24 26:2,8,12,19 27:3 31:21	<b>b</b> 8:19 10:21 11:8 15:8 16:21 19:14 21:21 22:24 23:20 24:6 26:4 28:7 29:16 30:10	<b>building</b> 33:9
<b>activities</b> 27:4	<b>Amodio</b> 27:7	<b>appropriately</b> 27:6	<b>baby</b> 36:15	<b>business</b> 37:1
<b>activity</b> 21:11	<b>amount</b> 33:25	<b>approximately</b> 12:19	<b>back</b> 8:9 20:21,24 28:21 35:21	
<b>acts</b> 9:11 15:24 22:13 29:4 31:15	<b>amounted</b> 10:19 23:18	<b>arising</b> 7:24 10:24 20:11 23:23	<b>balance</b> 4:7 6:11 14:7 19:3	<b>C</b>
<b>added</b> 9:14	<b>Amy</b> 36:4	<b>arranged</b> 12:20	<b>Barking</b> 3:12 4:24 5:2,11 6:20 7:14 12:20,21,22 13:20 14:17 18:8 18:10,14 20:1 34:12	<b>c</b> 10:25 23:24
<b>addition</b> 36:5	<b>anal</b> 7:21 20:8	<b>arrangement</b> 4:24	<b>Barth</b> 35:25	<b>call</b> 26:17
<b>address</b> 37:19	<b>analysed</b> 21:8	<b>arrival</b> 12:22	<b>basic</b> 3:6 4:18 12:15 18:2 28:14 28:18	<b>called</b> 3:19,20 18:9 26:5
<b>adequate</b> 7:17,22 10:20,22 20:4,9 23:19,21 26:12 26:18 27:2	<b>analysis</b> 26:20,24 27:1 35:9	<b>arrived</b> 3:20 18:10 34:3	<b>bedsheet</b> 26:25 30:3	<b>calm</b> 35:6
<b>adhere</b> 11:20 17:8 24:19	<b>and/or</b> 7:17,18 8:15 10:24 20:4 20:5 21:3,4,17 23:23 28:2	<b>asked</b> 3:5 4:6,17 6:10,16 7:1 9:17 9:25 12:14 14:6 14:13 18:1 19:7 27:18	<b>beginning</b> 28:13 30:20 31:5	<b>capability</b> 12:1 17:14 24:25
<b>administered</b> 3:15 5:6,8 12:25 18:12	<b>answer</b> 2:17 4:8 6:12 9:22 29:13 29:20	<b>assess</b> 27:17	<b>behalf</b> 2:15 33:5 33:14 36:1,25	<b>capture</b> 12:6 17:19 25:5 31:13
<b>admitted</b> 30:22	<b>answered</b> 6:23 7:5 10:4 14:9,20,25 16:8,13 19:5,11 19:17 22:22 23:2 25:13,19 35:13	<b>assessed</b> 10:25 23:24	<b>believe</b> 28:12 30:16,20,23 31:1	<b>car</b> 26:4
<b>advice</b> 21:3 30:18	<b>answers</b> 2:12,17 2:18 7:9 10:9 15:4 16:17 19:21 23:7 25:22 29:23 38:2	<b>assigned</b> 12:3 17:16 25:2 31:10	<b>belongings</b> 5:10	<b>care</b> 33:16,21 37:12
<b>advised</b> 8:6 20:18	<b>Anthony</b> 2:24 3:1 3:6,9,13,15,23 4:8 7:3 9:20 10:3 10:12 11:11 14:23 16:6,12,25 19:9,15 21:13 22:20 23:1,11 24:10 27:15 31:25 38:5	<b>assistant</b> 36:4	<b>Berry</b> 35:24	<b>Carlyon</b> 33:8 36:3
<b>advocates</b> 35:14	<b>Anthony's</b> 3:17 21:5	<b>assisted</b> 35:11	<b>best</b> 38:3	<b>carried</b> 3:16 11:16 17:5 24:15
<b>afternoon</b> 2:4 18:17	<b>anybody</b> 33:3	<b>associated</b> 21:11	<b>better</b> 31:7	<b>case</b> 9:3 11:16,22 12:1,3,4 15:16 17:4,10,14,16,17 20:24 22:5 24:15 24:21,25 25:2,3 28:17 31:9,11,24
<b>agencies</b> 34:2	<b>aplomb</b> 36:16	<b>assumed</b> 10:11 23:10 30:5	<b>beyond</b> 37:14	<b>casualty</b> 34:4
<b>ago</b> 36:2		<b>attached</b> 9:2 15:16 22:4	<b>blessed</b> 36:5	<b>cause</b> 13:14 31:23
<b>agree</b> 2:21 3:5 5:21 14:2 18:24		<b>attempt</b> 27:9	<b>blue</b> 26:25 30:3	<b>cell</b> 26:17
<b>agreed</b> 3:1 4:3,14 4:23 6:2,3,6 9:2 12:12 15:16 17:24 18:8 22:4		<b>attendance</b> 8:2 11:13 17:2 20:14 24:12 30:16 31:8	<b>bodies</b> 26:23	<b>certain</b> 8:22 9:1 15:15 21:24 22:3 28:9 30:17
<b>agreement</b> 8:23 15:12 21:25 31:4		<b>attended</b> 3:21 18:19 37:24	<b>body</b> 3:17 5:9,13 12:23 13:1,4,5,11 13:16,23,25 18:14,16 26:1,9 26:23,24	<b>chance</b> 28:16
<b>alcohol</b> 32:3		<b>August</b> 4:22 5:1,3 5:5,5,14,16,16	<b>borough</b> 6:17 7:4 7:11,16,23 8:1,4	<b>check</b> 8:2 11:5 20:14 24:4
<b>alerted</b> 18:18				
<b>allegation</b> 7:19 20:6				
<b>allow</b> 11:21 17:9 24:20				

<p><b>checks</b> 6:17 7:12 14:15 19:24 <b>chemsex</b> 21:3 <b>Church</b> 5:10 13:2 18:16 <b>churtyard</b> 5:12 13:4 <b>Cicely</b> 36:8,14 <b>circumstances</b> 27:17 <b>cleaner</b> 18:17 <b>clear</b> 2:23 30:23 <b>client</b> 3:11 <b>clients</b> 33:21 <b>Clifton</b> 36:25 <b>cog</b> 35:3 <b>collaborative</b> 34:1 <b>colleague</b> 12:19 <b>Collier</b> 33:7 36:17 <b>come</b> 8:21 11:9 15:10 16:23 21:23 24:8 28:8 30:12 36:2,24 37:6,13 <b>comfort</b> 35:12 <b>comfortably</b> 34:15 <b>commend</b> 38:4 <b>commit</b> 31:14 <b>community</b> 27:10 <b>complaint</b> 37:7 <b>complete</b> 9:6 15:19 22:8 28:24 <b>completed</b> 2:10,15 11:17 17:5 24:16 <b>completely</b> 13:14 <b>composure</b> 37:25 <b>computer</b> 20:17 21:8 <b>concerning</b> 3:1 4:14 7:18 12:11 17:24 20:5 <b>concerns</b> 32:21 <b>concise</b> 35:22 <b>concluded</b> 38:8 <b>conclusion</b> 2:20,22 5:24 9:13 31:16 34:3 <b>conclusions</b> 1:5 2:3,5 3:2 6:7</p>	<p>35:10,11 37:13 <b>conduct</b> 6:17 7:11 14:14 19:23 34:14 <b>conducted</b> 7:3 11:2 14:23 19:9 19:15 24:1 25:10 25:16 26:11 28:22 34:8 35:15 37:9 <b>conducting</b> 27:16 <b>confirm</b> 2:11,15 <b>connections</b> 27:5 <b>conscientious</b> 35:9 <b>conscientiousness</b> 37:12 <b>consequence</b> 6:19 7:13 8:13 14:16 19:25 21:15 27:25 <b>consider</b> 7:17 20:4 23:8 27:14 <b>consideration</b> 33:15 <b>considerations</b> 7:10 10:8 15:5 16:18 19:22 23:8 25:23 29:24 <b>contact</b> 8:1 11:23 17:12 18:7 20:13 24:22 <b>contacted</b> 21:12 <b>contained</b> 21:10 <b>content</b> 7:23 20:10 <b>contents</b> 21:7 26:5 <b>continue</b> 9:11 15:24 22:13 29:4 <b>continuing</b> 34:15 <b>contribute</b> 6:21 14:19 <b>contributed</b> 7:4 9:20 10:3 14:24 16:7,12 19:10,16 22:21 23:1 25:12 25:18 28:25 29:12,19 <b>contribution</b> 36:7 36:18 <b>Cooke</b> 3:12,13,18</p>	<p>3:24 4:23 5:2,6,9 5:17 13:20 18:21 <b>cooperation</b> 34:2 <b>copies</b> 2:10 <b>CORONER</b> 1:6 2:4 3:4 4:5,12,16 5:24 6:2,4,9,15 7:1,8 9:16,25 10:7 12:9,14 14:5,12,22 15:3 16:3,10,16 17:22 18:1 19:2,7,14,20 22:17,24 23:5 25:8,15,21 29:8 29:16,22 31:19 32:5,14,15,18 33:1,12,23 <b>Coroner's</b> 37:2 <b>correct</b> 2:16 4:3,4 4:10,11 5:22,23 6:13,14,24,25 7:6 7:7 9:13,15,23,24 10:5,6 14:3,4,10 14:11,20,21 15:1 15:2 16:8,9,14,15 18:25 19:1,5,6,12 19:13,18,19 22:22,23 23:3,4 25:13,14,19,20 28:22 29:14,15 29:20,21 <b>correctly</b> 12:7 16:1 17:20 <b>course</b> 37:4 <b>court</b> 37:2,17 <b>Covid</b> 34:4 35:21 <b>crime</b> 7:18 20:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>D</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>D</b> 1:3 <b>Dagenham</b> 34:12 <b>daily</b> 34:21 <b>Daniel</b> 12:10,11,16 12:18,20,24 13:1 13:8,10,12,19,21 14:6,8,19,25 16:7 16:13 25:11,17 26:1,3,6,9,13 27:5,12,15,21 29:5,12,18 30:7</p>	<p>31:5 32:2 38:5 <b>Daniel's</b> 12:22 13:1,4,9,14,16,25 26:15,23,24 <b>data</b> 26:17 <b>Database</b> 6:19 7:13 14:16 19:25 <b>date</b> 32:5 <b>dated</b> 8:6 20:18 <b>dating</b> 12:21 <b>Davies</b> 35:23 <b>day</b> 5:4 34:3 37:6 37:7 <b>deal</b> 32:11 <b>dealt</b> 35:1 <b>death</b> 3:6 4:18 6:22 7:3,4 9:20 9:21 10:3,4,13 12:15 13:14 14:19,23,25 16:6 16:7,12,13 18:2 19:9,10,15,17 22:20,21 23:1,2 23:12 25:12,18 26:3,13 29:12,19 31:23 <b>deaths</b> 25:11,17 27:11,14,21 29:11,18 30:6 32:20 <b>deceased</b> 2:12,13 2:17 31:22 <b>December</b> 1:1 7:19 20:6 <b>decided</b> 9:8 15:21 22:10 29:1 32:19 <b>decision</b> 10:16 20:23 23:15 <b>decisions</b> 25:24 <b>dedicated</b> 12:3 17:16 25:2 31:10 <b>Dellen</b> 35:22 <b>department</b> 8:24 15:13 22:1 <b>depth</b> 9:4 15:17 22:6 <b>despite</b> 9:8 15:21 22:10 <b>detail</b> 36:12</p>	<p><b>detailed</b> 10:16 23:15 <b>determinations</b> 31:23 <b>DI</b> 20:23 <b>died</b> 3:23 5:16 13:19 18:21 32:7 37:22 <b>dignity</b> 37:25 <b>diligence</b> 33:16 <b>diligent</b> 33:19 <b>direct</b> 11:13 17:2 24:12 <b>directed</b> 4:9 6:13 14:9 19:4 <b>disagreed</b> 2:22 6:2 6:3 <b>discovered</b> 5:13 13:16 18:17 <b>discovery</b> 12:23 25:25 <b>Dobbin</b> 35:23 <b>documents</b> 34:20 <b>dog</b> 5:13 13:16 <b>dose</b> 3:13 5:6,19 12:24 18:11 <b>doses</b> 3:14 4:1 5:6 12:24 13:23 18:12,23 <b>doubt</b> 35:10 <b>download</b> 8:5 20:17 <b>Dr</b> 27:1 30:2,4 35:22 <b>drive</b> 21:10 <b>drug</b> 21:11 32:1,3 <b>due</b> 29:1 31:6 34:1 35:17 36:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>E</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>E</b> 1:3 <b>earlier</b> 12:6 17:19 25:5 31:13 <b>early</b> 18:5 23:14 32:23 36:9 <b>East</b> 37:1 <b>efficiency</b> 33:10 <b>efficient</b> 35:4 <b>efficiently</b> 34:14 35:1</p>
---	--	--	--	---

<b>efforts</b> 34:8	19:21 21:20	<b>first</b> 2:25 27:22	<b>fundamental</b>	36:20 37:18
<b>either</b> 37:2	22:15 23:7 24:5	<b>firstly</b> 2:12 21:2	28:12	<b>graveyard</b> 5:10
<b>email</b> 10:17 23:16	25:6,22 28:5,11	32:15 34:11	<b>further</b> 11:16 17:5	13:1,11,24 18:16
<b>emergency</b> 3:20	29:6,23 30:9	<b>flat</b> 3:13,17 4:23	24:15 30:19	<b>green</b> 5:11 13:2
<b>employers</b> 8:2	<b>express</b> 34:9 36:19	4:25 5:1,3,4,6,17	31:15	18:14
20:14 26:16	38:1	13:20 18:11,11	<b>future</b> 32:16,18,20	<b>Grindr</b> 18:7
<b>enabled</b> 34:13,18	<b>extinct</b> 3:23 5:15	<b>focused</b> 35:10	38:4	<b>growing</b> 11:23
<b>encyclopaedic</b>	13:19 18:20	<b>follow</b> 7:18 20:5		17:11 24:22
36:12	<b>eyes</b> 30:24	34:18	<b>G</b>	<b>guidelines</b> 11:15
<b>engage</b> 27:10		<b>followed</b> 28:19	<b>Gabriel</b> 4:13,14,18	11:20 17:4,9
<b>enlightening</b> 37:16	<b>F</b>	30:17 31:1	4:21,23 5:1,2,7	24:14,19
<b>enormous</b> 33:25	<b>fabulous</b> 34:12	<b>following</b> 5:4 8:10	5:16,17 6:6,12,22	
<b>enquiries</b> 10:20	<b>facilities</b> 34:13	8:14 16:20 20:22	7:5 9:21 10:4	<b>H</b>
23:19 26:14,15	<b>fact</b> 6:17 7:11 8:1	20:24 21:1,16	15:6 25:11,17	<b>half</b> 33:24 36:2
<b>enquiry</b> 28:18	8:4 14:14 19:23	24:5 27:22 28:1	26:6,14 27:4,11	<b>Hall</b> 34:13
30:17	20:13,16,23 21:7	29:4	27:15,21 29:5,11	<b>hand</b> 13:9
<b>ensured</b> 34:7	26:7,21,22 27:1,9	<b>follows</b> 3:8 4:20	29:18 30:7 32:1	<b>handled</b> 33:20
35:15	28:18 30:4 35:18	7:10 8:18 10:10	38:5	<b>handwriting</b> 26:10
<b>ensuring</b> 34:25	<b>factors</b> 8:14 9:8	11:6 12:17 15:7	<b>Gabriel's</b> 5:8,13	<b>happily</b> 35:21
<b>entire</b> 34:5	15:21 21:16	18:4 19:22 21:20	<b>gamma</b> 3:14 5:7	<b>happy</b> 38:4
<b>entry</b> 11:1 23:25	22:11 28:1 29:1	23:9 25:23 28:5	31:25 32:2	<b>hard</b> 21:10
<b>equally</b> 35:25	<b>facts</b> 3:6 4:18	29:25 30:9 31:24	<b>gathering</b> 31:7	<b>HAT</b> 8:5 11:2
<b>escort</b> 3:11	12:15 18:2 28:16	<b>forced</b> 7:20 20:7	<b>gay</b> 4:25 12:20	20:18 24:1 26:4
<b>eternally</b> 37:15	<b>failings</b> 21:14	<b>foreman</b> 2:6 3:3	<b>generally</b> 21:3	30:1
<b>evaluating</b> 10:23	28:12	4:4,11,15 5:23	<b>gentlemen</b> 2:4	<b>Hayward</b> 33:8
23:22	<b>failures</b> 7:2 8:12	6:1,3,8,14,25 7:7	<b>genuine</b> 36:20	36:8
<b>evening</b> 3:9 5:3	9:9,18 10:1	9:15,24 10:6	<b>GHB</b> 3:14,14 4:1	<b>heard</b> 11:11 16:25
<b>evidence</b> 8:21 11:9	14:22 15:22 16:5	12:8,13 14:4,11	5:7,7,19 12:24,25	24:10 30:14
11:23 15:10	16:10 19:8,14	14:21 15:2 16:2	13:7,13,15,23	37:23
16:23 17:11	22:11,18,24 25:9	16:9,15 17:21,25	18:12,12,23 21:3	<b>heartfelt</b> 35:7
21:23 24:8,22	25:15 27:24 29:2	19:1,6,13,19	21:6	37:18
26:20 27:3,6	29:9,16 31:2	22:16,23 23:4	<b>give</b> 4:17 12:15	<b>heavy</b> 8:25 15:14
28:8 30:12 31:7	<b>fake</b> 13:7,9	25:7,14,20 29:7	18:2 25:21	22:2
33:17 35:10,24	<b>falling</b> 35:17	29:15,21 31:18	<b>given</b> 7:8 10:7	<b>held</b> 26:22
36:10 37:13	<b>families</b> 33:14	<b>forensic</b> 3:22	13:15 15:3 16:16	<b>high-profile</b> 37:9
<b>examined</b> 30:3	35:12 37:19	18:19 26:20,21	16:20 19:20 23:6	<b>highlighting</b> 10:24
<b>examiner</b> 3:22	<b>family</b> 21:5 26:15	<b>formal</b> 32:10	25:21 26:5 29:22	23:23
18:20	37:20,20,21,22	<b>formally</b> 3:22 5:15	31:23 37:6	<b>highlights</b> 11:14
<b>excellent</b> 35:5	<b>fashion</b> 3:10	13:18 18:20	<b>giving</b> 3:25 5:18	17:3 24:13
<b>exchange</b> 18:8	<b>fatal</b> 4:1 5:19	<b>forward</b> 8:21 11:9	7:8 10:8 13:22	<b>Hill</b> 33:4,13,14,23
<b>expense</b> 37:3	13:23 18:23	15:10 16:23	15:3 16:16 18:23	35:20
<b>experience</b> 8:15	<b>feel</b> 11:18 12:2	21:23 24:8 28:8	19:20 23:6 29:23	<b>hitch</b> 34:8
21:17 28:2 35:18	17:7,15 24:17	28:17 30:12	<b>go</b> 2:8,9,14,18 33:3	<b>home</b> 18:5,6
37:16	25:1 31:9 38:1	<b>found</b> 13:24,25	34:16	<b>homicide/mansl...</b>
<b>expert</b> 35:8	<b>fell</b> 30:24	26:8,22,24	<b>going</b> 2:9 12:20	30:22
<b>explanation</b> 7:9	<b>filled</b> 36:16,16	<b>four</b> 31:22	32:11 33:2	<b>hope</b> 2:22 32:23
8:18 9:14 10:9	<b>final</b> 33:1	<b>Friday</b> 1:1 13:20	<b>Good</b> 2:4	37:16 38:1
11:6 12:7 15:4,7	<b>finally</b> 8:11 35:13	<b>friend</b> 13:10	<b>grateful</b> 36:22	<b>hours</b> 18:5,20
16:1,17,20 17:20	37:19 38:1	<b>friends</b> 21:5 37:22	37:15	<b>huge</b> 36:18
	<b>find</b> 26:25		<b>gratitude</b> 34:9	<b>Hughes</b> 33:8 35:2

<b>Hull</b> 3:10	28:23	14:18 20:2	<b>kill</b> 3:15 5:8 12:25	13:10,18 18:20
<b>hydroxybutyrate</b>	<b>Intel</b> 11:2 24:1	<b>ironed</b> 37:3	18:13	26:6 37:6
3:14 5:7 31:25	<b>intelligence</b> 11:4	<b>items</b> 26:22,24	<b>killed</b> 3:25 4:8	<b>light</b> 11:1,22 17:10
32:2	24:3		5:17,18,19 6:12	23:25 24:21
	<b>interested</b> 34:18	<b>J</b>	13:6,21,22,25	<b>line</b> 30:18
<b>I</b>	<b>internet</b> 21:11	<b>Jack</b> 17:23,24 18:2	14:8 18:14,22,22	<b>lines</b> 28:18 30:17
<b>identified</b> 12:7	<b>interview</b> 7:24	18:5,9,11,13,14	19:4	<b>link</b> 27:19
<b>identify</b> 2:7 7:24	10:24 20:11	18:21,22 19:2,4	<b>know</b> 11:16 17:5	<b>links</b> 27:14,17
20:11 21:9	23:23	19:11,17 22:21	24:15 37:2,14	<b>list</b> 7:9 10:7,9 15:5
<b>impacted</b> 28:14	<b>interviewing</b> 10:23	23:2 25:12,18	<b>knowledge</b> 36:11	16:17 19:22 23:7
<b>implemented</b>	23:22	29:13,19 32:3	<b>known</b> 21:12	25:23 29:24
10:18 20:25	<b>intoxication</b> 31:25	38:5	<b>Kovari</b> 4:21 6:12	<b>listened</b> 37:12 38:2
23:17	<b>investigate</b> 12:1	<b>Jack's</b> 18:16	6:22 7:5 9:21	<b>live</b> 37:16
<b>implicitly</b> 28:14	17:14 24:25 26:8	<b>John</b> 27:8	10:4 25:11,17	<b>lived</b> 3:12
<b>important</b> 37:8	<b>investigated</b> 12:5	<b>joined</b> 36:9	26:14 27:11,15	<b>local</b> 5:13 13:16
<b>impressively</b> 35:19	17:18 25:4 31:12	<b>Julie</b> 35:8	27:21 29:11,18	27:10
<b>inadequate</b> 28:19	<b>investigating</b>	<b>July</b> 10:15 21:8	30:7 37:20	<b>London</b> 4:22
<b>inadequately</b> 31:1	27:11	23:14	<b>Kovari's</b> 27:4	34:11 37:1
<b>incident</b> 6:20 7:14	<b>investigation</b> 7:3	<b>June</b> 3:9,16,23,24		<b>look</b> 9:3 15:17
14:17 20:1	8:9 9:2,4,7,19	6:21 7:15 8:3,6	<b>L</b>	22:5
<b>include</b> 26:25	10:2,12 11:11,12	8:10 10:14,17,19	<b>lack</b> 8:15,15,16	<b>looked</b> 35:6
<b>including</b> 10:18,23	11:15,20,23,25	10:23 11:2,13	21:17,17,18 28:2	
11:5 23:17,22	12:4 14:23 15:15	14:18 17:2 20:2	28:2,3	<b>M</b>
24:4 26:1,14,21	15:17,20 16:6,11	20:15,18,22	<b>ladies</b> 2:4	<b>Mackay</b> 35:8
27:16 30:15,18	16:25 17:1,4,8,11	21:13 23:13,14	<b>laptop</b> 8:5 20:17	<b>madam</b> 32:4,17,25
31:23 33:7	17:13,17 19:9,15	23:16,18,22,25	21:8	33:4,14
<b>indicate</b> 32:5	20:21 22:4,6,9,20	24:12	<b>late</b> 4:22 8:10	<b>maintaining</b> 37:25
<b>indicated</b> 8:19	22:25 23:11	<b>June/early</b> 10:15	20:22	<b>major</b> 11:24 17:13
9:22 11:7 14:2	24:10,11,14,19	<b>junior</b> 36:8,17	<b>Laura</b> 36:6	24:23
15:8 16:21 21:21	24:22,24 25:3,10	<b>jurors</b> 28:11 32:10	<b>lead</b> 35:4	<b>majority</b> 2:20,21
24:6 28:6 30:10	25:16 27:20	<b>jury</b> 1:5 2:2,3 3:3	<b>leadership</b> 8:16	5:25 6:1
<b>indicator</b> 30:23	28:15,19,24	4:4,11,15 5:23	9:6 15:19 21:18	<b>making</b> 26:14,15
<b>individual</b> 31:24	29:11,17 30:6,14	6:1,3,8,14,25 7:7	22:8 28:3,23	34:23
<b>information</b> 11:21	30:15,21,24 31:3	8:19 9:7,15,24	<b>leave</b> 9:5 15:18	<b>male</b> 3:11 9:11
17:10 21:4,9	31:11	10:6 11:7 12:8	22:7 35:17 36:14	12:6 15:24 17:19
24:20	<b>investigations</b> 8:13	12:13 14:4,11,21	37:15,17	22:13 25:5 29:4
<b>initial</b> 8:10 20:22	21:15 26:12,16	15:2,8,21 16:2,9	<b>led</b> 9:1 12:5 15:15	31:13
36:11	27:25 28:13	16:15,21 17:21	17:18 22:3 25:4	<b>man</b> 3:13,15,16,25
<b>inquest</b> 31:21 32:6	<b>invited</b> 32:22	17:25 19:1,6,13	28:19 31:2,12	4:25 5:17,18
32:8 36:4	<b>involved</b> 26:13	19:19 21:21	36:18,21	12:21,24 13:4,6,7
<b>inquests</b> 27:23	34:7,23	22:10,16,23 23:4	<b>Lee</b> 35:2,3	13:10,15,21,23
32:11,20 33:20	<b>involvement</b> 8:10	24:6 25:7,14,20	<b>left</b> 3:17 5:11	14:1 18:7,9,11,13
33:24 34:14 35:3	9:18 10:1 11:10	28:6,25 29:7,15	12:18 13:2,4,5,8	18:22
35:4 36:13 37:4	11:12 16:5,11,24	29:21 30:10	13:9,11 18:15	<b>man's</b> 13:20 18:11
37:9,10 38:8	17:1 20:22 22:19	31:18,20 32:9	28:20	<b>manner</b> 35:7,16
<b>inspector</b> 10:19	22:25 24:9,11	33:15 35:6,11,16	<b>legal</b> 32:22 35:17	<b>Margaret's</b> 5:10
23:18	29:10,17 30:13	36:23 37:5	<b>Let's</b> 2:24 4:13	13:2 18:16
<b>insufficient</b> 9:5	30:14,20 31:4,6		<b>level</b> 28:14	<b>marked</b> 4:2 5:21
11:25 15:19	<b>involving</b> 6:21	<b>K</b>	<b>LGBT</b> 27:10	<b>master</b> 36:20
17:14 22:7 24:24		<b>keeping</b> 34:25	<b>life</b> 3:23 5:15	<b>matter</b> 30:4

<p><b>matters</b> 26:21 27:7 32:12</p> <p><b>McCarthy</b> 8:9 20:21</p> <p><b>McCarthy's</b> 20:23</p> <p><b>means</b> 2:8</p> <p><b>medical</b> 3:20,22 18:19</p> <p><b>meet</b> 3:11 12:21 18:9</p> <p><b>meeting</b> 26:22</p> <p><b>members</b> 32:9 36:23 37:5</p> <p><b>memories</b> 38:4</p> <p><b>men</b> 3:25 13:22 18:23 21:12 37:22</p> <p><b>merited</b> 12:2 17:15 25:1</p> <p><b>messages</b> 18:8</p> <p><b>met</b> 5:2 12:24 13:15 21:13 36:3</p> <p><b>Metropolitan</b> 33:6 36:1</p> <p><b>Mike</b> 34:24</p> <p><b>missed</b> 9:10 11:18 11:21 15:23 17:7 17:9 22:12 24:17 24:20 29:3</p> <p><b>missing</b> 28:22</p> <p><b>mistakes</b> 9:1 15:15 22:3</p> <p><b>MIT</b> 10:19,21 11:2 11:3,10,18 12:3 16:24 17:7,16 20:24 23:18,20 24:1,2,9,11,17 25:2 30:13,19,20 31:1,4,10</p> <p><b>mixed</b> 32:1,3</p> <p><b>Monday</b> 5:4,5,16 18:18</p> <p><b>morning</b> 3:16 5:14 13:17</p> <p><b>mortem</b> 30:16</p> <p><b>mortems</b> 31:24</p> <p><b>moved</b> 4:21 5:1</p> <p><b>movements</b> 27:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>N</b></p>	<p><b>N</b> 1:3</p> <p><b>name</b> 35:19</p> <p><b>National</b> 6:18 7:13 14:16 19:25</p> <p><b>native</b> 4:22</p> <p><b>need</b> 16:19</p> <p><b>needed</b> 28:16</p> <p><b>neighbour</b> 5:2</p> <p><b>neighbour's</b> 5:4</p> <p><b>never</b> 20:25</p> <p><b>new</b> 32:24</p> <p><b>Nicholls</b> 36:4</p> <p><b>night</b> 18:6</p> <p><b>non-consensual</b> 7:21 20:8</p> <p><b>non-exhaustive</b> 7:9 10:7 15:5 19:21 25:22 29:24</p> <p><b>non-suspicious</b> 26:3</p> <p><b>note</b> 13:7,9,13 26:5,8</p> <p><b>notwithstanding</b> 8:5 20:17</p> <p><b>number</b> 32:11,21 34:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O</b></p> <p><b>O'Connor</b> 31:20 32:4,15,17,25 33:7 36:3,18,19</p> <p><b>O'Connor's</b> 36:8</p> <p><b>objectives</b> 28:22</p> <p><b>obtain</b> 27:3</p> <p><b>obvious</b> 37:11</p> <p><b>occasion</b> 35:16</p> <p><b>occasional</b> 3:11</p> <p><b>occurred</b> 37:4</p> <p><b>October</b> 34:5</p> <p><b>of</b> 26:6</p> <p><b>offered</b> 27:7 33:18</p> <p><b>officer</b> 34:24 37:1</p> <p><b>officers</b> 6:17,19 7:4,11,14,16,23 8:1,4,16,22,24 9:19 10:2,13,21 11:4 14:14,17,24 15:11,12 16:5,11 19:10,16,23 20:1</p>	<p>20:3,10,13,16 21:2,9,16,18,24 22:1,19,25 23:20 24:3 25:10,16,25 26:7,11,18 27:2,6 27:9,13 28:3,9 29:10,17</p> <p><b>officers'</b> 8:14 11:3 23:12 24:2 28:1</p> <p><b>old</b> 4:25</p> <p><b>omissions</b> 7:2 9:18 10:1 14:22 16:4 16:10 19:8,14 22:18,24 25:9,15 29:9,16</p> <p><b>Once</b> 28:23</p> <p><b>online</b> 12:20 13:15</p> <p><b>operational</b> 9:4 15:18 22:6</p> <p><b>opinion</b> 30:21</p> <p><b>opportunities</b> 9:10 11:19 15:23 17:7 22:12 24:18 28:21 29:3</p> <p><b>opportunity</b> 7:8 10:8 15:3 16:16 19:20 23:6 25:21 29:22 34:6</p> <p><b>organised</b> 35:5</p> <p><b>original</b> 3:7 4:19 12:16 18:3 36:8</p> <p><b>originally</b> 3:10 30:21 34:3 36:14</p> <p><b>ought</b> 10:11 11:3 23:10 24:2 30:5</p> <p><b>outside</b> 3:17</p> <p><b>overdose</b> 13:7,13 32:1,3</p> <p><b>overlooked</b> 9:9 15:22 22:11 29:2</p> <p><b>oversight</b> 8:16 9:7 15:20 21:18 22:9 28:3,24</p> <p><b>owner</b> 4:25 5:5</p> <p><b>ownership</b> 11:19 17:8 24:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>P</b></p> <p><b>pandemic</b> 34:15 36:13</p>	<p><b>Pape</b> 27:8</p> <p><b>paralegal</b> 36:6</p> <p><b>paramedic</b> 5:15 13:18</p> <p><b>park</b> 18:17</p> <p><b>part</b> 8:19 11:7 15:8 16:21 21:21 24:6 28:6 30:10</p> <p><b>particular</b> 21:10</p> <p><b>particularly</b> 35:20</p> <p><b>partner</b> 26:15</p> <p><b>pathologist's</b> 30:18</p> <p><b>pause</b> 33:2</p> <p><b>pavement</b> 3:18</p> <p><b>pay</b> 35:14 36:12</p> <p><b>period</b> 8:3 20:15 21:12 30:8 34:5</p> <p><b>person</b> 37:24</p> <p><b>persons</b> 34:18</p> <p><b>perspective</b> 31:8</p> <p><b>phone</b> 26:16</p> <p><b>pills</b> 13:13</p> <p><b>place</b> 30:25 36:13 36:16</p> <p><b>placed</b> 21:4</p> <p><b>plan</b> 32:15,18</p> <p><b>planned</b> 9:5 15:18 22:7 33:24 36:14</p> <p><b>please</b> 2:5 12:10</p> <p><b>pm</b> 2:1 12:19 38:7</p> <p><b>PND</b> 11:5 24:4</p> <p><b>point</b> 10:13 12:21 18:13 23:12 28:15 30:7</p> <p><b>pointing</b> 30:21</p> <p><b>police</b> 3:21,21 6:18 7:12 14:15 18:18 18:19 19:24 27:18 33:6 36:1</p> <p><b>policies</b> 30:25</p> <p><b>poppers</b> 7:20 20:7</p> <p><b>Port</b> 6:18,21 7:12 7:20 10:23 11:4 14:15,18 19:24 20:2,7 21:12 23:22 24:3</p> <p><b>Port's</b> 7:24 8:2,2,5 20:11,14,14,17</p>	<p>21:7</p> <p><b>position</b> 3:19 5:12 13:3</p> <p><b>possible</b> 27:4,14 27:17</p> <p><b>post</b> 30:16 31:24</p> <p><b>Post-conclusion</b> 1:6 32:14</p> <p><b>pragmatic</b> 35:25</p> <p><b>preparation</b> 35:3</p> <p><b>preparing</b> 36:10</p> <p><b>presence</b> 2:2</p> <p><b>presented</b> 28:21</p> <p><b>press</b> 34:24,25</p> <p><b>pressures</b> 8:22 15:11 21:24 28:9</p> <p><b>preventing</b> 32:20</p> <p><b>previously</b> 5:18 13:5,12,22 18:22</p> <p><b>primacy</b> 10:12,25 23:11,24 30:6</p> <p><b>prior</b> 28:11</p> <p><b>probabilities</b> 4:7 6:11 14:7 19:3</p> <p><b>probably</b> 6:21 9:20 14:18 16:6 19:10 22:20 25:12 29:12</p> <p><b>proceedings</b> 34:19 35:15</p> <p><b>process</b> 2:8 32:13 34:7,23 36:7</p> <p><b>produce</b> 32:23</p> <p><b>producing</b> 32:19 36:10</p> <p><b>profession</b> 36:20</p> <p><b>professional</b> 35:6 35:9</p> <p><b>profile</b> 11:4 24:3</p> <p><b>progress</b> 28:16</p> <p><b>pronounced</b> 3:22 5:15 13:18 18:20</p> <p><b>properly</b> 10:18 23:17</p> <p><b>propped</b> 3:18 5:11 13:3 18:15</p> <p><b>provided</b> 7:10 8:18 10:22 11:3 11:6,15,21 15:5,6</p>
---	--	--	--	---

17:4,9 19:22 21:20 22:15 23:21 24:2,5,14 24:19 25:23 28:5 28:11 29:24 30:9 <b>providing</b> 34:12 <b>public</b> 34:9 <b>published</b> 32:8 <b>purporting</b> 13:8 <b>put</b> 34:20	12:17 18:4 <b>ready</b> 2:5 <b>real</b> 36:19 <b>realistic</b> 35:23 <b>reason</b> 13:12 <b>reasoned</b> 37:13 <b>receipt</b> 20:24 21:1 <b>receive</b> 2:5 <b>received</b> 21:4 <b>recommendation</b> 30:3,4 <b>recommended</b> 27:1 <b>record</b> 7:17 20:4 36:24 <b>recorded</b> 10:16 18:24 23:15 30:2 31:22 <b>records</b> 21:10 31:21 32:6 <b>recover</b> 28:16 <b>recovered</b> 35:21 <b>refer</b> 20:23 <b>referred</b> 26:6 <b>regard</b> 26:20 <b>regarding</b> 8:22 11:10 15:11 16:24 21:24 24:9 26:19 27:3 28:9 30:13 <b>reins</b> 36:17 <b>relating</b> 21:3 <b>relation</b> 2:25 3:5 4:6,13,17 6:5,6 6:10,16,18 7:1,12 9:17,25 10:22 12:11,14 14:6,13 14:15 15:6 16:4 17:23 18:1 19:2 19:7,24 23:8,21 31:16 <b>remain</b> 32:12 <b>remainder</b> 33:8 <b>remarks</b> 1:6 32:14 <b>remit</b> 30:23 <b>remotely</b> 34:19 <b>rent</b> 4:23 <b>reopened</b> 27:22 <b>repeat</b> 16:19	<b>report</b> 7:18 20:5 20:25 21:2 32:19 32:23 <b>represent</b> 33:6,14 33:21 <b>representations</b> 10:14 23:13 <b>representatives</b> 32:23 <b>required</b> 12:3 17:16 25:2 31:10 <b>requirements</b> 9:5 15:18 22:7 <b>respect</b> 2:11,13,17 31:21 <b>responsible</b> 12:6 17:19 25:5 31:13 <b>results</b> 11:5 24:4 31:2 <b>return</b> 8:6 11:2 20:18 24:1 30:1 <b>review</b> 7:23 10:20 20:10 23:19 27:16 <b>reviewed</b> 27:22 <b>reviewing</b> 33:17 <b>right</b> 13:4 <b>rise</b> 38:6 <b>role</b> 11:14 17:3 24:13 30:19 37:11 <b>roles</b> 37:8 <b>room</b> 4:23 37:14 <b>running</b> 35:5 36:7	13:3 <b>second</b> 7:24 20:11 <b>Secondly</b> 34:17 <b>secretary</b> 35:2 <b>see</b> 33:2 <b>senior</b> 36:5 37:1 <b>sensitive</b> 33:19 <b>sent</b> 27:1 <b>September</b> 12:18 12:23 13:18,19 13:21 18:6,18,21 26:1 30:2,8 <b>September/Octo...</b> 27:16 <b>serious</b> 32:21 <b>service</b> 37:18 <b>set</b> 32:15,18 <b>sex</b> 7:21 20:8 <b>share</b> 4:24 33:18 <b>shifts</b> 8:2 20:14 <b>shortcomings</b> 8:12 21:14 27:24 <b>shortly</b> 37:2 <b>shown</b> 33:17,21 <b>sign</b> 32:5 <b>signed</b> 31:21 <b>significant</b> 21:9 <b>simply</b> 2:11 <b>sincere</b> 34:16 37:18 <b>single</b> 35:16 <b>Singleton</b> 36:6 <b>sir</b> 2:7 <b>sit</b> 2:8 <b>site</b> 26:17 <b>Skelton</b> 33:4,12,18 35:24 <b>skill</b> 33:10 <b>sleeping</b> 13:13 <b>Slovakia</b> 4:22 <b>smooth</b> 36:7 <b>solicitor</b> 36:3 <b>sought</b> 21:3 31:5 <b>space</b> 34:13 <b>special</b> 30:16 <b>specialised</b> 31:8 <b>speed</b> 34:25 <b>St</b> 5:10 13:2 18:16 <b>staff</b> 34:16	<b>stage</b> 32:13 33:3 36:9 <b>staging</b> 31:13 <b>standing</b> 33:5 <b>stands</b> 2:6 <b>starting</b> 28:15 <b>state</b> 8:20 11:8 15:9 16:22 21:22 24:7 28:7 30:11 <b>stated</b> 11:14 17:2 24:13 <b>statement</b> 4:3 14:3 18:24 28:10 <b>stating</b> 11:25 17:13 24:24 <b>station</b> 6:20 7:15 14:18 20:2 <b>statutory</b> 31:22 <b>steering</b> 33:10 <b>step</b> 8:9 20:21 36:21 <b>Stephanie</b> 36:25 <b>Stephen</b> 6:18 7:12 7:20 11:4 14:15 19:24 20:7 24:3 <b>steps</b> 7:17,22 20:4 20:9 26:8,19 27:3 <b>Stoate</b> 35:20 <b>strategy</b> 26:21 <b>Street</b> 3:12,13,18 3:24 4:23 5:2,6,9 5:17 13:20 18:21 <b>strong</b> 30:2 <b>stuck</b> 35:18 <b>student</b> 3:10 <b>subject</b> 14:3 <b>submission</b> 26:19 <b>submissions</b> 32:22 <b>submit</b> 8:4 20:16 <b>submitted</b> 26:24 <b>subsequent</b> 9:11 15:25 22:14 <b>subsequently</b> 3:25 5:19 13:25 <b>substantial</b> 31:6 <b>substantive</b> 8:17 8:25 15:13 21:19 22:2 28:4
<b>Q</b>				
<b>quantity</b> 18:12 <b>queries</b> 35:1 <b>question</b> 3:5 4:6,9 4:17 6:10,16,23 7:1,5 8:20 9:17 9:22,25 10:5 11:8 12:14 14:6 14:9,13,20 15:1,9 16:8,14,22 18:1 19:2,5,7,11,17 21:22 22:18,22 23:3 24:7 25:9 25:13,19 28:7 29:9,13,20 30:11 31:17 <b>questioning</b> 34:22 35:23 <b>questionnaire</b> 2:14 4:2 <b>questionnaires</b> 2:10 32:6 <b>questions</b> 2:13,25 4:14 6:5 12:11 17:23 28:20 35:12 38:3				
<b>R</b>				
<b>raised</b> 32:21 <b>ranks</b> 8:17,24 15:13 21:19 22:1 28:4 <b>rape</b> 21:11 <b>reached</b> 9:14 <b>reaching</b> 35:11 <b>reacted</b> 27:6 <b>read</b> 2:16 15:6 16:1,19 17:20 <b>reads</b> 3:8 4:20				
		<b>S</b>		
		<b>safely</b> 34:14 <b>satisfied</b> 4:7 6:11 14:7 19:3 <b>Saturday</b> 5:1 12:23 13:17 <b>SC&amp;O1</b> 9:19 10:2 10:11 16:5,11 22:19,25 23:10 29:10,17 30:5 <b>scene</b> 3:21 18:19 25:25 31:5,7 <b>scenes</b> 31:14 <b>screens</b> 34:20 <b>seated</b> 3:18 5:12		



<p><b>sufficient</b> 3:15 5:8 9:3 12:5,25 15:16 17:18 18:13 22:5 25:4 31:12</p> <p><b>suicide</b> 13:7,9</p> <p><b>summary</b> 3:6,8 4:18,20 5:21 12:15,17 18:2,4</p> <p><b>summer</b> 4:21</p> <p><b>summing</b> 34:22</p> <p><b>summoned</b> 3:21</p> <p><b>Sunday</b> 5:3 18:5</p> <p><b>Superintendent</b> 10:17 23:16</p> <p><b>superiors</b> 11:24 17:12 24:23</p> <p><b>support</b> 10:22 23:21</p> <p><b>supporting</b> 11:14 17:3 24:13 30:19</p> <p><b>surrounding</b> 18:15</p> <p><b>swabs</b> 26:23</p> <p><b>Sweeney's</b> 10:17 23:16</p> <p><b>Swift</b> 27:1 30:4</p> <p><b>Swift's</b> 30:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>T</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>take</b> 7:20 11:19 17:7 20:7 24:18 26:7 34:6 36:13</p> <p><b>taken</b> 5:9 7:23 12:4 13:10,13 17:17 20:10 21:6 25:3,24 26:6,23 31:11 36:17</p> <p><b>taxi</b> 18:9</p> <p><b>Taylor</b> 17:23,24 18:5 19:4,11,17 22:21 23:2 25:12 25:18 29:13,19 37:21</p> <p><b>team</b> 12:3 17:13 17:16 25:2 31:10 33:7,9,19 35:5,7 36:2,9,18,21</p> <p><b>teams</b> 11:10,18,25 16:24 17:7 24:9 24:17,24 30:13</p>	<p>34:17</p> <p><b>technical</b> 34:17</p> <p><b>technician</b> 3:20</p> <p><b>telling</b> 12:19</p> <p><b>temporary</b> 8:25 15:14 22:2</p> <p><b>Ten</b> 6:3</p> <p><b>terms</b> 27:18</p> <p><b>thank</b> 2:7 3:4 4:5 4:12,16 6:4,9,15 9:16 12:9 14:5 14:12 16:3 17:22 22:17 23:5 25:8 29:8 31:19 32:9 33:2,6,11,12,15 33:22,23 34:6,11 34:17,24 35:2,8 35:20,22 37:24 38:6</p> <p><b>thanks</b> 33:18 34:16,22 35:7 36:25 37:18</p> <p><b>Thierry</b> 27:7</p> <p><b>think</b> 28:13</p> <p><b>three</b> 3:25 13:5,11 13:24 18:22</p> <p><b>Thursday</b> 5:14</p> <p><b>time</b> 5:5 8:23 9:3 11:17 15:12,17 17:6 21:25 22:5 24:16 28:10 30:25 31:8 32:10 35:19 37:7</p> <p><b>timely</b> 35:16</p> <p><b>timetabled</b> 34:4</p> <p><b>timetables</b> 36:11</p> <p><b>tirelessly</b> 36:10</p> <p><b>today</b> 34:2 35:21 37:1</p> <p><b>topic</b> 33:1</p> <p><b>Town</b> 34:13</p> <p><b>toxicity</b> 32:2</p> <p><b>toxicology</b> 20:25 21:2</p> <p><b>track</b> 28:21</p> <p><b>transcript</b> 34:21</p> <p><b>travel</b> 18:8</p> <p><b>treat</b> 26:2</p> <p><b>tribute</b> 35:14</p>	<p>36:12</p> <p><b>turn</b> 4:13 9:10 12:10 15:24 22:13 29:3 31:2 33:1 37:5</p> <p><b>two</b> 2:25 5:19 13:22 33:24 36:2</p> <p><b>typed</b> 2:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>U</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>ultimately</b> 9:9 15:23 22:12 28:19 29:2 31:14</p> <p><b>unacceptable</b> 31:2</p> <p><b>unanimous</b> 2:13 2:18</p> <p><b>unanswered</b> 28:20</p> <p><b>undertake</b> 37:8</p> <p><b>undertaken</b> 10:21 23:20 37:11</p> <p><b>unexplained</b> 26:4</p> <p><b>unique</b> 35:18</p> <p><b>unlawfully</b> 4:8 6:12 14:8 19:4</p> <p><b>untrue</b> 13:14</p> <p><b>use</b> 34:21</p> <p><b>ushers</b> 35:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>valuable</b> 36:6</p> <p><b>van</b> 35:22</p> <p><b>version</b> 3:7 4:19 12:17 18:3</p> <p><b>versions</b> 36:11</p> <p><b>victim</b> 31:15</p> <p><b>victims</b> 9:12 15:25 22:14 29:5</p> <p><b>video-link</b> 34:20</p> <p><b>view</b> 32:20,21</p> <p><b>vital</b> 35:3 37:11</p> <p><b>voluntarily</b> 21:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>W</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Walgate</b> 2:24 3:9 4:8 21:13 27:15 37:20</p> <p><b>Walgate's</b> 7:3 9:20 10:3,12 14:23 16:6,12 19:9,15 22:20 23:1,11</p>	<p><b>walker</b> 5:13 13:16</p> <p><b>wall</b> 5:12 13:3 18:15</p> <p><b>want</b> 32:15,18 34:6,9,11,17 35:2 35:8,14,20,22 36:19,24</p> <p><b>wanted</b> 2:7</p> <p><b>wants</b> 33:3</p> <p><b>wasn't</b> 17:5 24:15</p> <p><b>Waumsley</b> 37:21</p> <p><b>way</b> 5:20 12:5 14:1 17:18 25:4 31:12 33:20 36:21</p> <p><b>website</b> 12:21 32:8</p> <p><b>Wednesday</b> 3:24</p> <p><b>weeks</b> 13:5,11,24 33:16 37:6</p> <p><b>weight</b> 21:4</p> <p><b>went</b> 3:11 18:10 31:14</p> <p><b>whilst</b> 3:12 27:10</p> <p><b>Whitworth</b> 12:10 12:18 14:8,19,25 16:7,13 25:11,17 26:13 27:5,12,15 27:21 29:12,18 30:7 37:21</p> <p><b>Whitworth's</b> 26:1 26:3,9</p> <p><b>wholly</b> 35:9</p> <p><b>Wicksteed</b> 34:24</p> <p><b>wish</b> 38:3</p> <p><b>witnesses</b> 34:19</p> <p><b>work</b> 3:11 8:3 12:18 20:15 33:25 34:23</p> <p><b>worked</b> 36:9</p> <p><b>working</b> 34:1</p> <p><b>workload</b> 8:15 9:1 15:14 21:17 22:3 28:2</p> <p><b>wrinkles</b> 37:3</p> <p><b>written</b> 26:9</p> <p><b>wrote</b> 13:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>X</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>X</b> 1:3</p> <p><b>X1</b> 7:19 20:6</p> <p><b>X3</b> 6:21 14:18 20:2</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Y</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>year</b> 32:24</p> <p><b>years</b> 33:25 36:2 37:17</p> <p><b>young</b> 3:25 5:18 13:6,10,22 14:1 18:23 21:11 37:22</p> <p><b>yous</b> 33:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Z</b></p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>0</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>04.05</b> 3:19</p> <p><b>07.51</b> 3:23</p> <p><b>09.27</b> 5:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>1</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>1</b> 3:5 4:17 7:11 10:11 12:14 18:1 19:23 23:10 25:24 30:1 34:5</p> <p><b>1.10</b> 38:7</p> <p><b>10</b> 1:1 21:14</p> <p><b>11</b> 37:10</p> <p><b>11.20</b> 13:17</p> <p><b>11.45</b> 13:19</p> <p><b>12.22</b> 2:1</p> <p><b>13</b> 18:6,21 21:13</p> <p><b>13.12</b> 18:17</p> <p><b>14</b> 18:18</p> <p><b>1600</b> 18:20</p> <p><b>17</b> 3:9 21:13</p> <p><b>18</b> 3:24 12:18</p> <p><b>19</b> 3:16,23 8:3 13:21 20:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>2</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>2</b> 1:5 4:6 6:5,10 7:16 10:16 14:6 19:2 20:3 23:15 26:7 30:5</p> <p><b>20</b> 12:23 13:18,19 20:24 26:1</p> <p><b>2012</b> 7:19 20:6</p> <p><b>2014</b> 3:9,16,23,24 4:21,22 5:1,3,16 5:16 6:21 8:3,6 8:10 10:14,17,19 10:23 11:13</p>
---	---	---	--	---

12:18,23 13:18	<b>6</b> 8:8 20:20 27:9			
13:19,21 14:18	30:11			
17:2 20:2,15,18	<b>6(a)</b> 29:9			
20:22 21:13	<b>62</b> 3:24 18:21			
23:13,16,18,22				
24:12 26:1 27:16	<u>7</u>			
30:2,8	7 8:12 10:21 11:2,3			
<b>2015</b> 18:6,18,21	20:23 23:20 24:1			
21:8	24:2 27:13			
<b>2021</b> 1:1	<u>8</u>			
<b>21</b> 30:8	<b>8</b> 21:1 27:20			
<b>23</b> 5:1 30:2,8				
<b>24</b> 5:3	<u>9</u>			
<b>25</b> 5:5,5,16	9 21:7 27:24			
<b>26</b> 10:14 23:13	<b>9.00</b> 5:14			
<b>27</b> 8:6 10:17,19,23				
11:2,13 17:2				
20:18 23:16,18				
23:22,25 24:12				
<b>28</b> 5:14,16				
<u>3</u>				
<b>3</b> 6:5 7:22 8:20				
11:1 15:9 20:9				
21:22 23:25				
26:11				
<b>3(a)</b> 6:16 14:13				
19:7				
<b>3(b)</b> 7:1 14:22				
<b>3.00</b> 12:19 18:10				
<b>31</b> 7:19 20:6				
<b>32</b> 1:6				
<b>39-year</b> 4:25				
<u>4</u>				
<b>4</b> 6:6,21 7:15 8:1				
11:8 14:18 16:22				
20:2,13 24:7				
26:18				
<b>4(a)</b> 9:17 16:4				
22:18				
<b>4(b)</b> 9:25 16:10				
<u>5</u>				
<b>5</b> 8:4 20:16 27:2				
28:7				
<b>5(a)</b> 25:9				
<b>5(b)</b> 25:15				
<u>6</u>				